From: Doug Noland < doug@nolandlawfirm.com >

Date: March 8, 2013, 4:04:12 PM CST To: < Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov > Subject: Ameren Power Line

Dear Chairman Kenney:

Please see the attached letter and documents regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com

Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com
Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL Admitted in Missouri & Kansas

March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Robert S. Kenney – Chairman Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Chairman Kenney:

This letter is written on behalf of many property owners and citizens who are adversely affected by an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line in Clay County, Missouri. The attempted line runs from a new construction, LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months the property owners and citizens have been voicing their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. We later found out that this Commission had provided some permission, authority or necessity, however it is phrased, to Ameren for this line. There was no property owner, no concerned citizen that was affected by this that was aware of this action. There was no public meeting held on this until the property owners and concerned citizens themselves organized a public meeting last night in Liberty, Missouri, to voice their unified objections to this power line.

There are alternatives available to Ameren's proposed power line that would not interfere with the property owners' property rights and concerns for their health and safety.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the lines to the LMV Plant.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed. The alternatives would be less intrusive to all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to their property, and not interfere with their health and safety.

It was stated to several of us through the County Commissioner in Clay County that Kansas City Power and Light would be supplying to this facility. That position is apparently not correct as Ameren is threatening eminent domain on all of the affected property owners. I am enclosing with this letter copies of letters that we have sent to our Representatives in the past, as well as two Petitions that have been signed by a number of property owners and affected citizens by this power line. Because we have been told that voicing an objection to you as Commissioners of the Public Service Commission is an appropriate remedy that property owners and affected citizens have in this, and we would seek your consideration and also ask that if any attempts at condemnation by Ameren could be delayed until all of the viable alternatives that the public citizens and property owners have put forth on this matter be considered.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

CC:

Betty Miller
Glenn Miller
Kenneth R. Wright
Scott Morron
Chris Daniels

March 8, 2013 Robert S. Kenney – Chairman Page 3

> Barbara Schmitt James R. Schmitt Craig Johnson Julie R. Johnson Gary L. Osten Robert Shemwell Rick Spurgeon Luann Ridgeway Lyndell Brenton Edward J. Busch Paul Schneegass Patricia A. Shemwell Danny Barron Chris Daniels Steve Calder Andrea Busch Gayle S. Wood Bonnie Tarwater Penny Johnson Ryan Silvey T.J. Berry Pam Mason Luann Ridgeway



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-751-5562

Lewis R. Mills, Esq.
Public Counsel
Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
Governor Office Building, Suite 650
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
Email: lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Re: Ameren

Dear Mr. Mills:

On behalf of property owners and a number of citizens who are adversely affected, this is some information that has been generated regarding an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty, Missouri, to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision. This is all in Clay County, Missouri.

At a public meeting last night, a number of people voiced their objection to this proposed line. I am enclosing a Petition signed by a number of people voicing their objections. We have a transcript being prepared of the meeting that when is available, it will be supplied to you. I am also enclosing some correspondence that has been made to some of our Representatives and State Senators in the past without any progress. None of the citizens or property owners were aware of any proceeding commenced before the Public Service Commission by Ameren for the construction of this lien in its attempt to gain a certificate of necessity. If we had known, we would have made our voice and objection known at that time. Ameren has threatened eminent domain proceedings and we would request any postponement of that until any of these complaints filed by the numerous citizens and property owners to be addressed by the Public Service Commission, if that is a remedy that the property owners and public citizens have a right to.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND
THOMAS K. MENDEL
ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

October 30, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 110A Jefferson City, MO 65101

Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

Rep. Ryan Silvey
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 306
Jefferson City, MO 65101

Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Rep. T.J. Berry
MO House of Representative
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 400CB
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

This letter is to voice an objection to the installation of a 69kV electrical line proposed by Ameren electrical company running from the LMV Automotive Systems plant (Magna) on 69 Highway east to near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction. The proposed line which passes over 7 property owners is a significant impairment of the property rights of all of the property owners.

The property owners include land belonging to my wife, Carolyn Noland, which is a family farm that has been in her family for three generations; land belonging to my father, Robert Noland, who has owned and operated a family farm for nearly forty years; Tom and Bonita Tarwater, who live on the property that has been in their family for two generations; and Dr. Robert Shemwell and Rick Spurgeon who have both owned their property for over 25 years with the plan for future development.

There are a number of people that live adjacent to the proposed line and a number of residents who live off of Clarksboro Avenue near H Highway that, even though the line is not directly on their property, object to its placement and location.

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 2

The significance of the proposed line, a 69,000 volt power line with a proposed 50 foot easement to run parallel to an existing 100 foot easement that has been in existence for over 50 years, is a substantial impairment and interferes with the property rights of all of the people affected by this line. It significantly effects the future development plans and usage of the property. The future use of this land for housing or any commercial development is greatly effected. No one wants to live near a 69,000 volt power line or build a house next to it, with the heath concerns that exist on such lines, or use it for any commercial property interest.

The line as proposed would also amount to a tandem easement with parallel electrical lines and poles that significantly restrict the use and value of the property and its aesthetic appearance. Duel power lines and duel poles are so obtrusive and offensive that they are almost non-existent in any other location. This duel usage would make the property undesirable and restrict any future development or use for housing or any other commercial use on property that at some point would have to be considered prime development area within the city limits of Liberty and the county. Three of the land owners are within Liberty, and the others are within the county. Future use of the property for single family housing would be virtually impossible due to the proposed line and its location, and any other future commercial development would also be effected.

It appears to me, as well as the other property owners, that there are other alternatives that could be considered and utilized.

- 1. Use of the existing right of way down H Highway and B Highway that already belongs to Ameren and along 69 Highway that is already serviced by an electrical easement;
- 2. Obtaining the power through an alternative source rather than the proposed site at or near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction;
- 3. A easement alongside the railroad that runs north and south, parallel to the Magna building;
- 4. Power could be obtained through KCP&L or another provider that may have sufficient power in the location of the Magna plant without having to run new lines;
- 5. Along 69 Highway using the existing easement; or
- 6. Power by my understanding is already supplied by Ameren to one of the other buildings in the Heartland Meadows industrial park near the Magna plant. If power is already supplied to that location, could the source not be upgraded and used for the Magna plant? Why does Ameren need to run such an obtrusive and detrimental line to get to the Magna plant?

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 3

The property rights of individuals and operator of family farms, their future use and development rights and all of the benefits of their property should not be sacrificed by the interest of one utility company and their desire to sell power to one other business. Property owners should have rights and their interests in their property should be protected. Their use and future development interests are just as important as the wishes of one utility company, particularly when other alternative sites, locations and power sources are available that would be less intrusive and objectionable to any landowner and would, in all likelihood, be more economical and practical to accomplish.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw

cc: Rep. T.J. Berry via first-class mail

Rep. Myron Neth via first-class mail

Governor Jay Nixon

November 25, 2012

Representative T.J. Berry

Representative Myron Neth

Clay County Eastern Commissioner Luann Ridgeway

Doug Noland, Noland Law Firm, LLC

The enclosed petition is a response from the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County Missouri. The residents of East and West Clarksboro stand together to oppose the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric. We feel the placement of this line would be unsightly, unsafe and decrease property values in our neighborhood. We feel other solutions need to be reviewed and approved by all involved. Thank you for taking the time to review this statement and noting the concerns of the homeowners listed in the pages of the petition.

Residents of 9620 W. Clarksboro, Liberty, Missouri:

Rimana B. Berel

Andrea B. Busch

Edward J. Busch

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Spaker & Busch	Relate W Clarkstone-
Print Andrea Busch	Leberty - 116 64168
Sign Eduf Ex	- 9620 W. CLARKSBORD
Print English J. Busch	iberty, MC 64068
Sign James Zeger Print 3AMES FRYER	4608 W. CLARKSBORD DR
Sign Suice Fryer Print BALLE FRUER	148 11 CHICKSDELDIE
Sign Pleas Schrager Print Phol Schwellings	9412 W CLARKS BORG
Sign ANAVINEUGUSL PrInt LORI GHNEFGASES	44-12 W. GLARKSBORD DR.
sign tide Colle	9505 W. CLARKSBORDE LIBLATY, MO GUDGS
Sign Chan Married Print Charles Married	G518 W ((wilsburg)
•	

Page 1 of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
Sign	bann & Elos	9823 CLARKSBURG W 64068
<u>Print</u>	THOMASLEVANS	LIBERTY NO:
Sign Print	Robin Evans	9823 CLARKSBURE W.
Sign Print	Huttile	1916 CLARKS SORD DR. W 64665 LIBERTY, MD
Sign Print	Betty Miller	SANE
<u>Sign</u> Print		
Sign Print		
Sign Print		
Sign Print		

Page Zef'Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Branch K Melan	ADDRESS A 9720 I CLANKSTOND DA LIBERTY MO 64068
Print Brenda K Mc Camis	Liberty MU 64018
,	1720 ECLARKS BORD LIBERTY MU. 64068
Sign (Penaly Johnson) Print Penaly Johnson	9613 E CLARKSBORO LIBERTY MO GUOGE
Sign for Follows Print Jack Sories De	GUISE CLARKSBORD
Sign Pulles Story 1961	45.21 E. Clarksboro Deive
Sign The Bolle	1916 Clotharto 15 (1644) Bo 14,066
Sign Soulie Tementary Print Standar Hementary	Liberty Moley Des
Sign fan W Sancing	17404 4/16/11/24

Page 1 of 4

ADDRESS NAME Sign Vident & Schmitt 1663 Nest Clarkstera (1).
Print without Schmitt when he will be for the form Sign Jeanes B. Lelilet 9600 Just Elarkshow Dr. 2, borty, 40 69065 Print TAMES C. Schnitt See San Bloom Since Commence Print Print x 1- 1. exe Sign / // // // ----/ 16030 Clarke See De Print Phile thinners Liberty Mc 64648 TO TUNKER'S STORY WAST wirey de ditt Sign Milestrone 9413 W CLARKSDERO DV Libertz Mi 64068 9413 W STARK Sura Dr Sign isig Harriel Liberty Ma 64062 Print George Hower Sign Sign Hour 1518 W. Clarks Daw DIL 9625 & Clarkston): Wany 140 64068

Page 2 of 4

ADDRESS 9809 well-rasburodr Sign Lynn + Nick Wight 9904 a Claristic (1875 G. CLANUSACTED DU liberty the 64068 9903 K Clarksbore D. Liverty, M. Killows Sign Stir Morr 4723 E Clarishow Dr Print Julie R Johnson Liberry NO 24065

Page 3 of 4

NAME	ADDRESS
Sign Manci todra	17405 NE 9740 ST.
Print HIARRY Bedrac	Liberty No leguis
Sion	17405 NUT 97711 ST
Print Ryand Bechan	1. both 100 64CC8
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Cian	
Sign Print	
Sign	
Print	
Şign	
Print	

Page 4 of 4



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

November 28, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 110A Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

Rep. T.J. Berry
MO House of Representative
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 400CB
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Rep. Ryan Silvey MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 306 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

Enclosed please find a letter I received from Andrea and Edward Bush along with a Petition signed by all of the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County where Ameren has proposed the installation of a 69kV electrical line.

Thank you again for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw Enclosure

WE, the undersigned, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri. Signed and dated this 7th day of March, 2013.

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS - Email
sign Detty Miller	9816 CLARKSBORD DRW LIBERTYM
Print PETTY MILLER	9816 CLARKSBORD DRW LIBERTYM: Craftygal3@ AOL.OM 816.718.5543
Sign Mills	JAME A
Print 64400 Miller	516.392.9919 (ca)
WRIGHT Food of & G. Mail, con	
	9904 Clarksborda. W.
Printferwork R Wass LE	Lib-17/9/110.
Sign Sutyon	9518 w Clarksbar Dr Smorravldos7
Print Scott Mowen	Liber MO 64067 Cgmail, com
Sign Vin	97101.01
Print prix Derivals	4718 W Clarker De 2, herty, NO 64068
	, ,
Sign Barbara Schmitt	9603 W Clarkshow Dr.
Print Sabarvae - 2 hmitt	Liberty MO 6406 8
Sign Jan R. Lelwol	9603W. Clarke fora Dr.
Print Jaws R. Sdwitt	Liberty MO USa
a. 0111	·
Print Gray Johnson	9723 E Clarksboro Dr.
Januser (Liberty Mo 64068
	craia. Johnson@hallmark.com
	ひょんしい トンマリグラインコー しはまれれかけい・(シロ・

ADDRESS + Email

Sign Aler Pjohn	9723 E Clarksboro Dr.
Print Julie R Johnson	Julie @ Agicity Branding. com
	Julie @ Agility Branding. com
sign Jany Osten	9809 Clarksboro de
Print 906 L.OSKABUSPS	9809 Clarksbord dy 5.9012,6804) MG 64068
3	£ 1 C
Sign Kaders R Shennell	10206 Relgeview Rel PATTYANINY9 Kihesty Was 64068 PATT.MEL
Print ROBERT & Shemurell	Liherty Ma 64068 @ ATT. HEL
	
Sign # 1/L 2/Lie -	12670) TAILE!)!
Print Rick Spurgeon	Olathe KS 6606Z
	rspurgeon @ olatheks.org
Sign LUANN KICKEW	Olathe KS 66062 rspurgeon & clathers.org 1949 19405 County Line RD 1949 Smith ville MO 64089
Print LUANN RICHGIOLOP	4 Smith ville MO 64089
Sign Holle Frentas	t
Print LYNDELL BRENTON	Liberty, Mo 64068
Sign Call Jos	9620 W. CLUEUSBORD
Print Executes I Buscul	ebusch@acs-1.com
Sign Jaul Schneyen	9412 W CLARKSBORG
Print PAUL SCHNEEGASC	Torischneegass @ yakoo, com
$\Omega = \Omega I$	
Sign Tatricia G Speniela	10206 Lidgwien Rd
Print PATRICIAA Shewe	11 Roberty 11 04068
, A	<i></i>
Sign / Well Wille	9505 CLARKSBORODRW.
Print	DOBLE OBUBLITZ CO. COM

NAME	ADDRESS + Email
Sign DOMMY BRANZ Print PANTY BARRO	N Donney Harron Silverter Q & mad
Sign Min Somiels	2718 W Clarkshow dr Liberty MU, 640 68 Cdanielscome@ Col. Con
Sign Steve Calder Print Steve Calder	9825 Clarksboro Dr E Scalder o american midwest KC. (on
Sign Mhalen Busch Print Andrea Busch	9620 W. Clarksboro abusch@liberty. K12. mo, us
Sign Doed Print Courle S. Nood	9625 E Clarksland Br gayle swad @ gmail.com
Sign Print	

NAME	Los btarnater o Generalinsa. lom
Sign Danner Januar	los btarnater o Generalinsa. Com
Print Sound & JAKH	j ·
Sign Penny Johnson	penny. Johnson 45@yahao.com
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
<u>Print</u>	
Sign	
Print	

From: Scott Morrow < Scott. Morrow@LRA-INC.com>

Date: March 8, 2013, 2:02:11 PM CST

To: "Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov" <Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov>

Subject: Regarding Ameren's attempt to build a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in

Liberty to the Windsor Estate subdivision

Dear Mr. Kenney,

I want to express my concerns for Ameren's attempt to build a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty to the Windsor Estate subdivision.

I live at 9518 West Clarksboro Drive and the proposed power line would basically be in my back yard. (the length of my property)

While I understand the need for more jobs in Missouri and the new plant will provide additional funds to Missouri and the power companies, I want you to place yourself in our shoes.

We moved to the country 19 years ago, are raising our children, whom play in our large lots, fly kites, we fly model airplanes, as well as enjoy being outdoors as a family. We moved here to get away from the city and everything that goes along with living in the city.

The proposed power lines will dramatically reduce our property values and there are proven health risks with living near high voltage lines.

There are several alternatives to placing the new transmission line and affecting many landowners in Liberty and in rural Clay County.

- 1. Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L to supply power to the LMV plant.
- 2. Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 highway using the exiting power poles.
- 3. Require Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to use power from the existing Cooperative line.
- 4. Encourage KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L that already has power near the LMV Plant.

Please consider them and please work towards a safer and practical solution.

Thank you for your time,

Scott Morrow 9518 West Clarksboro Drive Liberty, MO 64068

816-769-0149

From: Carolyn Noland < noland.ca@gmail.com >

Date: March 10, 2013, 7:22:09 PM CDT

To: < <u>robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov</u>>

Subject: Ameren Powerline - Clay Co MO

Dear Mr. Kenney

M name is Carolyn Noland and I would like to voice my objection regarding the Ameren powerline that is to be constructed

for the benefit of the LMV Plant in Clay Co. I am the owner of a family farm that has been in my family for three generations and would like to voice my objection regarding the Ameren Line (a 69v transmission line) that is currently being proposed to provide power to the LMV Plant in Clay Co. There has been a powerline on the property since it has been owned by my family. Ameren is proposing to build an additional 69v transmission powerline with an additional easement to run parallel to the existing powerline. This will be similar to an 8 lane highway (the existing easement plus the additional easement) going thru the middle of my property which will cut it in half. I believe this will hurt the future development prospects of my property - as who would want to deal with a double utility easement in the middle of the property. We have voiced our objections over this powerline since we have learned of it to our State Representatives and our County Commissioner. We were lead to believe by our County Commissioner that KCP&L was going to provide the power. We just recently found out this was not the case and that Ameren had filed something with the Missouri Public Service regarding the construction of a powerline to provide power to the LMV plant. We were never notified by our State Representatives Myron Neth and TJ Berry or our County Commissioner about the filing and thus not able to voice an objection. Our State Representatives also never suggested that we file a complaint with the Public Service Commission and thus we just recently found out we were able to voice a complaint.

I think there are other alternatives available to Ameren putting this line in that will not affect my property or the property of the other land owners this powerline affects.

The alternatives would be:

- 1. To reclassify the territory to allow KCP&L (which already has power in the area) to supply the power to the LMV Plant.
- 2. To have Ameren and the Cooperative (the existing powerline) to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power from the Cooperative line. My understanding is that the Cooperative line is currently supplying the power to the LMV Plant and could continue to do so.

- 3. To require Ameren to obtain the power from their power station at Mosby along 69 Highway to the LMV Plant by using the existing power lines or upgrading their lines and using the right of way already in existence.
- 4. To encourage KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L through a meter agreement. KCP&L currently has a power station near the LMV Plant.

I believe these are workable alternatives that would not require a new high voltage powerline to be constructed through my family farm and the other property owners involved. It would also be less intrusive and cause less interference to all the property owners this

powerline would affect - especially the residents of the Clarksboro subdivision.

Thank you for considering this complaint.

Carolyn Noland

From: Rick Spurgeon < RSpurgeon@OLATHEKS.ORG >

Date: March 11, 2013, 5:48:23 PM CDT

To: <<u>Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov</u>>, <<u>Terry.jarrett@psc.mo.gov</u>>, <<u>Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov</u>>,

<<u>Bill.kenney@psc.mo.gov</u>>

Cc: cc: pmason@claycountymo.gov>, Eyan.Silvey@house.mo.gov>, Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov>, TJ Berry
<TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov>, Doug Noland <doug@nolandlawfirm.com>

Subject: Liberty, MO Ameren proposed powerline

I am sure you are aware of the proposed new overhead utility that Ameren is charging ahead to install near Liberty, MO. Had one of my neighbors, who caught a crew on-site, failed to contact me, I would not have known of the proposed installation to cross my property until nearly the day the installation began. Ameren has offered me a miserably tiny amount compared the damage I will receive by the powerline installation. But they seem, in essence, to say 'too bad' and that is the price I will receive with no alternative.

I purchased that property about two decades ago, with the long-range intent of selling for development when it arrives. Now that day is near and Ameren wants to snatch my retirement fund from me. Any development whatsoever will view that powerline as a detriment. It strictly limits future changes in use. That ground then becomes a liability to pay taxes on while not having use of it, plus the area still has to be maintained through mowing, etc. Of course, this does not even consider health / aesthetic concerns of adjacent, and other nearby, house lots that will substantially reduces home prices. I know future uses will never be considered, but since I will be providing 'infrastructure' for a large profit center for Ameren, perhaps I can provide the acreage at no cost, and then participate in annual profits for the life of the easement?

I suggested each of the following possibilities to Ameren at some point. Each was refuted as not possible, yet each one is. There are likely other alternatives that I have not thought of.

- Allow KCP&L to provide metered power to Ameren, who would then provide it to the LMV plant. KCP&L is presently nearly at the back door of the plant.
- 2 Use, or upgrade, the existing Ameren line along 69 Highway from the Ameren substation.
- Re-classify the tiny corner of Ameren's territory to KCP&L. On paper, it looks as though it should have been KCP&L to begin with.
- 4 Use, or upgrade, the existing cooperative line (easement) paralleling the proposed new one. Why double dip the property owners when it is not required?

From Ameren's past maintenance history, I am concerned about their lines crossing near me, too. Their reputation, acquired from a number of situations (most notably the upper Taum Sauk Reservoir incident), makes me want to avoid any contact with them. If I noticed, during a casual visit, that the reservoir looked to be near failure, surely they knew of the possibility, yet no action was taken.

I understand that both the City of Liberty, and various business interests want to see this project completed. I just believe it can be completed with far less damage to the property rights of individuals. There are many alternatives to be considered. If Ameren is planning annual revenue in the millions of dollars, why do they have to drive over the top of us tiny landowners to save a few bucks, if it even saves that? During the history of America, this type of action has always been frowned upon.

Please consider helping to solve the dilemma of providing electricity to the LMV plant with a more viable alternative. Stop Ameren's headlong advance over the top of us, and allow them to re-route in some manner.

If you would like to speak (or email) with me, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for your time, and thank you for allowing me to vent as the trodden individual I am (individuals we are).

Rick Spurgeon

913 634-1515

From: Doug Noland < doug@nolandlawfirm.com >

Date: March 11, 2013, 10:56:19 AM CDT

To: < Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov>
Subject: Ameren Power Line

Dear Chairman Kenney:

Please see the attached letter on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

March 11, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Robert S. Kenney - Chairman Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Robert.kenney@psc.mo.gov

> Ameren Power Line Re:

Dear Chairman Kenney:

This letter is on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland who are 89 and 90 years of age and own 170-acre farm in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. Ameren is attempting to construct a high voltage transmission line across their property and has threatened eminent domain. The attempted line runs from a new plant construction, the LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months they have voiced their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. The County Commissioner had led us to believe that power to the LMV Plant was going to be supplied by Kansas City Power & Light, and that the power line would not be built. Then we learned that Ameren had filed something with the Public Service Commission for this power line and we were never notified of that, nor to our knowledge no other person affected by this power line, including our neighbors and those people that live in the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision were not notified as well. There has never been a public meeting until just last week when the property owners and concerned citizens got together themselves to voice their objection to this power line in a public place.

There are alternatives available to Ameren building this proposed power line.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant. This would go down 69 Highway on existing right of way.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed through our property and our neighbors' properties. The alternatives would be less intrusive to us and all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to all of our property, and not interfere with our health and safety.

We are farmers and continue to operate a cow/calf operation on this 170-acre farm. There is already one power line across the property which is a cooperative line that has been there since we bought the property, over 35 years ago. Ameren's attempt to build another power line, and they want to run it parallel to the existing power line, would amount to an eight-lane interstate highway going through the middle of our property. It is the same with our neighbors to the west, Tom Tarwater and Carolyn Noland, and to the east, Robert Shemwell. It seems unfair that Ameren can attempt to build this power line when there are other alternatives available without going through our property and our neighbors' properties. This will have a significant and devastating effect on our property rights and future development.

Can Ameren's attempt at eminent domain be suspended until all of the alternatives are considered and our voice and objection are heard?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Uyh Whul Douglass F. Noland

On Behalf of Robert and Anna Noland

DFN:kss

From: Doug Noland [mailto:doug@nolandlawfirm.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 4:20 PM

To: Kenney, Bill

Subject: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Kenney:

Please see the attached letter and attachment regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

William P. Kenney, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Bill.kenney@psc.mo.gov

Re:

Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Kenney:

I have previously contacted each of you regarding our objection to a high voltage transmission line being prepared by Ameren in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. I, and a number of citizens, filed a complaint online as was suggested to us at the public meeting that we had last week, where the citizens were finally able to voice their opposition in public to this power line.

Enclosed is the response that I received from the Consumer Service Department of the Missouri Public Service in response to the complaint filed. We would take issue with the response.

- 1. The response from the Consumer Service Department indicates that Ameren is unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site. From our information, we would question that because Ameren is already providing power to this site. There are lights on at the location, which you can see by driving by the LMV building at night. Our State Representative T.J. Berry said that the LMV plant was currently being supplied power through a cooperative line and could continue to do so for several years.
- 2. There are other numerous alternatives of which Ameren can obtain this power to this location, which it appears from the response, were never even addressed. The alternatives are:

- a. Taking the power from the Cooperative line, which is already there. We were told at the public meeting that the Cooperative line was able to provide power for several years.
- Upgrading route along 69 Highway from the Mosby Ameren power station to the LMV Plant.
- c. Acquiring power from KCP&L, which we have been told has sufficient power, right next to the LMV Plant.
- 3. It appears from the response that the Commission's order was issued based solely upon information that Ameren had supplied, not listening or considering these alternatives.
- 4. I guess our questions to this Commission from this response by the Consumer Service Department are:
 - a. Do citizens and property owners not have a right and an opportunity to voice some objection to a high voltage transmission line that goes across their property, or adjacent to their property? Does there not have to be some type of public notice and an opportunity to be heard?
 - b. Does Due Process require any input from the citizens and property owners who are vastly affected by this line to have notice and some right of hearing before a decision that affects their rights is made? Or can the Commission just consider all the evidence from Ameren and not hear what the landowners say?
- 5. It appears that the Consumer Service Department's response is based only on information that Ameren supplied.
- 6. We would also take exception in Paragraph 4 where it says no public comments were received prior to approval. The public, the property owners, the people of the subdivision who live right next to this, had no idea that this was even going on because we were never told.
- 7. It seems to us that CSR 240-3.105 provides that notice should be required.
 - "4 CSR 240-3.105 Filing Requirements for Electric Utility Applications for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity

- (1) In addition to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(1), applications by an electric utility for a certificate of convenience and necessity shall include:
- (A) If the application is for a service area—
- 1. A statement as to the same or similar utility service, regulated and nonregulated, available in the area requested;
- 2. If there are ten (10) or more residents or landowners, the name and address of no fewer than ten (10) persons residing in the proposed service area or of no fewer than ten (10) landowners in the event there are no residences in the area, or, if there are fewer than ten (10) residents or landowners, the name and address of all residents and landowners;"

No property owner or affected citizen was provided such notice and such is not in the application filed by Ameren. If, as the Consumer Service response is, that this is for a service line, it is a line that is not in Ameren's territory. It appears that they had to go into KCP&L area to run this line. So, would not that be a service outside their area that notice to 10 landowners would be required? And are not the citizens of the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision who are in KCP&L territory affected?

8. It seems from the Consumer Service response that the Public Service Commission's approval of the certificate of necessity was based only on information provided by Ameren. No opportunity for the public to provide their side or for the alternatives to building the power line addressed. No citizens had any notice or right to provide any input in the Public Service Commission's findings.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

doug

From: PSC Info (Public Info Email Address) - PSC [pscinfo@psc.mo.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:31 PM

To: 'doug@nolandlawfirm.com'

Subject: RE: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

Dear Mr. Noland,

Thank you for contacting our office. This e-mail is to acknowledge receipt of your comments regarding Ameren Missouri and the construction of a high voltage transmission line in Clay County. Your comments have been filed into the official case file of the Missouri Public Service Commission. The official case file number is EA-2013-0316.

On November 29, 2012, Ameren Missouri filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and maintain an electric sub-transmission line to provide electric service in Clay County, Missouri, for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory.

Commission rules on Certificates of Convenience and Necessity (CCNs) (4 CSR 240-3.105) have two distinct parts: Service Areas vs. line certificates. The utility would have been required to provide the name and addresses of the affected property owners if it was a request for new service territory. However, the request to extend the transmission line in Clay County in GA-2013-0316 was a request for a line certificate and Ameren Missouri was granted no new service area.

On December 17, 2012, the PSC Staff filed a recommendation advising the Commission to approve the application. In its recommendation, the PSC Staff stated that the new electric sub-transmission line is necessary because Ameren Missouri is currently unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site.

On January 3, 2013 the Missouri Public Service Commission approved an application filed by Ameren Missouri seeking Commission authority to install, own and operate an electric sub-transmission line in Clay County near Liberty, Missouri. According to the application, the proposed line would provide electric service for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory. This line extension is to provide necessary power to the LMV automotive plant that will employ approximately 150 workers. The Commission approved the extension of 899 feet. Ameren did not have to get permission to build the majority of the line because it is in its own service territory. The Commission was only involved because one small piece of it went into the service territory of another IOU (KCP&L GMO). No public comments were received prior to the approval. Kansas City Power & Light Greater Missouri Operations did not oppose the Application.

If you have further questions regarding this case or any additional comments please contact our office at 1-800-392-4211. Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments for the record. If at any time you have questions or concerns regarding a regulated utility company, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Consumer Service Department Missouri Public Service Commission

From: EFIS Messenger

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 4:16 PM

To: Consumer Services

Subject: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

From: Carolyn Noland [mailto:noland.ca@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 4:49 PM

To: Kenney, Bill

Subject: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Dear Commissioner Kenney:

Please see the attached letter which was also faxed to you regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri / Official Case File No. EA20130316.

Please let me know your thoughts on this matter.

Carolyn A. Noland

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-6898 noland.ca@gmail.com

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

William P. Kenney, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: <u>Bill.kenney@psc.mo.gov</u>

RE: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Dear Commissioner Kenney:

I am writing in response to an email I received from "Customer Service Department Public Service Commission" on March 12, 2013, in response to a complaint I filed regarding Ameren's attempt to build a 69kV transmission line across my property. While I appreciate the Public Service Commission's (PSC) prompt response to my complaint, I felt it was lacking in several respects. The PSC provided no explanation as to why there were no public comments received prior to their January 3, 2013, approval of the application filed by Ameren seeking authority to build this new 69kV line. The PSC received no input nor did it seek any input from those residents and landowners who will be tremendously affected by the construction of this new line. I do not believe the process followed by the PSC and approval of Ameren's application was fair and was such that those who would be affected by this were not able to voice their thoughts and concerns regarding Ameren's plans. In addition, I do not believe the PSC followed its own rules because the residents or landowners who are affected by the PSC's January 3, 2013, approval were never contacted, despite the fact that there is a requirement to do so, according to your own rules. I also do not believe that the information that the PSC relied upon to make its determination is accurate. Your email states that the PSC staff found that the new electrical subtransmission line is necessary because Ameren is currently unable to deliver power to the LMV Plant. However, it is common knowledge for those who live near the LMV Plant that it currently is receiving power from an existing 69,000 volt line that is already in place. And Ameren is also able to deliver power to the Plant by any of the alternatives that I have listed in my complaint if anyone at the PSC would be willing to read and consider those in its review of the application.

March 12, 2013 William P. Kenney, Commissioner Page 2

I would simply ask that the PSC take the thoughts and suggestions of these alternative routes from these many residents and landowners before making its decision. I simply do not understand how in the PSC's mission to represent all Missourians and how the landowners affected by this proposed power line would have been completely and totally ignored and not informed and then completely ignored after being informed.

Again, I would ask that the PSC reconsider its approval of Ameren's application and reverse its decision and seek the input of someone other than Ameren, who is an advocate for the line, and coming to factual determinations as to how best to deliver power to the LMV Plant so as to be fair to all of the parties involved in the matter and not just rely upon statements of fact by Ameren.

I would appreciate your prompt response in this matter and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn A. Noland

Couchyn Moland

On Mar 12, 2013, at 3:36 PM, "Doug Noland" < doug@nolandlawfirm.com > wrote:

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

Please see the attached letter and attachment regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of email that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.

<Letter to Commissioner Terry Jarrett with attachment.03-12-2013.pdf>



TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 11, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY: Douglass F. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner

Missouri Public Service Commission Firm Name:

City, State:

Fax No.:

Jefferson City, MO

573-526-7341

Total Number of Pages: 3 (Including Information Sheet)

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

(816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth Telephone:

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



March 11, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Terry.jarrett@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

This letter is on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland who are 89 and 90 years of age and own 170-acre farm in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. Ameren is attempting to construct a high voltage transmission line across their property and has threatened eminent domain. The attempted line runs from a new plant construction, the LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months they have voiced their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. The County Commissioner had led us to believe that power to the LMV Plant was going to be supplied by Kansas City Power & Light, and that the power line would not be built. Then we learned that Ameren had filed something with the Public Service Commission for this power line and we were never notified of that, nor to our knowledge no other person affected by this power line, including our neighbors and those people that live in the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision were not notified as well. There has never been a public meeting until just last week when the property owners and concerned citizens got together themselves to voice their objection to this power line in a public place.

There are alternatives available to Ameren building this proposed power line.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

March 11, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 2

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant. This would go down 69 Highway on existing right of way.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed through our property and our neighbors' properties. The alternatives would be less intrusive to us and all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to all of our property, and not interfere with our health and safety.

We are farmers and continue to operate a cow/calf operation on this 170-acre farm. There is already one power line across the property which is a cooperative line that has been there since we bought the property, over 35 years ago. Ameren's attempt to build another power line, and they want to run it parallel to the existing power line, would amount to an eight-lane interstate highway going through the middle of our property. It is the same with our neighbors to the west, Tom Tarwater and Carolyn Noland, and to the east, Robert Shemwell. It seems unfair that Ameren can attempt to build this power line when there are other alternatives available without going through our property and our neighbors' properties. This will have a significant and devastating effect on our property rights and future development.

Can Ameren's attempt at eminent domain be suspended until all of the alternatives are considered and our voice and objection are heard?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

On Behalf of Robert and Anna Noland

DFN:kss

On Mar 12, 2013, at 3:50 PM, "Carolyn Noland" < noland.ca@gmail.com > wrote:

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

Please see the attached letter which was also faxed to you regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri / Official Case File No. EA20130316.

Please let me know your thoughts on this matter.

Carolyn A. Noland < Official Case File No. EA20130316.Commissioner Terry Jarrett.pdf>

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 noland.ca@gmail.com

TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE: March 12, 2013

SENT BY: Carolyn A. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner

Firm Name:

Missouri Public Service Commission

Fax No.: 573-526-7341

Total Number of Pages: 3 (Including Information Sheet)

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-6898 noland.ca@gmail.com

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Terry.jarrett@psc.mo.gov

RE: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

I am writing in response to an email I received from "Customer Service Department Public Service Commission" on March 12, 2013, in response to a complaint I filed regarding Ameren's attempt to build a 69kV transmission line across my property. While I appreciate the Public Service Commission's (PSC) prompt response to my complaint, I felt it was lacking in several respects. The PSC provided no explanation as to why there were no public comments received prior to their January 3, 2013, approval of the application filed by Ameren seeking authority to build this new 69kV line. The PSC received no input nor did it seek any input from those residents and landowners who will be tremendously affected by the construction of this new line. I do not believe the process followed by the PSC and approval of Ameren's application was fair and was such that those who would be affected by this were not able to voice their thoughts and concerns regarding Ameren's plans. In addition, I do not believe the PSC followed its own rules because the residents or landowners who are affected by the PSC's January 3, 2013, approval were never contacted, despite the fact that there is a requirement to do so, according to your own rules. I also do not believe that the information that the PSC relied upon to make its determination is accurate. Your email states that the PSC staff found that the new electrical subtransmission line is necessary because Ameren is currently unable to deliver power to the LMV Plant. However, it is common knowledge for those who live near the LMV Plant that it currently is receiving power from an existing 69,000 volt line that is already in place. And Ameren is also able to deliver power to the Plant by any of the alternatives that I have listed in my complaint if anyone at the PSC would be willing to read and consider those in its review of the application.

March 12, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 2

I would simply ask that the PSC take the thoughts and suggestions of these alternative routes from these many residents and landowners before making its decision. I simply do not understand how in the PSC's mission to represent all Missourians and how the landowners affected by this proposed power line would have been completely and totally ignored and not informed and then completely ignored after being informed.

Again, I would ask that the PSC reconsider its approval of Ameren's application and reverse its decision and seek the input of someone other than Ameren, who is an advocate for the line, and coming to factual determinations as to how best to deliver power to the LMV Plant so as to be fair to all of the parties involved in the matter and not just rely upon statements of fact by Ameren.

I would appreciate your prompt response in this matter and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Noland

Carolyn A. Noland



TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 8, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY:

Douglass F. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner

Firm Name:

Missouri Public Service Commission

City, State:

Jefferson City, MO

Fax No.:

573-526-7341

(Including Information Sheet) Total Number of Pages: 20

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

(816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth Telephone:

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



Douglass F. Noland Thomas K. Mendel Admitted in Missouri & Kansas

March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360
Email: Terry.jarrett@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

This letter is written on behalf of many property owners and citizens who are adversely affected by an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line in Clay County, Missouri. The attempted line runs from a new construction, LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months the property owners and citizens have been voicing their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. We later found out that this Commission had provided some permission, authority or necessity, however it is phrased, to Ameren for this line. There was no property owner, no concerned citizen that was affected by this that was aware of this action. There was no public meeting held on this until the property owners and concerned citizens themselves organized a public meeting last night in Liberty, Missouri, to voice their unified objections to this power line.

There are alternatives available to Ameren's proposed power line that would not interfere with the property owners' property rights and concerns for their health and safety.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

March 8, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 2

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed. The alternatives would be less intrusive to all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to their property, and not interfere with their health and safety.

It was stated to several of us through the County Commissioner in Clay County that Kansas City Power and Light would be supplying to this facility. That position is apparently not correct as Ameren is threatening eminent domain on all of the affected property owners. I am enclosing with this letter copies of letters that we have sent to our Representatives in the past, as well as two Petitions that have been signed by a number of property owners and affected citizens by this power line. Because we have been told that voicing an objection to you as Commissioners of the Public Service Commission is an appropriate remedy that property owners and affected citizens have in this, and we would seek your consideration and also ask that if any attempts at condemnation by Ameren could be delayed until all of the viable alternatives that the public citizens and property owners have put forth on this matter be considered.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

cc: Betty Miller
Glenn Miller
Kenneth R. Wright
Scott Morron
Chris Daniels

March 8, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 3

> Barbara Schmitt James R. Schmitt Craig Johnson Julie R. Johnson Gary L. Osten Robert Shemwell Rick Spurgeon Luann Ridgeway Lyndell Brenton Edward J. Busch Paul Schneegass Patricia A. Shemwell Danny Barron Chris Daniels Steve Calder Andrea Busch Gayle S. Wood Bonnie Tarwater Penny Johnson Ryan Silvey T.J. Berry Pam Mason Luann Ridgeway



March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-751-5562

Lewis R. Mills, Esq.
Public Counsel
Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
Governor Office Building, Suite 650
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
Email: lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Re: Ameren

Dear Mr. Mills:

On behalf of property owners and a number of citizens who are adversely affected, this is some information that has been generated regarding an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty, Missouri, to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision. This is all in Clay County, Missouri.

At a public meeting last night, a number of people voiced their objection to this proposed line. I am enclosing a Petition signed by a number of people voicing their objections. We have a transcript being prepared of the meeting that when is available, it will be supplied to you. I am also enclosing some correspondence that has been made to some of our Representatives and State Senators in the past without any progress. None of the citizens or property owners were aware of any proceeding commenced before the Public Service Commission by Ameren for the construction of this lien in its attempt to gain a certificate of necessity. If we had known, we would have made our voice and objection known at that time. Ameren has threatened eminent domain proceedings and we would request any postponement of that until any of these complaints filed by the numerous citizens and property owners to be addressed by the Public Service Commission, if that is a remedy that the property owners and public citizens have a right to.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Moland

DFN:kss Enclosures



October 30, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 110A
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

MO House of Representative 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 400CB Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: <u>TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov</u>

Rep. T.J. Berry

Rep. Ryan Silvey
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 306
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

This letter is to voice an objection to the installation of a 69kV electrical line proposed by Ameren electrical company running from the LMV Automotive Systems plant (Magna) on 69 Highway east to near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction. The proposed line which passes over 7 property owners is a significant impairment of the property rights of all of the property owners.

The property owners include land belonging to my wife, Carolyn Noland, which is a family farm that has been in her family for three generations; land belonging to my father, Robert Noland, who has owned and operated a family farm for nearly forty years; Tom and Bonita Tarwater, who live on the property that has been in their family for two generations; and Dr. Robert Shemwell and Rick Spurgeon who have both owned their property for over 25 years with the plan for future development.

There are a number of people that live adjacent to the proposed line and a number of residents who live off of Clarksboro Avenue near H Highway that, even though the line is not directly on their property, object to its placement and location.

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 2

The significance of the proposed line, a 69,000 volt power line with a proposed 50 foot easement to run parallel to an existing 100 foot easement that has been in existence for over 50 years, is a substantial impairment and interferes with the property rights of all of the people affected by this line. It significantly effects the future development plans and usage of the property. The future use of this land for housing or any commercial development is greatly effected. No one wants to live near a 69,000 volt power line or build a house next to it, with the heath concerns that exist on such lines, or use it for any commercial property interest.

The line as proposed would also amount to a tandem easement with parallel electrical lines and poles that significantly restrict the use and value of the property and its aesthetic appearance. Duel power lines and duel poles are so obtrusive and offensive that they are almost non-existent in any other location. This duel usage would make the property undesirable and restrict any future development or use for housing or any other commercial use on property that at some point would have to be considered prime development area within the city limits of Liberty and the county. Three of the land owners are within Liberty, and the others are within the county. Future use of the property for single family housing would be virtually impossible due to the proposed line and its location, and any other future commercial development would also be effected.

It appears to me, as well as the other property owners, that there are other alternatives that could be considered and utilized.

- 1. Use of the existing right of way down H Highway and B Highway that already belongs to Ameren and along 69 Highway that is already serviced by an electrical easement;
- 2. Obtaining the power through an alternative source rather than the proposed site at or near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction;
- A easement alongside the railroad that runs north and south, parallel to the Magna building;
- 4. Power could be obtained through KCP&L or another provider that may have sufficient power in the location of the Magna plant without having to run new lines;
- 5. Along 69 Highway using the existing easement; or
- 6. Power by my understanding is already supplied by Ameren to one of the other buildings in the Heartland Meadows industrial park near the Magna plant. If power is already supplied to that location, could the source not be upgraded and used for the Magna plant? Why does Ameren need to run such an obtrusive and detrimental line to get to the Magna plant?

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 3

er Breeze

The property rights of individuals and operator of family farms, their future use and development rights and all of the benefits of their property should not be sacrificed by the interest of one utility company and their desire to sell power to one other business. Property owners should have rights and their interests in their property should be protected. Their use and future development interests are just as important as the wishes of one utility company, particularly when other alternative sites, locations and power sources are available that would be less intrusive and objectionable to any landowner and would, in all likelihood, be more economical and practical to accomplish.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw

cc: Rep. T.J. Berry via first-class mail

Rep. Myron Neth via first-class mail

Governor Jay Nixon

November 25, 2012

Representative T.J. Berry

Representative Myron Neth

Clay County Eastern Commissioner Luann Ridgeway

Doug Noland, Noland Law Firm, LLC

The enclosed petition is a response from the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County Missouri. The residents of East and West Clarksboro stand together to oppose the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric. We feel the placement of this line would be unsightly, unsafe and decrease property values in our neighborhood. We feel other solutions need to be reviewed and approved by all involved. Thank you for taking the time to review this statement and noting the concerns of the homeowners listed in the pages of the petition.

Residents of 9620 W. Clarksboro, Liberty, Missouri:

R. Maica B. Bersell

E. C. C. C. S. C.

Andrea B. Busch

Edward J. Busch

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Parkya & Busch	9620 w Clarkston
Sign Marken B Busch	Gleso W Clarkstone-
Sign Emusico J. Buscit	- 9620 W. CLARKSBORU
Sign James Sign Print 3 AMES FRYER	LIBERTY MO. 64068
Print SAMES FATER	LIBERTY MO. 64063
Sign Sieles Frager. Print BALLE FOLISK. Sign Mall Schneyers Print PAUL SCHWERWISS	1418 (V CLARKS BOAG 19112 W CLARKS BOAG 118187 MO 64064
Sign All SHALE GASE	1412 W. CLICKSBORE SR.
Print LORI SHAKE GASES	1185 PTY NO 64068
Sign Tide lobble Print JUDI COBLE Sign Charles Married Print Charles Married	9505 1). CHARKSBORDE LIBITTY, MO GUDGS 9518 W. Clarksbord Liberty, Mo 64068

Page 1 of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

/ NAME	ADDRESS
Sign John Story	9823 CLARKSBORE LO GUOGES
Print HOMASLEVANS	LIBERTY NO.
Sign Reben Evans	9823 CLARKSPECED W.
/	1916 CLARKEBURD DR. W 640CB
Sign Betty Willer Print Berry WILLER	SAME
<u>Sign</u>	
Print	
Sign	·
Print	
<u>Sign</u>	
Print	
Sign	
Print	

Page Z of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Brank Melan Print Brenda K Mc Camis	A 9720 E Clarksburg D. Liberty MO 64065
Sign AL Ma-Com	1920 ECLARKS BORD LIBERTY MO. 64068
Sign (FRAM STUDINGSY) Print PENNY VIOLINGSY!	9013 E CLARKSBORO LIBERTY MG (14008
Sign Jack Johnson	JUBERTY WE GUNDE
Sign Pulles Startffeld.	4521 E. Clarkshore DRIVE.
Sign Print Pulce	75% (18/15/07/15 1/ 1 h. Aug 130 (4,768
Sign Inlice Jemeniery Print Indoe Hemeniery	Liberty Motorolog
Sign Lange We Somewhat Print Janes M. Horney	17-18-4 11411C-11-4-1

Page 1 of 4

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
Sign Peteral of Schmitt	Liberty, MC 64068
Sign Jelices R. Actile 1 Print JAMIFS R. Schwift	2600 West Clarkshow Dr.
Sign Sign Control of the State	There of the state of the
Sign /: // // // // Print // // // // // // // // // // // // //	<u> </u>
Sign / 1 / / / / / / / / / / Print / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Liberty Mc 64648
Sign COSCC	45 500 ARRS BYOLD WAST
Sign I NOW FOOVER,	2413 W. CLARKSDERO DE LIDERTZ Mi 64068
Sign Cocy Annece	6413 w Chekbers Dr Laherty Ala 64062
Sign Sigh Weller Print Sigh Meyrer	1510 Wi Clarksban DIL Liberty MC GACGE
Sign (Gles) PEN Print Goedle Wind	9625 7 Claristas Ds.

Page Z of 4

NAME.	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign MA AMULE	ADDRESS (1716 W. CUNYSDIVE) 1174 W. LOYCOS
Sign And Deniels	That Mic 64065
7	972111 Clarks Boro Ark L. Derty, MO. 64608
Sign_ Printy (2011) Reports	Liberty, Mil Liters
Sign Danh Print Siciry L Oggic	9809 W clashsboxodr 464/2 Mo 64064
ν	Likety, me 64668
Sign My Hilly Print (Hall Philly)	(1825 & CLANUS ACHO DU CiBERTY NW GROES
Sign Maria Jacque	Liberty, MC 61065
Sign range 11) Louise Print Toroph D. Leonard	9813 E. Clarksberg Dr. Liberty, Mc. 64065
Sign (Shi Golyan)	1723 E Clarisher Do

Page 3 of 4

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
	17405 NE 4710 ST.
Sign Many Tolling Bacher	Liberty No lattices
Print Whitehay Dathar	110 00 1000
	1741 MIN 0074 1 5T
Sign	17405: NIT 97111 ST
Print Kyman Backer	1. boh, no 64068
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
1.1111	
Sign	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
111115	
0'	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
<u>Print</u>	

Page 4 of 4



November 28, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 110A
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Myron Neth@house.mo.gov

MO House of Representative
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 400CB
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: TJ,Berry@house.mo.gov

Rep. T.J. Berry

Rep. Ryan Silvey
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 306
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

Enclosed please find a letter I received from Andrea and Edward Bush along with a Petition signed by all of the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County where Ameren has proposed the installation of a 69kV electrical line.

Thank you again for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw Enclosure

WE, the undersigned, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri. Signed and dated this 7th day of March, 2013.

NAME	ADDRESS - Email
	7816 CLARKSBORD DRW LIBERTYMD Craftygal3@ AOL. COM 816.718.5543
Sign & Miller Print 644Wis Miner	5/16-392.9919 (c.c.)
WRIGHT FOOD 0/ & G. Mail. con	9904 Clarksborder. W.
Sign Suff Mowin	4518 W Clarksbay DV SMOVWW(dos7 Libry MO 64067 Cgmail.com
Sign Fine Venice 5	9718 W Clandon De Liberty, NO 64068
Sign Barbara Schmitt	9603 W Clarkshow Dr. Liberty, MO 6406.8
Sign James R. Schwitt	1636 900 attoret 1636 Clarkefore Dr. Liberty, MO USA
Sign QUA Print Gray Johnson	9723 E Clarksbora Dr. Liberty Mo 64068
	craig. Johnson@hallmark.com

ADDRESS + Email NAME 9723 è Clarksboro Dr. ale Djohn Sign MO 64068 JULIER JOHNSON Print Julie @ Agility Branding. com conks box o Şign **Print** Q ATT. NEL W. CLUEKSBORD 9620 obusch (a) acs-1. com 9505 CLARKSBORD DRW. **Print**

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS & tmoul
Sign DAMME BOLLON	
Print DANNY BARRO	W Downy Barron & de Tex @ & march
Sign Mrs V	at the said the
Print Chris Daniels	2718 W Clarkshow de Liberty MU, 64068 Cdanielsame@Col.Co
Sign Star Colla	9825 Clarks bovo Dr E
Print Steve Calder	5 Calder @ gnerscan midwest KC. (on
Sign Of Andrea Brock	9620 W. Clarksboro
Print Andrea Busch	abusch@liberty. K12. mo, us
sign Sign	9625 E Clourkslood Ar
Print Goralle S. NOEd	gayle sward @ gmail.com
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS + CWOOL
Sigh Domas Jana	ADDRESS + EMAIL who btarnater of GENERALINSA. COM
Print Sound & / Adh	
Time Assert	
Sign Penny Jhnson	penny, Johnson 45@yahao.com
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Cian	
Sign Print	
I TOLL	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	



TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 12, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY: Douglass F. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner

Firm Name:

Missouri Public Service Commission

City, State:

Jefferson City, MO

Fax No.:

573-526-7341

Total Number of Pages:

5 (Including Information Sheet)

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

Telephone: (816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, THANK YOU.



March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Terry.jarrett@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Jarrett:

I have previously contacted each of you regarding our objection to a high voltage transmission line being prepared by Ameren in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. I, and a number of citizens, filed a complaint online as was suggested to us at the public meeting that we had last week, where the citizens were finally able to voice their opposition in public to this power line.

Enclosed is the response that I received from the Consumer Service Department of the Missouri Public Service in response to the complaint filed. We would take issue with the response.

- 1. The response from the Consumer Service Department indicates that Ameren is unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site. From our information, we would question that because Ameren is already providing power to this site. There are lights on at the location, which you can see by driving by the LMV building at night. Our State Representative T.J. Berry said that the LMV plant was currently being supplied power through a cooperative line and could continue to do so for several years.
- 2. There are other numerous alternatives of which Ameren can obtain this power to this location, which it appears from the response, were never even addressed. The alternatives are:

March 12, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 2

- a. Taking the power from the Cooperative line, which is already there. We were told at the public meeting that the Cooperative line was able to provide power for several years.
- b. Upgrading route along 69 Highway from the Mosby Ameren power station to the LMV Plant.
- c. Acquiring power from KCP&L, which we have been told has sufficient power, right next to the LMV Plant.
- 3. It appears from the response that the Commission's order was issued based solely upon information that Ameren had supplied, not listening or considering these alternatives.
- 4. I guess our questions to this Commission from this response by the Consumer Service Department are:
 - a. Do citizens and property owners not have a right and an opportunity to voice some objection to a high voltage transmission line that goes across their property, or adjacent to their property? Does there not have to be some type of public notice and an opportunity to be heard?
 - b. Does Due Process require any input from the citizens and property owners who are vastly affected by this line to have notice and some right of hearing before a decision that affects their rights is made? Or can the Commission just consider all the evidence from Ameren and not hear what the landowners say?
- 5. It appears that the Consumer Service Department's response is based only on information that Ameren supplied.
- 6. We would also take exception in Paragraph 4 where it says no public comments were received prior to approval. The public, the property owners, the people of the subdivision who live right next to this, had no idea that this was even going on because we were never told.
- 7. It seems to us that CSR 240-3.105 provides that notice should be required.
 - "4 CSR 240-3.105 Filing Requirements for Electric Utility Applications for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity

March 12, 2013 Terry M. Jarrett, Commissioner Page 3

- (1) In addition to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(1), applications by an electric utility for a certificate of convenience and necessity shall include:
- (A) If the application is for a service area—
- 1. A statement as to the same or similar utility service, regulated and nonregulated, available in the area requested;
- 2. If there are ten (10) or more residents or landowners, the name and address of no fewer than ten (10) persons residing in the proposed service area or of no fewer than ten (10) landowners in the event there are no residences in the area, or, if there are fewer than ten (10) residents or landowners, the name and address of all residents and landowners;"

No property owner or affected citizen was provided such notice and such is not in the application filed by Ameren. If, as the Consumer Service response is, that this is for a service line, it is a line that is not in Ameren's territory. It appears that they had to go into KCP&L area to run this line. So, would not that be a service outside their area that notice to 10 landowners would be required? And are not the citizens of the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision who are in KCP&L territory affected?

8. It seems from the Consumer Service response that the Public Service Commission's approval of the certificate of necessity was based only on information provided by Ameren. No opportunity for the public to provide their side or for the alternatives to building the power line addressed. No citizens had any notice or right to provide any input in the Public Service Commission's findings.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

doug

From:

PSC Info (Public Info Email Address) - PSC [pscinfo@psc.mo.gov]

Sent:

Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:31 PM

To: Subject: 'doug@nolandlawfirm.com' RE: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

Dear Mr. Noland,

Thank you for contacting our office. This e-mail is to acknowledge receipt of your comments regarding Ameren Missouri and the construction of a high voltage transmission line in Clay County. Your comments have been filed into the official case file of the Missouri Public Service Commission. The official case file number is EA-2013-0316.

On November 29, 2012, Ameren Missouri filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and maintain an electric sub-transmission line to provide electric service in Clay County, Missouri, for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory.

Commission rules on Certificates of Convenience and Necessity (CCNs) (4 CSR 240-3.105) have two distinct parts: Service Areas vs. line certificates. The utility would have been required to provide the name and addresses of the affected property owners if it was a request for new service territory. However, the request to extend the transmission line in Clay County in GA-2013-0316 was a request for a line certificate and Ameren Missouri was granted no new service area.

On December 17, 2012, the PSC Staff filed a recommendation advising the Commission to approve the application. In its recommendation, the PSC Staff stated that the new electric sub-transmission line is necessary because Ameren Missouri is currently unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site.

On January 3, 2013 the Missouri Public Service Commission approved an application filed by Ameren Missouri seeking Commission authority to install, own and operate an electric sub-transmission line in Clay County near Liberty, Missouri. According to the application, the proposed line would provide electric service for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory. This line extension is to provide necessary power to the EMV automotive plant that will employ approximately 150 workers. The Commission approved the extension of 899 feet. Ameren did not have to get permission to build the majority of the line because it is in its own service territory. The Commission was only involved because one small piece of it went into the service territory of another IOU (KCP&L GMO). No public comments were received prior to the approval. Kansas City Power & Light Greater Missouri Operations did not oppose the Application.

If you have further questions regarding this case or any additional comments please contact our office at 1-800-392-4211. Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments for the record. If at any time you have questions or concerns regarding a regulated utility company, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Consumer Service Department Missouri Public Service Commission

From: EFIS Messenger

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 4:16 PM

To: Consumer Services

Subject: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form



TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 11, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY: Douglass F. Noland FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner

Firm Name:

Missouri Public Service Commission

City, State:

Jefferson City, MO 573-526-7341

Fax No.:

Total Number of Pages: 3 (Including Information Sheet)

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

Telephone:

(816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



March 11, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360
Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

This letter is on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland who are 89 and 90 years of age and own 170-acre farm in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. Ameren is attempting to construct a high voltage transmission line across their property and has threatened eminent domain. The attempted line runs from a new plant construction, the LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months they have voiced their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. The County Commissioner had led us to believe that power to the LMV Plant was going to be supplied by Kansas City Power & Light, and that the power line would not be built. Then we learned that Ameren had filed something with the Public Service Commission for this power line and we were never notified of that, nor to our knowledge no other person affected by this power line, including our neighbors and those people that live in the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision were not notified as well. There has never been a public meeting until just last week when the property owners and concerned citizens got together themselves to voice their objection to this power line in a public place.

There are alternatives available to Ameren building this proposed power line.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

March 11, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant. This would go down 69 Highway on existing right of way.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed through our property and our neighbors' properties. The alternatives would be less intrusive to us and all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to all of our property, and not interfere with our health and safety.

We are farmers and continue to operate a cow/calf operation on this 170-acre farm. There is already one power line across the property which is a cooperative line that has been there since we bought the property, over 35 years ago. Ameren's attempt to build another power line, and they want to run it parallel to the existing power line, would amount to an eight-lane interstate highway going through the middle of our property. It is the same with our neighbors to the west, Tom Tarwater and Carolyn Noland, and to the east, Robert Shemwell. It seems unfair that Ameren can attempt to build this power line when there are other alternatives available without going through our property and our neighbors' properties. This will have a significant and devastating effect on our property rights and future development.

Can Ameren's attempt at eminent domain be suspended until all of the alternatives are considered and our voice and objection are heard?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

On Behalf of Robert and Anna Noland

DFN:kss



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL Admitted in Missouri & Kansas

TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 8, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY:

Douglass F. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner

Missouri Public Service Commission

Firm Name: City, State:

Fax No.:

Jefferson City, MO

573~526-7341

Total Number of Pages: (Including Information Sheet)

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

Telephone:

(816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360
Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

This letter is written on behalf of many property owners and citizens who are adversely affected by an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line in Clay County, Missouri. The attempted line runs from a new construction, LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months the property owners and citizens have been voicing their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. We later found out that this Commission had provided some permission, authority or necessity, however it is phrased, to Ameren for this line. There was no property owner, no concerned citizen that was affected by this that was aware of this action. There was no public meeting held on this until the property owners and concerned citizens themselves organized a public meeting last night in Liberty, Missouri, to voice their unified objections to this power line.

There are alternatives available to Ameren's proposed power line that would not interfere with the property owners' property rights and concerns for their health and safety.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

March 8, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed. The alternatives would be less intrusive to all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to their property, and not interfere with their health and safety.

It was stated to several of us through the County Commissioner in Clay County that Kansas City Power and Light would be supplying to this facility. That position is apparently not correct as Ameren is threatening eminent domain on all of the affected property owners. I am enclosing with this letter copies of letters that we have sent to our Representatives in the past, as well as two Petitions that have been signed by a number of property owners and affected citizens by this power line. Because we have been told that voicing an objection to you as Commissioners of the Public Service Commission is an appropriate remedy that property owners and affected citizens have in this, and we would seek your consideration and also ask that if any attempts at condemnation by Ameren could be delayed until all of the viable alternatives that the public citizens and property owners have put forth on this matter be considered.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

cc: Betty Miller Glenn Miller Kenneth R. Wright Scott Morron Chris Daniels March 8, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 3

> Barbara Schmitt James R. Schmitt Craig Johnson Julie R. Johnson Gary L. Osten Robert Shemwell Rick Spurgeon Luann Ridgeway Lyndell Brenton Edward J. Busch Paul Schneegass Patricia A. Shemwell Danny Barron Chris Daniels Steve Calder Andrea Busch Gayle S. Wood Bonnie Tarwater Penny Johnson Ryan Silvey T.J. Berry Pam Mason Luann Ridgeway



March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-751-5562

Lewis R. Mills, Esq.
Public Counsel
Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
Governor Office Building, Suite 650
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
Email: lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Re: Ameren

Dear Mr. Mills:

On behalf of property owners and a number of citizens who are adversely affected, this is some information that has been generated regarding an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty, Missouri, to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision. This is all in Clay County, Missouri.

At a public meeting last night, a number of people voiced their objection to this proposed line. I am enclosing a Petition signed by a number of people voicing their objections. We have a transcript being prepared of the meeting that when is available, it will be supplied to you. I am also enclosing some correspondence that has been made to some of our Representatives and State Senators in the past without any progress. None of the citizens or property owners were aware of any proceeding commenced before the Public Service Commission by Ameren for the construction of this lien in its attempt to gain a certificate of necessity. If we had known, we would have made our voice and objection known at that time. Ameren has threatened eminent domain proceedings and we would request any postponement of that until any of these complaints filed by the numerous citizens and property owners to be addressed by the Public Service Commission, if that is a remedy that the property owners and public citizens have a right to.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures



October 30, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 110A
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

MO House of Representative 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 400CB Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Rep. T.J. Berry

Rep. Ryan Silvey
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 306
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

This letter is to voice an objection to the installation of a 69kV electrical line proposed by Ameren electrical company running from the LMV Automotive Systems plant (Magna) on 69 Highway east to near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction. The proposed line which passes over 7 property owners is a significant impairment of the property rights of all of the property owners.

The property owners include land belonging to my wife, Carolyn Noland, which is a family farm that has been in her family for three generations; land belonging to my father, Robert Noland, who has owned and operated a family farm for nearly forty years; Tom and Bonita Tarwater, who live on the property that has been in their family for two generations; and Dr. Robert Shemwell and Rick Spurgeon who have both owned their property for over 25 years with the plan for future development.

There are a number of people that live adjacent to the proposed line and a number of residents who live off of Clarksboro Avenue near H Highway that, even though the line is not directly on their property, object to its placement and location.

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 2

.

The significance of the proposed line, a 69,000 volt power line with a proposed 50 foot easement to run parallel to an existing 100 foot easement that has been in existence for over 50 years, is a substantial impairment and interferes with the property rights of all of the people affected by this line. It significantly effects the future development plans and usage of the property. The future use of this land for housing or any commercial development is greatly effected. No one wants to live near a 69,000 volt power line or build a house next to it, with the heath concerns that exist on such lines, or use it for any commercial property interest.

The line as proposed would also amount to a tandem easement with parallel electrical lines and poles that significantly restrict the use and value of the property and its aesthetic appearance. Duel power lines and duel poles are so obtrusive and offensive that they are almost non-existent in any other location. This duel usage would make the property undesirable and restrict any future development or use for housing or any other commercial use on property that at some point would have to be considered prime development area within the city limits of Liberty and the county. Three of the land owners are within Liberty, and the others are within the county. Future use of the property for single family housing would be virtually impossible due to the proposed line and its location, and any other future commercial development would also be effected.

It appears to me, as well as the other property owners, that there are other alternatives that could be considered and utilized.

- Use of the existing right of way down H Highway and B Highway that already belongs to Ameren and along 69 Highway that is already serviced by an electrical easement;
- 2. Obtaining the power through an alternative source rather than the proposed site at or near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction;
- 3. A easement alongside the railroad that runs north and south, parallel to the Magna building;
- 4. Power could be obtained through KCP&L or another provider that may have sufficient power in the location of the Magna plant without having to run new lines;
- 5. Along 69 Highway using the existing easement; or
- 6. Power by my understanding is already supplied by Ameren to one of the other buildings in the Heartland Meadows industrial park near the Magna plant. If power is already supplied to that location, could the source not be upgraded and used for the Magna plant? Why does Ameren need to run such an obtrusive and detrimental line to get to the Magna plant?

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 3

 $\mathbf{v}_{i}(\mathbf{p}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{i,j}^{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,j}^{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i,$

The property rights of individuals and operator of family farms, their future use and development rights and all of the benefits of their property should not be sacrificed by the interest of one utility company and their desire to sell power to one other business. Property owners should have rights and their interests in their property should be protected. Their use and future development interests are just as important as the wishes of one utility company, particularly when other alternative sites, locations and power sources are available that would be less intrusive and objectionable to any landowner and would, in all likelihood, be more economical and practical to accomplish.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw

CC:

Rep. T.J. Berry via first-class mail Rep. Myron Neth via first-class mail Governor Jay Nixon

November 25, 2012

Representative T.J. Berry

Representative Myron Neth

Clay County Eastern Commissioner Luann Ridgeway

Doug Noland, Noland Law Firm, LLC

The enclosed petition is a response from the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County Missouri. The residents of East and West Clarksboro stand together to oppose the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric. We feel the placement of this line would be unsightly, unsafe and decrease property values in our neighborhood. We feel other solutions need to be reviewed and approved by all involved. Thank you for taking the time to review this statement and noting the concerns of the homeowners listed in the pages of the petition.

Residents of 9620 W. Clarksboro, Liberty, Missouri:

M. Marie B. Bernel

Andrea B. Busch

Edward J. Busch

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Waden B Busch	Alexa W Clarkstone
Print Andrew Busch	Liberty -110 64068
Sign Gay De Sign Print EDWHED J. BUSCH	- 9620 W. CLARKSBORD Sherty, MC 64068
Sign Payres Sager Print 3AMÉS FRYER	LIBERTY, MO. 6406%
Print 3AMES FRYER	21BRAST, MO. 64063
Sign Sieles Strager	MIS IN CHEKSEDEN DIE.
Print PAUL SCHNELLASS	2412 W CLARKS BORG
Sign AN SHIELGARD Print LORI SHINCE GARD	9412 W. CLARKSBORD SR. LIBERTY MO 64068
Sign tide loste Print July Costi-	4505 W. CLARKSBORD DR.
Print JUDI COBU-	LIBERTY, MO GHOGS
Sign Chand Morred Print Chery Meron	G518 W. Clarksburg

Page 1 of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

	NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>	
Sign	Jagana LEra	o 98.23 CLARKSBURG LO GUO	(. . \$\
Print	MOMASLEVANS	LIBERTY NO.	
Sign	RUBIN L. EVANS	1823 CLARKSBURD W.	
Print Sign	Hen Frede	GGIG CLARKS BURD DR. LE 6400	\S
Print	GLENN MILLER	LIBERTY, MO	
Sign Print	Betty Millian	SAM. E	
Sign Print			
<u>Sign</u> <u>Print</u>			
Sign Print			
Sign Print			

Page 2 of 2

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

NAME	ADDRESS
Sign Branch K Mclan	4 9770 ECLANTSburg D. Lyberty MO 6406
Sign RL Ma Con CAMEH	1920 ECLARKS BORD LIBERTY MU. 69068
Sign (FLACY (ALMARY) Print PENNY 1 JOHNSON	
Sign Jaka Johnson	9613E CLARKSBORD LIBERTY M (14068)
Sign Pulles Stand Jenes	4521 E. Clarksboro Deive
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7-16 (18/18/2010 1. 1.16.40 130 14066
Sign Sindia lamenting	Liberty, MS 104068
11	17469 4 100 Cyco

Page 1 of 4

NAME	ADDRESS
Sign Pedicial & Schmitt	1663 West Clarksters Do
Print Very vera Schuit	Lheidy, MC 64a.6.5
Sign Jelicon R. Actilie	7603 Wast Elarksberg Dr.
Print JAMES C. Schwitt	- E. Wary, Mc 69665
Sign & Mark S. Contract	Cars Clearly De C
Print Print of the American	markey war in Chis
Sign	Will Commence to
Print 3. A Second Print	
Sign / 1/4 / / /	Man Clark To Die
Print Phle /kipument	Liberty Mc C.YCus
Sign CC Sign	YSE STEARES AVE 13 COURSE
Print DAR COACE	Livery ide, while.
Sign Fried Trover	2413 W. CLARKSDERO DE LIDERTZ DE GYOLS
Print LINDA HOVVER	Liberta The 64068
Sign Cary Herman	9413 W STARK Dera Dr
Print George Hower	Laberty Ala 64068
Sign Sign	1518 W. Clarksbow DIL Liberty, MC 64068
Print Scott Novyeur	Liberty MC GACGE
Sign (Sign) DEN	9625 & Clarishat Di
Print Greenell Wixed	Lib Ay IND 640168

NAME:	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign IIII AND ALLU (C	ADDRESS 4716 W. CINYSDNZ 19217, AU 164068
Sign Jore, Print Diniels	That Will 64668
Sign Vail Roberts	1721 a) Clarkshoro Ark L. berty, 110, 64005
Sign. Print/0/22+12 Reherts	Liberty, 11(1) 1-4CIS
Sign Print Cong Logica	9809 Wellowisborodr Library Do 64064
Sign Lynn & Nick Uni	Likety, Ma 64668
Sign The Phillips	(B) & C.CANKS PARED DU
Sign Maria Lerger	Literty, Mi billion
Sign range 10 Laure Print Joseph D. Leavely	9813 E. Clark shore Dr.
Sign (Silvi Mollow) Print Julie R. Johnson	1723 E Clarishin D. Linery No 24068

Page 3 of 4

NAME,	<u>ADDRES</u> \$
sign Many Lochar	17405 NE 974 ST.
Print Miffling Badrac	17405 NE 9710 St. Liberty, Mo 64068
	J
Sign	17405 NID 97711 ST
Print Kyry Bechon	1. borky, MO 64008
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
1 1111	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Şign	
Print	
Sign	
<u>Print</u>	
Sign	
Print	

Page 4 of 4

j---



November 28, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth MO House of Representatives 201 West Capital Avenue Room 110A Jefferson City, MO 65101

Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

Rep. Ryan Silvey MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 306 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov Rep. T.J. Berry MO House of Representative 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 400CB Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email; TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

Enclosed please find a letter I received from Andrea and Edward Bush along with a Petition signed by all of the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County where Ameren has proposed the installation of a 69kV electrical line.

Thank you again for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

DFN:rw Enclosure

WE, the undersigned, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri. Signed and dated this 7th day of March, 2013.

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS - Email
Sign Ditty Miller Print PETTY MILLER	9816 CLARKSBORD DR W LIBERTYM Craftygal3@ AOL. Com 816.718.5543
Sign Frint 64 500 Mines	516.392.9919 (cm)
Sign famet Rhyling Print (enorth R Langle	1.2-aTyg/110.
Sign Suffyor Print Soft Moven	4518 W Clarsbay DV SMOVWWLdos- Libry MO 64063 Egmail.com
Sign for Variots Print have Davids	4718 W Clarker D. J. Jurty, NO 64068
Sign Barbara Schmitt Print Parbarae Schmitt	9603 W Clarkshovo Dr. Liberty, MO 64068 5,5900 att, net
Sign James R. Schwitt	1603W. Clarketora Dr. Liberty MO (159
Sign QUA Print Cray Johnson	9723 E Clarksbord Dr. Liberty Mo 64868
	Craia, Johnson@hallmark.com

NAME	ADDRESS 1 CV (CC)
Sign (Ale P John	9723 è Clarksboro Dr.
Print Julie R. Johnson	Julie @ Agility Branding. com
cia Con do Aton	CSCG Clarkstown DV
Sign Gary Oster Print gasy L. Osk Busps	gol 2, 6 80 M6 6 40 6 8
Sign Tober of Sheminel	10206 Religion Red PATTYANIA 49
Print ROBERT & Shemusell	10206 Relacion Red PATTYANIN 49 Kihesty Mo 64068 @ ATT. Mel
Sign Rich Street	12690 S Hallet St
Print Rick Spurgeon	rspurgeon @olatheks.org
a Lune I Ridapus	74 19405 County Line RD
Print LUANN RICHERONA	y Smithville mo 64089
, , , , ,	
Sign Hydell Frentary	13/11/19
Print LYNDELL BRENTON	Liberty, Ma 64068
Sign Call Control	9620 W. CLURESBORD
Print Except I Busch	ebusch@acs-1.com
Sign Paul Schneyson	9412 W CLARKSBORG
Print PAUL SCHNEEGASC	TONISCHMERARIS @ YOKOO, COM
() ()	1011 Sun + gas your objection
Sign Tatricia G. Spemula	10206 Lidgwien Kl
Print PATRICIAA. Shewe	11 Roberty M \$4068
Sign / DUM CIMIL A	9505 CLARKSBORD DRW.
Print	DOBLE OBUBLITZ CO. COM
	Manager Control of the Control of th

NAME	ADDRESS & two
Sign DAMMY BREADON	
Print PANNY BARRO	N Downy Barron Siberty @ I mael
Sign Mrs Vo	9718 W Clanksbaro de
Print Chris Daniels	Liberty MU, 64068 Cdanielsame@ Cool. Co.
Sign Star Colla	9825 Clarks bord Dr E
Sign Sum (100	5 Calder @ querscanmidwest KC. com
Print Steve Calder	Scalder & american more as the Cont
Sign Marken Brock	9620 W. Clarksboro
Print Andrea Busch	abusch@liberty. K12. mo, us
sign Sign	9625 E Clarksland Br
Print Four e SINOOD	gayle swood @ gmail-com
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
1 11116	

NAME	ADDRESS + Email
Sight Doma & Jana	aboress + Email who btarnater of Generaliusa. lom
Print & Bruni'& TARA	1
Sign Penny Shroon	penny Johnson 45@ yahoo.com
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Olam	
<u>Sign</u>	
Print	
Sign	
Sign Print	



TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE:

March 12, 2013

TIME SENT:

SENT BY:

Douglass F. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual:

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner

Firm Name:

Missouri Public Service Commission

City, State:

Jefferson City, MO

Fax No.:

573-526-7341

(Including Information Sheet) Total Number of Pages:

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

Telephone:

(816) 781-5055 - Ask For: Kathy S. Suddarth

We are transmitting from a Toshiba Super G3.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY-PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

I have previously contacted each of you regarding our objection to a high voltage transmission line being prepared by Ameren in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. I, and a number of citizens, filed a complaint online as was suggested to us at the public meeting that we had last week, where the citizens were finally able to voice their opposition in public to this power line.

Enclosed is the response that I received from the Consumer Service Department of the Missouri Public Service in response to the complaint filed. We would take issue with the response.

- 1. The response from the Consumer Service Department indicates that Ameren is unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site. From our information, we would question that because Ameren is already providing power to this site. There are lights on at the location, which you can see by driving by the LMV building at night. Our State Representative T.J. Berry said that the LMV plant was currently being supplied power through a cooperative line and could continue to do so for several years.
- 2. There are other numerous alternatives of which Ameren can obtain this power to this location, which it appears from the response, were never even addressed. The alternatives are:

March 12, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

- a. Taking the power from the Cooperative line, which is already there. We were told at the public meeting that the Cooperative line was able to provide power for several years.
- b. Upgrading route along 69 Highway from the Mosby Ameren power station to the LMV Plant.
- c. Acquiring power from KCP&L, which we have been told has sufficient power, right next to the LMV Plant.
- 3. It appears from the response that the Commission's order was issued based solely upon information that Ameren had supplied, not listening or considering these alternatives.
- 4. I guess our questions to this Commission from this response by the Consumer Service Department are:
 - a. Do citizens and property owners not have a right and an opportunity to voice some objection to a high voltage transmission line that goes across their property, or adjacent to their property? Does there not have to be some type of public notice and an opportunity to be heard?
 - b. Does Due Process require any input from the citizens and property owners who are vastly affected by this line to have notice and some right of hearing before a decision that affects their rights is made? Or can the Commission just consider all the evidence from Ameren and not hear what the landowners say?
- 5. It appears that the Consumer Service Department's response is based only on information that Ameren supplied.
- 6. We would also take exception in Paragraph 4 where it says no public comments were received prior to approval. The public, the property owners, the people of the subdivision who live right next to this, had no idea that this was even going on because we were never told.
- 7. It seems to us that CSR 240-3.105 provides that notice should be required.
 - "4 CSR 240-3.105 Filing Requirements for Electric Utility Applications for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity

March 12, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 3

(1) In addition to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(1), applications by an electric utility for a certificate of convenience and necessity shall include:

(A) If the application is for a service area—

1. A statement as to the same or similar utility service, regulated and nonregulated, available in the area requested;

2. If there are ten (10) or more residents or landowners, the name and address of no fewer than ten (10) persons residing in the proposed service area or of no fewer than ten (10) landowners in the event there are no residences in the area, or, if there are fewer than ten (10) residents or landowners, the name and address of all residents and landowners;"

No property owner or affected citizen was provided such notice and such is not in the application filed by Ameren. If, as the Consumer Service response is, that this is for a service line, it is a line that is not in Ameren's territory. It appears that they had to go into KCP&L area to run this line. So, would not that be a service outside their area that notice to 10 landowners would be required? And are not the citizens of the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision who are in KCP&L territory affected?

8. It seems from the Consumer Service response that the Public Service Commission's approval of the certificate of necessity was based only on information provided by Ameren. No opportunity for the public to provide their side or for the alternatives to building the power line addressed. No citizens had any notice or right to provide any input in the Public Service Commission's findings.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

doug

From:

PSC Info (Public Info Email Address) - PSC [pscinfo@psc.mo.gov]

Sent:

Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:31 PM 'doug@nolandlawfirm.com'

To: Subject:

RE: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

Dear Mr. Noland,

Thank you for contacting our office. This e-mail is to acknowledge receipt of your comments regarding Ameren Missouri and the construction of a high voltage transmission line in Clay County. Your comments have been filed into the official case file of the Missouri Public Service Commission. The official case file number is EA-2013-0316.

On November 29, 2012, Ameren Missouri filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and maintain an electric sub-transmission line to provide electric service in Clay County, Missouri, for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory.

Commission rules on Certificates of Convenience and Necessity (CCNs) (4 CSR 240-3.105) have two distinct parts: Service Areas vs. line certificates. The utility would have been required to provide the name and addresses of the affected property owners if it was a request for new service territory. However, the request to extend the transmission line in Clay County in GA-2013-0316 was a request for a line certificate and Ameren Missouri was granted no new service area.

On December 17, 2012, the PSC Staff filed a recommendation advising the Commission to approve the application. In its recommendation, the PSC Staff stated that the new electric sub-transmission line is necessary because Ameren Missouri is currently unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site.

On January 3, 2013 the Missouri Public Service Commission approved an application filed by Ameren Missouri seeking Commission authority to install, own and operate an electric sub-transmission line in Clay County near Liberty, Missouri. According to the application, the proposed line would provide electric service for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory. This line extension is to provide necessary power to the LMV automotive plant that will employ approximately 150 workers. The Commission approved the extension of 899 feet. Ameren did not have to get permission to build the majority of the line because it is in its own service territory. The Commission was only involved because one small piece of it went into the service territory of another IOU (KCP&L GMO). No public comments were received prior to the approval. Kansas City Power & Light Greater Missouri Operations did not oppose the Application.

If you have further questions regarding this case or any additional comments please contact our office at 1-800-392-4211. Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments for the record. If at any time you have questions or concerns regarding a regulated utility company, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Consumer Service Department
Missouri Public Service Commission

From: EFIS Messenger

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 4:16 PM

To: Consumer Services

Subject: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 noland.ca@gmail.com

TELECOPIER INFORMATION SHEET

DATE: March 12, 2013

SENT BY: Carolyn A. Noland

FROM FAX NO. (816) 781-5216

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE FOR:

Name of Individual: Firm Name:

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission

Fax No.:

573-526-7341

Total Number of Pages: 3 (Including Information Sheet)

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-6898 noland.ca@gmail.com

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

RE: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

I am writing in response to an email I received from "Customer Service Department Public Service Commission" on March 12, 2013, in response to a complaint I filed regarding Ameren's attempt to build a 69kV transmission line across my property. While I appreciate the Public Service Commission's (PSC) prompt response to my complaint, I felt it was lacking in several respects. The PSC provided no explanation as to why there were no public comments received prior to their January 3, 2013, approval of the application filed by Ameren seeking authority to build this new 69kV line. The PSC received no input nor did it seek any input from those residents and landowners who will be tremendously affected by the construction of this new line. I do not believe the process followed by the PSC and approval of Ameren's application was fair and was such that those who would be affected by this were not able to voice their thoughts and concerns regarding Ameren's plans. In addition, I do not believe the PSC followed its own rules because the residents or landowners who are affected by the PSC's January 3, 2013, approval were never contacted, despite the fact that there is a requirement to do so, according to your own rules. I also do not believe that the information that the PSC relied upon to make its determination is accurate. Your email states that the PSC staff found that the new electrical subtransmission line is necessary because Ameren is currently unable to deliver power to the LMV Plant. However, it is common knowledge for those who live near the LMV Plant that it currently is receiving power from an existing 69,000 volt line that is already in place. And Ameren is also able to deliver power to the Plant by any of the alternatives that I have listed in my complaint if anyone at the PSC would be willing to read and consider those in its review of the application.

March 12, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

I would simply ask that the PSC take the thoughts and suggestions of these alternative routes from these many residents and landowners before making its decision. I simply do not understand how in the PSC's mission to represent all Missourians and how the landowners affected by this proposed power line would have been completely and totally ignored and not informed and then completely ignored after being informed.

Again, I would ask that the PSC reconsider its approval of Ameren's application and reverse its decision and seek the input of someone other than Ameren, who is an advocate for the line, and coming to factual determinations as to how best to deliver power to the LMV Plant so as to be fair to all of the parties involved in the matter and not just rely upon statements of fact by Ameren.

I would appreciate your prompt response in this matter and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn A. Noland

Carolyn Moland

Eiken, Shelley

From: Scott Morrow [Scott.Morrow@LRA-INC.com]

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 2:05 PM

To: Stoll, Steve

Subject: regarding Ameren's attempt to build a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in

Liberty to the Windsor Estate subdivision

Dear Mr. Stoll,

I want to express my concerns for Ameren's attempt to build a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty to the Windsor Estate subdivision.

I live at 9518 West Clarksboro Drive and the proposed power line would basically be in my back yard. (the length of my property)

While I understand the need for more jobs in Missouri and the new plant will provide additional funds to Missouri and the power companies, I want you to place yourself in our shoes.

We moved to the country 19 years ago, are raising our children, whom play in our large lots, fly kites, we fly model airplanes, as well as enjoy being outdoors as a family. We moved here to get away from the city and everything that goes along with living in the city.

The proposed power lines will dramatically reduce our property values and there are proven health risks with living near high voltage lines.

There are several alternatives to placing the new transmission line and affecting many landowners in Liberty and in rural Clay County.

- 1. Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L to supply power to the LMV plant.
- 2. Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 highway using the exiting power poles.
- 3. Require Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to use power from the existing Cooperative line.
- 4. Encourage KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L that already has power near the LMV Plant.

Please consider them and please work towards a safer and practical solution.

Thank you for your time,

Scott Morrow 9518 West Clarksboro Drive Liberty, MO 64068

816-769-0149

Eiken, Shelley

From: Doug Noland [doug@nolandlawfirm.com]

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 4:06 PM

To: Stoll, Steve

Subject: Ameren Power Line

Attachments: Letter to Commissioner Stephen M. Stoll.pdf; Documents regarding Ameren Power Line.pdf

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

Please see the attached letter and documents regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068

816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: <u>doug@nolandlawfirm.com</u> Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Oteversion@pso.ino.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

This letter is written on behalf of many property owners and citizens who are adversely affected by an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line in Clay County, Missouri. The attempted line runs from a new construction, LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months the property owners and citizens have been voicing their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. We later found out that this Commission had provided some permission, authority or necessity, however it is phrased, to Ameren for this line. There was no property owner, no concerned citizen that was affected by this that was aware of this action. There was no public meeting held on this until the property owners and concerned citizens themselves organized a public meeting last night in Liberty, Missouri, to voice their unified objections to this power line.

There are alternatives available to Ameren's proposed power line that would not interfere with the property owners' property rights and concerns for their health and safety.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

March 8, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the lines to the LMV Plant.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed. The alternatives would be less intrusive to all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to their property, and not interfere with their health and safety.

It was stated to several of us through the County Commissioner in Clay County that Kansas City Power and Light would be supplying to this facility. That position is apparently not correct as Ameren is threatening eminent domain on all of the affected property owners. I am enclosing with this letter copies of letters that we have sent to our Representatives in the past, as well as two Petitions that have been signed by a number of property owners and affected citizens by this power line. Because we have been told that voicing an objection to you as Commissioners of the Public Service Commission is an appropriate remedy that property owners and affected citizens have in this, and we would seek your consideration and also ask that if any attempts at condemnation by Ameren could be delayed until all of the viable alternatives that the public citizens and property owners have put forth on this matter be considered.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

CC:

Betty Miller Glenn Miller Kenneth R. Wright Scott Morron Chris Daniels March 8, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 3

> Barbara Schmitt James R. Schmitt Craig Johnson Julie R. Johnson Gary L. Osten Robert Shemwell Rick Spurgeon Luann Ridgeway Lyndell Brenton Edward J. Busch Paul Schneegass Patricia A. Shemwell Danny Barron Chris Daniels Steve Calder Andrea Busch Gayle S. Wood Bonnie Tarwater Penny Johnson Ryan Silvey T.J. Berry Pam Mason Luann Ridgeway



March 8, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-751-5562

Lewis R. Mills, Esq. Public Counsel Missouri Office of the Public Counsel Governor Office Building, Suite 650 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230

Email: lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Re: Ameren

Dear Mr. Mills:

On behalf of property owners and a number of citizens who are adversely affected, this is some information that has been generated regarding an attempt by Ameren to construct a high voltage transmission line from the LMV Plant in Liberty, Missouri, to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision. This is all in Clay County, Missouri.

At a public meeting last night, a number of people voiced their objection to this proposed line. I am enclosing a Petition signed by a number of people voicing their objections. We have a transcript being prepared of the meeting that when is available, it will be supplied to you. I am also enclosing some correspondence that has been made to some of our Representatives and State Senators in the past without any progress. None of the citizens or property owners were aware of any proceeding commenced before the Public Service Commission by Ameren for the construction of this lien in its attempt to gain a certificate of necessity. If we had known, we would have made our voice and objection known at that time. Ameren has threatened eminent domain proceedings and we would request any postponement of that until any of these complaints filed by the numerous citizens and property owners to be addressed by the Public Service Commission, if that is a remedy that the property owners and public citizens have a right to.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DFN:kss Enclosures



October 30, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth
MO House of Representatives
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 110A
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

Rep. Ryan Silvey MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 306 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov

Rep. T.J. Berry
MO House of Representative
201 West Capitol Avenue
Room 400CB
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Email: TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

This letter is to voice an objection to the installation of a 69kV electrical line proposed by Ameren electrical company running from the LMV Automotive Systems plant (Magna) on 69 Highway east to near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction. The proposed line which passes over 7 property owners is a significant impairment of the property rights of all of the property owners.

The property owners include land belonging to my wife, Carolyn Noland, which is a family farm that has been in her family for three generations; land belonging to my father, Robert Noland, who has owned and operated a family farm for nearly forty years; Tom and Bonita Tarwater, who live on the property that has been in their family for two generations; and Dr. Robert Shemwell and Rick Spurgeon who have both owned their property for over 25 years with the plan for future development.

There are a number of people that live adjacent to the proposed line and a number of residents who live off of Clarksboro Avenue near H Highway that, even though the line is not directly on their property, object to its placement and location.

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 2

The significance of the proposed line, a 69,000 volt power line with a proposed 50 foot easement to run parallel to an existing 100 foot easement that has been in existence for over 50 years, is a substantial impairment and interferes with the property rights of all of the people affected by this line. It significantly effects the future development plans and usage of the property. The future use of this land for housing or any commercial development is greatly effected. No one wants to live near a 69,000 volt power line or build a house next to it, with the heath concerns that exist on such lines, or use it for any commercial property interest.

The line as proposed would also amount to a tandem easement with parallel electrical lines and poles that significantly restrict the use and value of the property and its aesthetic appearance. Duel power lines and duel poles are so obtrusive and offensive that they are almost non-existent in any other location. This duel usage would make the property undesirable and restrict any future development or use for housing or any other commercial use on property that at some point would have to be considered prime development area within the city limits of Liberty and the county. Three of the land owners are within Liberty, and the others are within the county. Future use of the property for single family housing would be virtually impossible due to the proposed line and its location, and any other future commercial development would also be effected.

It appears to me, as well as the other property owners, that there are other alternatives that could be considered and utilized.

- 1. Use of the existing right of way down H Highway and B Highway that already belongs to Ameren and along 69 Highway that is already serviced by an electrical easement;
- 2. Obtaining the power through an alternative source rather than the proposed site at or near the Clarksboro and H Highway junction;
- 3. A easement alongside the railroad that runs north and south, parallel to the Magna building;
- 4. Power could be obtained through KCP&L or another provider that may have sufficient power in the location of the Magna plant without having to run new lines;
- 5. Along 69 Highway using the existing easement; or
- 6. Power by my understanding is already supplied by Ameren to one of the other buildings in the Heartland Meadows industrial park near the Magna plant. If power is already supplied to that location, could the source not be upgraded and used for the Magna plant? Why does Ameren need to run such an obtrusive and detrimental line to get to the Magna plant?

October 30, 2012 Rep. Myron Neth Rep. T.J. Berry Rep. Ryan Silvey Page 3

The property rights of individuals and operator of family farms, their future use and development rights and all of the benefits of their property should not be sacrificed by the interest of one utility company and their desire to sell power to one other business. Property owners should have rights and their interests in their property should be protected. Their use and future development interests are just as important as the wishes of one utility company, particularly when other alternative sites, locations and power sources are available that would be less intrusive and objectionable to any landowner and would, in all likelihood, be more economical and practical to accomplish.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:rw

cc: Rep. T.J. Berry via first-class mail

Rep. Myron Neth via first-class mail

Representative T.J. Berry

Representative Myron Neth

Clay County Eastern Commissioner Luann Ridgeway

Doug Noland, Noland Law Firm, LLC

The enclosed petition is a response from the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County Missouri. The residents of East and West Clarksboro stand together to oppose the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric. We feel the placement of this line would be unsightly, unsafe and decrease property values in our neighborhood. We feel other solutions need to be reviewed and approved by all involved. Thank you for taking the time to review this statement and noting the concerns of the homeowners listed in the pages of the petition.

Residents of 9620 W. Clarksboro, Liberty, Missouri:

Marca B. Berel

Andrea B. Busch

Edward J. Busch

.

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
Sign Cambrea & Brosil	Aberty MO Clarkstone-
Print Andrea Busch	Kilenty -110 64068
Sign Edd Sign	9620 W. CLARKSBORD
Print English J Busch	iberty, MC 64068
Sign James Sign Print 3AMÉS ERTER	4608 W. CLARKSBORG DR
Sign Siche Friger Print Diese Freiger	1618 il CLACKSDORI DIE
Print BILLIE FRUSK	LiBERTY, MC 64068
Sign Real Schroger	1412 W CLARKS BORG
Print PAUL SCHNEELINSS	Libiting Mo 64068
Sign MINGRALL Print LORI SHNEF GARES	44-12 W. GLARKSBEED DR. LIBERTY MO 64068
Sign tite lookle Print Judi COBIE	9505 V). CLARKSBORD DE LIBITTY, MO 64068
Print JUDI COBIL-	LIBILTY, MO GULGS
Sign Charl Marrow Print Charl Marrow	9518 (((alsbur)
Print Cherry / Merrows	Liberty, HU 6466

Page 1 of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

	NAME	ADDRESS
<u>Sign</u>	ban & Econ	9823 CLARKSAGRE LO 64068
<u>Print</u>	THOMASLEVANS	LIBERTY NO.
Sign		9823 CLARKSBORD W.
Print	RUBIN L. EVANS	LIBERTY MO. WHILE'S
<u>Sign</u>	Jun Fleden	1916 CLARKS SORO DR. LE 64065
<u>Print</u>	GLENN MILLER	LIBERTY, UD
Sign	Bettyllille	Sprie
Print	BETTY MILLER	
Sign Print		
Sign Print		
Sign		
<u>Print</u>		
Sign Print		
1 1/1/1		The second secon

Page 2 of Z

WE, the undersigned residents of Clay County, Missouri, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri.

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Sign Brula K Million	ADDRESS A 9720 I. Clarkshow D. Liberty MO 64065
Print ()17 /100 / 1110 CE MO	
Sign PL Ma-Comple Print R L. M. CAMISH	1920 ECLANKS BORD LIBERTY MC. 69068
Sign (FRACY SOHILSOY) Print PENNICH JOHNSOY!	9613 E CLARKSBORO LIBERTY MO GUOGE
Sign Jack Jornson	9613E MARKSBORD LIBSTAY WE CALDER
Sign Pulles Start Jesel	45.21 E. Clarksboro Denie
Sign // Con Pull	1916 Clockorto 1.5 Living 14065
Sign Inlie immending Print Dodge Hemenicky	Liberty Mo Intoles
Sign fantil Senenger	17409 4/116,11 and

Page 1 of 4

NAME	ADDRESS
Sign Pedical & Selimitt	whereby, I'l Gyales
Sign Januar R. Telelel i Print TAMES C. Schmitt	2000 Just Elauksborg DV.
Print	Sw. 18 1. (e. 4.81)
Sign Print State Control of the Cont	
Sign / // // // // Print / / // // // // // // // // // // Print / / // // // // // // // // // // // /	Liberty Mc 64648
Sign CCC Print DAY CCC	The French State of Wast
Sign / NON FERVER	6413 W. CLARKSDERO DE Liberta Mi 64068
Sign Cocy James	5413 h Syrk bere Dr Liberty Ala 64062
Sign Sigh Novy	1518 W. Clarksban DIL
Sign (Green Wind	9625 & Clarksbac D:

Page 2 of 4

772/10 (Marks11872 Dru 9809 well-rasboroar Dick Wright 9904 a Claristro 4822 6 CLANUS ACREDOL Cibarty No 64068 9903 K Clarksburg D. Little-ty, Mr billion Sign Spin golver 4723 E Clarishii Di 2100my NO 04068

Page 3 of 4

NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>
sign Manci todrac	17405 NE 9710 ST.
Print Hiffing Bacher	17405 NE 4710 St. Liberty No 64068
Sign Print Russi Redian	17405 NI 97+11 ST 1. bely, MO 64068
·J	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
<u>Print</u>	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
T (III)	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	
Sign	
Print	

Page 4 of 4



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

November 28, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL

Rep. Myron Neth MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 110A Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov

Rep. Ryan Silvey MO House of Representatives 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 306 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov Rep. T.J. Berry MO House of Representative 201 West Capitol Avenue Room 400CB Jefferson City, MO 65101 Email: TJ.Berry@house.mo.gov

Dear Representatives Neth, Berry and Silvey:

Enclosed please find a letter I received from Andrea and Edward Bush along with a Petition signed by all of the residents of Windsor Estates in Clay County where Ameren has proposed the installation of a 69kV electrical line.

Thank you again for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

DFN:rw Enclosure

WE, the undersigned, object to the construction, installation and placement of a 69kV electric line by Ameren Electric in the area of Clarksboro Drive and H Highway in Clay County, Missouri. Signed and dated this 7th day of March, 2013.

NAME	ADDRESS - Email
Sign Detty Maller Print Petty MILLER	9816 CLARKSBORD DRW LIBERTY MO Craftygal3@ AOL. COM 816.718.5543
Sign Mills	516.392.9919 (ca)
Print 64 400 Minest WRIGHT FOOD O / OG Mail, con Sign famil Rhly	9904 CloreKsborda. W.
Print remort R Wash	L. 18-1 Tyg/110.
Sign Suffyor Print Seot Moven	4518 w Clarksbar Dr smorrandos7 Libry Mo 64067 Egmail.com
Sign hi formals	9718 W Clarence De 21/2008
Sign Barbara Schmiff Print Parbarce Schmiff	9603 W Clarkshoro Dr. Liberty MO 6406.8 51590@attenet
Sign PHUI R. Lelwoll Print Jarus R. Schwitt	Liberty MO USa
Sign GUN Print Cray Johnson	9723 E Clarksbord Dr. Liberty Mo 64068 Craig. Johnson@hallmark.com
	2127

Sign Ale Pjohn	9723 È Clarksboro Dr.
Print JULIER JUMSON	Julie @ Agicity Branding. com
sign Jary Oster	9869 Clarksboro de
Print gasy L. Osknows	1901 L. 64068
	Eman
Sign Koder & She murel	10206 Redgeview Rd PATTYANIN 49
Print ROBERT & ShemWELL	10206 Religion Rd PATTYANNI49 Kihesty Ma 64068 @ ATT. NEL 12690 S Hallet St
1-	5
Print Rick Spurgeon	Olathe KS 6606Z rspurgeon & olatheks.org 149 19405 County Line RD 149 Smith ville MO 64089
	rspurgeon @olatheks.org
Sign LUANN KICLARW	74 19405 County Line (D
Print LUANN Ridge	4 Smithville (MO 64089
	•
Sign Hirdell Brenton	į
Print LYNDELL BRENTON	Liberty, Mo 64068
	/
Sign Call 185	9620 W. CLUERSBORD
Print Exercises I Buscul	ebusch@acs-1.com
A 1 1 A	
Sign Jan Schneyen	9412 W CLARKSBORG
Print PAUL SCHNEEGASC	Torischneegass @ yakoo, com
$O \cap O \cap O$	1 ~ 10
Sign Tatricia & Spenula	10206 Lidgwien Rd
Print PATRICIAA Shemwe	11 Roberty 11 04068
Sign / Well and a	450S CLARKSBORD DRW.
Print	DOBLE OBUBLITZ CO. COM

NAME	ADDRESS & fmail
Sign Nonney BONNOY BARRO	N Downey Barron & dester & Domes
Sign Min Print Chris Daniels	2718 W Clarkshow dr Liberty MU, 64068 Cdanielsame@ Cool. Con
Sign Steve Calder Print Steve Calder	9825 Cicris boro Dr E scalder o american midwest KC. com
Sign Mandrea Busch Print Andrea Busch	9620 W. Clarksboro abusch@liberty. K12. mo, us
Sign Sign Dood Print Govelle S. Wood	9625 E Clarkstono Dr gayle swood @ gmail.com
Sign Print	
Sign Print	
Sign Print	
Sign Print	
Sign Print	

NAME	LOS BYARNATER O GENERALINSA. LOM
Sigh Domi & Janah	los btarnater o Generalinga. Com
Print Souni & JAKWA	
Sign Penny Johnson	penny, Johnson 45@yahoo.com
Print	
Sign	
Print	

From:

Carolyn Noland [noland.ca@gmail.com]

Sent:

Sunday, March 10, 2013 7:24 PM

To:

Stoll, Steve

Subject:

Ameren Powerline - Clay Co

Dear Mr. Stoll

M name is Carolyn Noland and I would like to voice my objection regarding the Ameren powerline that is to be constructed

for the benefit of the LMV Plant in Clay Co. I am the owner of a family farm that has been in my family for three generations and would like to voice my objection regarding the Ameren Line (a 69v transmission line) that is currently being proposed to provide power to the LMV Plant in Clay Co. There has been a powerline on the property since it has been owned by my family. Ameren is proposing to build an additional 69v transmission powerline with an additional easement to run parallel to the existing powerline. This will be similar to an 8 lane highway (the existing easement plus the additional easement) going thru the middle of my property which will cut it in half. I believe this will hurt the future development prospects of my property - as who would want to deal with a double utility easement in the middle of the property. We have voiced our objections over this powerline since we have learned of it to our State Representatives and our County Commissioner. We were lead to believe by our County Commissioner that KCP&L was going to provide the power. We just recently found out this was not the case and that Ameren had filed something with the Missouri Public Service regarding the construction of a powerline to provide power to the LMV plant. We were never notified by our State Representatives Myron Neth and TJ Berry or our County Commissioner about the filing and thus not able to voice an objection. Our State Representatives also never suggested that we file a complaint with the Public Service Commission and thus we just recently found out we were able to voice a complaint.

I think there are other alternatives available to Ameren putting this line in that will not affect my property or the property of the other land owners this powerline affects.

The alternatives would be:

- 1. To reclassify the territory to allow KCP&L (which already has power in the area) to supply the power to the LMV Plant.
- 2. To have Ameren and the Cooperative (the existing powerline) to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power from the Cooperative line. My understanding is that the Cooperative line is currently supplying the power to the LMV Plant and could continue to do so.
- 3. To require Ameren to obtain the power from their power station at Mosby along 69 Highway to the LMV Plant by using the existing power lines or upgrading their lines and using the right of way already in existence.

4. To encourage KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L through a meter agreement. KCP&L currently has a power station near the LMV Plant.

I believe these are workable alternatives that would not require a new high voltage powerline to be constructed through my family farm and the other property owners involved. It would also be less intrusive and cause less interference to all the property owners this

powerline would affect - especially the residents of the Clarksboro subdivision.

Thank you for considering this complaint.

Carolyn Noland

From: Doug Noland [doug@nolandlawfirm.com]
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 10:56 AM

To: Stoll, Steve

Subject: Ameren Power Line

Attachments: Letter to Commissioner Stephen M. Stoll on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland.pdf

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

Please see the attached letter on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

March 11, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

This letter is on behalf of Robert and Anna Noland who are 89 and 90 years of age and own 170-acre farm in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. Ameren is attempting to construct a high voltage transmission line across their property and has threatened eminent domain. The attempted line runs from a new plant construction, the LMV Plant, in Liberty east to the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision.

For months they have voiced their objection to this power line to their Representatives and State Senator to no avail. The County Commissioner had led us to believe that power to the LMV Plant was going to be supplied by Kansas City Power & Light, and that the power line would not be built. Then we learned that Ameren had filed something with the Public Service Commission for this power line and we were never notified of that, nor to our knowledge no other person affected by this power line, including our neighbors and those people that live in the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision were not notified as well. There has never been a public meeting until just last week when the property owners and concerned citizens got together themselves to voice their objection to this power line in a public place.

There are alternatives available to Ameren building this proposed power line.

- Reclassifying the territory to allow KCP&L, which has power available, to supply power to the LMV Plant
- Have Ameren and the Cooperative continue to work together to allow Ameren to obtain power off of the Cooperative line already there. It is our understanding that the Cooperative line is powering the Plant at the present time and could continue to do so for several years.

- Require Ameren to obtain power from its Mosby power station on 69 Highway and
 use the existing power lines and right of way already in existence, or upgrade the
 lines to the LMV Plant. This would go down 69 Highway on existing right of way.
- Encourage and ask KCP&L and Ameren to work together so that Ameren could purchase electricity from KCP&L, which already has power near the LMV Plant, through a meter agreement where Ameren could purchase electricity at wholesale through KCP&L and sell to LMV at an agreed rate.

All of these alternatives would not require a new high voltage power line to be constructed through our property and our neighbors' properties. The alternatives would be less intrusive to us and all property owners in the area, and cause less interference to all of our property, and not interfere with our health and safety.

We are farmers and continue to operate a cow/calf operation on this 170-acre farm. There is already one power line across the property which is a cooperative line that has been there since we bought the property, over 35 years ago. Ameren's attempt to build another power line, and they want to run it parallel to the existing power line, would amount to an eight-lane interstate highway going through the middle of our property. It is the same with our neighbors to the west, Tom Tarwater and Carolyn Noland, and to the east, Robert Shemwell. It seems unfair that Ameren can attempt to build this power line when there are other alternatives available without going through our property and our neighbors' properties. This will have a significant and devastating effect on our property rights and future development.

Can Ameren's attempt at eminent domain be suspended until all of the alternatives are considered and our voice and objection are heard?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

up hund

On Behalf of Robert and Anna Noland

DFN:kss

From: Rick Spurgeon [RSpurgeon@OLATHEKS.ORG]

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 5:48 PM

To: Kenney, Robert; Jarrett, Terry; Stoll, Steve; Kenney, Bill

Cc: pmason@claycountymo.gov; lridgeway@claycountymo.gov; Ryan.Silvey@house.mo.gov;

Myron.Neth@house.mo.gov; TJ Berry; Doug Noland

Subject: Liberty, MO Ameren proposed powerline

I am sure you are aware of the proposed new overhead utility that Ameren is charging ahead to install near Liberty, MO. Had one of my neighbors, who caught a crew on-site, failed to contact me, I would not have known of the proposed installation to cross my property until nearly the day the installation began. Ameren has offered me a miserably tiny amount compared the damage I will receive by the powerline installation. But they seem, in essence, to say 'too bad' and that is the price I will receive with no alternative.

I purchased that property about two decades ago, with the long-range intent of selling for development when it arrives. Now that day is near and Ameren wants to snatch my retirement fund from me. Any development whatsoever will view that powerline as a detriment. It strictly limits future changes in use. That ground then becomes a liability to pay taxes on while not having use of it, plus the area still has to be maintained through mowing, etc. Of course, this does not even consider health / aesthetic concerns of adjacent, and other nearby, house lots that will substantially reduces home prices. I know future uses will never be considered, but since I will be providing 'infrastructure' for a large profit center for Ameren, perhaps I can provide the acreage at no cost, and then participate in annual profits for the life of the easement?

I suggested each of the following possibilities to Ameren at some point. Each was refuted as not possible, yet each one is. There are likely other alternatives that I have not thought of.

- 1 Allow KCP&L to provide metered power to Ameren, who would then provide it to the LMV plant. KCP&L is presently nearly at the back door of the plant.
- 2 Use, or upgrade, the existing Ameren line along 69 Highway from the Ameren substation.
- Re-classify the tiny corner of Ameren's territory to KCP&L. On paper, it looks as though it should have been KCP&L to begin with.
- 4 Use, or upgrade, the existing cooperative line (easement) paralleling the proposed new one. Why double dip the property owners when it is not required?

From Ameren's past maintenance history, I am concerned about their lines crossing near me, too. Their reputation, acquired from a number of situations (most notably the upper Taum Sauk Reservoir incident), makes me want to avoid any contact with them. If I noticed, during a casual visit, that the reservoir looked to be near failure, surely they knew of the possibility, yet no action was taken.

I understand that both the City of Liberty, and various business interests want to see this project completed. I just believe it can be completed with far less damage to the property rights of individuals. There are many alternatives to be considered. If Ameren is planning annual revenue in the millions of dollars, why do they have to drive over the top of us tiny landowners to save a few bucks, if it even saves that? During the history of America, this type of action has always been frowned upon.

Please consider helping to solve the dilemma of providing electricity to the LMV plant with a more viable alternative. Stop Ameren's headlong advance over the top of us, and allow them to re-route in some manner.

If you would like to speak (or email) with me, please feel free to contact me. Thank you for your time, and thank you for allowing me to vent as the trodden individual I am (individuals we are).

Rick Spurgeon

Doug Noland [doug@nolandlawfirm.com] From: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 4:20 PM

Sent:

Stoll, Steve To:

Ameren Power Line Subject:

Letter to Commissioner Stephen Stoll with attachment.03-12-2013.pdf Attachments:

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

Please see the attached letter and attachment regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri.

Thank you.

Douglass F. Noland Noland Law Firm, LLC 34 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-5055 / fax 816-781-5216 Email: doug@nolandlawfirm.com Website: nolandlawfirm.com

NOTE: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri Lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication, (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa, (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. I am communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communications via this medium. If you change your mind and want future communications to be sent in a different fashion, please let me know AT ONCE.



DOUGLASS F. NOLAND THOMAS K. MENDEL ADMITTED IN MISSOURI & KANSAS

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360
Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

Re: Ameren Power Line

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

I have previously contacted each of you regarding our objection to a high voltage transmission line being prepared by Ameren in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri. I, and a number of citizens, filed a complaint online as was suggested to us at the public meeting that we had last week, where the citizens were finally able to voice their opposition in public to this power line.

Enclosed is the response that I received from the Consumer Service Department of the Missouri Public Service in response to the complaint filed. We would take issue with the response.

- 1. The response from the Consumer Service Department indicates that Ameren is unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site. From our information, we would question that because Ameren is already providing power to this site. There are lights on at the location, which you can see by driving by the LMV building at night. Our State Representative T.J. Berry said that the LMV plant was currently being supplied power through a cooperative line and could continue to do so for several years.
- 2. There are other numerous alternatives of which Ameren can obtain this power to this location, which it appears from the response, were never even addressed. The alternatives are:

- a. Taking the power from the Cooperative line, which is already there. We were told at the public meeting that the Cooperative line was able to provide power for several years.
- b. Upgrading route along 69 Highway from the Mosby Ameren power station to the LMV Plant.
- c. Acquiring power from KCP&L, which we have been told has sufficient power, right next to the LMV Plant.
- 3. It appears from the response that the Commission's order was issued based solely upon information that Ameren had supplied, not listening or considering these alternatives.
- 4. I guess our questions to this Commission from this response by the Consumer Service Department are:
 - a. Do citizens and property owners not have a right and an opportunity to voice some objection to a high voltage transmission line that goes across their property, or adjacent to their property? Does there not have to be some type of public notice and an opportunity to be heard?
 - b. Does Due Process require any input from the citizens and property owners who are vastly affected by this line to have notice and some right of hearing before a decision that affects their rights is made? Or can the Commission just consider all the evidence from Ameren and not hear what the landowners say?
- 5. It appears that the Consumer Service Department's response is based only on information that Ameren supplied.
- 6. We would also take exception in Paragraph 4 where it says no public comments were received prior to approval. The public, the property owners, the people of the subdivision who live right next to this, had no idea that this was even going on because we were never told.
- 7. It seems to us that CSR 240-3.105 provides that notice should be required.
 - "4 CSR 240-3.105 Filing Requirements for Electric Utility Applications for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity

- (1) In addition to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(1), applications by an electric utility for a certificate of convenience and necessity shall include:
- (A) If the application is for a service area—
- 1. A statement as to the same or similar utility service, regulated and nonregulated, available in the area requested;
- 2. If there are ten (10) or more residents or landowners, the name and address of no fewer than ten (10) persons residing in the proposed service area or of no fewer than ten (10) landowners in the event there are no residences in the area, or, if there are fewer than ten (10) residents or landowners, the name and address of all residents and landowners:"

No property owner or affected citizen was provided such notice and such is not in the application filed by Ameren. If, as the Consumer Service response is, that this is for a service line, it is a line that is not in Ameren's territory. It appears that they had to go into KCP&L area to run this line. So, would not that be a service outside their area that notice to 10 landowners would be required? And are not the citizens of the Clarksboro/Windsor Estates subdivision who are in KCP&L territory affected?

8. It seems from the Consumer Service response that the Public Service Commission's approval of the certificate of necessity was based only on information provided by Ameren. No opportunity for the public to provide their side or for the alternatives to building the power line addressed. No citizens had any notice or right to provide any input in the Public Service Commission's findings.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglass F. Noland

DFN:kss Enclosures

doug

From: PSC Info (Public Info Email Address) - PSC [pscinfo@psc.mo.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:31 PM

To: 'doug@nolandlawfirm.com'

Subject: RE: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

Dear Mr. Noland,

Thank you for contacting our office. This e-mail is to acknowledge receipt of your comments regarding Ameren Missouri and the construction of a high voltage transmission line in Clay County. Your comments have been filed into the official case file of the Missouri Public Service Commission. The official case file number is EA-2013-0316.

On November 29, 2012, Ameren Missouri filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and maintain an electric sub-transmission line to provide electric service in Clay County, Missouri, for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory.

Commission rules on Certificates of Convenience and Necessity (CCNs) (4 CSR 240-3.105) have two distinct parts: Service Areas vs. line certificates. The utility would have been required to provide the name and addresses of the affected property owners if it was a request for new service territory. However, the request to extend the transmission line in Clay County in GA-2013-0316 was a request for a line certificate and Ameren Missouri was granted no new service area.

On December 17, 2012, the PSC Staff filed a recommendation advising the Commission to approve the application. In its recommendation, the PSC Staff stated that the new electric sub-transmission line is necessary because Ameren Missouri is currently unable to deliver the requisite amount of power to its new customer's business site.

On January 3, 2013 the Missouri Public Service Commission approved an application filed by Ameren Missouri seeking Commission authority to install, own and operate an electric sub-transmission line in Clay County near Liberty, Missouri. According to the application, the proposed line would provide electric service for a new customer locating to Ameren Missouri's existing territory. This line extension is to provide necessary power to the LMV automotive plant that will employ approximately 150 workers. The Commission approved the extension of 899 feet. Ameren did not have to get permission to build the majority of the line because it is in its own service territory. The Commission was only involved because one small piece of it went into the service territory of another IOU (KCP&L GMO). No public comments were received prior to the approval. Kansas City Power & Light Greater Missouri Operations did not oppose the Application.

If you have further questions regarding this case or any additional comments please contact our office at 1-800-392-4211. Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments for the record. If at any time you have questions or concerns regarding a regulated utility company, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Consumer Service Department Missouri Public Service Commission

From: EFIS Messenger

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 4:16 PM

To: Consumer Services

Subject: Public Service Commission - Complaint Form

From: Carolyn Noland [noland.ca@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 4:48 PM

To: Stoll, Steve

Subject: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Attachments: Official Case File No. EA20130316.Commissioner Stephen Stoll.pdf

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

Please see the attached letter which was also faxed to you regarding the Ameren power line in Liberty, Clay County, Missouri / Official Case File No. EA20130316.

Please let me know your thoughts on this matter.

Carolyn A. Noland

N & N FARMS, INC.

Carolyn A. Noland 1516 Wellington Way Liberty, Missouri 64068 816-781-6898 noland.ca@gmail.com

March 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL AND FAX NO. 573-526-7341

Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 Email: Steve.stoll@psc.mo.gov

RE: Official Case File No. EA20130316

Dear Commissioner Stoll:

I am writing in response to an email I received from "Customer Service Department Public Service Commission" on March 12, 2013, in response to a complaint I filed regarding Ameren's attempt to build a 69kV transmission line across my property. While I appreciate the Public Service Commission's (PSC) prompt response to my complaint, I felt it was lacking in several respects. The PSC provided no explanation as to why there were no public comments received prior to their January 3, 2013, approval of the application filed by Ameren seeking authority to build this new 69kV line. The PSC received no input nor did it seek any input from those residents and landowners who will be tremendously affected by the construction of this new line. I do not believe the process followed by the PSC and approval of Ameren's application was fair and was such that those who would be affected by this were not able to voice their thoughts and concerns regarding Ameren's plans. In addition, I do not believe the PSC followed its own rules because the residents or landowners who are affected by the PSC's January 3, 2013, approval were never contacted, despite the fact that there is a requirement to do so, according to your own rules. I also do not believe that the information that the PSC relied upon to make its determination is accurate. Your email states that the PSC staff found that the new electrical subtransmission line is necessary because Ameren is currently unable to deliver power to the LMV Plant. However, it is common knowledge for those who live near the LMV Plant that it currently is receiving power from an existing 69,000 volt line that is already in place. And Ameren is also able to deliver power to the Plant by any of the alternatives that I have listed in my complaint if anyone at the PSC would be willing to read and consider those in its review of the application.

March 12, 2013 Stephen M. Stoll, Commissioner Page 2

I would simply ask that the PSC take the thoughts and suggestions of these alternative routes from these many residents and landowners before making its decision. I simply do not understand how in the PSC's mission to represent all Missourians and how the landowners affected by this proposed power line would have been completely and totally ignored and not informed and then completely ignored after being informed.

Again, I would ask that the PSC reconsider its approval of Ameren's application and reverse its decision and seek the input of someone other than Ameren, who is an advocate for the line, and coming to factual determinations as to how best to deliver power to the LMV Plant so as to be fair to all of the parties involved in the matter and not just rely upon statements of fact by Ameren.

I would appreciate your prompt response in this matter and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn A. Noland

Carolyn Notard