**FILED** August 12, 2016 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No .:

Issue:

Low Income

Weatherization Program

Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Kory Boustead MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2016-0023

Case No.:

May 2, 2016

Date Testimony Prepared:

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KORY BOUSTEAD

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2016-0023

> Jefferson City, Missouri May 2016

> > Stor Exhibit No. 6 Date 6-09-16 Reporter 45 File No. 5-6-006-0000

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	KORY BOUSTEAD
5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
6	CASE NO. ER-2016-0023
7	EMPIRE'S LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM1
8	STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR EMPIRE'S LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY						
2	<b>OF</b>						
3	KORY BOUSTEAD						
4	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY						
5	CASE NO. ER-2016-0023						
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.					
7	A.	name is Kory Boustead, and my business address is Missouri Public					
8	Service Con	amission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.					
9	Q. Are you the same Kory Boustead who filed testimony on March 25, 20						
10	a part of the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff's ("Staff") Revenue Requirement Cost-of-Service Report?						
11							
12							
13							
14	A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address The Empire Distri						
15	Electric Company's ("Empire" or "Company") proposal to increase the annual funding of						
16	Low-Income Weatherization program in the Direct Testimony of W. Scott Keith.						
17	EMPIRE'S LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM						
18	Q.	Where does Empire discuss the Low-Income Weatherization Assistance					
19	Program ("LIWAP")?						
20	. A.	The discussion of the LIWAP is on pages 21 to 24 of the Direct Testimony of					
21	Mr. Keith.						
22	Q.	What is the Company proposing to change in regards to the LIWAP annual					
23	funding?						
	<b>.</b>						

A. Empire has requested an increase in the budget for its authorized LIWAP funds of \$25,000 per year. This would increase the Company's authorized annual budget from the current level of \$225,000 to \$250,000.

- Q. Is Staff supportive of the increase?
- A. No, Staff is not supportive of the increase. First, based on Empire's quarterly reports the Company is not currently spending the annual ratepayer-funded amount of \$225,000. As discussed in Staff's Revenue Requirement Cost-of-Service Report, there have been significant changes to LIWAP since the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act took effect. These changes include raising the low income eligibility limit from 150% of the federal poverty level to 200% and increasing and indexing the amount of money that can be spent on a given household from \$2,966 to \$7,105. The changes, which occurred after the period reviewed in Empire's 2009 evaluation of the program, have allowed additional weatherization measures to be installed in applicants' homes and may have changed the stock of housing that was available to be weatherized. Additionally, the number of Low Income Heating Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP") applications has declined each year over the past four years. In other words, the program that was evaluated in 2009 is quite different from the program today and should be re-evaluated.
- Q. Will an evaluation assist Empire in reaching a goal of allocating all its funds to Community Action Agencies?
- A. Yes. A typical evaluation also evaluates Empire's processes and can identify inefficiencies that inhibit Community Action Agencies' access to the funds. The process evaluation assesses program delivery and opportunities for improvement. The impact

evaluation will provide an assessment of energy impacts of the program and the specific inputs for calculation program cost-effectiveness.

# STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR EMPIRE'S LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

- Q. Please summarize Staff's position.
- A. Staff supports the continuation of the LIWAP but is opposed to the annual funding increase of \$25,000 without an evaluation of the current program. An evaluation is warranted due to the significant changes to the program implemented by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Staff also continues to recommend that Empire invite Missouri Gas Energy (MGE), a division of Laclede Gas Company, to participate in this evaluation. MGE is the jurisdictional natural gas provider in most of the Empire service area and many of Empire's customers are also MGE customers. The weatherization of an Empire customer that is also an MGE customer will affect both the use of electricity and natural gas.
  - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
  - A. Yes it does.

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empir Company's Request for Au a General Rate Increase for	thority to	) )	Case No. ER-2016-0023				
AFFIDAVIT OF KORY BOUSTEAD							
STATE OF MISSOURI	)	SS.					
COUNTY OF COLE	)						

COMES NOW KORY BOUSTEAD and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing REBUTTAL TESTIMONY; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

KORY BOUSTEAD

### **JURAT**

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016
Commission Number: 12412070

Votary Public