BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Determination of)	
Carrying Costs for the Phase-In Tariffs)	File No. ER-2012-0024
of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations)	
Company)	

NON-UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

COMES NOW KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO" or "Company") and the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") (collectively "Signatories") and for their Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation") to resolve the limited issue in this proceeding of the carrying costs used for developing GMO's phase-in tariff schedules, respectfully state as follows:

- 1. On July 22, 2011, the Commission issued its *Order Opening A New File And Adopting Procedural Schedule* in File No. ET-2012-0017, regarding the carrying costs used for developing the phase-in tariff schedules for GMO.
- 2. On July 25, 2011, the Commission issued its *Notice Closing File* in File No. ET-2012-0017, stating that the "Commission has determined that this matter should be classified as a rate case rather than as a tariff case. Therefore, File No. ER-2012-0024 has been opened and will contain all filings that would have occurred in this file."
- 3. On August 16, 2011, GMO filed its Motion to Suspend Procedural Schedule in this matter, citing on-going discussions in an effort to resolve the limited issue in this proceeding and requesting that the procedural schedule be suspended to allow sufficient time for all parties to confer and discuss a potential settlement of the issue. The Commission granted GMO's motion by its "Order Granting Motion To Suspend Procedural Schedule" dated August 17, 2011.

- 4. As a result of said settlement discussions, Company and Staff agree that GMO's carrying costs in the phase-in tariff schedules should be 3.25% per year. Tariff schedules that reflect this carrying cost are attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Commission should order that the attached tariff schedules for the second, third and fourth year of the phase-in plan shall become effective automatically in each subsequent year on June 25 without further order of the Commission, unless suspended by the Commission for good cause shown.
- 5. The Office of the Public Counsel, Robert Wagner, Dogwood, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri have indicated through counsel that they will not oppose the Stipulation. AG Processing Inc. has indicated through counsel that it will oppose this stipulation on jurisdictional grounds. The City of St. Joseph has indicated through counsel that it takes no position on the Stipulation. Counsel for GMO has not heard from other parties regarding their position on the Stipulation.

GENERAL PROVISIONS OF STIPULATION

5. This Stipulation is being entered into solely for the purpose of settling the issues in this case explicitly set forth above. Unless otherwise explicitly provided herein, none of the Signatories to this Stipulation shall be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in any ratemaking or procedural principle, including, without limitation, any cost of service methodology or determination, depreciation principle or method, method of cost determination or cost allocation or revenue-related methodology. Except as explicitly provided herein, none of the Signatories shall be prejudiced or bound in any manner by the terms of this Stipulation in this or any other proceeding, regardless of whether this Stipulation is approved.

- 6. This Stipulation is a negotiated settlement. Except as specified herein, the Signatories to this Stipulation shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the terms of this Stipulation: (a) in any future proceeding; (b) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (c) in this proceeding should the Commission decide not to approve this Stipulation, or in any way condition its approval of same.
- 7. This Stipulation has resulted from extensive negotiations among the Signatories, and the terms hereof are interdependent. If the Commission does not approve this Stipulation unconditionally and without modification, then this Stipulation shall be void and no Signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof.
- 8. If approved and adopted by the Commission, this Stipulation shall constitute a binding agreement among the Signatories. The Signatories shall cooperate in defending the validity and enforceability of this Stipulation and the operation of this Stipulation according to its terms.
- 9. If the Commission does not approve this Stipulation without condition or modification, and notwithstanding the provision herein that it shall become void, (1) neither this Stipulation nor any matters associated with its consideration by the Commission shall be considered or argued to be a waiver of the rights that any Signatory has for a decision in accordance with RSMo. §536.080 or Article V, Section 18 of the Missouri Constitution, and (2) the Signatories shall retain all procedural and due process rights as fully as though this Stipulation had not been presented for approval, and any suggestions, memoranda, testimony, or exhibits that have been offered or received in support of this Stipulation shall become privileged as reflecting the substantive content of settlement discussions and shall be stricken from and not be considered as part of the administrative or evidentiary record before the

Commission for any purpose whatsoever.

10. If the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation without condition or modification, only as to the issues in these cases explicitly set forth above, the Signatories each waive their respective rights to present oral argument and written briefs pursuant to RSMo. §536.080.1, their respective rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to §536.080.2, their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to §536.500, and their respective rights to judicial review pursuant to §386.510. This waiver applies only to a Commission order approving this Stipulation without condition or modification issued in this proceeding and only to the issues that are resolved hereby. It does not apply to any matters raised in any prior or subsequent Commission proceeding nor any matters not explicitly addressed by this Stipulation.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Signatories respectfully request that the Commission issue an Order approving the terms and conditions of this Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/Nathan Williams

Nathan Williams, MBE #35512
Deputy Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8702
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

/s/ Roger Steiner

Roger W. Steiner, MBE #39586 Kansas City Power & Light Company 1200 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64105 (816) 556-2314 (816) 556-2787 (Fax) Roger.Steiner@kcpl.com James M. Fischer, MBE #27543 Fischer & Dority, P.C. 101 Madison Street, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 636-6758 (573) 636-0383 (Fax) jfischerpc@aol.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been emailed, hand-delivered or mailed, First Class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid this 2nd day of September, 2011 to all counsel of record.

/s/Roger W. Steiner Roger W. Steiner