# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY October 1, 1999

CASE NO: SC-2000-256

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Capital Utilities, Inc.

P. O. Box 7017 Jefferson City, MO 65102 General Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Enclosed find certified copy of a NOTICE in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy/Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

**Uncertified Copy:** 

Herbert Haneman 3003 Bluebird Hollow Sedalia, MO 65301

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Herbert	Hanneman,		)			
		Complainant,	) }			
v.			)	Case	No.	SC-2000-256
Capital	Utilities,	Inc.,	)			
		Respondent.	,			

#### NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

Capital Utilities, Inc. P.O. Box 7017 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 CERTIFIED MAIL

On September 29, 1999, Herbert Hanneman filed a complaint with the Missouri Public Service Commission against Capital Utilities, Inc. A copy of that Complaint is enclosed. As provided in 4 CSR 240-2.070, Respondent, Capital Utilities, Inc., shall have 30 days from the date of this notice to file an answer or to file notice that the complaint has been satisfied.

In the alternative, Capital Utilities, Inc. may file a written request that the complaint be referred to a neutral third-party mediator for voluntary mediation of the complaint. Upon receipt of a request for mediation, the 30-day time period shall be tolled while the Commission determines whether or not Mr. Hanneman is also willing to submit to voluntary mediation. If Mr. Hanneman agrees to mediation, the time period within which an answer is due will be suspended while the mediation process proceeds. Additional information regarding the mediation process is enclosed.

If Mr. Hanneman declines the opportunity to seek mediation, Capital Utilities, Inc. will be notified in writing that the tolling has ceased and will also be notified of the date by which an answer or notice of satisfaction must be filed. That period will usually be the remainder of the original 30-day period.

All pleadings (the answer, the notice of satisfaction of complaint, or request for mediation) shall be mailed to:

Secretary of the Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360

A copy shall be served upon Mr. Hanneman at 30032 Bluebird Hollow, Sedalia, Missouri 65301. A copy of this notice has been mailed to Mr. Hanneman.

BY THE COMMISSION

Ask Hard Roberts

**Dale Hardy Roberts** 

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 1st day of October, 1999.

Copy to: Herbert Hanneman

Woodruff, Regulatory Law Judge

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



· ·	Mi~~
Herbert HANNEMAN (your name)	Service Commission
(your name)	) }
Complainant	) 
Complantant	,
vs.	Case No. SC-2000_256
Capital UTILITIES INC) (company name)	
(company name)	, )
	, )
Respondent.	)
——————————————————————————————————————	<u>MPLAINT</u>
Complainant resides at 30032	2 Bluebind Hollow
SEDALIA, mo. 65301.	
- <u></u>	·
	FAL UTILITIES INC.
of Jeffenson City, mo	ny name) , is a public utility under the jurisdiction of
the Public Service Commission of the State of	Missouri.
2 Ag the begin of this complaint complet	inent states the following facts:

As the basis of this complaint, complainant states the following facts:

I have been the owner of 2516 Rosebud, Sedalia, Mo. Since November 1992 and it has been a rental property that entire time. Capital Utilities has known I was the owner as they were told that each time the water went into my name between tenants. And also evidenced by the fact that the tenant, Monica Shepard, in possession in 1996/1997 was in arrears, left an unpaid bill, a copy of which was sent to me requesting I pay the entire bill water and sewer, copy of bill enclosed, (see copy attachment #1). That was when I first learned of the tariff rules allowing them to revert the payment responsibility of the sewer only over to the owner of the property. I took the Shepards to court and the judge decreed they pay my damages and also the unpaid Capital Utilities bill at that time.

Now comes Capital Utilities again trying to pass along the water and sewer bill of Don Bauer, the next tenant for the same property, saying it got so far behind because he kept writing bad checks and that they didn't know I was the owner. I informed them that they could only pass along the sewer portion. A lengthy discussion ensued over several days including a shutoff and denial of service to our next tenant. A call to the Public Service Comm. was required to get water service provided to the new tenant.

Then I get the unpaid bill sent to copy enclosed (see copy attachment #2) as as note that the sewer portion is more than twice what the water portion is! I have never seen a water/sewer bill where the sewer charge is more than the water charge! It's generally slightly less than the water. Even another house I own in the same subdivision serviced by Capital Utilities, 2816 Meadow Wood, has a lower sewer portion than the water portion, copy enclosed (see copy attachment #2). I truly believe that because I wasn't willing to pay the entire water and sewer bill and am only responsible for the sewer portion Capital Utilities has weighted that portion higher in an effort to extort additional moneys from me.

The sewer tariffs rule 9 para. L states that "the owner of the property to be ultimately responsible for payment of bills for service." It DOES NOT say bills for service and penalties. DO TO THE FACT THAT I WAS NOT IN ARREARS, the tenant was, I FEEL THE BILL FOR SERVICE IS THE ONLY AMOUNT THAT SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO BE PAST ON, NOT PENALTIES INCURRED BY ANOTHER if anything at all should be past on to the owner.

The sewer tariffs rule 9 para. L further states, "provided the company has made reasonable and timely efforts to collect bills due from the customer." The sewer charge of \$89.49 on the bill is MORE THAN FIVE MONTHS of sewer bills. If a person is allowed service without paying for over five months than rule 9 has not been adhered to otherwise service would have been discontinued. When I stated this objection to Capital Utilities they explained he kept giving them a bad check for payment. When I receive a bad check I request further payments be made in cash, money order, or some other form than a personal check. They as a responsible business should also do the same.

The sewer tariff Rule 9 para. L further states, "All notices of delinquent bills or disconnection shall also be sent to the owner of the property." I had not received any such notice of delinquency. Capital Utilities claims they didn't know I was the owner. I have addressed that matter at the beginning of this complaint in the fact that this same property was in default on the previous tenant when Don Bauer moved into it. At that time I was dealing with Capital Utilities on the Monica Shepard matter and a court decision resolved the bill to their satisfaction. How could they possibly have NOT been aware of the fact I was the owner! They will represent any circumstance in whatever light that will possibly allow them to shift responsibility upon someone other than themselves!

In summary I feel that Capital Utilities has been in NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE TARIFF in several areas and therefore should <u>not</u> be allowed to pass on this exaggerated sewer bill. Your help on this matter is requested and appreciated.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

3. The complainant has taken the following steps to present this complaint to the respondent:

My wife and I have stated the facts previously mentioned in the preceding paragraph 2 to Capital Utilities and in return have received threats of disconnection of water unless we meet the utilities man in the yard with cash to pay the bill. If they had done that when they were receiving bad checks from Don Bauer the bill would have been paid and we wouldn't be in this situation now!......"provided the company has made reasonable and timely efforts to collect bills due from the customer".

WHEREFORE, Complainant now requests the following relief:

I request the PSC determine that Capital Utilities <u>HAS NOT</u> been in compliance with the tariff, <u>HAVE NOT</u> done their required job to make reasonable and timely efforts to collect bills due from the customer, <u>HAVE NOT</u> sent notices of delinquent bills to the owner, and therefore are not allowed to pass the delinquent tenant bill or tenant late charges to the owner.

9-19-99

Signature of Complainant

#### Account History

		shone # #:	Teler Serv	Acet.	derv. ID: 10233 d Monica % 2516 Rosebud Circle Sedalla, MD 65301	Name: Shepard
Amount	Frwd.	Bal.	sc	Rsp	Type	Date
23:42 34:38	81.66 47.28		L		Charge Charge	03/03/97 02/25/97
5.00 -30.03 37.28	42:28 72:31 35:03		J21	LT	Penalty Payment Charge	<del>02/25/97</del> 01/23/97 01/25/97
5: 00 -5: 00 -5: 00	30.03 35.03 40.03				Penalty Adjustment Adjustment	01/23/97 01/27/97 01/27/97
-39.33 30.03	35.03 74.36 44.33 39.33		D3Ø	RS	Payment Charge	12/30/96 12/25/96
	27-0293  Amount  23:42 34:38 5:00 -30.03 37.28 5:00 -5.00 -5.00 -39.33	105:08  Frwd. Amount  81.66 23:42 47.28 34:38 42.28 5:00 72.31 -30.03 35.03 37.28 30.03 5:00 35.03 -5:00 40.03 -5:00 74.36 -39.33 44.33 30.03	#: (816)827-0293 #: 8a1: 105:08  Bal. Frwd. Amount  81.66 23.42 47.28 34.38 42.28 5.00 72.31 -30.03 35.03 37.28 30.03 5.00 35.03 -5.00 40.03 -5.00 74.36 -39.33 44.33 30.03	Telephone #: (816)827-0293 Serv #: Cur Bal: 105:08  SC Bal. Frwd. Amount  L 81.66 23.42 47.28 34.38 42.28 5.00 J21 72.31 -30.03 35.03 37.28 30.03 5.00 40.03 -5.00 40.03 -5.00 D30 74.36 -39.33 44.33 30.03	Tillephone #: (816)827-0293 Serv #: - Cur Bal: 105.08  Rsp SC Bal. Frwd. Amount  L 81.66 23.42 47.28 34.38 42.28 5.00 LT J21 72.31 -30.03 35.03 37.28 30.03 5.00 35.03 -5.00 40.03 -5.00 RS D30 74.36 -39.33 44.33 30.03	Monica       Telephone #: (816)827-0293         2516 Rosebud Circle       Serv #:         Sedalia, MD 65301       Cur Bal: 105:08         Type       Rsp       SC       Bal. Frwd.       Amount         Charge       L       81.66       23.42         Charge       47.28       34.38         Penalty       42.28       5.00         Payment       LT       J21       72.31       -30.03         Charge       35.03       37.28         Penalty       30.03       5.00         Adjustment       40.03       -5.00         Payment       RS       D30       74.36       -39.33         Charge       44.33       30.03

COPY ATTACHMEN # 1 COPY ATTACHMENT # 2

CAPITAL UTILITIES, INC. P.O. 80X 7017 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 573-634-2699 or 1-800-624-5252 OFFICE: 312 LAFAYETTE ST.

SERVICE AT	2516	Rose				
SERVICE IO	2516 Rosebud C 10233 Account			1		
SERVICE PR	AVICE PRV. READING		CURRENT		AMOUNT	
Water Water Sewer	Prim	Fee			43.10 2.00 89.49	
Sewer	tу			35.00		

Total Sewer Due

\$124.49

PLEASE RETURN THIS STUB WITH PAYMENT

Joe & Loretta Hanneman 30032 Blue Bird Hollow Sedalia Mo 65301

DON BAUERS Bill Sent to US TO PAY

ANOTHER

PROPERTY

IN THE

SAME

SUB-DIVISION

mon.

CAPITAL UTILITIES, INC. P.O. BOX 7017 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 573-634-2699 or 1-800-624-5252 OFFICE: 312 LAFAYETTE ST.

SERVICE AT		2816 M	eadow	ive			
		10387		ACCOUNT . ITIL		l'IWI I	999
SERVICE	PRV. READING		cu	CURRENT USAG		AGE	AMOUNT
Water Sewer Frim	-	278000	28	8000	100	300	17.45 13.62 0.00

SERVICE 06/25/99 TO 07/22/99 DUE DATE 08/15/99

PRIOR BAL CURRENT AMT TOTAL DUE 0.00 31.07 31.07



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

### Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/ GORDON L. PERSINGER Acting Executive Director Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director, Utility Operations
ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services
DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration
DALE HARDY ROBERTS

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

#### Information Sheet Regarding Mediation of Commission Formal Complaint Cases

Mediation is process whereby the parties themselves work to resolve their dispute with the aid of a neutral third-party mediator. This process is sometimes referred to as "facilitated negotiation." The mediator's role is advisory and although the mediator may offer suggestions, the mediator has no authority to impose a solution nor will the mediator determine who "wins." Instead, the mediator simply works with both parties to facilitate communications and to attempt to enable the parties to reach an agreement which is mutually agreeable to both the complainant and the respondent.

The mediation process is explicitly a problem-solving one in which neither the parties nor the mediator are bound by the usual constraints such as the rules of evidence or the other formal procedures required in hearings before the Missouri Public Service Commission. Although many private mediators charge as much as \$250 per hour, the University of Missouri-Columbia School of Law has agreed to provide this service to parties who have formal complaints pending before the Public Service Commission at no charge. Not only is the service provided free of charge, but mediation is also less expensive than the formal complaint process because the assistance of an attorney is not necessary for mediation. In fact, the parties are encouraged not to bring an attorney to the mediation meeting.

The formal complaint process before the Commission invariably results in a determination by which there is a "winner" and a "loser" although the value of winning may well be offset by the cost of attorneys fees and the delays of protracted litigation. Mediation is not only a much quicker process but it also offers the unique opportunity for informal, direct communication between the two parties to the complaint and mediation is far more likely to result in a settlement which, because it was mutually agreed to, pleases both parties. This is traditionally referred to as "win-win" agreement.

The traditional mediator's role is to (1) help the participants understand the mediation process, (2) facilitate their ability to speak directly to each other, (3) maintain order, (4) clarify misunderstandings, (5) assist in identifying issues, (6) diffuse unrealistic expectations, (7) assist in translating one participant's perspective or proposal into a form that is more understandable and acceptable to the other participant, (8) assist the participants with the actual negotiation process, (9) occasionally a mediator may propose a possible solution, and (10) on rare occasions a mediator may encourage a participant to accept a particular solution. The mediator will not possess any specialized knowledge of the utility industry or of utility law.

In order for the Commission to refer a complaint case to mediation, the parties must both agree to mediate their conflict in good faith. The party filing the complaint must agree to appear and to make a good faith effort to mediate and the utility company against which the complaint has been filed must send a representative who has full authority to settle the complaint case. The essence of mediation stems from the fact that the participants are both genuinely interested in resolving the complaint.

Because mediation thrives in an atmosphere of free and open discussion, all settlement offers and other information which is revealed during mediation is shielded against subsequent disclosure in front of the Missouri Public Service Commission and is considered to be privileged information. The only information which must be disclosed to the Public Service Commission is (a) whether the case has been settled and (b) whether, irrespective of the outcome, the mediation effort was considered to be a worthwhile endeavor. The Commission will not ask what took place during the mediation.

If the dispute is settled at the mediation, the Commission will require a signed release from the complainant in order for the Commission to dismiss the formal complaint case.

If the dispute is not resolved through the mediation process, neither party will be prejudiced for having taken part in the mediation and, at that point, the formal complaint case will simply resume its normal course.

Date: January 25, 1999

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary of the Commission

Hoke Hard Roberts

P 102 316 521

Receipt for Certified Mail
No Insurance Coverage Provided.

Do not use for International Mail (See reverse)

**US Postal Service** 

			TA 2000 256	<del>+</del>	Street & Number    Color   Color     Post Office, State, & ZIP Coc		
se side?	SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.  Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b.  Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we	can return this	I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):			1 ty Ma 65%	2
Š	card to you.  Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space	e does not	1. Addressee's Address		Certified Fee		
the rev	permit.  Write 'Return Receipt Requested' on the mailpiece below the articl  The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered an delivered.		eipt Ser	Special Delivery Fee			
ete	3. Article Addressed to:	4a. Article N		8	Restricted Delivery Fee		
	Capital Utilities	P 102316 521 4b. Service Type			Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered		
	PO BOX 7017	Register	ored ⊠ Certified	Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address		ľ	
ADDRESS	POBOX 7017 Jefferson City Mo 65102	Express		usin	TOTAL Postage & Fees	\$	
. 8	JEAN GOOD	☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ COD		<u>.</u>	Postmark or Date		
٩	65 10 2	7. Date of Delivery 17 (UC) 1999					
RÉTURN	5. Received By: (Print Name)		e's Address (Only if requested s paid)	and the second s			
your [	6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent)					•	
. 💇	PS Form <b>3811</b> , December 1994		Domestic Return Receipt			•	

## STATE OF MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,

Missouri, this <u>1ST</u> day of <u>OCT</u>, 1999.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Ask Hard Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge