STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY January 3, 2001

CASE NO: TA-2000-691

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mark W.Comley
Newman Comley & Ruth P. C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
P.O. Box 537
Jefferson City, MO 65102

General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Leo J. Bub Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Room 3510 St. Louis, MO 63101

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy Roberts

lak Hard Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of OnSite)	
Access Local, LLC, for a Certificate of)	
Service Authority to Provide Resold and)	Case No. TA-2000-691
Facilities-based Basic Local Intrastate)	
Telecommunications Service Within the State)	
of Missouri.)	

ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE BASIC LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

Procedural History

OnSite Access Local, LLC (OnSite), applied to the Missouri Public Service Commission on April 27, 2000, for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local and local exchange services in Missouri under Sections 392.420-.440, 392.450, and 392.455, RSMo 1994, and Sections 392.410 and 392.450, RSMo Supp. 1999. OnSite asked the Commission to classify it as a competitive company and waive certain statutes and rules as authorized by Sections 392.361 and 392.420. OnSite is a New York corporation with principal offices located at 1372 Broadway, Second Floor, New York, New York 10018.

The Commission issued a Notice of Applications on May 2, 2000, directing interested parties wishing to intervene to do so by June 1, 2000. The Commission issued an Order Granting Protective Order on May 9, 2000. On May 19, 2000, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) filed an application to intervene which was granted on June 6, 2000. On December 12, 2000, the parties filed a Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement

 $^{^{1}}$ All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 1994 unless otherwise indicated.

(Agreement), which is attached to this order. The Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed Suggestions in Support of the Stipulation and Agreement on December 15, 2000.

In the Agreement, the parties waive their rights to present testimony, cross-examine witnesses, present oral argument or briefs, and to seek rehearing or judicial review. The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel.

Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has requested a hearing, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the application and the Agreement.

Discussion

OnSite seeks certification to provide basic local and exchange access services in portions of Missouri that are currently served by SWBT, GTE Midwest Inc. (GTE), and Sprint Missouri, Inc. (Sprint). OnSite is not asking for certification in any area that is served by a small incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC). OnSite is requesting that its telecommunications services be classified as competitive and that the application of certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived.

A. Requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) requires a foreign corporation applying for certification to provide telecommunications services to include in its application a certificate from the Secretary of State showing that it is authorized to do business in Missouri, a description of the types of service it intends to provide, a description of the exchanges where it will offer services, and a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date. On Site has provided all the required documentation except for the

proposed tariff. OnSite requested a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) until it has entered into an interconnection agreement with the underlying local exchange carrier and that agreement has been approved by the Commission. On Site agreed to submit to the Commission for approval a proposed tariff with a minimum 45-day effective date once it is party to the appropriate interconnection agreement. The Agreement provides that OnSite will file the tariff in this case and give notice of the tariff filing to all the parties. Along with that filing, OnSite has agreed to file a written disclosure of all resale and interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas. The Commission has found that holding open the certificate case until a tariff is filed may result in the case being left open without activity for an extended period. Therefore, this case will be closed, and when OnSite files the required tariff, it will be assigned a new case number. On Site will be directed to provide the notice and disclosures required by the Agreement when it files its proposed tariff.

B. Basic Local Service Certification

Section 392.455, RSMo Supp. 1999, sets out the requirements for granting certificates to provide basic local telecommunications service to new entrants. A new entrant must: (1) possess sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service; (2) demonstrate that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission; (3) set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer services and demonstrate that such area follows exchange boundaries of the ILEC and (4) offer is no smaller than an exchange; and basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. addition, the Commission must give due consideration to equitable access for all Missourians to affordable telecommunications services, regardless of where they live or their income.

OnSite submitted as Exhibit 3 to its application certain financial documentation. Exhibit 4 to the application is a description of the telecommunications experience and expertise of OnSite's key management personnel. The parties agree that OnSite possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service.

OnSite has agreed to provide services that will meet the minimum basic local service standards required by the Commission, including quality of service and billing standards. The parties agree that OnSite proposes to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission.

OnSite wishes to be certificated to offer services in all the exchanges presently served by SWBT, GTE and Sprint as described in their basic local tariffs. The parties agree that OnSite has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local and local exchange service and that the area follows ILEC exchange boundaries and is no smaller than an exchange.

OnSite has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it will offer basic local services in compliance with Section 392.455(5), RSMo Supp. 1999.

C. Competitive Classification

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider as a competitive company if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation.

Section 392.361.2. In making that determination, the Commission may consider such factors as market share, financial resources and name recognition, among others. In the Matter of the Investigation for the Purpose of Determining the Classification of the Services Provided by Interexchange Telecommunications Companies within the State of Missouri, 30 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 16 (1989); In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Application for Classification of Certain Services as Transitionally Competitive, 1 Mo. P.S.C. 3d 479, 484 (1992). In addition, the Commission may classify a telecommunications company as a competitive telecommunications company only upon a finding that all telecommunications services offered by such company are competitive telecommunications services pursuant to Section 392.361.3. The Commission has found that whether a service is competitive is a subject for case-by-case examination and that different criteria may be given greater weight depending upon the service being considered. Id. at 487.

The parties have agreed that OnSite should be classified as a competitive telecommunications company. The parties agree that OnSite's switched exchange access services may be classified as a competitive service, conditioned upon certain limitations on OnSite's ability to charge for its access services. OnSite has agreed, unless otherwise determined by the Commission, any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum access service rates as set forth in the Agreement shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.330, RSMo, and not 392.500 and 392.510, RSMo. OnSite agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area OnSite is operating, decreases its originating or terminating access rates, OnSite shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating or terminating access rates in order to

maintain the cap. OnSite will not be required to file a tariff amendment if: (1) Onsite has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff; or (2) OnSite's existing originating or terminating access rates are not higher than the directly competing ILEC's originating or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.

The parties agree that waiver of the following statutes is appropriate: Sections 392.210.2, 392.240.1, 392.270, 392.280, 392.290, 392.300.2, 392.310, 392.320, 392.330, RSMo Supp. 1999, and 392.340. The parties also agree that application of these Commission rules should be waived: 4 CSR 240-10.020, 4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C), 4 CSR 240-30.040, 4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C), 4 CSR 240-33.030, and 4 CSR 240-35.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

- A. The Commission finds that competition in the basic local telecommunications market is in the public interest.
- B. The Commission finds that OnSite has met the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) for applicants for certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications services with the exception of the filing of a tariff with a 45-day effective date.
- C. The Commission finds that OnSite has demonstrated good cause to support a temporary waiver of the tariff filing requirement and the waiver shall be granted.
- D. The Commission finds that the local exchange services market is competitive and that granting OnSite a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications

- services is in the public interest. OnSite's certificate shall become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
- E. The Commission finds that OnSite meets the statutory requirements for provision of basic local telecommunications services and has agreed to abide by those requirements in the future. The Commission determines that granting OnSite a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services is in the public interest. OnSite's certificate shall become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
- F. The Commission finds that OnSite is a competitive company and should be granted waiver of the statutes and rules set out in the ordered paragraph below.
- G. The Commission finds that OnSite's certification and competitive status should be expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 1999, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220, RSMo Supp. 1999, and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has reached the following conclusions of law:

The Commission has the authority to grant certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications service within the state of Missouri. OnSite has requested certification under Sections 392.420 -.440, and Sections 392.410 and 392.450, RSMo Supp. 1999, which permit the

Commission to grant a certificate of service authority where it is in the public interest. Sections 392.361 and 392.420 authorize the Commission to modify or suspend the application of its rules and certain statutory provisions for companies classified as competitive or transitionally competitive.

The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Section 392.455, RSMo Supp. 1999, were designed to institute competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market in order to benefit all telecommunications consumers. See Section 392.185, RSMo Supp. 1999.

The Commission has the legal authority to accept a Stipulation and Agreement as offered by the parties as a resolution of the issues raised in this case, pursuant to Section 536.060, RSMo Supp. 1999. Based upon the Commission's review of the applicable law and Agreement of the parties, and upon its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the Stipulation and Agreement of the parties, filed on December 12, 2000, is approved.
- 2. That OnSite Access Local, LLC, is granted a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above and to all applicable statutes and Commission rules except as specified in this order. The certificate of service authority shall become effective when the OnSite Inc.'s tariff becomes effective.
- 3. That OnSite Access Local, LLC, is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above and to all applicable statutes and Commission rules except as specified in

this order. The certificate of service authority shall become effective when the OnSite Inc.'s tariff becomes effective.

4. That OnSite Access Local, LLC, is classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Application of the following statutes and regulatory rules shall be waived:

Statutes

```
392.210.2 - uniform system of accounts
392.240(1) - rates-rentals-service & physical
              connections
392.270

    valuation of property (ratemaking)

          - depreciation accounts
392.280
392,290
          - issuance of securities
392.300.2 - acquisition of stock
392.310

    stock and debt issuance

          - stock dividend payment
392.320
        - reorganization(s)
392.340
392.330, RSMo Supp. 1999 - issuance of securities,
                            debts and notes
```

Commission Rules

```
4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C) - posting of tariffs
4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts
4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C) - exchange boundary maps
4 CSR 240-33.030 - minimum charges
4 CSR 240-35 - reporting of bypass and customer-specific arrangements
```

5. That the service authority and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein are expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 1999, and the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in herein shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220, RSMo Supp. 1999, and 392.230, and not Sections 392.500 and 392.510. OnSite Access Local, LLC, agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area OnSite is operating, decreases its originating or terminating access service rates, OnSite shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area

within 30 days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. On Site will not be required to file a tariff amendment if: (1) On Site has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff; or (2) On Site's existing originating or terminating access rates are not higher than the directly competing ILEC's originating or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.²

- 6. That the request for waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires the filing of a 45-day tariff, is granted.
- 7. That within 30 days of the effective date of an order approving an interconnection agreement with any underlying carrier, OnSite Access Local, LLC, shall submit its tariff for Commission approval. Such tariffs shall have a minimum of a 45-day effective date and OnSite shall serve written notice upon the parties hereto of such submittal, and shall provide copies of such tariffs to such parties immediately upon request. When filing its initial basic local tariff, OnSite shall also file and serve upon the parties hereto a written disclosure of all resale and interconnection agreement which affect its Missouri service areas. The tariff shall include a listing of the statutes and Commission rules waived above.

The unanimous stipulation and agreement repeatedly uses the term "and/or" when referring to originating and terminating access rates. The use of this term is condemned by most commentators. See Garner, A Dictionary of Modern Legal Usage, 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press 1995. The offending term is not used in this order. The clear implication of the order is that adjustments may be made to either originating or terminating access rates or both.

8. That this order shall become effective on January 13, 2001.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Vicky Ruth, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 3rd day of January, 2001.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FIL	FNZ
DEC 12	2000

•		Semiser
In the Matter of the Application of	.)	Service Commission
ONSITE ACCESS LOCAL, LLC	,)	
)	Case No. TA-2000-691
for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide)	
Basic Local Telecommunications Services	.)	
in portions of the State of Missouri)	·
and for Competitive Classification	j	

UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

ONSITE ACCESS LOCAL, LLC (hereinafter "OnSite" or "Applicant") initiated this proceeding on April 27, 2000, by filing an Application requesting a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange and local exchange services in exchanges currently served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT), GTE of the Midwest, Inc. (GTE), and Sprint Missouri, Inc. (Sprint).

SWBT applied to intervene in this matter and the Missouri Public Service Commission granted the application. No other party has sought or been granted intervention herein.

A. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

- 1. The parties employed the following standards and criteria, which are intended to meet the requirements of existing law, particularly Sections 392.450 and 392.455, RSMo. Supp. 1999 regarding applications for certificates of local exchange service authority to provide or resell basic local telecommunications service, in negotiating the provisions of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the "Stipulation").
- 2. For purposes of this Stipulation, the parties agree that applications for local exchange and basic local exchange service authority in exchanges served by "large" local exchange companies

(LECs)¹ should be processed in a manner similar to that in which applications for interexchange and local exchange authority are currently handled.

3. In determining whether OnSite's application for certificate of service authority should be granted, the Commission should consider OnSite's technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service. On Site must demonstrate that the basic local services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission, including but not limited to the applicant agreeing to file and maintain basic local service tariff(s) with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies (ILECs) with which OnSite seeks to compete. Further, OnSite must agree to meet the minimum basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards, as the Commission requires of the ILECs with which OnSite seeks to compete. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 392.500 RSMo (1994), as a condition of certification and competitive classification, OnSite agrees that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, OnSite's originating and terminating access rates will be capped at the levels authorized by the Commission in the case In the Matter of the Access Rates to be Charged by Competitive Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies in the State of Missouri, Case No. TO-99-596. Accordingly, the parties acknowledge and agree that OnSite may submit tariffs providing for originating and terminating exchange access rates equal to or less than those of the directly competing ILEC in each exchange in which OnSite is authorized to provide basic local telecommunications services. Additionally, OnSite agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area OnSite is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service

^ILarge LECs are defined as LECs who serve 100,000 or more access lines. Section 386.020 RSMo. Supp. 1999. In Missouri, the current large LECs are SWBT, GTE and Sprint.

rates, OnSite shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.² Further, OnSite agrees to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and must sufficiently identify the geographic service area in which it proposes to offer basic local service. Such area must follow exchange boundaries of the ILECs in the same area and must be no smaller than an exchange. Finally, OnSite agrees to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of residence or income. See Section 392.455 RSMo Supp. 1999.

- 4. OnSite submitted its application without tariffs and seeks a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C).³ OnSite agrees to file its initial tariff(s) in this certification docket and serve all parties thereto with written notice at the time the initial tariff(s) are submitted to afford them an opportunity to participate in the tariff approval process. Copies of the tariff(s) will be provided by OnSite to such parties immediately upon request. Any service authority shall be regarded as conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariff(s) for services have become effective.
- 5. OnSite has, pursuant to §392.420 RSMo. 1994 requested that the Commission waive the application of any or all of the following statutory provisions and rules to its basic local

²This provision shall not be construed to require OnSite to file a tariff amendment if: 1) OnSite has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff, or 2) if OnSite's existing corresponding originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.

³OnSite's application cites to 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H); however, the requirement of filing a proposed tariff with an application is now found at 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C). Good cause for failure to file proposed tariffs with the Application must be shown. The lack of an approved interconnection agreement (47 USC §252) constitutes good cause.

telecommunications services, and the parties agree that the Commission should grant such request provided that Section 392.200 RSMo should continue to apply to all of OnSite's services:

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

\$392.210.2 \$392.240(1) \$392.270 \$392.280 \$392.290⁴ \$392.300.2 \$392.310 \$392.320 \$392.330 \$392.340

COMMISSION RULES

- 4 CSR 240-10.020
- 4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
- 4 CSR 240-30.040
- 4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)
- 4 CSR 240-33.030
- 4 CSR 240-35

B. ONSITE CERTIFICATION

- 6. OnSite hereby agrees that its application should be deemed amended to include by reference the terms and provisions described in paragraphs 2-5 hereinabove.
- 7. Based upon its verified application, as amended herein, OnSite asserts, and no party makes a contrary assertion, that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission can find and conclude that OnSite:
 - a. possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications service, including exchange access service;

⁴OnSite listed §392.290.1, RSMo, in its application, but the parties agree to waiver of §392.290, RSMo.

- b. proposes and agrees to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission;
- c. has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and such area follows exchange boundaries of the ILECs in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange; ⁵
- d. will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service;
- e. has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which OnSite proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income;⁶
- f. has sought authority which will serve the public interest.
- 8. OnSite asserts, and no party opposes, that OnSite's application and request for authority to provide basic local telecommunications services and local exchange telecommunications services (including exchange access service) should be granted. All services authorized herein should be classified as competitive telecommunications services provided that the requirements of Section 392.200, RSMo continue to apply, and OnSite shall remain classified as a competitive telecommunications company. OnSite asserts, and no party opposes, that such services will be subject to sufficient competition by the services of the ILECs to justify a lesser degree of regulation of OnSite's services consistent with the protection of ratepayers and the promotion of the public

⁵ The identification of specific geographic areas within which OnSite proposes to offer service as referred to in this paragraph shall not be construed to foreclose OnSite from 1) petitioning the Commission pursuant to Section 392.200.4 RSMo. for authority to serve an area smaller than an exchange; and 2) offer customer specific pricing pursuant to Section 392.200.8, RSMo.

⁶ The agreement in Paragraph 7. e. of this Stipulation is without prejudice to OnSite's right to appear, after proper application and in accord with Commission rules and regulations, in any rulemaking proceeding or other proceeding regarding the Commission's considerations of equitable access under Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. Furthermore, such agreement should not be construed as an admission or conclusion by OnSite that Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. creates new or specific duties or obligations on telecommunications companies to provide equitable access.

interest. Such classification should become effective upon the tariffs for the services becoming effective. Such authority should be conditional, not to be exercised until such time as tariffs for those services have been filed and have become effective. The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions as follows:

The service authority and service classification herein granted are subject to the requirements of § 392.200, RSMo, and are conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective.

The parties agree that OnSite's switched exchange access services may be classified as competitive services. The parties further agree that the applicant's switched exchange access services are subject to Section 392.200, RSMo. Unless otherwise determined by the Commission, any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates as set forth in paragraph 3 herein shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, RSMo, and not 392.500 and 392.510, RSMo. OnSite agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area OnSite is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, OnSite shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. The Commission's order should state the foregoing conditions as follows:

"The service authority and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein is expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200 and the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth herein shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230 and not Sections 392.500 and 392.510. On Site agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area On Site is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, On Site shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates

⁷See footnote 2.

in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and /or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. OnSite will not be required to file a tariff amendment if:

1) OnSite has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff, or 2) if OnSite's existing corresponding originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the directly competing ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates."

9. OnSite's request for a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires applications to include a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date, is not opposed by the parties and should be granted because at the time of its application, OnSite did not, and still does not have an approved resale or interconnection agreement with any ILEC. OnSite agrees that within thirty (30) days of an order approving an interconnection agreement with any ILEC or underlying carrier, OnSite will submit tariffs in this docket, with a minimum 45-day proposed effective date, to the Commission for its approval. OnSite shall serve notice to all parties and participants in this docket of the filing of its tariff(s) at the time they are filed with the Commission and shall upon request immediately provide any party with a copy of those tariffs. The Commission's order should state these obligations as conditions to the waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), substantially as follows:

"Applicant's request for temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C) is hereby granted for good cause shown in that Applicant does not yet have an approved interconnection agreement with any of the incumbent local exchange carriers within whose service area it seeks authority to provide services. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of an order approving its interconnection agreement with an ILEC or underlying carrier, Applicant shall submit its tariffs for Commission approval. Such tariffs shall have a minimum of a 45-day effective date and the Applicant shall serve written notice upon the parties hereto of such submittal, and shall provide copies of such tariffs to such parties immediately upon request.

10. Waiver of the application of the following rules and statutory provisions as they relate to the regulation of OnSite's basic local telecommunication services should be granted:

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

\$392.210.2 \$392.240(1) \$392.270 \$392.280 \$392.290 \$392.300.2 \$392.310 \$392.320 \$392.330 \$392.340

COMMISSION RULES

4 CSR 240-10.020

4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)

4 CSR 240-30.040

4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)

4 CSR 240-33.030

4 CSR 240-35

- 11. Finally, OnSite will comply with all applicable Commission rules and regulations except those which specifically are waived by the Commission.
- 12. This Stipulation has resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatories and the terms hereof are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation in total, then this Stipulation shall be void and no signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. The Stipulations herein are specific to the resolution of this proceeding, and all stipulations are made without prejudice to the rights of the signatories to take other positions in other proceedings.
- 13. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation, the parties and participants waive, with respect to the issues resolved herein the following rights: their respective rights pursuant to §§536.072(2) and 536.080.1, RSMo 1994, to present testimony, to cross

examine witnesses, and to present oral argument or written briefs; their respective rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to §536.080.2, RSMo 1994; and their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to §386.500 RSMo 1994 and to seek judicial review pursuant to §386.510, RSMo 1994. The parties agree to cooperate with the Applicant and with each other in presenting this Stipulation for approval to the Commission and shall take no action, direct or indirect, in opposition to the request for approval of the OnSite application made herein.

- 14. The Staff shall file suggestions and shall have the right to file prepared testimony in support of this Stipulation and the other parties shall have the right to file responsive suggestions or prepared testimony. All responsive suggestions, prepared testimony or memorandum shall be subject to the terms of any Protective Order that may be entered in this case.
- 15. The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Stipulation is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided that Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other Parties with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from Staff. Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure, except to the extent it refers to matters that are privileged or protected from disclosure pursuant to any protective order that may be issued in this case.
- 16. The Office of the Public Counsel is a signatory to this Stipulation for the sole purpose of stating that it has no objection to this Stipulation.

WHEREFORE, the signatories respectfully request the Commission to issue its Order approving the terms of this Stipulation and issue its Order granting authority and classification as requested by OnSite, subject to the conditions described above.

Respectfully submitted,

#28847 Mark\W. Comley

Newman, Comley & Ruth P.C. 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 P.O. Box 537

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537

FOR: ONSITE ACCESS LOCAL, LLC

Assistant Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

FOR: Staff

Leo J. Bub

#34326

Anthony K. Conroy

#35199 #37606

Mimi B. MacDonald One Bell Center, Room 3520

St. Louis, Missouri 63101

FOR: Southwestern Bell Telephone

Company

Michael F. Dandino

Senior Public Counsel 301 West High Street

Jefferson City, MO 65102

FOR: Office of Public Counsel

ALI/Sec'y: To Be Issued By Delegat

ALI/Sec'y: The Hope

12-29
Date Circulated Return by 3-p.m.

CASE NO.

CASE NO.

Drainer, Vice Chair

Murray, Commissioner

Schemenauer, Commissioner

Schemenauer, Commissioner

Simmons, Commissioner

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 3^{rd} day of Jan. 2001.

Dale Hardy Roberts

lake Hared Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge