

118  
7/15

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the MoKan Dial, Inc. and )  
Choctaw Telephone Company Joint Request for )  
Clarification and Determination of Certain ) Case No. TO-98-379  
Aspects as to the Continued Provisioning of )  
Metropolitan Calling Area Service )

**NOTICE CLOSING CASE**

On December 2, 1999, MoKan Dial, Inc. and Choctaw Telephone Company (Applicants) filed a notice of dismissal of their joint application to determine certain aspects of the continued provisioning of MCA service, which application was filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) on March 9, 1998.

In their notice, Applicants cited no statutory provision or other authority. The better practice is to follow Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(D) which states that all applications to the Commission shall contain a "[r]eference to the statutory provision or other authority under which relief is requested." The proper authority which Applicants should have cited here is Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.116(1), which states, in part, that

...[a]n applicant...may voluntarily dismiss an application  
...without an order of the commission at any time before  
prepared testimony has been filed or oral evidence has been  
offered by filing a notice of dismissal with the commission  
and serving a copy on all parties....

There has been no prepared testimony filed nor has there been any oral evidence offered; thus the notice filed by the Applicants complies with this rule.

Because Applicants have dismissed their application, this case may now be closed.

BY THE COMMISSION



Dale Hardy Roberts  
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,  
on this 8th day of December, 1999.

Hopkins, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

RECEIVED  
DEC 08 1999  
COMMISSION COUNSEL  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION