## TA2001251v1

0001	TAZUU125 TV T
1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2 3 4	
4	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
5	
6	December 27, 2000 Jefferson City, Missouri
	Volume 1
7 8	
9	In the Matter of the Application )
10	of ExOp of Missouri, Inc., for ) Designation as a )
	Telecommunications Company ) Case No. TA-2001-251
11	Carrier Eligible for Federal ) Universal Service Support )
12	Pursuant to Section 254 of the )
13	Telecommunications Act of 1996. )
14 15	BEFORE:
16	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding,
17	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
17 18	
19	REPORTED BY:
20	REPORTED BY.
21	STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.
	714 West High Street
22	P. O. Box 1308 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
23	(573) 636-7551
24 25	
0002	
1 2	APPEARANCES: BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY, Attorney at Law
	SONDRA B. MORGAN, Attorney at Law
3	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. P. O. BOX 456
4	312 East Capitol Avenue
5	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
	FOR: BPS Telephone Company
6	Cass County Telephone Company Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville,
7	Mi ssouri
8	Ellington Telephone Company Fidelity Telephone Company
	Goodman Telephone Company, Inc.
9	Granby Telephone Company Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation
10	Green Hills Telephone Corporation
11	Holway Telephone Company Iamo Telephone Company
	KLM Telephone Company
12	Ki ngdom Tel ephone Company Le-Ru Tel ephone Company
	Page 1

```
TA2001251v1
                    McDonald County Telephone Company Miller Telephone Company
13
                    New London Telephone Company
Orchard Farm Telephone Company
Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company
Ozark Telephone Company
14
15
                    Peace Valley Telephone Company
Rock Port Telephone Company
16
17
                     Seneca Tel ephone Company
                     Spectra Communications Group, L.L.C.
                     Steel ville Telephone Exchange, Inc.
18
                     Stoutland Telephone Company.
19
      PETER MIRAKIAN, III, Attorney at Law
KRISTINE BECKER, Attorney at Law
Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne, L.L.P.
1000 Walnut, Suite 1400
20
21
            Kansas City, Missouri 64106
22
            FOR: ExOp of Missouri, Inc.
23
24
25
0003
                   PROCEEDINGS
(Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
JUDGE THOMPSON: We're here in the Matter of
 2
 3
 4
5
       the Application of ExOp of Missouri, Incorporated, for
       Designation as a Telecommunications Company Carrier
      eligible for Federal Universal Service Support pursuant to Section 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. TA-2001-251. My name is Kevin Thompson. I'm
 67
 8
 9
       the Regulatory Law Judge assigned to preside over this
10
       matter.
11
                   Let's take oral entries of appearance at this
12
       time.
13
                   Mr. McCartney.
                   MR. MCCARTNEY:
14
                                         Let the record reflect the
       appearance of Brian McCartney and Sondra Morgan on
15
       behalf of the Small Telephone Company Group. Our
16
       mailing address is Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City,
17
       Missouri 65102.
18
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. McCartney. MR. MI RAKI AN: Pete Mirakian and Kristine
19
20
       Becker appearing on behalf of ExOp of Missouri, Inc.
21
       from the law firm of Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne,
22
      L. L. P. in Kansas City. The address is 1000 Walnut,
Suite 1400, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
23
24
25
                                         Thank you.
0004
                   And where's staff; Mr. Poston, I believe? MS. BECKER: Yes.
 2
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Well, we'll just
 3
       continue without Mr. Poston. And I received a
 4
5
6
7
       telephone call from Mr. Dandino of the Office of the
       Public Counsel this morning advising me that he is ill,
       and that he is in St. Louis, but that he has had conversations with Mr. Poston, who is also absent,
 8
       regarding the development of the proposed procedural schedule. I hope he will include the rest of you in
 9
10
       those conversations at some point.
11
                   Do the parties have anything to bring to my
12
       attention at this time?
13
14
                    (No response.)
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
15
                                           0kay.
                                                     The purpose of this
                                                      Page 2
```

## TA2001251v1

early prehearing conference, as we call them, is so that the parties can confer and jointly develop a proposed procedural schedule. It's due, I think,
January 3rd in this case, and that should have dates
for the filing of direct testimony, rebuttal testimony,
surrebuttal testimony, an issues list, position statements of the parties.

This is all set out in the -- in the order. 

I'm just reviewing it for those of you who I don't see so frequently, and, of course, for a hearing. In order

to determine available dates for the evidentiary hearing, there is a calendar up in the -- on the 9th floor, which you can go up and take a look at. Well, that's all that I have, so we will

adjourn the recorded portion of the prehearing conference at this time.

WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the prehearing conference was concluded.