Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Solar Load Adjustment Michael L. Stahlman MO PSC Staff Surrebuttal Testimony ER-2014-0258 February 6, 2015

Filed March 23, 2015 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

### **REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION**

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

### OF

## MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

## UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

## CASE NO. ER-2014-0258

Jefferson City, Missouri February 2015

Stoff Exhibit No. 237 Dated 23-15 Reporter 44 File NO. FR-2014-0258

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company ) d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase ) Its Revenues for Electric Service )

Case No. ER-2014-0258

#### AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN

#### STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF COLE )

Michael L. Stahlman, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{\phantom{a}}}$  pages of Surrebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

RZZ

Michael L. Stahlman

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $4^{++}$  day of February, 2015.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 28, 2018 Commission Number: 14942086

Juser A Sunderm Notary Public

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2 3	OF	
4 5	MICHAEL L. STAHLMAN	
6 7		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
8 9		CASE NO. ER-2014-0258
10 11		
12	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
13	А.	My name is Michael L. Stahlman, and my business address is Missouri Public
14	Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.	
15	Q.	Are you the same Michael L. Stahlman that supported sections in Staff's
16	Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report, Staff's Rate Design and Class Cost-of-Service	
17	Report, and rebuttal testimony in this case?	
18	А.	Yes.
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
20	А.	I will discuss the Ameren Missouri's change in capacity factor for the
21	proposed adjustment of load for solar installations as discussed in the Rebuttal Testimony of	
22	Steven M. Wills.	
23	Solar Load Adjustment	
24	Q.	What does Ameren Missouri propose to change in the calculation of the solar
25	load adjustment?	
26	А.	Ameren Missouri proposes to increase the solar capacity factor used due to an
27	update by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's ("NREL") solar generation calculator	
28	called PVWatts released in September 2014.	
29	Q.	Does Staff support this change?

· .

1

# Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman

A. Not at this time. Since the actual energy generated by the solar panels in question is unavailable, Staff's approach in calculating the solar load adjustment is to keep the adjustment consistent with Ameren Missouri's calculation of Solar Renewable Energy Credits ("SRECs"). In response to Staff Data Request 0366.1, Ameren Missouri stated that a capacity factor of 14.4%, which is the older capacity factor, was used to calculate all SRECs for the solar panels installed during the test year. Staff would be amenable to the updated capacity factor if it was also used to calculate the amount of SRECs for these solar panel installations.

8 Q. Are there other differences between Staff's and Ameren Missouri's9 calculation?

A. Yes. Staff continues to support the adjustments made in its Staff's Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report which differ from Ameren Missouri's calculation in three ways: the capacity factor, sales in excess of a customer's demand, and adjusting for large classes. In rebuttal, Ameren Missouri updated the time period used for calculating the solar load adjustment which resolves the main difference between Ameren Missouri's and Staff's initial calculations.

16

Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

17

Q.

A.

Yes it does.

2