APPENDIX OF SELECTED AUTHORITES CITED IN SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.'S POST-HEARING BRIEF

<u>Interconnection Agreement by and between Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri,</u> TK-2004-0180, December 5, 2003 (as subsequently amended on November 2, 2004)

Missouri Agreement for Interconnection and Reciprocal Compensation by and between Southwestern Bell Wireless Inc and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, filed with Missouri Public Service Commission, June 3, 1999.

<u>Application of Chariton Valley Communication Corporation, Inc., for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement with SBC</u>, Case No. TK-2005-0300 (issued May 19, 2005)

<u>Arbitration of NonCosting Issues for Successor Interconnection Agreement to the Texas</u> 271 Agreement, Texas Public Utilities Commission, Docket 28821.

In the Matter of Petition of Verizon South, Inc., for Declaratory Ruling that Verizon is Not Required to Transit InterlATA EAS Traffic between Third Party Carriers and Request for Order Requiring) Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company to Adopt Alternative Transport Method, , North Carolina Utilities Commission, Docket No. P-19, Sub 454, Order Denying Petition, September 22, 2003.

<u>Petition of Level 3 Communications for Arbitration,</u> Final Arbitration Order, Public Utilities Commission of California, Docket No. 04-06-004, June 1, 2004.

Southwestern Bell Tele. Co. v. Public Utilities Comm. of Texas, et al., 348 F.3d 482, 485 (5th Cir. 2003).

Verizon Communications, Inc. et. al v. FCC, 535 U.S. 467 (2002)