Exhibit No.:

Issues: Revenues, Bad Debt Expense,

Other Employee Benefits, Payroll

Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin.

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2006-0314

Date Testimony Prepared: November 7, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2006-0314

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City) Power & Light Company for Approval to Make) Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service) to Begin the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan.				
AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN				
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)				
COUNTY OF COLE)				
Kimberly K. Bolin, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.				
Kumberly K. Bolin				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of 10th day of 2000				
Hotary Public				
ASHLEY M. HARRISON My Commission Expires August 31, 2010 Cole County Commission #08898978				

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY		
3	OF		
4	KIMBERLY K. BOLIN		
5	CASE NO. ER-2006-0314		
6	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1	
7	CUSTOMER GROWTH/LOSS ANNUALIZATION	2	
8	LARGE CUSTOMER ANNUALIZATION	2	
9	OTHER REVENUE	3	
10	UNCOLLECTIBLE (BAD DEBT) EXPENSE	3	
11	PAYROLL	3	
12	OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	5	
13	PAYROLL TAXES	5	
14	EMPLOYER 401(K) MATCH	5	

TRUE -UP DIRECT TESTIMONY 1 2 **OF** 3 KIMBERLY K. BOLIN 4 KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY 5 CASE NO. ER-2006-0314 Q. Please state your name and business address. 6 7 A. Kimberly K. Bolin, 200 Madison Street, Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO 65102. 8 By whom are you employed and in what capacity? Q. 9 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission as a Utility 10 Regulatory Auditor III. 11 Q. Are you the same Kimberly K. Bolin who has filed direct and surrebuttal 12 testimony in this case? A. 13 Yes. 14 Q. What is the purpose of your true -up direct testimony? 15 A. The purpose of my true-up direct testimony is to support the Missouri Public 16 Service Commission Staff's (Staff) recommendations regarding revenue, uncollectible (bad 17 debt) expense, payroll, payroll taxes and employee benefits. 18 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** Please provide a brief summary of your true-up testimony. 19 Q. 20 My true-up testimony addresses Staff's true-up recommendations for the A. 21 following areas: revenues, uncollectible (bad debt) expense, payroll, other employee benefits, 22 payroll taxes and 401(K) match.

A.

CUSTOMER GROWTH/LOSS ANNUALIZATION

2

Q. How did you update your customer growth/loss annualization?

4

used in my direct testimony updated for customer growth that occurred as of September 30,

5

2006.

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

Q. Did you also update your customer growth/loss annualization for KCPL's Kansas operations?

The customer growth adjustment was calculated using the same methodology

A. Yes. I updated my customer growth/loss annualization to include customer growth as of September 30, 2006. The impact of growth on kWh sales for both Kansas and Missouri jurisdictions was provided to Staff witness Leon Bender of the Commission's Energy Department for inclusion in the fuel model to calculate the annualized level of fuel and purchase power costs.

LARGE CUSTOMER ANNUALIZATION

- Q. Did the Staff adjust test year kWh sales for large power customers to reflect normal weather?
 - A. No. This is the same methodology that was used in the Staff's direct filing.
 - Q. Did Staff annualize large power customers?
- A. Yes. The Staff annualized large power customers based upon a review of monthly consumption for each customer during the test year. Staff's annualization included any new large power customers as of September 30, 2006. Also as part of Staff's annualization, the Staff removed the test year revenue for large power customers who left the system as of September 30, 2006.

	True-up Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin			
1	Q.	Did KCPL gain or lose any new large power customers during the true-up		
2	period?			
3	A.	KCPL did not gain any new large power customers and lost one Kansas large		
4	power customer.			
5	OTHER REVENUE			
6	Q.	Did Staff review the amounts KCPL booked in its Other Revenues accounts?		
7	A.	Yes. Staff reviewed the amounts KCPL booked in its Other Revenues		
8	accounts over	r the last six years and through September 30, 2006. These revenues include		
9	forfeited discounts, temporary installation profit, rent from electric property, miscellaneou			
10	electric revenues, and transmission service for others.			
11	Q.	In your direct testimony you indicated that the test year Other Revenue		
12	amounts appeared to be representative of an annualized level of revenue for each respective			
13	category. Do you still believe this to be the case?			
14	A.	Yes.		
15	UNCOLLEC	CTIBLE (BAD DEBT) EXPENSE		
16	Q.	Please explain how you calculated Adjustment S-68.1.		
17	A.	I multiplied the Missouri net write-off ratio (.61%) for the twelve months		
18	ending June 30, 2006, by Staff's Missouri Jurisdictional retail revenues (\$484,519,410) t			
19	arrive at a annual uncollectible expense of \$1,952,699.			

PAYROLL

20

21

Q. What compensation items are included in your true-up payroll annualization?

3

6

7

5

8 9

10

11 12

14

13

16

15

18 19

17

20

21

22

A. I have included the salaries and wages of all KCPL employees that were employed as of September 30, 2006. I also included an allocated share of GPE's annualized payroll based upon employees as of September 30, 2006.

- Q. Did you update the amount of overtime to be included in your payroll annualization?
- Yes. I used a three-year average of overtime incurred by KCPL. The three-A. year period I used was from October 2003 through September 2006.
- Q. Does your true-up payroll annualization include an allocated amount of overtime incurred by GPE employees?
- A. Yes. My payroll annualization for the true-up period includes an allocated amount of GPE overtime. The allocation of overtime is the same percentage allocation that was used for GPE base payroll allocated to KPCL. Overtime for GPE was calculated using a monthly average of overtime incurred for the 14 months of August 2005 through September 2006.
- Q. Why did you only use the most current 14 months to determine the amount of overtime for GPE?
- A. A reorganization occurred on August 1, 2005, which caused the movement of numerous employees from GPE to KCPL, thus overtime incurred before August 1, 2005, was not reflective of the overtime that will be incurred in the future by GPE.
- Q. Did you update the payroll billed to KCPL by the Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation to include billings as September 30, 2006?
 - A. Yes.

Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?

21

22

Q.

A.

Yes, it does.