V

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Joint Application of |) | | |
|--|---|----------|-------------|
| Spectra Communications Group, LLC and Mark |) | | |
| Twain Communications Company for Approval |) | Case No. | TO-2000-523 |
| of an Interconnection and Rebundling |) | | |
| Agreement under the Telecommunications Act |) | | |
| of 1996 | Ì | | |

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

On February 24, 2000, Spectra Communications Group, LLC (Spectra) and Mark Twain Communications Company (Mark Twain) filed a joint application for approval of an interconnection and unbundling agreement under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). On March 7, 2000, the Commission issued an order directing any party wishing to request a hearing or to participate without intervention to do so no later than March 27, 2000. No applications to participate or requests for hearing were filed.

In addition, the Commission ordered the Staff of the Public Service Commission (Staff) to file a memorandum advising either approval or rejection of this Agreement and giving its reasons therefor no later than May 4, 2000. Staff filed its memorandum on May 2, 2000, recommending the Agreement be approved. The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public

<u>Service Commission</u>, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has requested permission to participate or requested a hearing in this case, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the application.

Discussion

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e) of the Act, has authority to approve an interconnection agreement negotiated between an incumbent local exchange company (LEC) and a new provider of basic local exchange service. The Commission may reject an interconnection agreement only if the agreement is discriminatory or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

Staff stated in its recommendation that the terms and rates of this Agreement are similar to those contained and approved in other interconnection agreements, with a specific reference to Case No. TO-98-582, the interconnection agreement between GTE and Mark Twain Communications Company.

The Staff stated in its recommendation that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it does not appear to be discriminatory toward nonparties, and does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommended approval of the Agreement provided that all modifications to the Agreement be submitted to the Commission for approval. This condition has been applied in prior cases where the Commission has approved similar agreements.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review the Commission has reached the conclusion that the Agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not unduly discriminate against a nonparty carrier, and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement should be conditioned upon the parties submitting any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Modification Procedure

The duty to review all Commission resale has interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act. 47 U.S.C. § 252. In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve modifications to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection. 47 U.S.C. § 252(h). This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission. 240-30.010.

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all modifications, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed modification must be submitted for Commission approval, whether the modification arises through negotiation, arbitration, or by means of alternative dispute resolution procedures.

The parties have provided the Commission with a copy of the resale or interconnection agreement with the pages numbered consecutively in the lower right-hand corner. Modifications to an agreement must be submitted to the Staff for review. When approved, the modified pages will be substituted in the Agreement, which should contain the number of the page being replaced in the lower right-hand corner. Staff will date-stamp the pages when they are inserted into the Agreement. The Telecommunications Staff will maintain the official record of the original agreement and all the modifications made in the Commission's tariff room.

The Commission does not intend to conduct a full proceeding each the parties agree to a modification. Where a modification is identical to a provision that has been approved by the Commission in another agreement, the modification will be approved once Staff has verified that the provision is an approved provision, and prepared a recommendation advising approval. Where a proposed modification is not contained in another approved agreement, Staff the modification and its effects and prepare review recommendation advising the Commission whether the modification should be approved. The Commission may approve the modification based on the Staff recommendation. If the Commission chooses not to approve the modification, the Commission will establish a case, give notice to interested parties and permit responses. The Commission may conduct a hearing if it is deemed necessary.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(1), required to review negotiated interconnection or resale agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent interest, convenience and necessity with the public Section 252(e)(2)(A). Based upon its review of the Agreement between Spectra and Mark Twain, and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement is neither discriminatory inconsistent with the public interest and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the interconnection agreement between Spectra Communications Group, LLC and Mark Twain Communications Company filed on February 24, 2000, is approved.
- 2. That Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission shall maintain a copy of the executed interconnection agreement in the tariff room.

- 3. That any changes or modifications to this agreement shall be filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission for approval pursuant to the procedures outlined in this order.
 - 4. That this order shall become effective on May 22, 2000.
 - 5. That this case shall be closed after May 23, 2000.

BY THE COMMISSION

Ask Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Shelly A. Register, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1), (November 30, 1995) and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 12th day of May, 2000.