- P = (Summation of ranks in each of the 10 runs divided by 10T).
- 9) Using a cumulative standard normal distribution table, find the value Z_A such that the probability (or cumulative area under the standard normal curve) is equal to P calculated in step 8.
- 10) Compare Z_A with the desired critical value as determined from the critical Z-table. If Z_A is greater than the designated critical Z-value in the table, then the performance is noncompliant.
- 4.3 SWBT and CLEC will, upon PSC request, provide software and technical support as needed by Commission Staff for purposes of utilizing the permutation analysis. Any CLEC who opts into this Attachment 17 agrees to share in providing such support to Commission Staff.

5.0 Overview of Enforcement Structure

- 5.1 SWBT agrees with the following methodology for developing the liquidated damages and penalty assessment structure for Tier 1 liquidated damages and Tier 2 assessments:
- 5.2 SWBT will pay liquidated damages to the CLEC according to the terms set forth in this Attachment.
- 5.3 Liquidated damages apply to Tier 1 measurements identified as High, Medium, or Low in Appendix 1.
- 5.4 Assessments are applicable to Tier 2 measures identified as High, Medium, or Low in Appendix 1 and are payable to the Missouri State Treasury.
- 5.5 SWBT will not be liable for the payment of either Tier 1 damages or Tier 2 assessments until the Commission approves an Interconnection Agreement between a CLEC and SWBT containing the terms of Attachment 17 of this Agreement. Tier 2 assessments will be paid on the aggregate performance for all CLECs that are operating in Missouri.

6.0 Procedural Safeguards and Exclusions

1

- 6.1 SWBT agrees that the application of the assessments and damages provided for herein is not intended to foreclose other noncontractual legal and regulatory claims and remedies that may be available to a CLEC. By incorporating these liquidated damages terms into an interconnection agreement, SWBT and CLEC agree that proof of damages from any "noncompliant" performance measure would be difficult to ascertain and, therefore, liquidated damages are a reasonable approximation of any contractual damage resulting from a non-compliant performance measure. SWBT and CLEC further agree that liquidated damages payable under this provision are not intended to be a penalty.
- 6.2 SWBT's agreement to implement these enforcement terms, and specifically its agreement to pay any "liquidated damages" or "assessments" hereunder, will not be considered as an admission against interest or an admission of liability in any legal, regulatory, or other proceeding relating to the same performance. SWBT and CLEC agree that CLEC may not use: (1) the existence of this enforcement plan; or (2) SWBT's payment of Tier 1 "liquidated

damages" or Tier 2 "assessments" as evidence that SWBT has discriminated in the provision of any facilities or services under Sections 251 or 252, or has violated any state or federal law or regulation. SWBT's conduct underlying its performance measures, and the performance data provided under the performance measures, however, are not made inadmissible by these terms. Any CLEC accepting this performance remedy plan agrees that SWBT's performance with respect to this remedy plan may not be used as an admission of liability or culpability for a violation of any state or federal law or regulation. Further, any liquidated damages payment by SWBT under these provisions is not hereby made inadmissible in any proceeding relating to the same conduct where SWBT seeks to offset the payment against any other damages a CLEC might recover; whether or not the nature of damages sought by the CLEC is such that an offset is appropriate will be determined in the related proceeding. The terms of this paragraph do not apply to any proceeding before the Commission or the FCC to determine whether SWBT has met or continues to meet the requirements of section 271 of the Act.

- 6.3 SWBT shall not be liable for both Tier 2 "assessments" and any other assessments or sanctions under Missouri Public Service Commission Law or the Commission's service quality rules relating to the same performance.
- 6.4 Every six months, CLEC may participate with SWBT, other CLECs, and Commission representatives to review the performance measures to determine whether measurements should be added, deleted, or modified; whether the applicable benchmark standards should be modified or replaced by parity standards; and whether to move a classification of a measure to High, Medium, Low, Diagnostic, Tier 1 or Tier 2. The criterion for reclassification of a measure shall be whether the actual volume of data points was lesser or greater than anticipated. Criteria for review of performance measures, other than for possible reclassification, shall be whether there exists an omission or failure to capture intended performance, and whether there is duplication of another measurement. Performance measures for 911 may be examined at any six month review to determine whether they should be reclassified. The first six-month period will begin when an interconnection agreement including this remedy plan is adopted by a CLEC and approved by the Commission. Any changes to existing performance measures and this remedy plan shall be by mutual agreement of the parties and, if necessary, with respect to new measures and their appropriate classification, by arbitration. The current measurements and benchmarks will be in effect until modified hereunder or expiration of the interconnection agreement.

)

- 6.5 SWBT and CLEC acknowledge that no later than two years after SWBT or its affiliate receives Section 271 relief, the Commission's intention is to reduce the number of performance measures subject to damages and assessments by 50% to the extent there is a smaller number of measures that truly do capture all of the issues that are competition affecting and customer affecting.
- 6.6 CLEC and SWBT will consult with one another and attempt in good faith to resolve any issues regarding the accuracy or integrity of data collected, generated, and reported pursuant to this Attachment. In the event that CLEC requests such consultation and the issues raised by CLEC have not been resolved within 45 days after CLEC's request for consultation, then SWBT will allow CLEC to have an independent audit conducted, at CLEC's expense, of

SWBT's performance measurement data collection, computing, and reporting processes. In the event the subsequent audit reinforces the problem identified during the 45 days of consultation period or if any new problem is identified, SWBT shall reimburse a CLEC any expense incurred by the CLEC for such audit. CLEC may not request more than one audit per twelve calendar months under this section. This section does not modify CLEC's audit rights under other provisions of this Agreement. SWBT agrees to inform all CLECs of any problem identified during the audit initiated by any CLEC.

7.0 Exclusions Limited

- 7.1 SWBT shall not be obligated to pay liquidated damages or assessments for noncompliance with a performance measurement if, but only to the extent that, such noncompliance was the result of any of the following: a Force Majeure event; an act or omission by a CLEC that is contrary to any of its obligations under its interconnection agreement with SWBT or under the Act or Missouri law; or non-SWBT problems associated with third party systems or equipment, which could not have been avoided by SWBT in the exercise of reasonable diligence. Provided, however, the third party exclusion will not be raised more than three times within a calendar year. SWBT will not be excused from payment of liquidated damages or assessments on any other grounds, except by application of the procedural threshold provided for below. Any dispute regarding whether a SWBT performance failure is excused under this paragraph will be resolved with the Commission through a dispute resolution proceeding as outlined in the General Terms and Conditions of this Agreement or, if the parties agree, through commercial arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (AAA). SWBT will have the burden in any such proceeding to demonstrate that its noncompliance with the performance measurement was excused on one of the grounds set forth in this paragraph. If a Force Majeure event or other excusing event recognized in the first sentence of this section 7.1 only suspends SWBT's ability to timely perform an activity subject to performance measurement, the applicable time frame in which SWBT's compliance with the parity or benchmark criterion is measured will be extended on an hourfor-hour or day-for-day basis, as applicable, equal to the duration of the excusing event.
- 7.2 In addition to the provisions set forth herein, SWBT shall not be obligated to pay liquidated damages or assessments for noncompliance with a performance measure if the Commission finds such noncompliance was the result of an act or omission by a CLEC that is in bad faith, for example, unreasonably holding orders and/or applications and "dumping" such orders or applications in unreasonably large batches, at or near the close of a business day, on a Friday evening or prior to a holiday, or unreasonably failing to timely provide forecasts to SWBT for services or facilities when such forecasts are required to reasonably provide such services or facilities.
- 7.3 CLEC agrees that a maximum annual cap of \$98 million will apply to the aggregate total of any Tier-1 liquidated damages (including any such damages paid pursuant to this Agreement or to any other Missouri interconnection agreement with a CLEC) and Tier 2 assessments or voluntary payments made by SWBT pursuant to any Missouri interconnection agreement with a performance remedy plan. The annual cap will be determined by SWBT, based on the formula of 36% of Net Return as set forth at ¶ 436 and footnote 1332 of the FCC's December 22, 1999 Memorandum Opinion and Order in CC

Docket No. 99-295. In no event will the annual cap be greater than \$98 million per year, or less than \$76.3 million Once the annual cap is established, a monthly cap will be determined by dividing the amount of the annual cap by twelve. CLEC further acknowledges that a maximum monthly cap of \$8.17 million (\$98 million ÷ 12) for Tier 1 liquidated damages will apply to all performance payments made by SWBT under all SWBT Missouri interconnection agreements. To the extent in any given month the monthly cap is not reached, the subsequent month's cap will be increased by an amount equal to the unpaid portion of the previous month's cap. At the end of the year, if the aggregate total of Tier 1 liquidated damages and Tier 2 Assessments under all SWBT Missouri interconnection agreements equals or exceeds the annual cap, but SWBT has paid less than that amount due to the monthly cap, SWBT shall be required to pay an amount equal to the annual cap. In such event, Tier-1 liquidated damages shall be paid first on a pro rata basis to CLECs, and any remainder within the annual cap, shall be paid as a Tier 2 Assessment. In the event the total calculated amount of damages and assessments for the year is less than the annual cap, SWBT shall be obligated to pay ONLY the actual calculated amount of damages and assessments. The annual cap shall be calculated on the first day of the month following the annual anniversary of Commission approval of the Missouri 271 Agreement, using the most recent publicly available ARMIS data. For purposes of applying the cap, the relevant calendar year shall begin on the first day of the month following the month in which the Commission approved the Missouri 271 Agreement.

Whenever SWBT Tier 1 payments to an individual CLEC in a given month exceed \$1,000,000, or the Tier 1 payments to all CLECs in a given month exceed the monthly cap, then SWBT may commence a show cause proceeding as provided for below. Upon timely commencement of the show cause proceeding, SWBT must pay the balance of damages owed in excess of the threshold amount into escrow, to be held by a third party pending the outcome of the show cause proceeding. To invoke these escrow provisions, SWBT must file with the Commission, not later than the due date of the affected damages payments, an application to show cause why it should not be required to pay any amount in excess of the procedural threshold. SWBT's application will be processed in an expedited manner under the General Terms and Conditions of this Agreement. SWBT will have the burden of proof to demonstrate why, under the circumstances, it would be unjust to require it to pay liquidated damages in excess of the applicable threshold amount. If SWBT reports noncompliant performance to a CLEC for three consecutive months on 20% or more of the measures reported to the CLEC, but SWBT has incurred no more than \$340,000 in liquidated damages obligations to the CLEC for that period under the enforcement terms set out here, then the CLEC may commence an expedited dispute resolution under this paragraph pursuant to the General Terms and Conditions of the M2A. In any such proceeding the CLEC will have the burden of proof to demonstrate why, under the circumstances, justice requires SWBT to pay damages in excess of the amount calculated under these enforcement terms.

)

7.3.2 SWBT will post on its Internet website the aggregate payments of any liquidated damages or assessments.

- 7.4 With respect to any interconnection agreement, SWBT and any CLEC may request two expedited dispute resolution proceedings pursuant to the two preceding paragraphs before the Commission or, if the parties agree, through commercial arbitration with the AAA; during the term of the contract without having to pay attorneys fees to the winning company. For the third proceeding and thereafter, the requesting party must pay attorneys fees, as determined by the Commission or AAA, if that party loses.
- 7.5 In the event the aggregate total of Tier 1 damages and Tier 2 assessments under all SWBT Missouri interconnection agreements reaches the annual cap within a given year and SWBT continues to deliver noncompliant performance during the same year to any CLEC or all CLECs, the Commission may recommend to the FCC that SWBT should cease offering inregion interLATA services to new customers.

8.0 <u>Tier 1 Damages</u>

Tier 1 liquidated damages apply to measures designated in Appendix 1 as High, Medium, or Low when SWBT delivers "noncompliant" performance as defined above.

- 8.1 Under the damages for Tier 1 measures, the number of measures that may be classified as "noncompliant" before a liquidated damage is applicable is limited to the K values shown below. The applicable K value is determined based upon the total number of measures with a sample size of 10 or greater that are required to be reported to a CLEC where a sufficient number of observations exist in the month to permit parity conclusions regarding a compliant or noncompliant condition. For any performance measurement, each disaggregated category for which there are a minimum of 10 data points constitutes one "measure" for purposes of calculating K value. The designated K value and the critical Z-value seek to balance random variation, Type 1 and Type 2 errors. Type 1 error is the mistake of charging an ILEC with a violation when it may not be acting in a discriminatory manner (that is, providing noncompliant performance). Type 2 error is the mistake of not identifying a violation when the ILEC is providing discriminatory or noncompliant performance.
- 8.2 Liquidated damages in the amount specified in the table below apply to all "noncompliant" measures in excess of the applicable "K" number of exempt measures. Liquidated damages apply on a per occurrence basis, using the amount per occurrence taken from the table below, based on the designation of the measure as High, Medium, or Low in Appendix 1 and the number of consecutive months for which SWBT has reported noncompliance for the measure. For those measures listed on Appendix 2 as "Measurements Subject to Per Occurrence Damages or Assessments With a Cap," the amount of liquidated damages in a single month shall not exceed the amount listed in the table below for the "Per Measurement" category. For those measures listed in Appendix 2 as "Measurements Subject to Per Measure Damages or Assessment," liquidated damages will apply on a per measure basis, at the amounts set forth in the table below. The methodology for determining the order of exclusion, and the number of occurrences is addressed below in section 11.0, "Methods of Calculating the Liquidated Damages and Assessment Amounts."
- 8.3 The "K" exemption will not apply if SWBT has been non-compliant in the previous two consecutive months for the following performance measurements: PMs 1.1, 5, 13, 35, 55.1,

021601

58, 59, 59.1, 65.1, 67, 69, 70, 73, 107 and 114. The "K" exemption will again apply when two consecutive months of compliant performance has been demonstrated.

LIQUIDATED DAMAGES TABLE FOR TIER 1 MEASURES

Per occurrence						
Measurement Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6 and each following month
High	\$150	\$250	\$500	\$600	\$7.00	\$800
Medium	\$75	\$150	\$300	\$400	\$500	\$600
Low	\$25	\$50	\$100	\$200	\$300	\$400

Per Measure / C	ap*	· <u>·</u>				
Measurement Group	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6 and each following month
High	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$75,000	\$100,000	\$125,000	\$150,000
Medium	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000
Low	\$5,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000

ASSESSMENT TABLE FOR TIER 2 MEASURES

Per occurrence

Measurement Group	
High	\$500
Medium	\$300
Low	\$200

Per Measure/Cap*

Measurement Group	
High	\$75,000
Medium	\$30,000
Low	\$20,000

- * For per occurrence with cap measures, the occurrence value is taken from the per occurrence table, subject to the per measure with cap amount.
- 8.4 For measures reported on an aggregate Company-wide basis, any Tier I penalty will be assessed by reference to the relative weight of the individual CLEC activity in Missouri in proportion to such activity within SWBT's service area as a whole, subject to the associated cap. The following process will calculate this payment:

- 1. Determine the individual CLEC market (C^M) in the SWBT states. This is equal to the sum of the resold (R^M) and UNE access lines (U^M) in the five-state region.
- 2. The maximum assessment is then calculated for the given performance measure on the individual CLEC Market (PM).
- 3. Determine the individual CLEC market in the each state (C^s) .² The sum of each state's individual CLEC market will equal total individual CLEC market in the SWBT states. In other words, $C^{s1} + C^{s2} + C^{s3} + C^{s4} + C^{s5} = C^{M}$.
- 4. Determine the state specific proportion of the C^M.
- 5. Payments are then calculated for the given performance measure on each state's individual CLEC market (P^s).

The Tier I payment to be assessed in Missouri will the lesser of the calculated state payment (P^s) or the measurement cap

8.5 Tier 1 Liquidated Damages for PM 107 - "Percentage Missed Collocation Due Dates" are based on the number of days missed and are as follows:

Missed by 1-10 Days	\$150 per day
Missed by 11-20 Days	\$300 per day
Missed by 21-30 Days	\$450 per day
Missed by 31-40 Days	\$500 per day
Missed by greater than 40 days	\$1000 per day

The number of resale and UNE access lines (both UNE-loop and UNE-platform) are used to determine the CLEC Market share to be used for the calculation of state specific payments.

² This data will be equal to the number of loops or UNE equivalents from Performance Measures #37, 54, & 65.

9.0 Tier 2 Assessments to the State

9.1 Assessments payable to the Missouri State Treasury apply to the Tier 2 measures designated on Appendix 1 as High, Medium, or Low when SWBT performance is out of parity or does not meet the benchmarks for the aggregate of all CLEC data. Specifically, if the Z-test value is greater than the critical Z-value, the performance for the reporting category is out of parity or below standard.

Tier 2 measurements must have at least 10 observations per month to determine compliance.

- 9.2 For those measurements where a per occurrence assessment applies, an assessment as specified in the Assessment Table in section 8.2 for each occurrence is payable to the Missouri State Treasury for each measure that exceeds the critical Z-value, shown in the table in section 9.3 below, for three consecutive months. For those measurements listed in Appendix 2 as measurements subject to per occurrence with a cap, an assessment as shown in the Assessment Table in section 8.2 above for each occurrence with the applicable cap is payable to the Missouri State Treasury for each measure that exceeds the critical Z-value, shown in the table below, for three consecutive months. For those Tier 2 measurements listed in Appendix 2 as subject to a per measurement assessment an assessment amount as shown in the Assessment Table in section 8.2 above is payable to the Missouri State Treasury for each measure that exceeds the critical Z-value, shown in the table below, for three consecutive months.
- 9.3 The following table will be used for determining the Critical Z-value for each measure, as well as the K values referred to below based on the total number of measures that are applicable to a CLEC in a particular month. The table can be extended to include CLECs with fewer performance measures. The Critical Z-value for Tier 2 will be calculated in the same manner as for Tier 1.³

)

This sentence is added to clarify the manner in which critical-Z value is calculated.

Critical Z-Statistic Table

Number of Performance Measures	K Values	Critical Z - Value
1	0	1.65
2	0	1.96
3	0	2.12
4	0	2.23
5	0	2.32
6	0	2.39
7	0	2.44
8	1	1.69
9	1	1.74
10-19	1	1.79
20-29	2	1.73
30-39	3	1.68
40-49	3	1.81
50-59	4	1.75
60-69	5	1.7
70 –79	6	1.68
80 - 89	6	1.74
90 – 99	7	1.71
100 – 109	8	1.68
110 –119	9	1.7
120 - 139	10	1.72
140 – 159	12	1.68
160 – 179	13	1.69
180 – 199	14	1.7
200 – 249	17	1.7
250 – 299	20	1.7
300 – 399	26	1.7
400 – 499	32	1.7
500 – 599	38	1.72
600 - 699	44	1.72
700 – 799	49	1.73
800 - 899	55	1.75
900 – 999	60	1.77
1000 and above	Calculated for Type 1 Error Probability of 5%	Calculated for Type 1 Error Probability of 5%

- 9.4 For measures reported on an aggregate Company-wide basis, any Tier 2 assessment will be calculated by reference to the relative weight of CLEC activity in Missouri in proportion to such activity within SWBT's service area as a whole, subject to the associated cap. The following process will be used to calculate this payment:
 - Determine the total CLEC market (C^M) in the SWBT states. This is equal to the sum of the resold (R^M) and UNE access lines (U^M) in the five-state region.⁴
 - 2) The maximum assessment is then calculated for the given performance measure on the total CLEC Market (P^M).
 - 3) Determine the CLEC market in the each state (C^s).⁵ The sum of each state's CLEC market will equal total CLEC market in the SWBT states. In other words,

$$C^{s1} + C^{s2} + C^{s3} + C^{s4} + C^{s5} = C^{M}$$

- 4) Determine the state specific proportion of the C^{M} .
- 5) Payments are then calculated for the given performance measure on each state's CLEC market (P^s).
- 6) The Tier 2 payment to be assessed in Missouri will the lesser of the calculated state payment (P^s) or the measurement cap.

10.0 General Assessments

10.1 If SWBT fails to submit performance reports by the 20th day of the month, the following assessments apply unless excused for good cause by the Commission:

If no reports are filed, \$5,000 per day past due;

If incomplete reports are filed, \$1,000 per day for each missing performance result.

- 10.2 If SWBT alters previously reported data to a CLEC, and after discussions with SWBT the CLEC disputes such alterations, then the CLEC may ask the Commission to review the submissions and the Commission may take appropriate action. This does not apply to the limitation stated under section 7.0 titled "Exclusions Limited."
- 10.3 When SWBT performance creates an obligation to pay liquidated damages to a CLEC or an assessment to the State of Missouri under the terms set forth herein, SWBT shall make payment in the required amount on or before the 30th day following the due date of the performance measurement report for the month in which the obligation arose (e.g., if SWBT performance through March is such that SWBT owes liquidated damages to CLECs for March performance, or assessments to the State of Missouri for January March performance, then those payments will be due May 20, 30 days after the April 20 due date

The number of resale and UNE access lines (both UNE-loop and UNE-platform) are used to determine the CLEC Market share to be used for the calculation of state specific payments.

The CLEC market in each state will be represented by (i.e., equal to) the number of loops or UNE equivalents from Performance Measures #37, 54, & 65.

for reporting March data). For each day after the due date that SWBT fails to pay the required amount, SWBT will pay interest to the CLEC at the maximum rate permitted by law for a past due liquidated damages obligation and will pay an additional \$500 per day to the Missouri State Treasury for a past due assessment.

- 10.4 SWBT may not withhold payment of liquidated damages to a CLEC, for any amount up to \$1,000,000 a month, unless SWBT had commenced an expedited dispute resolution proceeding on or before the payment due date, asserting one of the three permitted grounds for excusing a damages payment below the procedural threshold (Force Majeure, CLEC fault, and non-SWBT problems associated with third-party systems or equipment). In order to invoke the procedural threshold provisions allowing for escrow of damages obligations in excess of \$1,000,000 to a single CLEC (or \$8.17 million to all CLECs), SWBT must pay the threshold amount to the CLEC(s), pay the balance into escrow, and commence the show cause proceeding on or before the payment due date.
- 10.5 CLEC will have access to monthly reports on performance measures and business rules through an Internet website that includes individual CLEC data, aggregate CLEC data, and SWBT's data.
- 10.6 The cap provided in Section 7.3 does not apply to assessments under Section 10 of this Attachment.
- 10.7 SWBT agrees to provide the following whenever it reports two consecutive parity or benchmark violations on any Performance Measurement identified below, and for each succeeding consecutive violation of that Measurement.

)

1

- 10.8 In the event SWBT misses any Tier-2 measurement for two consecutive months, and for each succeeding violation of that measurement, SWBT shall conduct an investigation to identify the problem and take corrective action. In addition, SWBT shall post such findings and a description of corrective action on its web site.
- 10.9 In the event SWBT misses any Tier-1 measurement for two consecutive months, for each succeeding violation of that measurement, upon request from a CLEC, SWBT shall conduct a joint investigation with the requesting CLEC to identify and resolve the problem in a cooperative manner. Such corrective action may include additional training, allocation of additional resources, or modification of SWBT processes, to the extent appropriate.

11.0 Methods of Calculating the Liquidated Damages and Assessment Amounts

The following methods apply in calculating per occurrence liquidated damages and assessments:

11.1 Tier 1 Liquidated Damages

)

j

11.1.1 Application of K Value Exclusions

Determine the number and type of measures with a sample size greater than 10 that are "noncompliant" for the individual CLEC for the month, applying the parity test and bench mark provisions provided for above. Sort all measures having non-compliant classification with a sample size greater than 10 in ascending order based on the number of data points or transactions used to develop the performance measurement result (e.g., service orders, collocation requests, installations, trouble reports). Exclude the first "K" measures designated Low on Appendix 1, starting with the measurement results having the fewest number of underlying data points greater than 10. If all Low measurement results with a non-compliant designation are excluded before "K" is exceeded, then the exclusion process proceeds with the Medium measurement results and thereafter the High measurement results. If all Low, Medium, and High measurements are excluded, then those measurements with sample sizes less than 10 may be excluded until "K" measures are reached. In each category measurement results with non-compliant designation having the fewest underlying data point are then excluded until either all noncompliant measurement results are excluded or "K" measures are excluded, whichever occurs first. For the remaining non-compliant measures that are above the K number of measures, the liquidated damages per occurrence are calculated as described further below. (Application of the K value may be illustrated by an example, if the K value is 6, and there are 7 Low measures and 1 Medium and 1 High which exceed the critical Z-value, the 6 Low measures with the lowest number of service orders used to develop the performance measure are not used to calculate the liquidated damages, while the remaining 1 Low measure, 1 Medium measure, and 1 High measure which exceed the critical Z-value are used.) In applying the K value, the following qualifications apply to the general rule for excluding measures by progression from measures with lower transaction volumes to higher. A measure for which liquidated damages are calculated on a per measure basis will not be excluded in applying the K value unless the amount of liquidated damages payable for that measure is less than the amount of liquidated damages payable for each remaining measure. A measure for which liquidated damages are calculated on a per occurrence basis subject to a cap will be excluded in applying the K value whenever the cap is reached and the liquidated damages payable for the remaining noncompliant measures are greater than the amount of the cap.

11.1.2 Calculating Tier 1 Liquidated Damages

11.1.2.1 Measures for Which the Reporting Dimensions are Averages or Means

Step 1: Calculate the average or the mean for the measure for the CLEC that would yield the critical Z-value. Use the same denominator as the one used in calculating the Z-statistic for the measure. (For benchmark measures, calculate the value that would yield the critical Z-value by adding or subtracting the critical Z-value to the benchmark as appropriate, subject to section 4.0 and the Business Rules.).

Step 2: Calculate the percentage difference the between the actual average and the calculated average.

%diff = (Clec-result - Calculated_Value)/Calculated Value. Assuming high values indicate poor performance. The percent difference will be capped at a maximum of 100%.

Step 3: Multiply the total number of data points by the percentage calculated in the previous step and the per occurrence dollar amount taken from the Liquidated Damages Table to determine the applicable liquidated damages for the given month for that measure.

11.1.2.2 Measures for Which the Reporting Dimensions are Percentages, Ratios or Proportions.

- Step 1: Calculate the percentage for the measure for the CLEC that would yield the critical Z-value. Use the same denominator as the one used in calculating the Z-statistic for the measure. (For benchmark measures, calculate the value that would yield the critical Z-value by adding or subtracting the critical Z-value to the benchmark as appropriate, subject to section 4.0 and the Business Rules.).
- Step 2: Calculate the difference between the actual percentage for the CLEC and the calculated percentage.
- Step 3: Multiply the total number of data points by the difference in percentage calculated in the previous step and the per occurrence dollar amount taken from the Liquidated Damages Table in section 8.2 to determine the applicable liquidated damages for the given month for that measure.

12.0 <u>Tier Two Liquidated Assessments</u>

- 12.1 Determine the Tier 2 measurement results, such as High, Medium, or Low that are noncompliant for three consecutive months for all CLECs, or individual CLEC if the measure is not reported for all CLECs and which has at least 10 data points each month.
- 12.1.1 If the noncompliant classification continues for three consecutive months, an additional assessment will apply in the third month and in each succeeding month as calculated below, until SWBT reports performance that meets the applicable criterion. That is, Tier 2 assessments will apply on a "rolling three month" basis, one assessment for the average number of occurrences for months 1-3, one assessment for the average number of occurrences for months 2-4, one assessment for the average number of occurrences for months 3-5, and so forth, until satisfactory performance is established.

12.1.2 Measures for Which the Reporting Dimensions are Averages or Means

- Step 1: Calculate the average or the mean for the measure for the CLEC that would yield the critical Z-value for the third consecutive month. Use the same denominator as the one used in calculating the Z-statistic for the measure. (For benchmark measures, calculate the value that would yield the Critical Z-value by adding or subtracting the critical Z-value to the benchmark as appropriate, subject to section 4.0 and the Business Rules.).
- Step 2: Calculate the percentage difference between the actual average and the calculated average for each month. The calculation is as follows:

Parity Measurements:

%diff = (actual average - calculated average)/calculated average. (high average indicates poor performance.). The percent difference will be capped at a maximum of 100%.

Benchmark measures:

%diff = (actual average – benchmark - critical Z)/actual average.

Step 3: Multiply the total number of data points each month by the percentage calculated in the previous step. Calculate the average for three months rounding to the next integer and multiply the result by \$500, \$300, and \$200 for Measures that are designated as High, Medium, and Low respectively; to determine the applicable assessment payable to the Missouri State Treasury for that measure.

12.1.3 Measures for Which the Reporting Dimensions are Percentages, Ratios or Proportions

- Step 1: Calculate the monthly percentage for the measure for the aggregate CLEC that would yield the critical Z-value for each month. Use the same denominator as the one used in calculating the Z-statistic for the measure. (For benchmark measures, calculate the value that would yield the critical Z-value by adding or subtracting the critical Z-value to the benchmark as appropriate, subject to section 4.0 and the Business Rules.).
- Step 2: Calculate the difference between the actual percentage for the aggregate CLEC and the calculated percentage for each of the three non-compliant months. The calculation is as follows:

Parity Measurements:

Ì

Diff = CLEC result - calculated percentage. (This formula is applicable where a high value is indicative of poor performance. The formula is reversed where high performance is indicative of good performance.)

Benchmark Measurements:

Diff = CLEC result - benchmark - critical z value (if applicable)

Step 3: Multiply the total number of data points for each month by the difference in percentage calculated in the previous step. Calculate the average for three months rounding to the next integer and multiply the result by \$500, \$300, and \$200 for measures that are designated as High, Medium, and Low respectively; to determine the applicable assessment for that measure.

13.0 Advanced and Nascent Services

- 13.1 In order to ensure parity and benchmark performance where CLECs order low volumes of advanced and nascent services, SWBT will make additional voluntary payments to the Missouri State Treasury on those measurements listed in section 14.2 below ("Qualifying Measurements"). Such additional voluntary payments will only apply when there are more than 10 and less than 100 observations for a Qualifying Measurement on average statewide for a three month period with respect to the following order categories:
 - UNE loop and port combinations,
 - resold ISDN,
 - ISDN UNE loop and port combinations,
 - BRI loop with test access, and
 - DSL loops.
- 13.2 The Qualifying Measurements are as follows:

Provisioning Measurements

- PMs 29, 45, 58 Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
- PMs 35, 46, 59 Installation Trouble Reports Within "X" Days
- PMs 27, 43, 56 Mean Installation Interval
- PMs 32, 49, 62 Average Delay Days for SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
- PM 55.1 Average Installation Interval DSL
- PM 57 Average Response Time for Loop Qualification Information

Maintenance Measurements

- PMs 38, 66 % Missed Repair Commitments
- PMs 41, 53, 69 % Repeat Reports
- PMs 39, 52, 67 Mean Time to Restore
- PMs 37, 54, 65 Trouble Report Rate
- 13.3 The additional voluntary payments referenced in section 14.1 will be made if SWBT fails to provide parity or benchmark service for the above measurements as determined by the use of the modified Z-test and a critical Z-value for either:

3 consecutive months; or

}

- 6 months or more in a calendar year.
- 13.4 The additional voluntary payments will be calculated on the rolling average of occurrences or measurements, as appropriate, where SWBT has failed to provide parity or benchmark performance for 3 consecutive months. If SWBT fails to provide parity or benchmark performance in Missouri for 6 or more months in a calendar year, the voluntary payments will be calculated as if all such months were missed consecutively.
- 13.5 If, for the three months that are utilized to calculate the rolling average, there were 100 observations or more on average for the qualifying measurement or sub-measurement, then no additional voluntary payments will be made to the Missouri State treasury. However, if during this same time frame there is an average of more than 10 but less than 100 observations for a qualifying measurement on a statewide basis, then SWBT shall calculate the additional payments to the Missouri State treasury by first applying the normal Tier 2 assessment calculation methodology to that qualifying measurement, and then trebling that amount.
- 13.6 Any payments made hereunder shall be subject to the annual cap set forth in section 7.3.
- 14.0 Attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference, are the following Appendices:
 - Appendix 1: Performance Measures Subject to Tier 1 and Tier 2 Damages Identified as High, Medium, and Low
 - Appendix 2: Measurements Subject to Per Occurrence Damages or Assessment With a Cap and Measurements Subject to Per Measure Damages or Assessment
 - Appendix 3: Performance Measurement Business Rules (Version 1.7)

MEASUREMENTS SUBJECT TO PER OCCURRENCE DAMAGES OR ASSESSMENT WITH A CAP

Measurements That Are Subject To Per Occurrence Damages Or Assessment With A Cap

- 1 Average Responses time for OSS Preorder Interfaces (1) (Tier-1 None, Tier-2 None)
- Percent Response received within "X" Seconds (2) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 Med.)
- 3 % Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Received Within "X" Hours (5) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 Med.)
- 4 Order Process Percent Flow Through (13) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 High)
- 5 Percent Mechanized Completions Returned Within 1 Hour (7) (Eliminated 7/12/00)
- 6 Mechanized Provisioning Accuracy (12) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 Low)
- Percent of Accurate And Complete Formatted Mechanized Bills (15) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 High)
- 8 Percent Of Billing Records Transmitted Correctly (16) (Tier-1 Low,)
- 9 Billing Completeness (17) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 Med.)
- 10 Billing Timeliness (Wholesale Bill) (18) (Tier-1 Low, Tier-2 High)
- 11 Percent Trunk Blockage (70) (Tier-1 High, Tier-2 High)
- 12 Directory Assistance Average Speed Of Answer (80) (Tier-1 None, Tier-2 Low)
- Operator Services Average Speed Of Answer (82) (Tier-1 None, Tier-2 Low)

Measurements That Are Subject To Per Measure Damages Or Assessment

- 1 % NXXs loaded and tested prior to the LERG effective date (117) (Tier-1 High, Tier-2 High)
- 2 Average Delay Days for NXX Loading and Testing (118) (Tier 1 High)
- 3 % Quotes Provided for Authorized BFRs within 30 business days (121) (Tier-1 High, Tier-2 High)
- 4 LSC Grade Of Service (GOS) (22) (Tier-2 High)
- 5 Percent Busy in the Local Service Center (23) (Tier-2 Low)
- 6 LOC Grade Of Service (GOS) (25) (Tier-2 High)
- 7 Percent Busy in the LOC (26) (Assessment Only) (Tier-2 Low)
- 8 Common Transport Trunk Blockage (71) (Tier-2 High)
- 9 OSS Interface Availability (4) (Tier-2 High)

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Group Subject to Tier-2 Assessments			
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High	
LE POTS, RESALE SPECIALS AND UNES						_	
e-Ordering/Ordering	·····	·4************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y	t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Average Response Time For OSS Pre-Order Interfaces.	-	-	_	-	•	-	
1.1 Average Response Time for Manual Loop Make-up Information (Formerly PM 57)	~	-	-	-	X		
1.2 Accuracy of Actual Loop Make-up Information Provide for DSL Orders	✓	-	-	-	X	•	
2. Percent Response received within "X" Seconds	✓	•	-	-	X	-	
3. EASE Average Response Time - Eliminated 7/12/00	**************************************	40.00				projection	
4. OSS Interface Availability	-	=	-			Х	
4.1 Pre-Order Backend System Database Query Availability	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5. % Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Received Within "X" Hours	-	-	-		Χ	_	
5.1 % Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) for XDSL-capable loops & Line Sharing Returned Within "x" Hours	✓		_	***************************************	Х	-	
5.2 Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Returned within "x" days on ASR requests	-	-	-	-	-	•	
6. Average Time To Return FOC	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6.1 Average Time to Return DSL FOC's	-	-	-	=		_	
7. Percent Mechanized Completions Returned Within 1 Hour - Eliminated 7/12/00							
7.1 Percent Mechanized Completions Notifications Available Within one Day of Work Completion	✓	-	-	-	-	•	
8. Average Time to Return Mechanized Completions - Eliminated 7/12/00	44-4-5	1960 0		P 37 3		erase.	
9. Percent Rejects	-	•	-	-	-	_	
10. Percent Mechanized Rejects Returned Within 1 Hour of EDI/LASR	✓	•	_	-	_	-	
10.1 Percent Manual Rejects Returned Within X Hours	✓	-	-	-	=	-	
10.2 Percentage of Orders that receive SWB-caused Jeopardy Notifications	-	-	-	-	-	•	
11. Mean Time to Return Mechanized Rejects	=	_	-	=	_	-	
11.1 Mean Time to Return Rejects that are Received Electronically via LEX or EDI	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11.2 Average SWB Caused Jeopardy Notification Interval	-	=	•	-	-	_	
12. Mechanized Provisioning Accuracy	✓	-		Χ		-	
12.1 Percent Provisioning Accuracy for non-flow through orders	-	_	✓	-	-	-	
13. Order Process Percent Flow Through	✓					Χ	

Performance Measures	Meas Su	Measurement Group: Subject to Tier-2 Assessments				
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
13.1 Overall Percent LSR Process Flow Through	-	-	-	-		•
lling						
14. Billing Accuracy	-	-	•	•	-	•
15. Percent of Accurate And Complete Formatted Mechanized Bills	✓	-	•	-	-	X
16. Percent Of Billing Records Transmitted Correctly	✓	-	•	-	-	-
17. Billing Completeness	✓	-	-	-	X	-
17.1 Service Order Posting	-	-	•	-	•	-
18. Billing Timeliness (Wholesale Bill)	√	-	-	-	-	X
19. Daily Usage Feed Timeliness	-	-	-	•	-	-
20. Unbillable Usage Eliminated 7/12/00						
scellaneous Administrative						
21. LSC Average Speed Of Answer - Eliminated 7/12/00			14.6	1	8.7	
22. LSC Grade Of Service (GOS)	-	-	-	-	•	Х
23. Percent Busy in the Local Service Center	-	-	-	Χ		•
24. LOC Average Speed Of Answer - Eliminated 7/12/00	1987	1,020,000	5-10-0	3 .400 %		900
25. LOC Grade Of Service (GOS)	-	-	-	•	•	Χ
26. Percent Busy in the LOC	-	-	_	Χ	•	_
ALE POTS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SWBT	***************************************	a a aeeeeeeee	-		q q qqadaab****	
27. Mean Installation Interval	-		*	-	•	X
28. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days (POTS)	-	-	-	-	•	-
29. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	-		✓	•	-	X
30. Percent Company Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	•	-	•
31. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities		-	-	•	-	-
32. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	-	√	-	-	_	-
33. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00		99				
34. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00	14 July 1					
35. Percent Trouble Reports Within 10 Days (I-10) Of Installation			•		****	-

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments			
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High	
35.1 Percent UNE-P Trouble Reports On The Completion Date	-	-	tu	-	-	-	
36. Percent No Access (Trouble Reports With no Access)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
faintenance							
37. Trouble Report Rate	-	-	•	-		-	
37.1 Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X	
38. Percent Missed Repair Commitments	-	-	✓	-	-	X	
39. Receipt To Clear Duration	-	•	✓	-	-	X	
40. Percent Out Of Service (OOS) < 24 Hours	•	✓	•	-	-	-	
41. Percent Repeat Reports	-	-	✓	-	-	Х	
42. Percent No Access (% of Trouble reports with No Access) - Eliminated 7/12/00	100						
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SV	<u>NBT</u>						
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI rovisioning	<u>vbt</u>		√		•	X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY ST ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval	<u>NBT</u>	•		-		X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days	<u>WBT</u>	- -		-		-	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY ST ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval	•	-	•	- - -	- - -	X - X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of	•	-	•	- - -		- X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation	•	-	•	- - - -	-	- X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SU ovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	•	-	· · ·	- - - -	-	- X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI ovisioning 43. Average installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates 50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00	•	-	· · ·	- - - -	-	- X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SI rovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates 50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated	•	-	· · ·	- - - -	-	- X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY STROVISIONING 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates 50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00 51. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00	•	-	· · ·	- - - - -	-	- X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY ST rovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates 50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00 51. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT -	•	-	· · ·	- - - -	-	- X X	
ALE SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SUrrovisioning 43. Average Installation Interval 44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days 45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates 46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation 47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities 49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates 50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00 51. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00 aintenance	•	-	· · ·	- - - - -	-	- X X - - -	

Performance Measures		Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Grou Subject to Tier-2 Assessments						
		Med	High	Low	Med	High					
Provisioning											
55. Average Installation Interval	-		-	-	-	-					
55.1 Average Installation Interval - DSL	-	-	✓	-	-	X					
55.2 Average Installation Interval for Loop With LNP	-	-	-	-	-	-					
55.3 Percent xDSL-capable loop orders requiring the removal of load coils and or repeaters	-	_	-	-	-						
56. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days	_	-	-	-	-	-					
56.1 Percent installations completed within the customer requested due date for LNP with loop	-	-	✓	-	-	Х					
57. Moved to PM 1.1											
58. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	-	-	✓	-	-	X					
59. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation	-		✓	-	-	X					
60. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	•	-	-	-	-					
61. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	•	-	-					
62. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	-	✓	-	-	-	-					
63. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days	-	-	-	-	-	-					
64. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00	760.	7									
aintenance											
65. Trouble Report Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-					
65.1 Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports	-		✓	-	-	Χ					

Performance Measures		urement bject to T Damage	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments			
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
66. Percent Missed Repair Commitments	-	-	✓	•	~	Χ
67. Mean Time To Restore	•	-	✓	-	-	X
68. Percent Out Of Service (OOS) < "X" Hours - Eliminated 7/12/00	%i . ;=3j	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· Committee	No. 1		
69. Percent Repeat Reports	_	#	✓	-		X
ERCONNECTION TRUNKS						
70. Percent Trunk Blockage	_	-	✓	-	_	Χ
70.1 Trunk Blockage Exclusions	-	-	-	-	-	-
71. Common Transport Trunk Blockage	-	-	-	-	-	Χ
72. Distribution Of Common Transport Trunk Groups Exceeding 2%	_	-	-	-	-	
73. Percentage of installations completed within the customer desired due date	-	-	✓	-	-	X
73.1 Percentage Held Interconnection Trunks	-	✓	-	X	_	_
74. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates - Interconnection Trunks	✓		-	-	-	_
75. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
76. Average Trunk Restoration Interval	✓	-	_	-	-	=
77. Average Trunk Restoration Interval for Service Affecting Trunk Groups	-	-	✓	-	-	X
78. Average Interconnection Trunk Installation Interval - Eliminated 7/12/00	多自由等	43477	6. 4.0g		3430.2	
ECTORY ASSISTANCE (DA) AND OPERATOR SERVICES (OS)						
79. Directory Assistance Grade Of Service - Eliminated 7/12/00	\$\$7.73-3.45X	\$ \$1 \$1 \text{\$2 \text{\$4 \text{\$	1202-025x			學際監
80. Directory Assistance Average Speed Of Answer 81. Operator Services Grade Of Service - Eliminated 7/12/00			- Secret	X	-	<u>-</u>
82. Operator Services Grade Of Service - Eliminated 7/12/00	1757-175	9	The of Source	X	#2713 T	記述文
83. Percent Calls Abandoned - Eliminated 7/12/00			- 		- 	-
84. Percent Calls Deflected - Eliminated 7/12/00	10 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		NA WATER	in in		2 0 E 65
	150 m				1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1	36 (4)
85. Average Work Time - Eliminated 7/12/00	1000 (1833 (26) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27) (27		**************************************			
86. Non-Call Busy Work Volumes - Eliminated 7/12/00	130.300	***			W. W.	· P

PERFORMANCE MEASURES SUBJECT TO TO	Meas Sul					
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
87. % Installation Completed Within "x" (3, 7, 10) Business Days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
88. Average INP Installation Interval - Eliminated 7/12/00			250			
89. Percent INP I-Reports Within 30 Days - Eliminated 7/12/00					V 0000-00	900
90. Percent Missed Due Dates - Eliminated 7/12/00		(37 mile)				

VIII LOCAL NUMBER PORTABILITY (LNP)

VAC NOMPER I OXIABILITI (ENT)						
91. Percent LNP Due Dates within Industry Guide Lines	-	-	-	-	-	-
92. Percent of time the old service Provider Releases Subscription prior to the expiration of the second 9 hour timer	_	_	=	-	-	_
93. Percent of customer account restructured prior to LNP Due Dates	✓	-		-	-	-
94. Percent FOCs received within "X": hours - Eliminated 7/12/00		Establica.				
95. Average Response time for Non-mechanized Rejects returned with complete and accurate codes - Eliminated 7/12/00		i i		n Sagandanje		
96. Percent premature Disconnects for Stand Alone LNP Orders	-	-	✓	th th	-	X
97. Percent of Time SWBT applies the 10-digit trigger prior to the LNP Order Due date.	-	-	✓	=	-	X

Performance Measures	Sul	urement oject to T Damages	ier-1	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
98. Percent LNP I-Reports in 10 days	-	•	✓	-	-	X
99. Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates.	-	✓	-	-	X	-
100. Average Time of out of service for LNP conversions	-	-	-	-	-	-
101, Percent Out of Service < 60 Minutes	-	-	✓	-		X
1						
102. Average Time To Clear Errors	✓	-	-	_	-	-
103. % accuracy for 911 database updates	✓	-	-	-	-	-
104. Average Time Required to Update 911 Database (Facility Based Providers)	✓	-	-	-	-	-
104.1 The Average Time it takes to unlock the 911 record	*			-	-	-
LES, CONDUIT AND RIGHTS OF WAY	**************************************		·	***************************************		*,
105. % of requests processed within 35 days	✓	-	-	-	=	-
106. Average Days Required to Process a Request	•	•	-			-
LLOCATION						
107. % Missed Collocation Due Dates	_	-	✓	-	-	X
108. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	✓	-	-	•		-
109. % of requests processed within the tariffed timelines	✓	-	=	•	=	-
110. % of updates completed into the DA Database within 72 Hours for facility based CLECs 111. Average Update Interval for DA database for facility based CLECs 112. % DA Database Accuracy For Manual Updates	V	-	-	-	•	-
113. % of electronic updates that flow through the DSR process without manual intervention	✓	-	•	=	-	-

	PERFORMANCE MEASURES SUBJECT TO TIER Performance Measures	Meas Sul	rier-2 D. urement bject to T Damage	Groups ier-1	Measu Sub	rement ject to T sessme	
L		Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High

XII. COORDINATED CONVERSIONS

114. % Pre-mature disconnects (Coordinated Cutovers)	-	=	•	_	-	Х
114.1 CHC/FDT LNP with Loop Provisioning Interval	-	-	-	-	-	•
115. % SWBT caused delayed Coordinated Cutovers	-	-	-	-	-	•
115.1 Mean Time To Restore - Provisioning Trouble Report (PTR)	-	•	-	-	-	•
116. % Missed mechanized INP conversions - Eliminated 7/12/00						

XIII. NXX

117. % NXXs loaded and tested prior to the LERG effective date	-	-	✓	=	-	Χ
118. Average Delay Days for NXX loading and testing	✓	-	-	-	-	-
119. Mean Time to Repair - Eliminated 7/12/00	學的物	e Wetsk	resonar	14.40		

XIV. BONA FIDE REQUEST PROCESS (BFRs)

120. % of requests processed within 45 business days	-	-	-	-	-	-
121. % Quotes Provided for Authorized BFRs within 30 business days		•	✓	•	-	X
122. Eliminated 7/12/00		100		180		
123. Percent of timely and compliant change management notices	•	-	-	-	-	-
124. Timely resolution of significant software failures related with releases	-	-	✓	-	-	Х

Total	29	6	33	6	7	39

TABLE OF CONTENTS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

I.	R	ESALE	POTS, RESALE SPECIALS AND UNES1
	A.		ordering/Ordering1
		Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
		1	Average Response Time For OSS Pre-Order Interfaces
		1.1	Average Response Time for Manual Loop Make-Up Information
		1.2	Accuracy of Actual Loop Makeup Information Provided for DSL Orders
		2	Percent Responses Received within "X" seconds – OSS Interfaces
		3	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		4	OSS Interface Availability
		4.1	Pre-Order Backend System Database Query Availability
		5	Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Returned on time for LSR requests
		5.1	Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) for XDSL-capable loops & Line Sharing Returned Within "X" Hours
		5.2	Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Returned within X days on ASR requests
		6	Average Time to Return FOC
		6.1	Average Time to Return DSL FOC's
		7	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		7.1	Percent Mechanized Completions Notifications Available Within one Day of Work Completion
		8	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		9	Percent Rejects
		10	Percent Mechanized Rejects Returned Within one hour of receipt of LSR
		10.1	Percent Manual Rejects Received Electronically and Returned Within X Hours
		10.2	Percentage of Orders that receive SWB-caused Jeopardy Notifications
		11	Mean Time to Return Mechanized Rejects
		11.1	Mean Time to Return Manual Rejects that are Received Electronically via LEX or EDI
		11.2	Average SWB-caused Jeopardy Notification Interval
		12	Mechanized USOC Provisioning Accuracy
		12.1	Percent Provisioning Accuracy for non-flow through orders
		13	Order Process Percent Flow Through
		13.1	Overall Percent LSR Process Flow Through

Version 1.7 i

В.	8					
	Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:				
	14	Billing Accuracy				
	15	Percent of Accurate and Complete Formatted Mechanized				
		Electronic Bills via EDI or BDT				
	16	Percent of Accurate Usage Records transmitted (of those records that are				
		are subject to active CLEC review) via the "Extract Return File" process				
	17	Billing Completeness				
	17.1	Service Order Posting				
	18	Mechanized Electronic Billing Timeliness EDI and BDT (Wholesale Bill)				
	19	Daily Usage Feed Timeliness				
	20	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00				
•	Minne					
C.		ellaneous Administrativermance Measurement Numbers:				
		Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00				
	21					
	22	Local Service Center (LSC) Grade of Service (GOS)				
	23	Percent Busy in the Local Service Center (LSC)				
	24	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00				
	25	Local Operations Center (LOC) Grade of Service (GOS)				
	26	Percent Busy in the Local Operations Center (LOC)				
	•	POTS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS ED BY SWBT				
A.		isioning				
		rmance Measurement Numbers:				
	27	Mean Installation Interval				
	28	Percent POTS/UNE-P Installations Completed Within the customer requested due date				
	29	Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates				
	30	Percent Company Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities				
	31	Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities				
	32	Average Delay Days For SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates				
	33	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00				
	34	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00				
	35	Percent POTS/UNE-P Trouble Report Within 10 Days				
	33	(I-10) of Installation				
	35.1	Percent UNE-P Trouble Reports On the Completion Date				
	36	Percent No Access (Service Orders With No Access)				
	50	Terecht No Access (Service Orders With No Access)				
В.		itenance				
		ormance Measurement Numbers:				
	37	Trouble Report Rate				
	37.1	Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports				
	38	Percent Missed Repair Commitments				
	39	Mean time to restore				
	40	Percent Out Of Service (OOS) <24 Hours				

Version 1.7 ii

II.

		41	Percent Repeat Reports
		42	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
III.	RES	SALE S	SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS
	CO	MBINE	ED BY SWBT (EXCLUDES "ACCESS" ORDERS)
	A,	Provi	sioning
		Perfor	rmance Measurement Numbers:
		43	Average Installation Interval
		44	Percent (Specials) Installations Completed Within the Customer Requested Due Date
		45	Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
		46	Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) of Installation
		47	Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities
		48	Delay Days for Missed Due Dates Due to Lack Of Facilities
		49	Delay Days For SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
		50	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		51	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	В.	Main	tenance
	В,		rmance Measurement Numbers:
		52	Mean Time to Restore
		53	Percent Repeat Reports
		54	Trouble Report Rate
IV.	UN		LED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNES)
	Α.		isioning
	А.		rmance Measurement Numbers:
		55	Average Installation Interval
		55.1	Average Installation Interval – DSL
		55.2 55.3	Average Installation Interval for Loop With LNP Percent xDSL-capable loop orders requiring the removal
		55.5	of load coils and or repeaters
		56	Percent (UNEs) Installations Completed Within the Customers
		30	Requested Due Date
		56.1	Percent Installations Completed within the Customer Requested
		30.1	due Date for LNP with Loop
		57	Moved to PM 1.1
		58	Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
		50 59	Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30)
		39	of Installation
		60	Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities
		61	Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due to Lack Of Facilities
		62	Average Delay Days For SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates
		63	Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates >30 Days
		64	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	_		
	В.	Mair	ntenance

	Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
	65	Trouble Report Rate
	65.1	Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports
	66	Percent Missed Repair Commitments
	67	Mean Time To Restore
	68	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	69	Percent Repeat Reports
V.	INTERCO	NNECTION TRUNKS
	Perfo	rmance Measurement Numbers:
	70	Percentage of Trunk Blockage
	70.1	Trunk Blockage Exclusions
	71	Common Transport Trunk Blockage
	72	Distribution Of Common Transport Trunk Groups > 2%/1%
	73	Percentage of Installations Completed Within the Customer
		Requested Due Date
	73.1	Percentage Held Interconnection Trunks
	74	Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates – Interconnection Trunks
	75	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	76	Average Trunk Restoration Interval – Interconnection Trunks
	77	Average Trunk Restoration Interval for Service Affecting
		Trunk Groups
	78	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
VI.	DIRECTO	ORY ASSISTANCE (DA) AND OPERATOR SERVICES (OS)
	Perfo	rmance Measurement Numbers:
	79	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	80	Directory Assistance Average Speed Of Answer
	81	Eliminated with the 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	82	Operator Services Speed Of Answer
	83	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	84	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	85	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	86	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
VII.	. INTERIM	I NUMBER PORTABILITY (INP)
	Perfo	ormance Measurement Numbers:
	87	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	88	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	89	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	90	Fliminated with 6 month review affective 7/12/00

Version 1.7 iv

VIII.	LOC	CAL NU	JMBER PORTABILITY (LNP)
		Perfon	mance Measurement Numbers:
		91	Percentage of LNP Only Due Dates Within Industry Guidelines
		92	Percentage of Time the Old Service Provider Releases the
			Subscription Prior to the Expiration of the Second 9 Hour (T2) Timer
		93	Percentage of Customer Account Restructured Prior to LNP Due Date
		94	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		95	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
		96	Percentage Pre-mature Disconnects for Stand alone LNP Orders
		97	Percentage of Time SWBT Applies the 10-digit Trigger Prior to
			the LNP Order Due Date
		98	Percentage Stand Alone LNP I-Reports in 10 Days
		99	Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates for Stand
			Alone LNP Orders
		100	Average Time of Out of Service for LNP Conversions
		101	Percent Out of Service < 60 minutes
IX.	911		
		Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
		102	Average Time To Clear Errors
		103	Percent Accuracy for 911 Database Updates
			(Facility Based Providers)
		104	Average Time Required to Update 911 Database
			(Facility Based Providers)
		104.1	The average time it takes to unlock the 911 record
X .	POI	LES, C	ONDUIT AND RIGHTS OF WAY
		Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
		105	Percent of requests processed within 35 Days
		106	Average Days Required to Process a Request
VI	CO	LLOC	ATION
XI.	CO		
			rmance Measurement Numbers:
		107	Percentage Missed Collocation Due Dates
		108	Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates
		109	Percent of Requests Processed Within the Tariffed Timelines
	D. 7. E.	FOTO	DAY A GOTOR ANDER DARRAGE
XII	. DIF	ŒCTO	RY ASSISTANCE DATABASE
		Perfo	rmance Measurement Numbers:
		110	Percentage of Updates Completed into the DA Database
			Within 72 Hours for Facility Based CLECs
		111	Average Update Interval for DA Database for Facility Based CLECs
		112	Percentage DA Database Accuracy For Manual Updates
		113	Percentage of Electronic Updates that Flow Through the DSR
			Process Without Manual Intervention

Version 1.7 v

XIII.C	COORDIN	ATED CONVERSIONS
	Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
	114	Percentage of Premature Disconnects for CHC/FDT LNP
		with Loop Lines
	114.1	CHC/FDT LNP with Loop Provisioning Interval
	115	Percent Provisioning Trouble Reports (PTR)
	115.1	2 1 ()
	116	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
XIV. N	XXX	
	Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
	117	Percent NXXs loaded and tested prior to the LERG effective date
	118	Average Delay Days for NXX Loading and Testing
	119	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
XV. F	BONA FID	DE/SPECIAL REQUEST PROCESS (BFRs)
	Perfor	mance Measurement Numbers:
	120	Percentage of Requests Processed Within 30 Business Days
	121	Percentage of Quotes Provided for Authorized BFRs/Special
		Requests Within X (10, 30, 90) Days
	122	Eliminated with 6 month review - effective 7/12/00
	123	Percent of Timely and Compliant Change Management Notices
	124	Timely resolution of significant Software Failures related
		with Releases
XVI. O		L BUSINESS RULES (APPLICABLE TO ALL MEASURES EXCEPT AS
	SPECIFI	CALLY NOTED
Ä	A. Repo	rting of Exclusions
J	B. Geog	raphic Market Regions
	- Annendix	One
		Two
		Three
	ADDENGIX	Four

Version 1.7 vi

APPENDIX PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS BUSINESS RULES (VERSION 1.7) RESALE POTS, RESALE SPECIALS AND UNES

Pre-Ordering/Ordering

1. Measurement

Average Response Time For OSS Pre-Order Interfaces

Definition:

The average response time in seconds from the SWBT side of the Remote Access Facility (RAF) and return for pre-order interfaces (Verigate, DataGate/EDI/CORBA) by function.

Exclusions:

• None

Business Rules:

The clock starts on the date/time when the request is received by SWBT, and the clock stops on the date/time when SWBT has completed the transmission of the response to the CLEC. Timestamps are taken at the DataGate and Verigate servers and do not include transmission time through the LRAF. Response time is accumulated for each major query type, and then divided by the associated total number of queries received by SWBT during the reporting period. The response time is measured only within the published hours of interface availability. Published hours of interface availability are documented on the CLEC web site. (SWBT will not schedule system maintenance during normal business hours (8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday). If the CLEC accesses SWBT systems using a Service Bureau Provider, the measurement of SWBT's performance does not include Service Bureau Provider processing, availability or response time.

For the protocol translation response times, start and end times are as follows: EDI input time starts at the time the CLEC successfully connects to the EDI Interactive Agent and the end time is when the connection is made to DataGate for processing. EDI output time starts when the response message is received from DataGate and the end time is when the message is sent to the CLEC. CORBA input time starts at the time the message is received by the CORBA interface and the end time is when the connection is made to DataGate for processing. CORBA output time starts when the response message is received from DataGate and the end time is when the message is sent to the CLEC.

Levels of Disaggregation:

Address Verification

- Request For Telephone Number
- Request For Summary Customer Service Record (CSR) <= 30 WTNs (Also broken down for Lines as required for DIDs).
- Request For Summary Customer Service Record (CSR) > 30 WTNs (Also broken down for Lines as required for DIDs).
- Request for Detailed Customer Service Request (CSR)
- Service Availability
- Service Appointment Scheduling (Due Date)
- Dispatch Required
- PIC
- Actual Loop Makeup Information requested actual data returned
- Actual Loop Makeup Information requested design data returned
- Design Loop Makeup Information requested design data returned
- Protocol translation time EDI input messages
- Protocol translation time EDI output messages
- Protocol translation time CORBA input messages
- Protocol translation time CORBA output messages

Calculation:	Report Structure:
Σ[(Query Response Date & Time) - (Query Submission Date & Time)] ÷ (Number of Queries Submitted in Reporting Period)	Reported on a CLEC, all CLECs, and SWBT affiliate where applicable (or SWBT acting on behalf of its' affiliate) for DataGate /EDI/CORBA and Verigate.

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 – None

Tier 2 - None

Benchmark:

Benchmarks for summary CSR applies to <= 30 WTNs. Benchmarks for Loop Makeup Information are interim until all parties agree that sufficient data is available to set final benchmarks Critical z-value does not apply

Measurement	DataGate/EDI/COR BA/	Verigate
Address Verification	4.7 seconds	4.7 seconds
Request For Telephone Number	4.5 seconds	4.5 seconds
Request For Customer Service Record (CSR)	6.6 seconds	6.6 seconds

Service Availability	6.6 seconds	6.6 seconds
Service Appointment Scheduling (Due Date)	1.0 second	1.0 second
Dispatch Required	12.6 seconds	12.6 seconds
PIC	19.1 seconds	19.1 seconds
Actual Loop Makeup Information requested – actual data returned	12.6 seconds	12.6 seconds
Actual Loop Makeup Information requested – design data returned	23 seconds	23 seconds
Design Loop Makeup Information requested – design data returned	10 seconds	10 seconds
Protocol translation time - EDI input messages	Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol translation time - EDI output messages	Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol Translation Time – CORBA input messages	Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol Translation Time – CORBA output messages	Diagnostic	Not Applicable

}

1.1. Measurement (Formerly PM 57)

Average Response Time for Manual Loop Make-Up Information

Definition:

The average time required to provide manual loop qualification for xDSL capable loops measured in business days.

Exclusions:

 Manual requests for Loop Makeup Information not initiated by the CLEC; however, manual requests initiated by the LSC as part of the ordering process when no mechanized loop qualification data is available will be included.

Business Rules:

For a DataGate/EDI/CORBA or Verigate initiated request, the start date and time is when the request is received in the Loop Qual System. The end date and time for the DataGate/EDI/CORBA or Verigate request is when the loop makeup information has either has been e-mailed back to the CLEC or, if the CLEC does not want email, is available in the Loop Qual System.

For manual requests for Loop Makeup Information initiated by the LSC as part of the ordering process, the start date and time is the receipt date and time of the good LSR. The end date and time is when the loop makeup information is available in the Loop Qual System.

SWBT will provide raw data to CLECS in an agreed to format, on a monthly basis, without the need for a request from a CLEC, until such time as both parties agree it is no longer necessary.

Levels of Disaggregation:

• None

Calculation:	Report Structure:
Σ(Date and Time the Loop Qualification is made available to CLEC – Date and Time the CLEC request is received)/Total number of loop qualifications	By CLEC, All CLECs and SWBT or its affiliates (or SWBT acting on behalf of its' affiliate).
3.5	

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 - Low

Tier 2 – Medium

Benchmark:

3 business days, Critical z-value applies.

)

1.2 Measurement (New Measure)

Accuracy of Actual Loop Makeup Information Provided for DSL Orders

Definition:

The percent of accurate DSL actual Loop Makeup Information provided to the CLEC.

Exclusions:

None

Business Rules:

This measurement tracks accuracy of the loop makeup information provided to the CLEC. It compares reported loop makeup information to actual loop makeup information on the loop provided to the CLEC, and it captures both the clerical error and underlying data error.

Levels of Disaggregation:

- DSL actual Loop Makeup Information provided manually
- DSL actual Loop Makeup Information provided electronically

Calculation: (# of orders for which Loop makeup information provided by SWBT is identical to engineering work confirmation/DLR ÷ total actual Loop Makeup Information responses) * 100 Report Structure: VERIGATE, and SWBT DSL Retail basis by interface for EDI, DATAGATE, VERIGATE, or manually, depending on method of provision of actual loop makeup information.

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 - Low

Tier 2 - Medium

Benchmark:

95% accurate for each level of disaggregation, or parity with SWBT DSL Retail, SWBT DSL Affiliate, or other CLECs, whichever is higher.

2. Measurement

Percent Responses Received within "X" seconds – OSS Interfaces

Definition:

The percent of responses completed in "x" seconds for pre-order interfaces (Verigate and DataGate/EDI/CORBA,)by function.

Exclusions:

• None

Business Rules:

See Measurement No. 1

Levels of Disaggregation:

See Measurement No. 1

Calculation:	Report Structure:
(# of responses within each time	Reported on a CLEC, all CLECs, and
	SWBT affiliate where applicable (or
	SWBT acting on behalf of its' affiliate), by
	interface.

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 - Low

Tier 2 – Medium

Benchmark:

Benchmarks for summary CSR applies to < = 30 WTNs. Benchmarks for Loop Makeup Information are interim until parties agree that sufficient data is available to set final benchmarks. No damages will apply for Loop Makeup Information until final benchmarks are set. Critical z-value does not apply.

	c. Cittlear z-value does not app	-
Measurement	DataGate/EDI/COR BA	Verigate
Address Verification	90% in = 8.0 seconds 95% in = 12.0 seconds	80% in = 5.0 seconds 90% in = 7.0 seconds
Request For Telephone Number	90% in = 7.0 seconds 95% in = 9.5 seconds	80% in = 4.0 seconds 90% in = 6.0 seconds
Request For Customer Service Record (CSR)	90% in = 8.0 seconds 95% in = 13 seconds	80% in = 7.0 seconds 90% in = 10.0 seconds
Service Availability	90% in = 12.0 seconds 95% in = 16.0 seconds	80% in = 11.0 seconds 90% in = 13.0 seconds
Service Appointment Scheduling (Due Date)	90% in = 1 seconds 95% in = 2.0 seconds	80% in = 2.0 seconds 90% in = 3.0 seconds
Dispatch Required	90% in = 15.0 seconds 95% in = 25.0 seconds	80% in = 17.0 seconds 90% in = 19.0 seconds
PIC	90% in = 27.0seconds 95% in = 41.0 seconds	80% in = 25.0 seconds 90% in = 27.0 seconds

Actual Loop Makeup Information requested – actual data returned	90% in = 15.0 seconds 95% in = 25.0 seconds	80% in = 17.0 seconds 90% in = 19.0 seconds
Actual Loop Makeup Information requested – design data returned	90% in = 25.0 seconds 95% in = 35.0 seconds	80% in = 27.0 seconds 90% in = 29.0 seconds
Design Loop Makeup Information requested – design data returned	90% in = 11.9 seconds 95% in = 20.0 seconds	80% in = 13.5 seconds 90% in = 15.0 seconds
Protocol Translation Time – EDI input message	90% in = Diagnostic 95% in = Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol Translation Time – EDI output message	90% in = Diagnostic 95% in = Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol Translation Time – CORBA input message	90% in = Diagnostic 95% in = Diagnostic	Not Applicable
Protocol Translation Time – CORBA input message	90% in = Diagnostic 95% in = Diagnostic	Not Applicable

PM 3 WAS ELIMINATED WITH THE 6 MONTH REVIEW – EFFECTIVE 7/12/00

4. Measurement

OSS Interface Availability

Definition:

Percent of time OSS interface is available compared to scheduled availability.

Exclusions:

• None

Business Rules:

The total "number of hours functionality to be available" is the cumulative number of hours (by date and time on a 24 hour clock) over which SWBT plans to offer and support CLEC access to SWBT's operational support systems (OSS) functionality during the reporting period. "Hours Functionality is Available" is the actual number of hours, during scheduled available time, that the SWBT interface is capable of accepting or receiving CLEC transactions or data files. The actual time available is divided by the scheduled time available and then multiplied by 100 to produce the "Percent system availability" measure. SWBT will not schedule normal maintenance during OSS Hours of availability as posted on the CLEC web site unless otherwise notified via an accessible letter. SWBT will not schedule normal maintenance during business hours (8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday). When interfaces experience partial unavailability, an availability factor is applied to the calculation of downtime. This factor is stated as a percentage and represents the impact to the CLEC. Determination of the availability factor is governed by SWBT's Availability Team on a case by case basis. Disputes related to application of the availability factor may be presented to the Commission. Whenever an interface experiences complete unavailability to a CLEC, the full duration of the unavailability will be counted, to the nearest minute, and no availability factor will be applied SWBT shall calculate the availability time rounded to the nearest minute.

Levels of Disaggregation:

- EASE reported for Consumer and Business
- EDI reported by protocol (SSL3, FTP, NDM, VAN)
- EDI/CORBA for Pre-order
- DataGate
- Verigate
- LEX
- RAF By CLEC
- TOOLBAR
- Order Status
- Trouble Administration
- Provisioning Order Status
- Solid GUI (Diagnostic)

Calculation:	Report Structure:
[(Hours functionality is available	Reported on an aggregate CLEC
during the scheduled available hours)	basis by interface. The RAF will be
÷ Scheduled system available hours)]	reported on an individual CLEC
* 100	basis.

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 – None

Tier 2 - High

Benchmark:

99.5%. The critical z allowance does not apply on this measurement.

No damages are applicable for Solid GUI. This will be reviewed in 6 months

Ì

4.1 Measurement (NEW MEASURE)

Pre-Order Backend System Database Query Availability

Definition:

Percent of time backend systems used for pre-order are available compared to scheduled availability.

Exclusions:

• None

Business Rules:

The total "number of hours functionality to be available" is the cumulative number of hours (by date and time on a 24 hour clock) over which SWBT plans to offer and support CLEC access to SWBT's backend systems used for pre-order functionality during the reporting period. "Hours Functionality is Available" is the actual number of hours, during scheduled available time, that the backend systems are capable of providing preorder responses to CLEC queries. The actual time available is divided by the scheduled time available and then multiplied by 100 to produce the "Percent system availability" measure. SWBT will not schedule normal maintenance during business hours (8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday). When a backend system experiences partial unavailability, an availability factor is applied to the calculation of downtime. This factor is stated as a percentage and represents the impact to the CLEC. Determination of the availability factor is governed by SWBT's Availability Team on a case by case basis. Disputes related to application of the availability factor may be presented to the Commission. Whenever a backend system experiences complete unavailability to a CLEC, the full duration of the unavailability will be counted, to the nearest minute, and no availability factor will be applied. SWBT shall calculate the availability time rounded to the nearest minute.

Levels of Disaggregation:

Wholesale and Retail Impacts Identified for:

- Address Verification (South PREMIS Texas Only)
- Request For Telephone Number (South PREMIS Texas Only)
- PIC (South PREMIS Texas Only)
- Request For Summary Customer Service Record (3 Texas Regions of CRIS)
- Service Availability (3 Texas Regions of CRIS)
- CLLI (3 Texas Regions of CRIS)
- Due Date (3 Texas Regions of SORD)
- Dispatch Required (South LFACS Texas Only)
- Loop Makeup Information (LoopQual)

Calculation:	Report Structure:
[(Hours functionality is available during the	Reported on a SWBT and aggregate
scheduled available hours) ÷ Scheduled	CLEC basis by backend system.
system available hours)] * 100	

Measurement Type:	
Tier 1 – None	
Tier 2 – None	
Benchmark:	
Diagnostic.	

5. Measurement:

Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Returned on time for LSR requests.

Definition:

Percent of FOCs returned to the CLEC within a specified time frame from receipt of a complete and accurate service request to return of confirmation to CLEC.

Exclusions:

- Rejected (manual and electronic) LSRs.
- SWBT only Disconnect orders.
- Services ordered out of the Access Tariff
- XDSL orders (See PM 5.1)
- Interconnection Orders (See PM 5.2)
- Unbundled Dedicated Transport Orders (See PM 5.2)

Business Rules:

FOC business rules are established to reflect the Local Service Center (LSC) normal hours of operation, which include Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, excluding holidays and weekends. If the start time is outside of normal business hours, then the start date/time is set to 8:00 a.m. on the next business day. Example: If the request is received Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; the valid start time will be Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If the actual request is received Monday through Thursday after 5:30 p.m. and before 8:00 a.m. the next day; the valid start time will be the next business day at 8:00 a.m. If the actual request is received Friday after 5:30 p.m. and before 8:00 a.m. Monday; the valid start time will be at 8:00 a.m. Monday. If the request is received on a holiday (anytime); the valid start time will be the next business day at 8:00 a.m. For LSRs received electronically requiring no manual intervention by the LSC, the OSS hours of operation will be used in lieu of the LSC hours of operation (i.e., actual OSS processing time outside of LSC hours will not be excluded in calculating the interval). The returned confirmation to the CLEC will establish the actual end date/time. Provisions are established within the DSS reporting systems to accommodate situations when the LSC works holidays, weekends, and when requests are received outside normal working hours. For UNE Loop and Port combinations, orders requiring N, C, and D orders; the FOC is sent back at the time the last order that establishes service is distributed.

All UNE P orders are categorized as Simple or Complex in the same manner as Retail or Resale orders are categorized. All orders that flow through EASE are categorized as Simple and all orders that do not flow through EASE are categorized as Complex.

A Mechanized Business Ordering system (MBOS) document is also required for engineering of trunks that must take place prior to the request being worked. Depending on the changes being made, the due dates for the restructure could be the same day or next day for simple changes. Complex accounts needing an MBOS

could require approximately 5 days to restructure.

The MBOS form must be initiated by the LSC service representative with information from the LSR for services such as Centrex, DIDs, Plexar I, Package II, Plexar II Basic, Plexar Custom Basic, and PRI services such as Smart Trunks, Select Video, etc. Once the MBOS form is completed, the LSC service representative must release it to the other involved departments for review and determination of the design information and to determine the necessary steps to provide the services. This may involve review of TN number availability, design circuit provisioning, translations requirements, etc. to determine the service availability and due date. Depending on the service and complexity of the request, the return of the MBOS could be 3-5 days. Therefore, the FOC is to be negotiated for any services that require an MBOS.

If the CLEC accesses SWBT systems using a Service Bureau Provider, the measurement of SWBT's performance does not include Service Bureau Provider processing, availability or response time.

LEX/EDI

For LEX and EDI originated LSRs, the start date and time is the receive date and time that is automatically recorded by the interface (EDI or LEX) with the system date and time. The end date and time is recorded by the interface (EDI or LEX) and reflects the actual date and time the FOC is available to the CLEC. For LSRs where FOC times are negotiated with the CLEC, the ITRAK entry on the SORD service order is used in the calculation.

VERBAL or MANUAL REQUESTS

Manual service order requests are those initiated by the CLEC either by telephone, fax, or other manual methods (i.e. courier). The fax receipt date and time is recorded and input on the SM-FID on each service order in SORD for each FOC opportunity. The end time is the actual date and time that a successful attempt to send a paper fax, is made back to the CLEC. If a CLEC does not require a paper fax the FOC information is provided over the phone. In these instances, the order distribution time is used as the FOC end date and time. If a CLEC chooses to receive their FOCs via the Website, the end time is the date and time the FOC is loaded to the Website. The ITRAK-FID is used when FOC times are negotiated with the CLEC. The LSC populates the ITRAK-FID with certain pre-established data entries that are used in the FOC calculation.

Levels of Disaggregation:

Manually submitted:

- Simple Res. And Bus. < 24 Hours
- Complex Business (1-200 Lines) < 24 Hours
- Complex Business (>200 Lines)< 48 Hours
- MBOS related services (Centrex, Plexar I Pkg II, Plexar II, Plexar Custom Basic, and DID Trunks (1-200 lines) = negotiated
- UNE Loop (1-49 Loops) < 24 Hours
- UNE Loop (> 49 Loops) < 48 Hours
- Switch Ports < 24 Hours
- Simple Res. And Bus. LNP Only (1-19 Lines) < 24 Hours
- Simple Residence and Business LNP Only (20+ Lines) < 48 Hours
- LNP with Loop (1-19 Loops) < 24 Hours
- LNP with Loop (20+ Loops) < 48 Hours
- LNP Complex Business (1-19 Lines) < 24 Hours
- LNP Complex Business (20-50 Lines) < 48 Hours
- LNP Complex Business (50+ Lines) < Negotiated with Notification of Timeframe within 24 Hours

Electronically submitted via LEX or EDI:

- Simple Res. And Bus. < 5 Hours
- Complex Business (1-200 Lines)< 24 Hours
- Complex Business (>200 Lines) < 48 Hours
- MBOS related services (Centrex, Plexar I Pkg II, Plexar II, Plexar Custom Basic, and DID Trunks (1-200 lines) = negotiated
- UNE Loop (1-49 Loops) < 5 Hour
- UNE Loop (> 49 Loops) < 48 Hours
- Switch Ports < 5 Hours
- Simple Residence and Business LNP Only (1-19 Lines) < 5 Hours
- Simple Residence and Business LNP Only (20+ Lines) < 48 Hours
- LNP with Loop (1-19 Loops) < 5 Hours
- LNP with Loop (20+ Loops) < 48 Hours
- LNP Complex Business (1-19 Lines) < 24 Clock Hours
- LNP Complex Business (20-50 Lines) < 48 Clock Hours
- LNP Complex Business (50+ Lines) < Negotiated with Notification of Timeframe within 24 Clock Hours

Calculation:	Report Structure:
(# FOCs returned within "x" hours ÷	Reported by CLEC, all CLECs, and
total FOCs sent) * 100	SWBT affiliate where applicable
	(or SWBT acting on behalf of its'
	affiliate). This includes mechanized
	from EDI and LEX and manual
	(e.g. FAX or phone orders).

Measurement Type:

Tier 1 – Low

Tier 2 – Medium

Benchmark:

All 5 Hour FOC 95% / 24 Hour FOC 94% / 48 Hour FOC 95%/Acct Restr. 95% the Average for the last 5% for 95% benchmark or the last 6% for 94% benchmark shall not exceed 20% of the established benchmark, excluding projects. Violations with respect to the "tail" (the last 5/6%) are subject to Tier 1 low damages and Tier 2 medium damages, and will apply *only if* SWBT has met the benchmark on the corresponding "percent within x" measurement.

The critical z-value does not apply to the following categories

- Simple res. and bus LEX, EDI and Manual
- Complex business LEX, Manual
- UNE (1-49) EDI, LEX
- Simple res. and bus LNP only (1-19) LEX, EDI
- Simple res. and bus. LNP with loop (1-19) LEX, EDI
- LNP Complex Business LEX, EDI

The critical z-value applies to all other categories.

5.1 Measurement:

Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) for XDSL-capable loops & Line Sharing Returned Within "x" Hours

Definition:

Percent of FOCs returned within a specified time frame from receipt of a complete and accurate service request to return of confirmation to CLEC.

Exclusions:

- DSL Orders-orders rejected for incomplete or incorrect LSR
- DSL Orders-orders denied for pair gain
- SWBT only Disconnect orders.
- Rejects for non-conformance as to PSD masks if, and only if, the CLEC requests such qualification on the LSR

Business Rules:

FOC business rules are established to reflect the Local Service Center (LSC) normal hours of operation, which include Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m., excluding holidays and weekends. If the start time is outside of normal business hours, then the start date/time is set to 8:00 a.m. on the next business day. Example: If the request is received Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; the valid start time will be Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If the actual request is received Monday through Thursday after 5:30 p.m. and before 8:00 a.m. the next day; the valid start time will be the next business day at 8:00 a.m. If the actual request is received Friday after 5:30 p.m. and before 8:00 a.m. Monday; the valid start time will be at 8:00 a.m. Monday. If the request is received on a holiday (anytime); the valid start time will be the next business day at 8:00 a.m. For LSRs received electronically requiring no manual intervention by the LSC, the OSS hours of operation will be used in lieu of the LSC hours of operation. The returned confirmation to the CLEC will establish the actual end date/time. Provisions are established within the DSS reporting systems to accommodate situations when the LSC works holidays, weekends, and when requests are received outside normal working hours.

LEX/EDI

For LEX and EDI originated LSRs that do not require manual loop makeup information after the receipt of the LSR (requests where mechanized loop makeup information is available when LSR is submitted) the start date and time is the receipt date and time that is automatically recorded by the interface (EDI or LEX). The end date and time is automatically recorded by the interface (EDI or LEX) and reflects the actual date and time the FOC is available to the CLEC.

For DSL orders that require manual loop makeup information after the receipt of the LSR (CLEC did not request manual loop makeup information), the start time for the FOC is the date and time the loop makeup information is available in the Loop Qual System. The end date and time is automatically recorded by the interface (EDI or LEX) and reflects the actual date and time the FOC is available to the CLEC.

Ì

MANUAL REQUESTS

Manual service order requests are those requests initiated by the CLEC by fax. For manual requests that do not require a loop qualification after the receipt of the LSR, the receive date and time is when a good LSR is received in the LSC. The end time is the fax date and time the fax (FOC) is sent back to the CLEC or the time of the fax attempt by SWBT. The fax end time is recorded and input via an internal Web application. If a CLEC chooses to receive their FOCs via the Website, the end time is the date and time the FOC is loaded to the Website.

For a manual request that requires an associated loop qualification, the start date and time is when the loop qualification is completed by OSP Engineering and is made available in the LoopQual system, and the end date and time is when the fax is sent back to the CLEC.

Levels of Disaggregation:

Manually submitted

- UNE xDSL Capable Loop (1-49 Loops) < 24 Hours
- UNE xDSL Capable Loop (>49 Loops) < 48 Hours
- Line Sharing (1-49 Loops) < 24 Hours
- Line Sharing (>49) < 48 Hours

Electronically submitted

- UNE xDSL Capable Loop (1-20Loops) < 6 Business Hours
- UNE xDSL Capable Loop (> 20 Loops) < 14 Business Hours
- Line Sharing (1-49 Loops) < 6 Business Hours
- Line Sharing (>49) < 14 Business Hours

Calculation:	Report Structure:
(# FOCs returned within "x" hours ÷	Reported by CLEC, all CLECs, and
total FOCs sent) * 100	SWBT affiliate (or SWBT acting on
	behalf of its' affiliate) where
	applicable. This includes
	mechanized from EDI and LEX and
	manual (FAX or phone orders).
	These are reported by the percent
	within x and by the average of the
	remainder.

)

Measurement Type:

UNE xDSL Capable Loops: Tier 1 – Low, Tier 2-Medium Line Sharing: Diagnostic (New product, no historical data)

Benchmark:

Line Sharing: Diagnostic for first three months of implementation of the measure then Tier 1

All 6 Hour FOC 95% / 14 Hour FOC 95% / 24 Hour FOC 94% / 48 Hour FOC 95% The Average for the last 5% for 95% benchmark shall not exceed 20% of the established benchmark, excluding projects.