## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

# **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

}

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Snappy Phone of Texas, Inc., d/b/a Snappy Phone, for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Basic Local and Interexchange Telecommunications Services within the State of Missouri.

Case No. TA-2000-372

### **ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME**

Snappy Phone of Texas, Inc., d/b/a Snappy Phone (Snappy Phone), applied to the Commission on December 15, 1999, for a certificate of service authority to provide competitive basic local and interexchange telecommunications services in the State of Missouri pursuant to Chapter 392 of the Missouri Revised Statutes. Snappy Phone requested competitive classification and an order waiving certain Commission rules and statutory provisions pursuant to Sections 392.361 and 392.420, RSMo 1994. Snappy Phone seeks to provide its services throughout all exchanges currently served by the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT).

The Commission issued a notice and schedule of applicants on December 21, 1999, directing interested parties wishing to intervene to do so by January 20, 2000. SWBT filed a timely application to intervene on January 10, 2000.

On January 20, 2000, the Commission issued an order that granted SWBT's request to intervene and directed the parties to file a proposed procedural schedule by February 25, 2000, or, in lieu thereof, providing 回り

for the filing of a stipulation and agreement of the parties. On February 24, 2000, Snappy Phone filed a Proposed Procedural Schedule but also indicated that a unanimous Joint Stipulation and Agreement should be completed and filed by March 31, 2000. Snappy Phone indicated that the parties had agreed to the proposed procedural schedule, but that the anticipated adoption of a unanimous Joint Stipulation and Agreement should alleviate the need for any further procedural schedule in this case.

Inasmuch the parties to this proceeding are near an agreement as indicated by Snappy Phone, it appears reasonable and in the interest of administrative economy to extend the time for adopting a procedural schedule to allow time for the parties to consider a possible agreement. If the agreement is not filed by the date certain stated in the ordered paragraph below, the Commission will issue an order adopting the procedural schedule presented by Snappy Phone and on the behalf of the parties.

#### **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That parties may file their unanimous Stipulation and Agreement in this case no later than March 31, 2000; however, if an agreement is not filed in this case, the Commission will issue its order adopting the procedural schedule presented by Snappy Phone of Texas, Inc., on February 25, 2000.

2

;

#### BY THE COMMISSION

Hole Hredy Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Keith Thornburg, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1) (November 30, 1995) and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 28th day of February, 2000.

ł