In the Missouri Public Service Commission

In the matter of Janice Shands Complainant)

V WC 2015-0030

MAWC

Respondent

In the matter of Janice Shands complainant

v GS-2015-045

LaClede Gas Respondent

Complainant's Dismissal

Comes now Janice Shands and

dismisses her complaint1.2

This is especially so where

¹ The ALJ indicated he would not plan (as in the relief sought) to review the circuit court complaint to provide an opinion on if within their jurisdiction and thus cannot provide the relief sought

² The costs of any additional fees cannot be justified.

³ There are substantive and procedural due process and Ch 536 and other issues including as in the cited caselaw on the extent of any jurisdiction for PSC .

⁴ While the complainant filed the complaints well before the cold weather, when the building might still have been saved, if in fact staff had as directed done an investigation rather than focused its attention on a contention that a residential regulation could apply to a commercial account in nt ocunt to on efforts to evade the complaint, let alone to deprive a tenant in common unit owner of rights to information

By /s/ Susan H. Mello

Susan H Mello 31158

7751 Carondelet #403

Clayton, MO 63105

(314) 721-7521

(314) 863-7779 fax

SusanMello@Gmail.com

Attorney for Complainant

Certificate of service

A copy was sent by email to P.C. Office of General Counsel at staff counsel@psc.mo.gov, to

Dustin Allen (Public Counsel) at opscervice@ded.mo.gov, and counsel for the utilities on

December 16 2014

/s/ Susan H Mello

and derivative rights guaranteed by the Mo statutes for condominums

While the compliant of Janice Shands was no based on any rule, regulation, tariff etc of PSC, it is submitted and where PSC and staff have a right to investigate and file their own complaints for violation of tariffs and where it might well be likely if staff had investigated for PSC reviewed the tariffs it might have provided (as would only be logical) a utility cannot sell water/gas for resale, as was done here where it knew the water and likely gas was being resold to shopping center. In fact, it is the understanding of the undersigned if staff had done an investigation it would have found MAWC set up meters to allow the shopping center to bill its tenants for water for which MAWC did not have any account for the shopping center for same until June 2014.

It would seem the same as a complaint to Board of Healing Arts would not limit the right to file a malpractice claim, PSC and its staff would have a right for license review, fines, sanctions for which does not need Complainant.