

BB

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office
in Jefferson City on the 4th
day of February, 1999.

In the Matter of the Joint Application of)
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and United)
States Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Tel Com) Case No. TO-99-212
Plus for Approval of a Resale Agreement Under)
the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) and United States Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Tel Com Plus (Tel Com Plus) filed a joint application with the Commission on November 10, 1998, for approval of an interconnection agreement (the Agreement). The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq. The Agreement would permit Tel Com Plus to resell local telecommunications services.

The Commission issued an Order and Notice on December 3 setting deadlines for parties wishing to participate without intervention to file applications to do so, or to file comments. No applications to participate or comments were filed.

The Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed a Memorandum on January 20, 1999, recommending that the Agreement be approved. The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v.

Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has asked permission to participate or requested a hearing, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the verified application.

Discussion

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e) of the Act, has authority to approve an interconnection agreement negotiated between an incumbent local exchange company and a new provider of basic local exchange service. The Commission may reject an interconnection agreement only if the agreement is discriminatory or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

On August 12, 1998, Tel Com Plus filed an application in Case No. TA-99-58 for a certificate of service authority to provide resold local telecommunications services. The Commission granted this application on January 12, 1999.

The initial term for the Agreement is 90 days, and thereafter the Agreement shall continue in force and effect unless and until terminated by either party. The Agreement provides that access to the 911 or E911 service, available to SWBT end users in the area(s) served by Tel Com Plus, shall be made available to the end users of Tel Com Plus. The Agreement indicates that SWBT agrees that local dialing parity shall be available to Tel Com Plus. The Agreement also notes that SWBT will make intraLATA toll dialing parity available in accordance with Section 251(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

The Staff Memorandum recommends that the Agreement be approved, and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it does not appear to be discriminatory toward nonparties, and does not appear to be against the public interest. Staff recommended that the Commission direct SWBT and Tel Com Plus to submit a copy of the executed Interconnection Agreement with the pages numbered seriatim, and to submit any further modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission has reached the conclusion that the interconnection agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not unduly discriminate against a nonparty carrier, and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement should be conditioned upon the parties submitting any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Modification Procedure

This Commission's first duty is to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or

arbitration, as mandated by the Act. 47 U.S.C. § 252. In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve modifications to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection. 47 U.S.C. § 252(h). This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission. 4 CSR 240-30.010.

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all modifications, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed modification must be submitted for Commission approval, whether the modification arises through negotiation, arbitration, or by means of alternative dispute resolution procedures.

The parties shall provide the Telecommunications Staff with a copy of the resale or interconnection agreement with the pages numbered consecutively in the lower right-hand corner. Modifications to an agreement must be submitted to the Staff for review. When approved the modified pages will be substituted in the agreement which should contain the number of the page being replaced in the lower right-hand corner. Staff will date-stamp the pages when they are inserted into the Agreement. The official record of the original agreement and all the modifications made will be maintained by the Telecommunications Staff in the Commission's tariff room.

The Commission does not intend to conduct a full proceeding each time the parties agree to a modification. Where a proposed modification is identical to a provision that has been approved by the Commission in another agreement, the modification will be approved once Staff has verified that the provision is an approved provision, and prepared a recommendation advising approval. Where a proposed modification is not contained in another approved agreement, Staff will review the modification and its effects and prepare a recommendation advising the Commission whether the modification should be approved. The Commission may approve the modification based on the Staff recommendation. If the Commission chooses not to approve the modification, the Commission will establish a case, give notice to interested parties and permit responses. The Commission may conduct a hearing if it is deemed necessary.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(1), is required to review negotiated resale agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity under Section 252(e)(2)(A). Based upon its review of the Interconnection Agreement between SWBT and Tel Com Plus and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement is neither

discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Interconnection Agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) and United States Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Tel Com Plus, filed on November 10, 1998, is approved.

2. That the parties shall file an executed copy of this Agreement with the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, with the pages numbered seriatim at the bottom of the pages, no later than February 16, 1999. The parties shall file on the same date a notice in the official case file advising the Commission that the agreement has been submitted to staff as required.

3. That any changes or modifications to this Agreement shall be filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure outlined in this Order.

4. That this order shall become effective on February 8, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION



Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Lumpe, Ch., Crumpton, Drainer,
and Schemenauer, CC., concur.
Murray, C., absent.

Ruth, Regulatory Law Judge

RECEIVED

FEB 05 1999

COMMISSION COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION