

Exhibit No.:
Issues: *True-up*
Witness: *Stephen M. Rackers*
Sponsoring Party: *MOPSC*
Type of Exhibit: *True-Up Direct Testimony*
Case Nos.: *ER-2007-0002*
Date Testimony Prepared: *April 6, 2007*

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

STEPHEN M. RACKERS

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
d/b/a AMERENUE**

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

*Jefferson City, Missouri
April 2006*

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File)
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric) Case No. ER-2007-0002
Service Provided to Customers in the)
Company's Missouri Service Area.)

AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN M. RACKERS

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss.
COUNTY OF COLE)

Stephen M. Rackers, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 2 pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.


Stephen M. Rackers

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of April, 2007.




Notary Public

TABLE OF CONTENTS
TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
STEPHEN M. RACKERS
UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
d/b/a AMERENUE
CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	TRUE-UP 1

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. Stephen M. Rackers, 9900 Page Avenue, Suite 103, Overland, Missouri 63132.

Q. Are you the same Stephen M. Rackers who previously filed Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony in this case?

A. Yes, I am

Q. What is the purpose of this True-Up Direct Testimony?

A. My True-Up Direct Testimony will address the true-up of this case.

Q. Has the Staff performed a true-up in this case?

A. Yes. The Staff has performed a true-up through January 1, 2007. The results of the true-up, along with all the other changes the Staff has made to its determination of revenue requirement, are reflected in the True-Up Staff Accounting Schedules. I am sponsoring the True-Up Staff Accounting Schedules, which are separately filed in this case.

A. The Staff examined AmerenUE documentation related to the true-up items specifically identified in the Jointly Proposed Procedural Schedule And Request For Other Procedural Items that was filed on August 29, 2006. Based on this examination the Staff calculated the effect on revenue requirement through January 1, 2007, associated with the true-up items.

1 Q. Did the Staff perform this true-up any differently than other rate case/earnings
2 –revenues requirement complaint case true-ups with which you are familiar?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Are there any true-up issues with AmerenUE or any of the other Parties of
5 which you are aware?

6 A. No, other than EEInc. with AmerenUE, which Staff witness Greg R. Meyer
7 addresses in his True-Up Direct Testimony.

8 Q. Is there any other member of the Staff that is providing True-Up Direct
9 Testimony in addition to Mr. Meyer?

10 A. No. Mr. Meyer is providing True-Up Direct Testimony on the Staff's new
11 revenue requirement quantification of the EEInc. issue.

12 Q. Will the Staff file an updated Reconciliation?

13 A. Yes. The Staff anticipates filing and updated Reconciliation the beginning of
14 next week, April 9 or 10, 2007.

15 Q. Does this conclude your True-Up Direct Testimony?

16 A. Yes, it does.