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PROCEEDINGS
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Good morning. Let's go back on the record. Today is March 21, 2017, the second day of the evidentiary hearing in file number EA-2016-0385.

Before we resume your testimony for the day, there's an administrative matter. My understanding from the order of witnesses that today we need to take at a minimum the testimony of Mr . Dauphinais anyway, is that correct? He's set for today, Mr. Mills, is that right?

MR. MILLS: He is set for today.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I'll leave it to the parties to decide at what point during the day we have him testify in case he -- he was the last witness today. Obviously, we didn't get through the witnesses from yesterday. I'm a little discouraged about the pace of the hearing so far, but we'll have to see how today goes and may have to make arrangements for scheduling since we're running behind.

The parties have anything else that they need to bring up at this point?
(No response.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Then where
we left off yesterday was the cross examination of Mr. Lawlor and I believe Mr. Agathen was questioning, so we'll resume at that point. Mr. Lawlor, you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Agathen whenever you're ready.

MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge. MARK LAWLOR,
having previously been called as a witness, having previously been sworn upon his oath, testified further as follows:

CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Lawlor.
A. Good morning.
Q. When the Commission issued its ruling against Grain Belt on July the 1st of 2015, do you recall telling the press that the Commission must have been confused in reaching that decision?
A. I can't recall exactly what I said. MR. AGATHEN: At this time I'd like to distribute a copy of Exhibit 359, your Honor. (Wherein, Exhibit 359 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) This exhibit consists

1 of three pages from newspaper articles, and then a
2 fourth document is a presentation release issued by 3 Clean Line.

Do you have a copy of have that exhibit, Mr. Lawlor?
A. I do.
Q. On the first page down at the very bottom, it says: He said there was confusion among Commissioners about Clean Line's business model as a merchant transmission line, which puts the investment risks for the project of the company and shareholders and not on customers paying the electric rates. Is that what that says?
A. That's what it says.
Q. And then turning to page -- I've got the pages numbered at the bottom right-hand corner, which I added, but turning to page four, near the middle of that page, this is from the Hannibal Courier, Hannibal, Missouri, there's a quote from you saying: There appears to be some confusion by a majority of the Commissioners in the benefits of this project to Missouri Lawlor said. Do you see that?
A. I see that quote, but it was in a broader context of the rest of the quotes in the
entire interview.
Q. And then turning to page six, there's a quote from the Kansas City Star, the second paragraph from the bottom, it says: The Commissioners were confused about the benefits to the State of Missouri, Mark Lawlor, the project's Development Director said. Do you see that?
A. Again, this is a statement, and I may or may not have used those words, but in the greater context of the interview.
Q. In the greater context, you said --
A. In the greater context, I may have said those words, I don't really remember what the article says.
Q. Then on the last page, this is a press release issued by Grain Belt. It says, starting at the second line: Yet, there appears to be some confusion at the Missouri Public Service Commission about how the project will benefit Missourians. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. To your knowledge, were you misquoted in any of those three articles?
A. I don't recall the interview. This is a couple of years ago.
Q. Did you call any of those papers and tell them you were misquoted?
A. No, I don't recall the conversation.
Q. All four of those articles were published the day of or the day after the Commission rejected the Grain Belt application, did they not?
A. I think it's right, subject to check, yes.
Q. Okay. And that would be prior to the time when the Commission would have been considering any motions for rehearing, right?
A. Obviously, I don't have the schedule calendar, but it's possible.

MR. AGATHEN: I would offer Exhibit 359, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, it's received.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) On a different subject, if property near but not on the right-of-way suffers a loss in value by reason of the line, will that landowner be compensated in any way by Grain Belt?
A. Well, if we don't have an easement or an agreement with the landowner, there wouldn't be a vehicle for compensation.
Q. So they would not be compensated?
A. No.
Q. There are about 86 landowners who own parcels of land just within 100 feet of the project easement but whose property is not crossed by the proposed line. Is that approximately correct?
A. Subject to check, that's probably right.
Q. If a property owner on the right-of-way will no longer be able to build a home at a site which will be crossed by the line, will that property owner be compensated in any way?
A. If the line crosses property -- sorry, state the question again.
Q. Sure. If the line is built and the property owner had desired to build a home which is on a site now covered basically by the line, will that property owner be compensated in any way?
A. Yes.
Q. How?
A. Under the terms of the easement, they'll get compensation for the easement area, the structures --
Q. But if the home is not built and the homeowner wants to build it three years down the
road, will that home owner compensated?
A. Well, if we have a situation like that, we would work with the landowner on potentially rerouting the line. Typically --
Q. Well, if they don't know they're going to build the home until three years down the road, how would do you that?
A. If they don't know?
Q. Yes.
A. Until after the line is built?
Q. Correct.
A. Right.
Q. No compensation?
A. Other than the easement, no.
Q. Will the property owners either on or near the right-of-way be compensated for the obstruction of the view from their property which is caused by the line?
A. The landowners with the easement, they get compensation; landowners without an easement, I'm not aware of any project, transmission or otherwise, infrastructure, that compensates in that scenario.
Q. But the compensation for the easement will simply be the 110 percent of the value of the
land, right?
A. Correct, plus structure payments or any --
Q. Sure.
A. -- or any additional --
Q. But nothing in addition for the obstruction to their view?
A. There's nothing that articulates compensation for, you know, view, no.
Q. Thank you. If a cancer victim is told by her doctor that she'll have to relocate if the line is built next to her property, will she be compensated in any way?

MR. ZOBRIST: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that, that's argumentative, it's based on hearsay and it's not based on scientific fact.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Agathen?
MR. AGATHEN: There's a witness who testified at the public hearing that her oncologist told her if the line is built next to her property, she should move. I'm simply asking would she be compensated in any way for that.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: If there was a situation where a landowner, just like in any situation, where
they showed impacts of that nature or otherwise, we would -- the first thing we do is work with the landowner on the route. I'm not aware of that situation. No one has talked to me about it or anyone in our company that I'm aware of. If they have, we would work with them on rerouting easement negotiations.
Q. You were at the public hearings, were you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you hear that testimony?
A. I don't recall. I'm not saying it wasn't said, but no one has approached me or Clean Line the about -- about such a situation.
Q. And you didn't follow up on it?
A. I don't recall, like I said, hearing that comment.
Q. Okay. The question again is, if that were to happen and the line had not been rerouted and she is told that she has to relocate, would she be compensated for that?
A. It would depend on the easement, you know, negotiations. If the line couldn't be moved to accommodate, we would have to have the conversations and see where it goes, but $I$ can't say
that she wouldn't be.
Q. There's nothing in the easement or in any of your documents or any of your agreements anywhere that says that you will compensate her, is there?
A. There may not be anything in the form of easement, but again, it doesn't mean that -- you know, we negotiate based on individual circumstances all the time.
Q. On a different subject, you submitted the transmission line contract between Grain Belt and MJMEUC as scheduled MOL-1 to your testimony, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. During the course of the 2014 case, you had already met with a number of Missouri municipalities concerning the possibility of buying capacity on the line, had you not?
A. I believe we did, yeah.
Q. Including MJMEUC?
A. At what time period?
Q. Back in the 2014 case.
A. During the 2014 case? There may have been one meeting. Without reference to -- I mean I couldn't say for sure.
Q. Back in the 2014 case, you had not been able to sell any capacity to any of the Missouri utilities, had you?
A. We didn't have any contracts at the time, no.
Q. Or any Memorandums of Understanding?
A. No.
Q. And then beginning in late 2015, you approached MJMEUC again with a proposed agreement for capacity on the line, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you made a formal offer to them in the form of a Proposed Transmission Agreement in early April of 2016, is that correct?
A. Subject to check on the dates, we did propose something to them, yes.
Q. Did you initially offer to sell MJMEUC an ownership interest in the line?
A. It's possible. It's something we discussed with utilities.
Q. When you were touting the benefits of the proposal to MJMEUC, you compared the cost of wind using the Grain Belt line versus the cost of importing the wind from Kansas over the SPP lines, did you not?
A. Most likely.
Q. You didn't show them any comparisons at all to the cost of wind generators for other MISO states, such as Iowa, did you?
A. I'm sure they were part of those conversations.
Q. Were they part of your presentations and your documentations?
A. Possibly, I don't recall what was presented.
Q. Did you include a comparison on the cost of solar generation in your comparison to MJMEUC?
A. I don't recall.
Q. I'm handing you a document which is something that you gave to us during discovery and it is basically a presentation that appears to MJMEUC from Clean Line. It may have not been made to MJMEUC, but it does compare the cost of wind without the production tax credit versus solar, does it not?

MS. PEMBERTON: Judge, I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time hearing. Mr. Agathen, could you speak up a little more when you're speaking? Thank you. Appreciate it.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure who this was presented to, but it does compare the cost of wind to the cost of solar.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) And what are the relative costs of those two alternatives?
A. In this scenario or this levelized cost scenario, PV solar is $\$ 55.00$ a megawatt hour, wind from places like Kansas was $\$ 32.00$ a megawatt hour without production tax credit.
Q. $\quad \$ 55.00$ without the production tax credit, okay.
A. $\$ 55.00$ from -- that $\$ 55.00$ without is for wind generally in the United States. The \$32.00 per megawatt hour is for high capacity resources of wind, if you look at the footnote, from places like Kansas.
Q. Thank you. You also offered to sell MJMEUC 25 megawatts of capacity for sale from Missouri to the PJM system in this case, right?
A. Yes, we did.

MR. AGATHEN: I'd like to distribute a copy of Exhibit 360 at this point.
(Wherein, Exhibit 360 was introduced.)
Q. Do you have a copy of Exhibit 360?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. This is one page of a presentation made by Grain Belt to MJMEUC some time in early 2016, correct?
A. This is one page, so no dates, but it's possible that it was presented to them at that time.
Q. The document is intended to show MJMEUC how much they would save by buying 25 megawatts of capacity from Missouri to PJM, right?
A. Not how much they would safe, but how much additional off-system sales they could realize.
Q. Okay. And in the far right column, you show a figure of 1.1 million dollars per year, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In telling MJMEUC how much they could make on a sale of excess energy into the PJM market, you base the analysis on the production costs at the Prairie State Plant, is that correct?
A. Right, they have a contract with Prairie State for --
Q. Actually they own part of Prairie State, don't they?
A. That's correct.
Q. What's the source of the power at Prairie State?
A. Coal fired.

MR. AGATHEN: I'd offer Exhibit 360.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, it's received into the record.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) On a different subject, could you turn please to page three, lines 15 to 19 of your direct testimony?
A. What page number?
Q. Page three, lines 15 to 19, where you talk about the ten million dollars savings.
A. Okay.
Q. And you say there that MJMEUC estimates that its use of the Grain Belt line will save its member cities at least ten million dollars per year compared to an existing contract for fossil fuel generation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And I asked you in a data request for copies of the work papers provided by MJMEUC to support that figure, didn't we?
A. May have, yes.
Q. You said you didn't get any supporting documentation from them?
A. No, the figure here was based upon the

1 statement made -- the public statement made by MJMEUC about the savings they would realize from the contract, so $I$ just pulled that from a press release actually.
Q. So you had never had any documentation for that?
A. I didn't have any documentation, but I had conversations with them about that figure.
Q. Who told you that it was a ten million dollars savings compared to the fossil fuel contract?
A. I can't say for sure. I just had -based on my conversations with folks at MJMEUC. There was a lot of different ways in which you could calculate the savings from the contract. You could compare it to existing contracts for capacity that were already there, you could compare it to securing renewables from MISO, SPP, from Missouri. There's a lot of different ways to punch the numbers, like the transmission capacity for other resources, and the -- actually tendered all of this in this ballpark of ten million dollars, but how they got there is probably a better questions for a witness from MJMEUC.

## Q. Schedule MOL-7 of your direct testimony

is a copy of a 14 -page economic study proposed to the Grain Belt project, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That study was actually conducted by Mr. Spell, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I assume that any detailed questions on that study should be directed to him?
A. That's a safe assumption.
Q. His study is based in part at least on inputs which you provided to him, is that correct?
A. They are based upon an economic impact analysis done by David Lumas, who did an analysis of the project, so he used those numbers to help plug in to the model.
Q. If you look at the first line at the top of page three of that study, Schedule MOL-7, in very small print there, it says: A Missouri economic impact estimate for the construction and operation phases of the Grain Belt Express represent preliminary analysis of figures provided by Clean Line, is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. Have you seen any version of this study which is based on a preliminary analysis?
A. I'm sorry, can you ask the question again?
Q. Sure. Have you seen any version of the study which says it is not based on a preliminary analysis?
A. Not based on -- no, I think this is the only version. There was a correct -- there were a couple of versions where they corrected some assumptions and figures, but $I$ think that line there has probably been in all versions.
Q. I do have one item for you which Mr. Spell may not be able to address. At page one of the study at Schedule MOL-7, at the beginning of the second paragraph it talks about a three-year construction period for the line, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Lawlor, I'm handing you a copy of the data request that we sent to Grain Belt, and I'd ask you to look at item number G-61, and the question there is: What is the estimated time it will take to build the proposed line in Missouri from the time actual construction begins in Missouri until the line is energized. Is that the question?
A. Yes.
Q. And the response says: Construction
activities in Missouri will last approximately 22 months. Is that correct?
A. That's part of the answer. It goes on to explain in more detail how it could take longer.
Q. Well, let's read in the entire answer then. Response: Construction activities in Missouri will last approximately 22 months from the time right-of-way clearing begins until the time that the transmission line is ready to be energized. Actual energization may occur at that point or a few months afterwards depending on the pace of the line construction in other states, as well as the pace of construction on the HVDC converter stations. Is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. On a different subject, is it your position that this Commission does not approve a specific route for the line?
A. Did you ask me if it's my understanding?
Q. Yes.
A. I wouldn't necessarily say it that way.
Q. Mr. Lawlor, I'm handing you a copy of what appears to be an email which was sent from you to someone at Infinity Wind, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Could you read in please the highlighted portion of that email?
A. Yeah. Unlike Kansas, the Missouri Public Service Commission does not approve a specific route, but the project has a whole.
Q. Thank you.
A. There's additional context to that email that is relevant too.
Q. You want to read in the additional context?
A. Well, the continuation of, what I was doing was comparing this to Kansas where there's a line siting application, and in other states where there are statutory and regulatory requirements as to specific location.

Here in Missouri, obviously we don't have those specificities, so the route is proposed as a center line in our case, but there's not a rule or statute that says a center line or route specified has to be provided with a CCN.
Q. So you're saying that the Commission does not approve the specific line route?
A. No, I'm saying what I just said, which is the rules or the statute doesn't say -- doesn't

1 specify anything about what needs to be part of a 2 CCN application. What we provided was based upon 3 discussion with Staff, Staff attorneys, as to what would make sense for a CCN.
Q. The only route that you've provided to the Commission is that one-line diagram in your application, is it not?
A. No. We have a detailed route selection study, Missouri Route Selection Study, attached to the testimony of Mr. Puckett. That details in a great amount of detail the location of the line and details around that.
Q. And is it your position that the Commission, if they approve the obligation, or excuse me, the application to build the line, would be incorporating all of those documents of Mr.

## Puckett?

A. I don't know how the Commission would decide on that, but the line as proposed as an attachment to the application is based upon the route selection study, so it would look like a sort of high level map, but there's actually detailed location to where the route is.
Q. You didn't file a legal description of the land in this case, did you?
A. No, there's no requirement to file a legal description in Missouri.
Q. But you have filed one like in

Illinois, have you not?
A. Yeah, different states require a legal description with their applications.
Q. You've asked the Commission for permission for some reasonable flexibility in actually locating the line once you get out and try to adjust it around certain property owners' fences or whatever, have you not?
A. Correct.
Q. Is it your position that if the Commission approves that request for flexibility, that you could move the line onto property where the landowner wasn't even aware that the line was going to be located?
A. That's not what we proposed, no.
Q. But would that reasonable flexibility provision allow to you do that?
A. Not typically. Our view is that we, you know, we notify affected landowners in this case of the easement. It doesn't mean that neighbors who are not on the line -- or landowners who are not on the line, as proposed now, could work together to

1 propose a realignment that would involve new
2 landowners. We see that happen all the time. So we 3 want reasonable flexibility, particularly from a set 4 of landowners to derive a new alignment, we want to 5 be able to accommodate that.
Q. My question is, if you got to that point, would the reasonable flexibility provision allow you to move it on to property of a landowner that didn't know that the line was going to be there?
A. Only with their involvement and participation in the realignment.
Q. They would have to grant permission to order to do that?
A. That's been our approach all along, yes.
Q. So it's your position that they would have to grant you permission before you would move it on their land?
A. Well, there is -- reasonable flexibility not only allows for landowners to make adjustments or suggested adjustments, but if there's perhaps a geotechnical reason for you can't put a foundation here, it needs to needs to be so many feet the other way. There are a lot of scenarios
that we would want to be able to still construct the project.
Q. Again, I don't think you're answering the question. Do you believe that you would have the ability without the landowners' permission to move the line onto their property even though they didn't not know that the line would be there?
A. Well, I don't know necessarily about ability. Perhaps Deanne Lanz, who is one of our witnesses, could maybe address that a little more in detail.
Q. So you don't know?
A. Yeah, I can't answer it the way you asked it.
Q. On a different subject, if Grain Belt makes a landowner a specific dollar offer for an easement, Grain Belt hasn't made any commitment not to reduce that offer if the matter goes to arbitration or litigation, has it?
A. We -- I think I missed the middle part of your question. Can you say that again?
Q. Sure. If Grain Belt offers a landowner say $X$ amount, \$3,000.00 for an easement, have you made any commitment not to reduce that offer if the landowner takes you to arbitration or litigation?
A. I don't know if we've made that in writing for sure.
Q. Well, do you know of any document where you have committed not to reduce the offer?
A. There may be. Again, that might be a question for Miss Lanz.
Q. You can't point to any?
A. I don't have recollection as I sit here of that.
Q. You testified on behalf of Grain Belt for approval of this same line at the Illinois Commerce Commission, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of the transcript of your cross examination from the Illinois Commerce Commission case dealing with the Grain Belt line. I first direct your attention to page 126, which indicates that you were the witness at that point, correct?
A. Yeah, sure, yeah, looks right.

MR. AGATHEN: I'd like to direct your
attention now to page 137 of the transcript and beginning at line 11, tell me if I'm reading this correctly.

Question: Let me give you a
hypothetical scenario. So you have an offer on the table to a landowner and then you don't sign on the dotted line, you don't get to the end, but then you get condemnation authority, does that change the terms of the deal or do you keep the deal that you have on the table with the landowner?

And your answer is: Well again, it's probably going to be a case-by-case situation. If a landowner has a unique situation that goes beyond what we've made as a uniform offer, that would -that would vary. But we do not, we're not proposing to change the offer that we had before or after entering into a condemnation proceeding.

Is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. And then turning to page 138 starting at line 13, this is the end of your answer: But there's not a difference in compensation depending on when you would sign an easement.

Question: So you're saying no special treatment. On the opposite side of that, is there no negative treatment if you wait to sign an easement until after condemnation authority has been obtained by Grain Belt?

And your answer: Yeah, as a general
rule, we will keep the same offer that we had, you know, prior to seeking that authority. Is that correct?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Okay. On a different subject. Mr. Lanz has testified about a decommissioning fund being set up for removal of the Grain Belt facilities for the right-of-way at some point in the future, right?
A. Yes, Mrs. Lanz has done that.
Q. Excuse me. The terms of a decommissioning fund are not incorporated into an easement agreement, are they?
A. Which terms, I'm sorry?
Q. The terms the of the decommissioning funds.
A. In the easement? No, they're not in the easement.
Q. Did you agree to add language about a decommissioning fund into your easements in Illinois for this same line?
A. I don't recall.
Q. I'm going to hand you a copy again of the same transcript from the cross examination in Illinois and direct you to page 172. The question
includes the following: What guarantees do they have that in the future this line won't be abandoned -- will be abandoned and they'll just be stuck with it?

And part of your answer is: In the event that someone was concerned with that, we've added language to the easement regarding decommissioning. Is that correct?
A. Yeah, that's what it says.
Q. On a different subject. While the 2014 case was in progress at this Commission, you hired a research group to conduct a telephone survey of people in the eight counties where the line was going to be built, did you not?
A. I don't know about the timing on that.
Q. During the -- some time during the process of the 2014 case, was there not?
A. I don't recall the exact time, no.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I'm going to object to this line of questioning. I don't see the relevance of a telephone survey to the Tartan Factors or whether this Commission is to issue a CCN .

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Your response?
MR. AGATHEN: Well, if nothing else,
your Honor, it shows that there was a great majority of people opposed to this line in Randolph County, contrary to the testimony of the witness who says that the majority of the people in Randolph County did in fact approve the line.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'll let you go ahead then.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) I'm handing you a document and asking you if this is a copy of the results of that survey.
A. It appears to be.
Q. And it was conducted in the year $2014 ?$
A. Yes, October of 2014.
Q. And the basic question was: Do you or do you not support the proposed Grain Belt line, is that correct?
A. Either for or opposed to the building of the transmission line.
Q. And then the results are tabulated by county, are they not, on page four of the document.
A. Yes, they're broken down by county, yes.
Q. What do the results show for Randolph

## County?

A. It says 24 percent support, 50 percent
oppose, and 26 no opinion.
Q. So about two-to-one opposed versus support?
A. Yeah, about --
Q. Yes?
A. -- 50 percent opposed, 26 percent support, yes.
Q. I have just a few questions now on your surrebuttal. Do you have a copy of that available?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you turn to the middle of page ten. You address Mr. Loewenstein's rebuttal testimony regarding property taxes which would be paid on the proposed line, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm directing your attention to page 11. You acknowledge that you used the cost approach to estimate property taxes for the first year the project was in operation, is that correct?
A. Which line are you at?
Q. I don't have the line number listed. On page 11.
A. Generally on the page?
Q. Yes.
A. Generally where on the page are you?
Q. I'm just asking whether you said that you used the cost approach to estimate property taxes for the first year.
A. I don't -- I don't see that in here, but if you can point me to a particular quote.
Q. Well, the question really is, isn't it true that after that first year, the State Tax Commission could use different methods for assessing the value of the land?
A. Yes, they can and do, yes.
Q. Did you or Mr. Tregnago make any attempt to estimate what the property taxes will be after that initial year?
A. No, we estimated the year one property tax based on the cost approach just so we can give a sense of the scale of the property taxes that would be paid on a conservative side of things, so we just did the first year.
Q. And no estimates for any year after that?
A. No. The understanding is that the estimates don't -- or the tax liability doesn't change dramatically year to year.
Q. That was your assumption?
A. Well, yes, based upon our conversations

1 with the Tax Commission and other utility properties.
Q. Page 11 of your testimony beginning at line 23, you give the opinion that the additional approaches are likely to increase the fair market value of the project rather than decrease it. Do you see that?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Do you have any training in how the Missouri Tax Commission actually uses and applies either the income approach or the market-based approach?
A. Well, I have knowledge of those approaches. I don't know what you mean by training.
Q. After they determine the actual fair value, the Tax Commission, under these other approaches, do they apply the same assessment rate to that figure as they would apply under the cost approach?
A. The assessment rate?
Q. Yes.
A. What do you mean by that?
Q. Well, they apply a certain rate to the fair value, do they not, in calculating the income tax -- or the property tax?
A. They apply, yes, a statutory rate based upon the type of property it is, in this case utility property.
Q. And is the same assessment rate used under the cost approach as is used under the other approaches that they use?
A. Yeah, the utility rate is the same, it's 32,33 percent, $I$ can't recall exactly, but that's a constant.
Q. Regardless of the approach that's used in your opinion?
A. Yeah, that's what utilities are assessed at by the Tax Commission.
Q. We asked you for copies of any correspondence you may have had with State Tax Commission regarding the methods they'll utilize to assess the value of the line after it goes into service. Do you recall that?
A. I think I do.
Q. And you said you had none?
A. Right. These were conversations and phone calls.
Q. Thank you, sir.

MR. AGATHEN: That's all I have, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Cross examination, any

3 you.
questions by the Commission?
CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank

COMMISSIONER STOLL: I just have one. Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: I just have one question and it was in the testimony of Mr. Skelly and he indicated that you would be the person to ask this, to ask the question to. He says on page six of his direct testimony that Grain Belt Express has formed partnerships with Missouri manufacturers, including ABB, Hubbell Power Systems, General Cable Industries, in order to utilize products made in Missouri to support manufacturing jobs in the state.

I was curious about the term formed partnerships. Could you explain a little bit about where you stand with those companies or Grain Belt Express stands with those companies as far as these partnerships?

THE WITNESS: Yes, certainly. And I believe there are attachments to my schedules to my testimony. Let me make sure I'm correct on that.

Yeah, Schedules MOL-9, Hubbell is
MOL-8, Memorandum of Understanding with Hubbell

1 Tower Systems, MOL-9 with General Cable in Sedalia, 2 also a Memorandum of Understanding, and MOL-10 is 3 with ABB.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And then MOL-11 is in addition to. So anyway, there's a series of those if you want to see that. But I can explain generally these are commitments that we made that, you know, if we're successful, you guys are the preferred supplier on these projects. And in addition, there's also PAR Electric in Kansas City, who we have an agreement with to be the general contractor on the project.

So in an attempt to localize the benefits to Missouri as much as we could and can, we committed to using those companies to supply labor or materials for the project.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. Yeah, I will take a look at those schedules. And so just to -- a Memorandum of Understanding, does it have some kind of legal or is it more of a moral/ethical grounds of that would be placed on it?

THE WITNESS: They are -- well, certainly, a commitment by Grain Belt to use them, a public commitment, sort of part of the package to
the deal.
So are there scenarios where parties need to have off-ramps under certain circumstances? Yes. We certainly don't intend to use those, but there may be a scenario where they may not be able to deliver, or they have contracts for generating a conductor that say well, I can't actually provide you the conductor at that schedule because our factory is full. So we have to have commercially reasonable opportunities. But principally, we are committed to using them and we fully expect to use them all in this project.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. Thank you.
No other questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Recross based on
Commission's questions, MJMEUC?
MR. HEALY: No questions.
THE COURT: Wind on the Wire?
MR. BRADY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. JOHNSON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Farm Bureau?
MR. HADEN: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Redirect
by Grain Belt?
MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you, Judge. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Mr. Lawlor, you were asked about the 22 month timeline. Would that timeline be longer if manufacturing activities in Missouri were included?
A. If manufacturing in Missouri were included? Most likely.
Q. And would the time line be longer if you included the construction of the converter station in Missouri?
A. It would be.
Q. Now, Mr. Agathen asked you yesterday about the list of supporters, $I$ believe it was Exhibit 356, that was prepared back in March 2014. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Since that time have you received any complaints from Missouri businesses stating that Grain Belt Express erroneously listed them as supporters of the project?
A. We have not.
Q. Now, regarding Exhibit 356, was that prepared at the request of Wiley Hibbard, who is an opponent of the project?
A. Correct.
Q. And is this the same Mr. Hibbard who was a witness in this case on behalf of Missouri Landowners Alliance?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, just one question on the Section 229.100 consents. Regarding the county commissions to put their consents on hold, what was the reason for them saying that they had put those consents on hold?

MR. AGATHEN: I'm going to object, your Honor, those documents speak for themselves.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Overruled.
MR. HADEN: Judge, I'm going to object on the ground unless he has personal knowledge, it calls for speculation on the question.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'll let him answer to
the extent he has personal knowledge.
THE WITNESS: I do, I secured those county ascents personally. The reason given was that they believed that they needed -- they needed to see this Commission approve the project before they could issue their county ascents.
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) And some of them stated they believed they acted prematurely?

MR. HADEN: Judge, I'm going to object now, this is hearsay to the extent this witness is saying what a non-party has told him. If they want to call those people as a witness, I think that's appropriate.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sustained.
MR. ZOBRIST: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lawlor. You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
MR. ZOBRIST: We're switching lawyers.
MR. BEAR: Judge, if I may, I'm going to apologize for interrupting, just a quick procedural matter. I'm going to see if $I$ can be excused for today going forward.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: No problem.

DEANNE LANZ,
having been called as a witness, was sworn
upon her oath, and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Will you state your name please?
A. Deanne Lanz.
Q. And who are you employed by?
A. Clean Line Energy.
Q. And what is your position?
A. I am the Vice President of Land.
Q. Are you the same Deanne Lanz who had prepared and submitted direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony in this case with the corresponding schedules?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. At this time do you have any corrections to that testimony.
A. No, I do not.
Q. And is that testimony true and accurate today as it was when you had it filed?
A. Yes.

MR. HARDEN: At this time I'd like to offer the direct and surrebuttal testimony of Miss Lanz. It's marked as Exhibit 13 and Exhibit 14.
(Wherein, Exhibit 113 and 114 were introduced.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: 113 and 114 are being
offered, is there any objection to their receipt?
Hearing none, 113 and 114 HC and NP are received into the record.

MR. HARDEN: I'll tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: First cross examination will be by MJMEUC.

MR. HEALY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No cross, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MR. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Economic Development? (No response.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions. Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions at this
time.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express? (No response.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?

MR. LINTON: Yes, sir.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good morning, Miss Lanz.
A. Good morning.
Q. I just have a few questions. First of all at page five, line 13 of your surrebuttal testimony, you have agreed to incorporate the Missouri Landowner Protocol, Missouri Agricultural Impact Mitigation Protocol, and the Code of Conduct into the easement agreements, is that correct?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Were you here in the hearing room yesterday when Mr. Skelly agreed, found it acceptable that the Missouri Landowner Protocol and Policy could be conditions of the CCN, that he would accept those?
A. Yes.
Q. So if $I$ were to ask you the question, would you find it acceptable that the Missouri Landowner Protocol, the Missouri Agricultural Impact Mitigation Protocol, and the Code of Conduct would be included as conditions, i.e., you would be required to comply with those as a condition of the Certificate? You would agree with that?
A. We have agreed to include them in the easement, so they would be legally binding on us. I don't know if we've agreed to them as conditions with the Staff, so I couldn't answer that.
Q. Okay. But you were here when Mr. Skelly agreed to the protocol and policy being part -- or a condition in the CCN, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree that the protocol, certain terms and conditions of the protocol, certain terms and conditions of the Code of Conduct would apply prior to executing an easement agreement, wouldn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And what would be the effect of the protocol and the policy in the event you didn't enter into an easement agreement and you went to condemnation?
A. They would only be binding if they were in an easement, if both parties had signed an easement.
Q. So I'll ask the question again, would you be willing to accept as a condition on the CCN that you would comply with the Missouri Landowner Protocol, Missouri Agricultural Impact Mitigation

Protocol, and the Code of Conduct?
A. I don't think that $I$ have the
unilateral right on behalf of the company to agree to conditions, but if Mr. Skelly is willing to agree to that condition, then $I$ assume that it's okay.
Q. Okay. Thank you. On page nine, line 17, you make the statement that given the critical nature of transmission facilities to the reliability of overall electric grid once in use, transmission lines are rarely, if ever, abandoned.

You would agree that that comment is directed primarily at AC lines, would you not?
A. No.
Q. What DC lines are you referring to there?
A. I'm referring to all transmission lines.
Q. Thank you. At page two, line nine, and I believe this is of your direct testimony. I take that back, it's of your surrebuttal. I apologize.

You state that you're amenable to adding provisions to the easement agreement based on a landowner's request, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And then at page ten, line 18,
you identify a DKL-5 and you identify those as a number of provisions that you've negotiated with other landowners as part of the easement agreement, correct?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And those items relate to damages, road damages, a commitment to one transmission line, permitting use of facilities, et cetera. And maybe I've -- I've already exceeded where I should go with this, but why is that marked highly confidential?
A. It's marked highly confidential because we under our Code of Conduct have agreed to keep negotiations with landowners confidential, so specific items of negotiation that we agree to with any one landowner, we do not disclose to other landowners.
Q. You would agree, though, that in negotiating with landowners, who may not be as sophisticated as Grain Belt Express or Clean Line, that it might be helpful for them to have the list of issues that they might want to consider as they're entering into the easement agreement?
A. I don't necessarily agree with that statement.
Q. You don't think it would be helpful for
them to understand what the issues they may be presented with in confronting an easement agreement?
A. I think that landowners are the experts of their land, and in my experience with landowners, they are highly aware of the issues that are of concern for them individually.
Q. At page three, lines 10 through 14 --
A. Of surrebuttal?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. The intent - you state there that the intent of Grain Belt Express is to make the landowner whole for all damages that the landowner incurs as a result of the construction, operation, maintenance, and repair of the project during the entire life of the project, including in addition to the damages specified -- specifically referenced in the Structure and Damage Calculation Sheet executed with the easement agreement. Is that an accurate representation of what you wrote there?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you willing to accept that as a commitment as a requirement under the CCN?
A. Yes, that is our policy.

MR. LINTON: I have no further
questions. Thank you.
THE COURT: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good morning, Miss Lanz.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'm going to have some questions dealing with our first set of data requests and the responses thereto. Do you have a copy of that with you?
A. I believe I do.
Q. All right. First, directing your attention to page nine of your testimony starting at line six. Do you have that?
A. Yes.
Q. You say if a CCN is based -- is issued for the project, Grain Belt Express will not change its methodology for determining compensation, nor reduce the compensation offered to any landowner prior to the issuance of the CCN, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, if you would turn please to our data request number DL-26. That's at page six of our first set of data requests. Do you have that?
A. Yes.
Q. The question was as follows: If Grain Belt makes a specific dollar offer to an landowner for an easement for this project, has Grain Belt made any commitment not to reduce the amount of that offer if the matter later goes to arbitration or to court. Is that the question?
A. Yes.
Q. And your answer was, quote: Grain Belt Express has not yet made this commitment. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So if a landowner challenges your offer in court or in arbitration, you could decide you're no longer going to offer them a 110 percent of the fair value of the land, is that correct?
A. No, I do not agree with that.
Q. Do you have any documents that say that you will not reduce that offer?
A. We have agreed, I believe, with the Commission to a list of conditions now that was recently agreed to, where we have agreed not to change the methodology based on whether or not we have a CCN.
Q. So you would not reduce the offer below
what had been given to that landowner if they go to arbitration or to court?
A. We would not -- what we've previously stated is that we would not change the methodology for determining it. I don't know if the methodology would cause a reduction or not.
Q. Well, my question still is based on your answer to your data request, you have made no commitment not to reduce the dollar value of the offer?
A. I believe the spirit of our condition with the Staff was that we would not change our methodology for determining compensation. If the methodology would result in the same amount, then we would not reduce it.
Q. And yet your response to DL-26 says:

Grain Belt Express has not made this commitment, right?
A. We had not made that commitment at that time. We have since made a commitment to do so with Staff.
Q. Did you supplement your answer to this data request to us?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. If you turn please to page 11 of your
testimony beginning at line six, you say that Grain Belt is offering landowners the option of going to arbitration instead of to court to determine the appropriate amount of compensation for an easement. Is that essentially correct?
A. I'm sorry, what line did you refer to?
Q. Page 11, beginning at line 16.
A. Can you repeat the question?
Q. Sure. You essentially say that Grain Belt is offering landowners the option of going to arbitration instead of to court.
A. In order to determine compensation, yes.
Q. And we asked you about some of the details of this arbitration process you're proposing in data request number DL-13, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And our question to DL-13 was with reference to page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 2 of your testimony. Please state who will appoint or select the arbitrator, how many arbitrators will be appointed or selected for each individual arbitration, and who will determine the pool of arbitrators, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And your response was this process has not been finalized. If an arbitrator is required, at that time Grain Belt Express will create a proposed form of arbitration agreement that is within the rules and procedures of the American Arbitration Association and will negotiate the terms of the arbitration agreement with the landowner, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So the landowners are going to negotiate with you over the terms of the arbitration agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Who will be responsible for paying for the cost of the arbitration?
A. That would be part of what is agreed upon in the arbitration agreement, but I believe what is typical under the AAA is that the cost is split among the parties.
Q. But you have not made that decision as part of your formal presentation of an arbitration agreement?
A. No.
Q. Turning again to page 12 of your testimony, beginning at line 12, you briefly discuss
a decommissioning fund which Grain Belt is proposing, is that correct?
A. At line 14?
Q. Line 12.
A. Page --
Q. Page 12, beginning at line 12.
A. Just to clarify, I have the discussion of a decommissioning fund beginning at line 14 --
Q. Okay.
A. -- to clarify we're looking at the same document.
Q. That's fine. Do you recall on data request number DL-14 we asked you for copies of the documents which include a more complete description of the decommissioning fund you were proposing?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And your response was, quote: Grain

Belt Express has not finalized details of the decommissioning fund and thus does not have any additional documents to provide, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. You haven't undated or supplemented that answer since you sent it to us, have you?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Would you agree that the value of any

1 decommissioning fund is really dependent on the details of how the fund is established and administered?
A. I don't really know that I'm an expert to provide that testimony.
Q. So you don't know?
A. I don't know.
Q. We also asked you how the terms of any such decommissioning funds could later be enforced. Do you recall that?
A. Which DL was that?
Q. It's DL-17.
A. Yes.
Q. And you state in your response after the objection, you say: It is my understanding that if the Missouri PSC makes the decommissioning fund part of the Commission's order, it would have the authority to enforce the terms of the decommissioning fund. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then in answer to DL-18, you also said it was your understanding that if the Commission makes the decommissioning fund a part of your order, that landowners would also have standing to require enforcement of the terms of the fund, is
that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. With respect to all these answers about the enforcement of the decommissioning fund, how is the Commission going to make the decommissioning fund a part of its order when you haven't given the Commission a detailed proposal yet for what that decommissioning fund is going to be?
A. The details that we have given are with regard to when the fund would be established, and my understanding is that it would be a condition to the order.
Q. What would be?
A. The requirement to implement a decommissioning funds within a certain time period.
Q. But they would have no fund, the Commission would have no details of any decommissioning fund that you're proposing at that point, would they?
A. Not beyond what we've already suggested.
Q. In your testimony?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you. If Clean Line later sells the Grain Belt line, do you know of any provisions
in any of Clean Line's bylaws or other corporate documents which address the question of whether the buyer would be obligated to take on the responsibility of the decommissioning funds?
A. Can you repeat that question?
Q. Sure. If Clean Line later sells the Grain Belt line, do you know of any provisions in any of Clean Line's bylaws or other corporate documents which address the question of whether the buyer would be obligated to take on the responsibility of the decommissioning fund?
A. I don't have personal knowledge of that
Q. Would you turn please to page 12, line 17 of your direct testimony. Do you have that?
A. Yes.
Q. You state there as follows, do you not: In the circumstances in which the project is retired from service, Grain Belt Express shall promptly wind up the activities of the project, which shall include the following actions and which shall be at the sole cost and expense of Grain Belt Express, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And on page 12 of 13 , you go on to list
the four actions which Grain Belt will take to decommission the project, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Could you turn to your data request DL-20 please.
A. I'm sorry, which number?
Q. DL-20. Do you have that?
A. Yes, I do, thank you.
Q. The question was, quote: Has Grain

Belt or Clean Line made any commitment to take any of the four actions listed at pages 12 and 13 of your testimony if the project reaches the end of its economical useful life within the first 20 years after it goes into service? If so, please provide a copy of the documents where that commitment has been made. Is that the question?
A. Yes.
Q. And your answer was: No, Grain Belt Express has not made this commitment, has not yet made this commitment. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Please go to page 13 of your testimony, if you would. Beginning at line nine, you say: If on the 20th anniversary of the date of the project completion remaining useful life of the project is

1 reasonably estimated to be in excess of ten years, the company may delay the establishment of the decommissioning fund until a date that is reasonably estimated by an independent engineer to be ten years prior to the expiration of the useful life of the project facilities, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Followup to that provision, could you please read the question and answer to our data request DL-21?
A. With reference to page 13, lines 10 to 11 of your testimony, please state who will make the determination as to whether or not the remaining useful life of the project is in excess of ten years. The response is: Grain Belt Express has not identified a person that would make this determination.
Q. And then could you read in the question and answer to DL-23.
A. If the person identified in answer to item DL-21 will be someone outside the organization which then own the project, please state who will collect or appoint that individual. Response: See response to DL-21.
Q. And that was you have not identified
that person?
A. Correct.
Q. Would you now turn please to page 14 of your testimony, beginning at line five, you state that Grain Belt wants to acquire all the rights-of-way through voluntary transactions as opposed to going through condemnation, right?
A. What line?
Q. Page 14, beginning at line 15.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That's about 550 different parcels, is it not?
A. It's approximately, I believe last count, about 570 unique landowners.
Q. There's near certainty that you're not going to be able to acquire all of those voluntarily, isn't it?
A. It is our intent to exhaust all reasonable negotiations with landowners. We view condemnation as an absolute last resort, however infrastructure projects of this size, it would be unusual to acquire every single parcel across a 780 mile line without having to resort to eminent

1 domain.
Q. Do you know of any electric
transmission projections anywhere involving as few as even 100 or so parcels of land where the developer did not need to resort to condemnation?
A. Can you repeat that question again?
Q. Do you know of any electric transmission projects anywhere involving as few as even 100 or so parcels of land where the developer did not need to resort to condemnation?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. At this point how many easements have you acquired?
A. On Grain Belt Express?
Q. Yes, in Missouri.
A. In Missouri, I believe we've acquired 39.
Q. Do you recall we asked you how many of those 39 easements included one or more pole structures on the property. That was DL-7 of our data request.
A. Yes, I recall that question.
Q. And your response was: Grain Belt Express has not completed studies to finalize pole spotting for all tracts of land. This will not be
completed until after regulatory approval has been obtained. Correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So people that are signing easements at this point don't know for sure whether there will be a pole structure on their land, do they?
A. If we were negotiating easements right now at this time, we would not be able to give exact pole placement.
Q. On a different subject. At DKL-1 to your direct testimony, there's a document called the Missouri Landowner Protocol, is that correct.
A. Yes.
Q. It's supposed to contain a comprehensive policy of how Grain Belt will interact, communicate, and negotiate with landowners?
A. Yes.
Q. And among other things, that landowner protocol includes the Missouri Agricultural Impact Mitigation Protocol, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that Missouri Landowner Protocol was issued just this past June, was it not?
A. I don't know the exact date when it was

## 1 issued.

Q. Approximately.
A. I don't know.
Q. At page 12, line six to eight of your testimony, you indicate that in response to concerns raised in the last case by landowners in the Commission, you revised some of the agricultural and mitigation policies, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. When you put together those new protocols, how many landowners in Missouri were asked to sit down with you at the table and participate in the actual process of writing that policy?
A. The landowner agricultural protocol is based on hundreds, if not thousands, of conversations that have occurred with landowners and other stakeholders over the last several years.
Q. Did you invite any of them to the table to sit down and actually draft that document.
A. We did not invite landowners to draft that document.
Q. How did you do that?
A. As I stated, it was based on the feedback that we got over the last several years
based on hundreds, if not thousands, of conversations.
Q. On a different subject, could you turn please to page 15 of your direct testimony. Starting at line 11 you talk about your standard form easement agreement, which is contained at your DKL-4, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. First, what is the expected life of Grain Belt's proposed transmission line?
A. Of the transmission line or the easement?
Q. Of the transmission line.
A. I don't think I'm qualified to answer the expected life of a transmission line.
Q. At the beginning of the Section 2 of the document, the easement document, landowners are required to give you an easement in perpetuity, are they not?
A. That is direct.
Q. Is there any reason why the easement could not be limited to a duration of say 99 years?
A. The reason it is in perpetuity is because in my experience, transmission lines are never not utilized. Even once they reach their
expected life, often times they are upgraded or replaced.
Q. So you believe it's possible this transmission line will be there a hundred, 150 years from now?
A. That is possible.
Q. Is that one of the terms that you said was negotiable with landowners, the duration of the easement?
A. I would have to consider that on a case-by-case basis.
Q. So that would be something that you'd be willing to negotiate?
A. I would have to look at the facts and consider it on a case-by-case basis.
Q. Well, the question is, would you be willing to negotiate that term?
A. I don't know.
Q. Near the bottom of page one of the easement, the document says: You are given the right, among other things, to expand within the easement, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Does that mean that Grain Belt has the right down the road to add additional facilities or
upgrades to that which was originally built there?
A. We would be able to upgrade, but not beyond the actual width of the easement itself.
Q. You could add new facilities as long as it's not beyond the original width?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that one of the terms that you'd be willing to negotiated with landowners, to exclude that provision giving you the right to add on?
A. Yes, I have actually negotiated that language with several landowners and removed it.
Q. Thank you. I've got just a few questions now about your surrebuttal. Do you have that with you?
A. Yes.
Q. At page four, starting at line 13, you address Mrs. Reichert's concern about who would pay for the damages of landowner damages on one of Grain Belt's structures with farming equipment, for example. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you essentially say that if the landowner is simply negligent, he or she would not have to pay, is that right?
A. No, that's incorrect. The landowner
would not be liable unless they were grossly negligent or intentional.
Q. Right. But if they're simply negligent, not grossly negligent, then they're not liable?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And it's going to be left to Grain Belt in the first instance to decide whether an act was negligent or grossly negligent, right?
A. No, I think interpretation of gross or simple negligence is something that courts generally decide based on the facts.
Q. So the landowner would have to go to court then is what you're saying to get that determined?
A. It would really depend upon what the facts were of the situation.
Q. What is the distinction between being negligent and grossly negligent?

MR. HARDEN: Objection, that obviously calls for a legal conclusion.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) If you'd turn to page six of your surrebuttal, beginning at line three or so, you're addressing Mr. Reichert's concern about
the waiver of homestead rights, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain very briefly what Homestead Rights are?
A. I can explain the purpose of the waiver of the homestead paragraph, I am not a legal expert in Missouri to tell you what Homestead Rights law in Missouri are.
Q. Well, do you have any understanding of what Homestead Rights are in Missouri?
A. I'm not a Missouri attorney, no.
Q. So the answer is no?
A. No.
Q. Paragraph 13 of the easement agreement expressly waives the landowner's rights and benefits under all applicable Homestead Exemption Laws, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Is the landowner given any additional compensation for waiving those rights?
A. No.
Q. At page six, lines nine to ten, you say that this waiver is a provision which can be discussed and negotiated with the landowner, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But at line seven to eight, you say that if the Homestead Rights are not waived, then the easement conveyance could be viewed as incomplete under state law, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you saying that Grain Belt is willing to accept an incomplete easement conveyance under state law?
A. The purpose of that paragraph is due to the fact that there has been some obscure case law in certain states that state that in an easement conveyance, if there is not a specific waiver of Homestead, that it can be viewed as technically invalid and terminated. That was the purpose of adding that. However, when this issue has been raised by landowners, $I$ have agreed to remove it from easement agreements, so it is a negotiable provision.
Q. So you could end up with an incomplete easement conveyance?
A. It would depend on state law.
Q. How often do landowners ask you if they can avoid the waiver which you have incorporated into Section 13 of your easement?
A. I don't know.
Q. At page six, lines 12 to 19 or so, you're discussing Mr. Reichert's concerns about Heritage Value issues, is that right.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please briefly explain what that term means.
A. My understanding of Heritage Value within Missouri statutes is that it applies to the determination of fair market value in a condemnation proceeding.
Q. And does the easement explain anywhere what Heritage Value means?
A. No, it does not.
Q. Are your landowner agents -- excuse me. Are your land agents required to explain what this issue means in terms of easement compensation?
A. No, because it involves compensation in a condemnation proceeding, which our land agents do not discuss with landowners.
Q. Line 17 of page six, you state that Grain Belt Express recognizes Heritage Value in determining the value of property and would comply with such valuations in any condemnation proceedings. Is that correct?
A. Yes, of course it would apply with any requirements by law in a condemnation proceeding.
Q. Do you recognize Heritage Value as a separate area of compensation if the matter does not go to condemnation.
A. That is an item that a landowner can raise and in doing so, we would review it and it would be part of the good faith negotiations that we conduct with landowners.
Q. Have you ever increased the value of an offer based on this Heritage Value?
A. Based on the limited negotiations we've done in Missouri, no.
Q. Thank you.

MR. AGATHEN: That's all I have, Judge. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Questions by Commissioners? Mr. Chairman, any questions?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN HALL: How many landowners are there in Missouri that own land over -- that the line will cross?

THE WITNESS: Approximately 570 unique landowners.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And how many of those have negotiated an easement with the company has of today's date?

THE WITNESS: We have signed, I
believe, 39 easements, but our intent has never been to fully negotiate right-of-way acquisitions in Missouri. That was merely a byproduct of a bit of outreach that we were doing during the proceedings.

CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding is that you have put together a compensation package, for all of these landowners, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we do have a compensation package that we offer.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you understand how that compensation package compares to that required by Missouri law?

THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with what compensation is required by Missouri law, I would say however though compared to the market and what other utilities and infrastructure companies do, it is my opinion that our compensation is very market leading and we offer many things that are not commonly offered by other companies.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Please elaborate on that.

THE WITNESS: Our compensation package is made of three parts --

CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah, I don't need -THE WITNESS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I understand what the package is, but how does it compare?

THE WITNESS: Sorry, I didn't want to interrupt you. I think it's superior in that most utilities companies when negotiating easements off of what's -- what's described as what's described as the discounted value, which means because it's an easement, we're only seeking easements, we're not asking to purchase the entire property. Because the landowner retains most of the uses, they offer what's considered a discounted, so they will pay 70 to 90 for example percent of the fee value, whereas we are paying 110 percent, so $I$ believe it's superior in that way.

I also think it's superior in that we do offer structure payments. Many utilities don't offer them. And to my knowledge, I'm not aware of any utility anywhere that offers annual payments with an escalator that increases every year as well. I believe we're the only person that I'm aware of in the marketplace that is doing that.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. And my understanding is that if the Commission grants the CCN and the company negotiates with landowners, the starting offer would be based upon that particular compensation package?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that would not change.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And if the landowner wants -- did not desire to accept that dollar amount, you would be willing to accept binding arbitration to determine that amount?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HALL: So my question is why would any landowner ever agree to the dollar amount in the compensation package if that's the floor and then they can go to arbitration and get more?

THE WITNESS: Well, that's the floor, but depending on what information they can provide us, it still could be negotiable.

For example, many of our other projects are far more advanced in negotiation, so I've spent the past six months negotiating thousands of easement agreements, and our determination of market value is highly dependent on land use, and other factors. Landowners can approach us or they may

1 want to go to arbitration in order to argue
2 particularities of that that would determine -- that
3 would cause the methodology to find a different

CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I mean to me, if I'm a landowner and you offer me this amount and I can either take that or go to arbitration and get more, I'm going to go to arbitration. But I guess -- well, okay. So am I missing something? Am I misunderstanding something in the process?

THE WITNESS: That's a valid point.
CHAIRMAN HALL: You mentioned a moment ago that there are other projects that the company is involved in that are further along in the process. Would -- would one of those be the Plains \& Eastern line?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct. CHAIRMAN HALL: So how -- in a nutshell, how are those easement negotiations going?

THE WITNESS: They're going quite well. We've actually exceeded our expectations and we've required a significant amount, as I said we've negotiated well over a thousand. During my tenure at Clean Line in the last three and a half years, I think we've acquired more than 475 miles of

1 right-of-way voluntarily through negotiations with 2 landowners, and we're still negotiating and haven't 3 exhausted any of those negotiations.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So on the Plains \& Eastern line you said you negotiated in excess of a thousand?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HALL: How many more do you have to go? Ball park, if you know.

THE WITNESS: I don't have that exact number in front of me. Less than that.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you have any reason to think that if -- if the Commission were to grant the pending application and the company were to negotiate with the landowners under -- that own land under this line, that would you have similar success in negotiations?

THE WITNESS: It has been -- I do believe that because it has been my experience that notwithstanding opposition, once we meet one-on-one with landowners at a table and they view our compensation package and our desire and ability to negotiate specific terms dealing with the uniqueness of their land, that generally they are much more open and pleased and are willing to voluntary
negotiate easements after a period of negotiation and time.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So has the company had to go to court to seek eminent domain on any of the other lines?

THE WITNESS: No.
CHAIRMAN HALL: To date?
THE WITNESS: No, no, and we're not at a point where I feel that we have voluntarily -where we have exhausted all voluntary negotiations such that we would do that yet.

CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding is that to date, Clean Line has not agreed to start funding the decommissioning fund until some date after operations, is it 20 years?

THE WITNESS: Correct, 20 years.
CHAIRMAN HALL: And what is your rationale for not being willing to start funding that fund prior to that date?

THE WITNESS: There's no risk to the landowner, I believe, in my opinion, prior to that date because financing is a condition to the CCN, so we would have to be well financed and have all the money that would be required in order to build the project, so there's very little risk that the
project would be abandoned during construction.
And additionally, my rationale for that is simply what is typical in the marketplace. I personally am not aware of any transmission line in the history of the country where a decommissioning fund was required, much less required upon commencement of construction.

CHAIRMAN HALL: How would this decommissioning fund be set up? Will it be some requirement of $X$ amount of money put into it per year, per mile, or --

THE WITNESS: I think I'm going to defer that question to Mr. Berry.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no further questions. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Recross based on questions? MJMEUC?

MR. HEALY: No questions, your Honor. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires? MR. BRADY: No questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power? MS. PEMBERTON: No.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No Questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: I think I'll ask just a few related to topics that Chairman Hall got into.

CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. WILLIAMS:
Q. I want to make it clear about the arbitration, or maybe clear it up, because I'm still not totally clear. Is the only thing that would be arbitrated is the compensation?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you're saying that if I took it -if I was an landowner and I took you to arbitration, the compensation package that you had offered before I went into arbitration would still be available even if the arbitrators made a decision?
A. Yes.

MR. WILLIAMS: No further questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Concerned Landowners?

MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Redirect?

MR. HARDEN: Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Sorry, Miss Lanz, can you give sort of a general description to the Commission on the development, the evolution of the easement agreement, how it was developed by Grain Belt?
A. The easement agreement is meant to be a form. It's not one size fits all, it's not a take it or leave it form, it is merely meant to be a starting point for negotiations.

And based on my experience in the numerous easement agreements that we've negotiated, which use a nearly identical form, I have probably negotiated hundreds of easement agreements with landowners that contained very specific one off or negotiated provisions that are meant to address unique circumstances that landowners bring up.
Q. Thank you. MR. HARDEN: May I approach? JUDGE BUSHMANN: You may.
Q. (By Mr. Harden) I have two documents here, one is labeled Structure Payments, which is Exhibit 130. Actually I'll give this to you, or you have those.
A. Yep.
Q. Okay. Exhibit 130 and Exhibit 131. Exhibit 130 is entitled Structure Payments, and Exhibit 131 is entitled Structure and Damage Calculation Sheet.
(Wherein, Exhibits 130 and 131 were introduced.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Do you have copies for the bench, Counselor?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, I do.
MR. LINTON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an objection just to get a clarification at this point more than anything else. This strikes me as going beyond the scope of cross examination in that it's a general description of the easement and general description of the payments and is not responding to individual cross examination questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Are you talking about the line of questioning or the documents that are being --

MR. LINTON: Both.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Let me look at the documents first before $I$ make that determination.

Mr. Harden, did you want to respond to
that objection?
MR. HARDEN: Yes, far from being -JUDGE BUSHMANN: Use our microphone please. Thank you.

MR. HARDEN: Far from being general, actually they're rather specific documents. The first is one structured payments. Specifically it deals with the escalation clause which was specifically brought up in cross.

And then the other document is
structured payment -- I'm sorry, the damage calculation sheet, which is also part of the easement, the process of negotiating with landowners and the landowner impact and how that was going to be compensated was also specifically dealt with both in cross examination, as well as I believe some questions from the bench.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'll overrule the objection. It appears to be within the scope.
Q. (By Mr. Harden) Miss Lanz, will you take a look at the Structured Payments document?
A. Yes.
Q. First of all, did you prepare this

## document?

A. This is a document that was prepared

1 under my direction.
Q. And let's deal with the top half of it. Can you describe to the Commission what that is?
A. So in our negotiation with landowners, we often refer to the fact that we are willing to offer annual structure payments as opposed to one time, and we were repeatedly getting the question from landowners about what that looks like financially, particularly with the two percent escalator, so in order to demonstrate to landowners what that financially would mean, we put together a summary of what those payments would look like in the first 40 years, in order to demonstrate to landowners at what point they would break even with a one-time versus an annual because landowners wanted to weigh the option of choosing one versus the other. So this was just meant to be an example to landowners of when you would find that break-even point around the 11th or 12th year, and also what those payments would look like over a period of time since often times when choosing annual payments landowners have discussed they want to do that for purposes of land that stays in the family, and they'd like to know what that payment would mean to future generations.
Q. Thank you. And what does the chart at the bottom of that document represent?
A. That's showing the cumulative payments that would occur as well in order to demonstrate that same break-even point between one time versus an annual payment.
Q. Great. Thank you. Moving on to the other exhibit that $I$ handed you, the Easement Calculation Sheet, can you briefly run through the calculation of how that is determined for the Commission?
A. Sure. This is considered part of the Easement Agreement and it's incorporated by reference to the Easement Agreement, but it typically isn't recorded since we want matters of financial terms to be confidential, so we don't record that in the real property records, but this is a separate document that sets forth specifically how the easement compensation is determined based on the per acre and the acreage payment based on land uses is determined.
Q. And you may have already said this, I apologize, but this would be incorporated as part of the Easement Agreement?
A. Yes, I believe paragraph 2(a) is
specifically incorporates it by reference.
Q. Okay. So I'd like -- in your experience, this sort of goes to a question that Chairman Hall asked, in your experience is arbitration a costless process?
A. No, there are fees associated with arbitration.
Q. Also, in your experience, do you know if any transmission line, transmission development that has a useful life of less than 20 years?
A. No.
Q. And I think that we have -- this is somewhat ploughed ground here, but on the issue of the decommission fund, $I$ believe that you stated that to your knowledge a decommission fund has never been, you know, part the of the condition for building the transmission line.

To your knowledge, has a-- is a decommission fund period typical within transmission development, whether or not it's a requirement or not?
A. I am not aware of any transmission line that has ever been approved or built in the country that required a decommissioning funds at any time.

MR. HARDEN: That's all I have.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Did you want to offer those?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, please. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. AGATHEN: Your Honor, I object. I have not been given copies so I'm not sure exactly what is on those exhibits.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Counsel hasn't seen it.

MR. AGATHEN: I was given a brief look at those documents.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Can you let counsel look at those if they're interested?

Have you had an opportunity to review the documents, Mr. Agathen?

MR. AGATHEN: I have, your Honor, I have no objection.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any other objections? Hearing none, Exhibits 130 and 131 have been received into the record. I believe you said, Mr. Harden, you said you have no more questions.

MR. HARDEN: Yes, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: You may step down.
(Witness excused.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: It seems like a good
time for a break. We'll recess for approximately 15 minutes.
(Short recess.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. We're back on the record. Just to note before we get started, the Commissioners have some meetings over the lunch hour so we're going to need to quit as close as $I$ can to noon. We'll still be able to do just a one-hour break for lunch, but I just wanted to give you a warning.

I believe the parties have agreed to take Mr. Dauphinais out of order, is that correct?

MR. MILLS: Yes, your Honor, that's correct.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Dauphinais, will you raise your right hand please.

JAMES R. DAUPHINAIS, having been called as a witness, was sworn upon his oath, and testified as follows:

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. You may proceed.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLS:
Q. Could you please state your name for the record?
A. James R. Dauphinais, D-A-U-P-H-I-N-A-I-S.
Q. And by whom are you employed?
A. Brubaker \& Associates, Inc.
Q. And on whose behalf are you testifying in these proceedings?
A. Testifying on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, Missouri Retailers Association, and Consumers Council of Missouri.
Q. Did you prepare and cause to be filed in this case rebuttal testimony which has been marked as Exhibit 800.
(Wherein, Exhibit 800 was introduced.) THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Mills) Do you have any corrections to make to that testimony?
A. No.
Q. If $I$ were to ask you the questions contained therein here today, would your answers still be the same?
A. Yes.
Q. Are those answers true and correct to the best of your knowledge, information, and belief?
A. Yes.

MR. MILLS: Your Honor, with that I
will offer Exhibit 800 and tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to the receipt of that exhibit?

Hearing none, it is received into the record. The first cross would be Grain Belt Express.

MR. HARDEN: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MJMEUC?
MR. HEALY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No cross, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners
Association.
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good morning, sir.
A. Good morning, Mr. Agathen.
Q. Have you yourself conducted any independent studies or analyses of any of the claims made by Grain Belt in this case?
A. No, my testimony is based on my knowledge and experience.

MR. AGATHEN: That's all I have, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any Commissioners have any questions? Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: No need for recross then. Redirect?

MR. MILLS: No, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you, Mr.
Dauphinais, that completes your testimony.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Ready for the next Grain Belt witness.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, we would call
Anthony Wayne Galli to the stand.
ANTHONY WAYNE GALLI,
having been called as a witness, was sworn
upon his oath, and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Please state your name.
A. Anthony Wayne Galli.
Q. And by whom are you employed.
A. Clean Line Energy Partners, LLC.
Q. And what's your position there?
A. Executive Vice President for

Transmission and Technical Services.
Q. And did you prepare in this case direct testimony marked as Exhibit 108 and surrebuttal testimony, both highly confidential and non-proprietary versions, marked as Exhibit 109?
A. I did.
(Wherein, Exhibits 108 and 109 were introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) And did we also prepare an errata sheet that you submitted that we have marked as Exhibit 129?
A. Yes.
(Wherein, Exhibit 129 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) Do you have any corrections to the direct or surrebuttal, aside from what is contained in the errata sheet?
A. I have one minor typographical correction from the schedules in the surrebuttal testimony, Scheduled AWG-7, page one of two, in the row marked two, it says CB2 semicolon SPP. It should say CB1 semicolon SPP.
Q. Any other corrections?
A. No.

MR. ZOBRIST: Your Honor, at this time I offer Exhibit 108 and Exhibit 109 in both HC and NP versions, as well as the errata sheet, Exhibit 129.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objection to the receipt of those exhibits?

Hearing none, those three exhibits are received into the record.

MR. ZOBRIST: I tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Cross examination by MJMEUC?

MR. HEALY: No question, Judge. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?

MR. BRADY: No cross, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?

MR. MILLS: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?

MS. ASLIN: Yes, Judge. Casi Aslin for Commission Staff.

CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MS. ASLIN:
Q. Good morning, Dr. Galli.
A. Good morning.
Q. Were you in the room when Mr. Lawlor stated that the transmission line was to be constructed and decommissioned in about 22 months?
A. Yes, I believe.
Q. And on redirect, he stated that the time could be longer if the converter station was taken into account. When will the converter station construction occur with relation to the transmission line, before or after?
A. The converter station in general is the long lead item for the project, primarily due to specialized transformers associated with them. Ideally, for commissioning and testing, the transmission line would be finished three to four months prior to the converter stations being finished so that you have the lines there available for commissioning converter stations.
Q. And how long would it take to construct the converter stations?
A. From -- typically, from notice to proceed, the typical lead times are between 30 and 36 months, and that's from start of engineering to actual energization.

MS. ASLIN: Judge, may I approach the

```
witness?
```

JUDGE BUSHMANN: You may.
THE WITNESS: Did you mean to hand me two?
Q. (By Ms. Aslin) No, I didn't. Thank you.

Dr. Galli, I just handed you a copy of Grain Belt Express' response to Rockies Express Pipeline, LLC, first set of data requests to Grain Belt Express Clean Line, marked as Staff Exhibit 205, is that correct?
A. It is correct.
(Wherein, Exhibit 205 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Aslin) And did you prepare answers to these data requests?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you could turn to the last page, the last question, in response to that question,
which states: State whether GBX would be responsible for all direct damages to REX proximately caused by construction or by ongoing operation of the HVDC project, including direct damages from fault currents, you responded: Yes, GBX would be responsible, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And If I were to ask you that same question today, would your response be the same?
A. It would be.
Q. Thank you, Dr. Galli. MS. ASLIN: No further questions, Judge. I move to admit Exhibit 205. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections? 205 is received into the record. That's all the questions you have? MS. ASLIN: Yes. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any questions by Rockies Express?

## CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MS. GIBONEY:
Q. Good morning, Dr. Galli.
A. Good morning.
Q. You are the witness identified by Grain Belt to address safety and coordination with nearby
utilities, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. All right. And you're familiar with Rockies Express Pipeline?
A. I am.
Q. And in fact, if the Commission grants the Certificate that Grain Belt is requesting and approves the route that Grain Belt is requesting, then Grain Belt's HVDC line would parallel and would cross the Rockies Express Pipeline multiple times, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you would agree that whenever an HVDC line, or $I$ guess any electric transmission line would be located near an underground metallic pipeline, that studies need to be conducted to determine if there would be any negative effect on the pipeline?
A. I agree with that, yes.
Q. And potentially, the testing or mitigation measures, depending on the results of the studies, might need to be put into place?
A. Yes.
Q. And some of the negative effects that pipelines are concerned with would include pipeline
corrosion, would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And damage to pipeline coatings?
A. Yes.
Q. And damage to pipeline static
protection mechanisms?
A. Yes.
Q. And also the risks of step and touch potential around aboveground pipeline appurtenances?
A. Yes, during a faulted condition.
Q. Right. And those aboveground appurtenances could be things like meter stations or pump stations?
A. Yes.
Q. And pipeline personnel could need -well, do need to go to those appurtenances from time to time?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. All right. And Step and touch potential, that refers to a risk of electric shock? A. Yes, it does.
Q. All right. If mitigation or testing measures after study are determined to be required, obviously someone is going to have to pay those for, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And if the HVDC line, $I$ believe $I$ heard Miss Aslin say, if that damages the pipeline someone is going to need to be responsible for that, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And Grain Belt has agreed that it would be responsible in this case if that happened, is that correct?
A. Yes, we have.
Q. All right. I believe you stated that you reviewed what has now been marked as Staff Exhibit 205, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you contributed to the responses or maybe you prepared them?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And just kind of a 10, 000 yard view, and if this is too general we can go through them, but generally speaking, would you agree that those DRs ask Grain Belt Express to agree to do certain things and to take responsibility for certain things?
A. Yes, appropriate coordination with Rockies Express and others subsurface and overhead
utilities, we would coordinate with and do studies with to determine if mitigation was necessary.
Q. And also, would you agree that Ms. Aslin asked you to take responsibility for certain damages if they occurred?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And those areas of agreement -- and Grain Belt did agree to all those things, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And those areas of agreement that are detailed in Staff's Exhibit 205, Grain Belt has also consented to those agreements being imposed by the Commission as conditions on the granting of the Certificate that you're requesting, is that correct?
A. That is correct.

MS. GIBONEY: No further questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Concerned Landowners?

MR. LINTON: Thank you, your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Galli.
A. Good morning, Mr. Linton.
Q. My name is David Linton and I have a

1 few questions for you. What years did you work at
A. I was from -- at Southwest Power Pool from 2001 to 2007.
Q. During that time did you run across the issue where SPP implemented a cost estimation improvement process?
A. So there is currently a process in place, and forgive me, I don't recall the exact name of the working group, it's the project task force that tracks approved project. While I was at SPP, it was a topic of discussion, but there was not an official process in place for tracking costs at that point. Costs were submitted by POs to SPP and resubmitted as necessary.
Q. Okay. Do you recall what caused them to enter into this cost estimation improvement effort?
A. It is my recollection, and again it occurred after $I$ left as I recall, but they had a project or two that was -- that were underestimated by a certain amount, and it brought into question the cost benefit analysis that SPP had performed, so the board at SPP decided or the MOP-C, the Market and Operations Policy Committee, decided that there
needed to be a mechanism whereby if certain
thresholds were exceeded, the cost benefit analysis would be performed on the particular facility that was in question.
Q. Do you recall that the process that was developed included a staged process whereby the estimate would be within a bandwidth or a range of plus or minus certain percent before the project would be reevaluated?
A. That is my recollection.
Q. Can you give any more detail about how that was developed or the details of that?
A. I was not involved in that, I don't recall.
Q. Okay. Page 13, line seven of your direct testimony, you state that you will be entering into an EPC contract with Quanta, is that correct?
A. Page 13?
Q. Line seven.
A. It states that this HVDC Transmission Line Development Agreement contemplates that Quanta will enter into a contract to serve as engineering, procurement and construction contractor for the project.
Q. That's an EPC contract, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Can you describe what is an EPC contract generally?
A. They take a number of forms. In general, when you talk about EPC contract, and I'll say colloquially, capital E, capital P, capital C, it means that you go to a firm that has the capabilities to both fully engineer the project, procure the equipment for the project, and construct the project. So it's, again colloquially, a one neck choke approach from building something.
Q. It's basically a turnkey contract?
A. Turnkey contract.
Q. They build it, they do everything, and they turn it over to you?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what would you say the timing is on that contract?
A. So I believe there is -- there is a milestone schedule submitted, so subject to check, I believe we would start negotiations on that contract four to six months prior to notice to proceed.
Q. Okay. So if you wanted to issue your notice to proceed before the end of the year, we're

1 talking about July/August timeframe before executing
to align with the project description and the applicable performance requirements.
Q. Have those studies been completed as of now?
A. So, in general, that line I believe is referring primarily to HVDC converter stations and those studies are ongoing and not completed --
Q. Okay.
A. -- by the selected supplier.
Q. Excuse me?
A. By the selected supplier.
Q. How will those studies be used in the engineering and design by Quanta.
A. Again, these studies, I believe, are particularly, even though it's not necessarily clear here in the testimony, are referring to the converter stations themselves, so unless the OEM, regional equipment manufacturer, for the converter stations selects Quanta to do the construction of the converter station, then they wouldn't have anything to do with it.
Q. Okay. There would be no way that this would need to be implemented into the engineering and design?
A. It's two separate EPC contracts. So

1 one for the converter stations with the OEM and then 2 one for the transmission line with Quanta.
Q. Okay. So there would be no coordination between the two?
A. Well, there absolutely has to be coordination for the tie ins from where the line stops outside the fence of the converter station to inside the converter station, and that's part of the handoff between the two -- the two EPC contracts, so whoever's scope that goes into would be responsible for coordinating that.
Q. Okay. At page 14 of your direct, toward the bottom of the page, you discuss the NERC criteria, the Good Utility Practice, and applicable law and -- that those will be incorporated into this design. How will Quanta do that?

I guess the first question is, have they done that, have they incorporated those aspects, those requirements into the design?
A. So the design, and this term would be associated with the ratings, which would be associated with procurement, engineering procurement of the equipment, and you don't want to put a very thin strand of copper wire up there for between 500 and 3,000 megawatts, so based on those
specifications, the engineering done to validate those specifications, to be sure they meet safety codes, the RTOs are responsible for ensuring that the interconnection is designed appropriately for meeting all of the NERC reliability standards.
Q. That has not been done yet, is that what you're telling me?
A. I mean it's ongoing. It's ongoing for sure. It's not necessarily fully complete.
Q. Okay. Do you know yet say, for example, the type of conductor that you will be using?
A. We do.
Q. You do? Now you don't know in all instances the type of towers that you're going to use?
A. Can you help me understand what you mean by type of towers?
Q. Well, you described three types of towers in your testimony, $I$ think you have a schedule in your testimony --
A. Right.
Q. -- that provides a diagram of three types of towers.
A. Yeah.
Q. I think I've seen in a data request that you don't know exactly what type of tower yet because you don't know the route yet, is that accurate?
A. We don't know the route completely yet. We do know that it will be a family of structures, so it will be either a self-supporting lattice, a single monopole with lattice mast, are the typical structures types for this type of project. It would actually be a mix of the structures, not just all a single type.
Q. But you don't know how many of each yet?
A. Not at this point, no.
Q. You state that the MISO DPP, and this is at page 29 line 22 of your direct, will take 200 days. Now how does that overall design process fit into -- well, how does that design process fit into the Quanta design process?
A. Well, this is -- this is a study requirement by the RTO, not a design process, so it doesn't directly insert into the design process that Quanta would undertake.
Q. Okay. So MISO would not need to know the precise design of the line before it finally
does its DPP?
A. Not the precise design, no.
Q. Okay. At page 38, line 9 through 14 of your surrebuttal this time?
A. I'm sorry, the page again, please?
Q. 38. This gives a good response to where you stand I think overall. Can you -- I apologize, can you just read that to me into the record? Your answer starting at line six going to 14.
A. Starting with Mr. Lange?
Q. 38 .
A. Line nine.
Q. Line nine, I apologize. Yeah, you can start at nine.
A. Okay. So this is in response to the question on page 38, line 15. It says: The combination of one, the January and March 2013 SPP Criterion 3.5 study --
Q. Hold on just a minute. We're on surrebuttal, page 38.
A. I'm on surrebuttal, page 39 , lines nine through --
Q. No, page 38.
A. Oh, 38.
Q. And you're talking about Mr. Stahlman's concern that the design isn't adequately developed.
A. Oh, I apologize. Yes.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I'm confused, page 38, which line please?

MR. LINTON: Line 9.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Why are we reading into the record what will be in the record?

MR. LINTON: I apologize, your Honor, I will discuss it with him further.
Q. (By Mr. Linton) So Grain Belt Express advises that the information will not be known until a final route is known, is that correct.
A. Regarding specific -- pardon me -regarding specific transmission structures and placement, that is correct.
Q. Then you say: Moreover, the design, the cost of the design is largely dependent on a large and robust river crossing. Do you see that?
A. I say: Moreover, the cost to design large and robust river crossing structures is significant, not that the design is based on those, but the cost to design those specific structures.
Q. Now you have a budget now, we've talked about, $I$ think it's either 2.9 or 3.0 billion
dollars, right?
A. Two or three billion dollars I think, yeah.
Q. Okay. And you have -- have you developed that budget with Quanta's help at this point?
A. Quanta has had input, both on this project and on Plains \& Eastern Project's is very close too.
Q. But it is an ongoing project?
A. I'm sorry, say that again.
Q. Ongoing design.
A. The design parameters are established, the final design is underway.

MR. LINTON: I would like to have an exhibit marked and distributed. I believe this would be Exhibit 407.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: That's correct.
(Wherein, Exhibit 407 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Linton) Can you identify that document?
A. This is Anthony Wayne Galli's Responses to Show Me Concerned Landowners First Set of Data Requests.
Q. And WG-2 basically asks if you had done
a cost estimate pursuant to the American Association of Cost Estimators International or AACEI

Recommended Practice Number 56R-8, and you say basically no.
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And WG-4, it also asks the question: Are you familiar with project definition rating index methodology used for -- used -- used for doing a cost estimate and you say no, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. It further asks have you done a PDRI, which is the Project Definition Rating Index, assessment, has that been performed on the Grain Belt Express project?
A. No.
Q. And the answer is no?
A. Correct.
Q. You say then that it's premature to do so until the entire route is determined.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So you have a budget, and you still need to do some design work, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Would you anticipate that the
budget may change significantly?
A. The budget specifically for design
work?
Q. No, budget for the project.
A. I don't anticipate that it will change significantly, no.
Q. Have you -- have you designed the river crossing yet?
A. No. While costly, they are small, very small percentage of the overall project costs, though.

MR. LINTON: I have no further question. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Would you want to offer 407?

MR. LINTON: Yes, please. Thank you. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to the receipt of that exhibit?
(No response.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: 407 is received into the record. Cross by Missouri Landowners?

MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge. CROSS

EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good morning, Dr. Galli.
A. Good morning, Mr. Agathen. How are you?
Q. I'm fine. You testified before the Illinois Commerce Commission in a proceeding where you were seeking approval of the same line, did you not?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you told the Illinois Commerce Commission that the interconnection in Missouri would enable the electricity transmitted over the Grain Belt line to be physically sold into the electric grid in Illinois, did you not?
A. I don't recall that particular part of the testimony, but $I$ could have said that, yes.
Q. Well, is it subject to check that you did?
A. Subject to check.
Q. Thank you. So all the power delivered at the Missouri substation could get sold into Illinois, could it not?
A. Restate the question, please.
Q. All the power delivered at the Missouri substation could get sold into Illinois? Physically.
A. Power delivered from where to the Missouri substation?
Q. From Kansas to the Missouri substation. It could physically be sold into Illinois?
A. It could be wield across through MISO and PJM from that point, yes.
Q. Or into MISO territory into Illinois, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Isn't it true that the price of renewables tends to be higher in states east of us than they are in Missouri.
A. I think that would be a question better deferred to an economist like Mr. Berry.
Q. Am I correct that the power delivered at the PJM interconnection in Indiana could be delivered to all of the states along the Eastern Seaboard?
A. That is correct.
Q. And the prices for renewable energy in the PJM markets are generally higher than they are on the MISO footprint, is that correct?
A. I'm generally not on top of the market pricing, so again, Mr. Berry would be a better witness for that.
Q. Were you on top of it in the last case here at this Commission?
A. I may have been more familiar with it at that point.
Q. Do you recall testifying that the energy prices in the PJM market were generally higher than they are in the MISO footprint?
A. I don't necessarily recall that testimony, but subject to check.
Q. Thank you. On a different subject. At one point you had planned for the Grain Belt line to deliver 500 megawatts to Missouri and 3,000 megawatts into the Sullivan substation in PJM, correct?
A. That was the original project definition, yes.
Q. For a total capacity of 3500 megawatts?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you decided to increase the total capacity from 3500 megawatts to 4,000 megawatts, correct?
A. The total capacity, yes.
Q. And all of the additional 500 megawatts will be delivered to the Sullivan Substation on the PJM station, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. None of it to Missouri?
A. None of the additional capacity was added to the Missouri converter station.
Q. Instead of delivering all the additional 500 megawatts to PJM system, you could have designed the facilities so as to deliver at least several hundred of the added megawatts to the Missouri Substation, could you not?
A. That would have been a possibility, yes.
Q. Is it fair to say that Grain Belt decided to deliver all 500 megawatts of the added capacity to the PJM system because you could make more money by selling it there than you could in Missouri?
A. Again, I think Mr. Berry would be better to answer that question. Generally, it's based on a number of different factors. Pricing would be one of them, the other would be the interconnection capability of the individual converter stations.
Q. Mr. Galli, I'm going to hand you a copy of the transcript from the last case, 2014 case before this Commission, and ask you to turn please

1 to page 618.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you, what page?

MR. AGATHEN: 618.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Do you see that?
A. I do. The highlighted section?
Q. Yes. And the highlighted section says: Question, the additional 500 megawatts you added all went to delivery in Indiana essentially, correct? Answer: The increase in the converter size was in Indiana, correct. Question: Could you from a technical engineering standpoint have planned on and made additional -- excuse me -- available delivery to Missouri of hypothetically 600 megawatts and cut back 100 megawatts from the delivery in Indiana? Answer: Hypothetically, yes. Or could have been up to 700 in Missouri? Hypothetically, yes. Or 800? Hypothetically, yes. Was the decision not to do that and to stay with 500 in Missouri an economic decision? Answer: I think for the most part my recollection is that it was based on our understanding of the markets and what the markets would bear.

## Is that correct?

A. That is what it says.
Q. On a different subject. I believe you said in some answers to Mr. Linton that you don't know at this point how many support structures you'll be putting up across Missouri, didn't you?
A. I recall that I said we don't understand the number of -- the number of certain types of structures, yes.
Q. Do you know how many total structures.
A. On the average, four to five a mile is a rule of thumb, but it depends on a lot of factors.
Q. So you don't know at this point exactly how many structures you'll be putting up.
A. No, we do not.
Q. And I think you said you don't know the number of single monopole structures versus the larger lattice-type structures, is that correct?
A. That is correct, we've not made that determination.
Q. And you won't have that answer until after this Commission issues an order in this case, will you?
A. When we have a route that we can do the engineering on, we'll have that answer.
Q. So that will be after the Commission issues an order in this case, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Will you turn please to page one of your Schedule AWG-3? Are you there?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have a drawing of the typical lattice structure at the top of the page and then a drawing of a typical monopole structure at the bottom, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And the lattice structure is slightly higher than the monopole structure?
A. On average, yes.
Q. And requires a four pier foundation instead of a single pier foundation, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And for the lack of a better term, the lattice structure has more of a visual impact than a single pole structure, does it not?
A. I believe that's subjective. I personally think the lattice structures have less of a visual impact because you can actually see through them.
Q. So it depends on the person's I guess definition of visual.
A. I think that would be subjective, yes.
Q. Is it your position that when it comes time to actually build the line, Grain Belt will have the sole discretion to determine what type of structures they'll use in any given location?
A. I think we take a variety of circumstances into consideration in determining the structures, and one of those is landowner preference, but to answer your question, I think ultimately it is our sole discretion, but I can't unilaterally state that.
Q. You don't have any documents which would show that it's up to the landowner?
A. I know Miss Lanz in her discussions with landowners offers a construction questionnaire, at least on the Plains \& Eastern Project, and it discusses structural heights to a certain degree as a landowner preference, but other than something in writing, that's the only thing I would think we would have. But I would defer to Miss Lanz on that.
Q. Is it fair to say that the lattice structure is a much more efficient structure than the monopole?
A. From an engineering perspective, in terms of weight versus carrying capability, yes, it's more efficient.
Q. And it's fair to say there are a lot of engineers reason why you would prefer to use the lattice structure instead of a single monopole, is that correct?
A. From an engineering perspective, it's the most efficient structure. So from purely an engineering perspective, it would be a preferred structure, yes.
Q. Would you turn please to page 11 of your direct testimony. At lines 13 to 15, you also mention what you call other lattice type structures, such as guyed vee, V-E-E, and guyed lattice mast structures, correct?
A. That is correct, those are typical structures for transmission.
Q. We asked you for diagrams of those other lattice structures similar to the diagrams you have on your Schedule AWG-3, correct?
A. I don't recall that being asked, but there were a lot of asks, so if you have that DR.
Q. Did you tell us that you didn't have any of those diagrams?
A. I don't recall that DR.
Q. There have been a lot of DRs, I'll admit.
A. Pardon?
Q. There have been a lot of DRs.

MR. ZOBRIST: What's the number?
MR. AGATHEN: WG-7.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Could you read in the question WG-7 and the response?
A. It says: Please provide diagrams comparable to those shown at Schedule AWG-3 for each of the, quote, other lattice structure types, end quote, which might be utilized in the Missouri segment of the project. Response: Diagrams have not been created for any other structure that has been identified by power as suitable for the Grain Belt Express project.
Q. Thank you, sir. And you also told us that you can't even provide an estimate of the number of these other lattice type structures that might be used in supporting this line, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Your proposed line would need to cross both the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Does your testimony give any indication of what the height would be of the structures at
those river crossings?
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Is your microphone on,
Mr. Agathen?
MR. AGATHEN: Now it is, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall in my testimony providing estimated heights for river crossings.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Do you recall that we asked in a data request for the expected height of the structures at those river crossings?
A. Again, I don't recall any individual DRs, but very possibly you did.
Q. Do you have those data requests up there with you?
A. Do you have a data request number?
Q. G-57.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Which set is that,
please?
MR. AGATHEN: Might be easier if I just brought it up.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) I hand you a copy of a number of data requests including G-57 and ask if you could read in the question and the answer.
A. G-57, what is the expected height of the proposed line supporting structures in Missouri at the Mississippi and Missouri River crossings? Response: Specifically structure designs for the Grain Belt Express project have not been produced since the location of the structure plays a major role in the design of the structures. Once the route has been approved in Missouri, Grain Belt Express will perform the detailed structure spotting and then will commence with structure design activities.

It can be noted that based on more detailed engineering performed on the Plains \& Eastern project, the average structure height for non-crossing structures is approximately had 145 feet. One can reasonably assume similar average heights would be utilized on Grain Belt Express.
Q. Thank you, sir. You're familiar with the testimony of Mr. Shiflett in this case, are you not?
A. I am familiar with it, not intimate with it, though.
Q. He works for the company which you say will be the prime construction contractor, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. I'm handing you a copy of Mr. Shiflett's Schedule TFS-4, which is page 20 of 140, and in the bottom row it indicates lattice crossing structures, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what does it say about the heights in the third column there about structural footprints for the Missouri River Crossings?
A. Well, this is general lattice crossing, it doesn't specifically say Missouri River or Mississippi River, but it states 200 to 350 feet tall.
Q. And it says in the box next to that, as necessary and limited situations, for example, Mississippi River and Missouri River Crossings, correct?
A. Oh, that's correct, yes.
Q. Thank you. So we could end up with structures crossing the rivers which are about half the height of the Gateway Arch, couldn't we?
A. I'm pretty bad with geographical facts, so $I$ don't really recall what the height of the Gateway Arch is, but a river crossing of 200 to 300 feet based on topograph would not be unrealistic.

$$
\text { Q. } \quad 200 \text { to } 350 \text { feet. }
$$

A. Yes.
Q. On a different subject. Could you please turn to page 39 of your direct testimony. Do you have that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. At lines 14 to 16 you essentially state that it's very unlikely that your proposed line would impact the GPS systems used on farming equipment, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. What does Grain Belt intend to do if it turns out that your line does in fact adversely affect the GPC system on someone's farming equipment?
A. We would work to remedy that situation.
Q. You would fix it?
A. Yes.
Q. At your cost?
A. Yes.
Q. On a different subject. Are you aware of any studies or analyses which conclude that the bulk power system in Missouri is presently below some level of reliability generally considered acceptable under Good Utility Practice?
A. I am not aware of any study that states
such.
Q. Are you aware of any study or analysis which concludes that at some future date the bulk power system in Missouri will likely fall below some level of a reliability generally considered acceptable under Good Utility Practice?
A. There are studies that show there are reliability problems in the future in the MISO footprint, but most of those are addressed by current projects under construction, so there are studies that exist that show problems, but they also show mitigation.
Q. Mitigation meaning that it will be

## corrected?

A. Correct.
Q. Has Grain Belt conducted any studies or analyses which show what the least cost method of increasing the reliability of the bulk power system in Missouri would be with the addition of the Grain Belt line?
A. No.

MR. AGATHEN: I just have just a few more questions, Judge, that deal with documents that have been labeled highly confidential by Grain Belt. JUDGE BUSHMANN: We'll go in camera

1 then. People in the audience that are not
2 authorized to listen to highly confidential
3 information, you need to step outside for a few
4 minutes, please.
5

7 Volume 13, Pages 496 through 498.)
8
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12
13
14
15
16
(REPORTERS NOTE: Back in open

```
    session.)
```

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Could someone in the back please let the people know if they're outside that they can come back in?

We're back in public session.

## Questions from Commissioners?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Hello.
THE WITNESS: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN HALL: You are aware that Staff has expressed some -- some concern with the fact that Grain Belt has not completed all the necessary RTO interconnection studies.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I'm aware of that expressed concern. We addressed them in surrebuttal.

CHAIRMAN HALL: How so?
THE WITNESS: Specifically, I think Schedule AWG-7 to my surrebuttal is a good summary of the studies that have been completed and to be completed. There is roughly a dozen various studies. The majority of them are complete and some -- two -- one -- let's see, pardon me -- one, two are yet to be started.

CHAIRMAN HALL: In a nutshell, why --

1 why -- why has the company been unable to complete all of the necessary studies to date?

THE WITNESS: So a lot of it is dependent upon the RTO processes and just the timeframes that it takes. For instance, the PJM interconnection site, we had started the facility study and then they began to retool or restudy our queue position because there were some changes in the queue positions above it, some were withdrawn, so PJM has triggers in their tariff that they have to restudy at that point.

So right now PJM is currently
restudying something they had already studied. So it's largely dependent upon the RTO timeframes.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Are you aware of the lack of finalized interconnection agreements with RTOs being raised as an impediment to getting a Certificate in Illinois, Indiana or Kansas?

THE WITNESS: Let me restate your question so I'm sure I understood it. You're asking me am I aware of the fact that a transmission entity doesn't have a signed interconnection agreement that's impediment to getting a CCN?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Not just any transmission company, I'm talking about your

1 transmission.

THE WITNESS: I am aware that it raises concern, but, you know, from my perspective, these -- these issues are tackled -- need to be tackled in parallel as opposed to sequentially from a development timeframe.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Let me rephrase my question. In Illinois or Indiana or Kansas, did any of the opponents of the line raise the fact that Clean Line did not have all of the -- the RTO interconnection agreements in place prior to the issuance of the Certificate?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that was indeed raised.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And in those cases, the Commissions in Illinois, Indiana and Kansas decided that that was not a necessary precondition?

THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding is that Clean Line and Staff have agreed with -- to a condition that Grain Belt will provide Staff with complete RTO interconnection agreements and any associated studies, should the studies raise new issues, Grain Belt will provide its plan to address
those issues. Are you familiar with that agreement?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you give me a flavor for what some of those new issues might be and how Grain Belt would provide its plan to address those issues?

THE WITNESS: Honestly, it's hard for me to conceive at this point in level of study that we've been at any new issues coming up. Hypothetically, you know, PJM might, for instance, see the need to add a wave trap on some 345 kV line downstream when they finalize their retool, and maybe that's another, you know, \$10,000.00 or something of that nature. It doesn't move the project budget that much, but given the level of study right now, I really don't anticipate anything changing significantly from those interconnection studies.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So Staff in its rebuttal report expresses concern due to the lack of these studies because there could be potential necessary transmission upgrades.

THE WITNESS: They do express that concern, yes.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And how do you respond
to that?
THE WITNESS: My view is in general that the level of study that we've done has indicated there won't be significant additional transmission upgrades.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I have a couple of questions about the Missouri converter station. Will it be capable of uploading Missouri energy?

THE WITNESS: It will have -- yes, sir, it will have bidirectional capability.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And has MISO studied that yet?

THE WITNESS: We have not studied power flow in that direction, so sourcing from MISO into the converter, we have not had that study at this point.

CHAIRMAN HALL: What is involved in that kind of study?

THE WITNESS: It would more than likely be from MISO's perspective just a transmission service type request study from wherever the power begins MISO was originating to our point of interconnection. So the customer requesting that service through us would have to, in other words, get service to us, and so MISO would have to study

1 that.

3 study?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Is that a complicated

THE WITNESS: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Why hasn't it been completed to date?

THE WITNESS: I don't believe anybody has requested that to date.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, when Staff indicated that it was a concern, wouldn't that have been an indication that maybe you should have requested that study?

THE WITNESS: For our base case, it's not -- it's not necessarily part of our base case, if you will, for the project development. Our base case assumes injection into Missouri, not withdrawal from. So any upgrades associated withdrawal from would -- or the impact would fall on the person requesting that service, not on the project. We're seeking injection rights, withdrawal rights would be for whoever is requesting the withdrawal, to remove the power from us.

CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding is that part of the project will involve handing functional control of the transmission line to PJM?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN HALL: What about the 500 megawatts that would drop into Missouri, will MISO have functional control over those 500?

THE WITNESS: It depends on how the generators want to interact with the MISO markets, either as an external resource, with current capacity in the market, or scheduling on a tag basis into the market. So MISO would have control as -as he will as either dispatching the wind that wants to participate directly into the market as an external resource, or control over approving tags that would flow across the interface between the converter station and into MISO.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So it sounds like it's going to depend on what the generator wants?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN HALL: So that could change?
THE WITNESS: You mean on a minute-tominute basis or are you just --

CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I mean I assume that generators will sign contracts for a set duration of time, and so during that duration of time their preference will be honored I assume, whatever deal gets worked out?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Are you familiar with Staff's concerns that -- that the -- that this transmission line instead of solving seams issues actually creates seams issues, that there's a new seam at each converter station?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm generally aware of that concern.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And how do you respond to that?

THE WITNESS: I think in terms of seams agreements, you know, PJM and MISO already have their joint operating agreements in place and their seams agreement in place, and it would fall within those -- within those already approved documents.

So not a big concern. On the SPP side, it would be another -- a joint operating agreement, which are, for better -- not quite pro forma but almost pro forma between PJM and SPP.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I think that's all I have. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Recross based on Bench questions? MJMEUC?

MR. HEALY: No questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. WILLIAMS:
Q. Dr. Galli, do you recall the questions or the queries that Chairman Hall was asking you about studies not being completed and anticipated costs of upgrades that might follow from those studies?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you used the term that you didn't anticipate any significant transmission upgrades?
A. That's correct.
Q. What did you mean by that? Can you put a dollar amount on it or a facility -- what does that mean?
A. Using my example to Chairman Hall, with the retool study by PJM, again the first study identified some significant upgrades, as noted in my
testimony, on over 500 million dollars. Retool may identify something small, in the magnitude of tens of thousands of dollars, nothing that would move over to the 500 million dollar price tag, for example.
Q. So you're not expecting any of these studies to put you even into the millions of dollars?
A. I wouldn't expect -- maybe millions, not tens of millions. It's hard to judge, but again, very unlikely it would be anything significant to the project.
Q. What's your comfort level with that projection?
A. I'm very comfortable with that projection.

MR. WILLIAMS: No further questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express, questions?

MS. GIBONEY: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Redirect by Grain

Belt.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Dr. Galli, the Chairman asked you about the MISO role in terms of the three RTOs that will be involved in this project. Will MISO be able to regulate the injection of power into Missouri to ensure reliability?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's the status of your interconnection with the Southwest Power Pole?
A. We have a signed interconnection agreement between us and Southwest Power Pool and ITC.
Q. And finally, Mr. Agathen was asking you about the additional 500 megawatts that were added to the project from its original standpoint to date. Do you recall that line of questioning?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If prices are higher in the PJM region, what effect would that have on the economic feasibility of the Grain Belt Express project?
A. It would improve them, improve it.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. ZOBRIST: Nothing further, Judge.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Mr. Galli that completes your testimony, sir. You may step down. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: My pleasure.
(Witness excused.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: We're going to break for lunch now. We'll be in recess until 1:00.
(Lunch recess.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Let's go back on the record.

My understanding is we're going to be taking a Grain Belt witness out of order.

MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, Judge, we're going to take Suedeen Kelly at this point and then resume with the regular order, which would be Tommy Shiflett.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.
MR. ZOBRIST: Grain Belt calls Suedeen Kelly to the stand.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Raise your right hand please.

SUEDEEN KELLY, having been called as a witness, was sworn upon her oath, and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1 QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Please state your name.
A. Suedeen Kelly.
Q. And where are you employed?
A. I am employed with the law firm of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer \& Feld.
Q. And did you prepare in this case direct testimony, which has been marked as Exhibit 111, and surrebuttal testimony, which has been marked as Exhibit 112?
(Wherein, Exhibits 111 and 112 were introduced.)

THE WITNESS: I did.
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) Do you have any corrections to either Exhibit 111 or 112?
A. No, I don't.
Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in those two exhibit, would your answers be as set forth therein?
A. Yes.

MR. ZOBRIST: Your Honor, I offer Exhibit 111, the direct testimony of Suedeen Kelly, and Exhibit 112, her surrebuttal at this time.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to the receipt?

Hearing none, those exhibits are received.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: First cross would be by MJMEUC?

MS. WHIPPLE: None, your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No cross.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions. Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions at this
time.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express.
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: Just a few, your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kelly.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. How are you?
A. Good, thanks. How are you?
Q. Good. Just a few questions for you, and they're really all on page two of your surrebuttal testimony. At lines eight and nine there, and you said that the Commission can rely on FERC to oversee the RTOs interjection -interconnection process and ensure that Grain Belt Express project is safety interconnected with SPP. You're not suggesting there that this Commission advocate its responsibility to do what it needs to do under the law, are you?
A. Of course not. I think what I was trying to point out in this portion of my surrebuttal was that in the Staff report there was reference to issues that are under FERC's purview and that the Commission could rely on FERC to do its job to ensure that interconnection preceded reliably.
Q. You're not speaking on behalf of FERC, are you?
A. No.
Q. Then page three of your surrebuttal testimony, there was a question there that said that Staff recommends that the Commission determine if the project's service is an improvement that
justifies the cost. Do you agree with this recommendation and you said no.

You're not suggesting there that the
Commission not follow the law in the State of Missouri, are you?
A. No, the point that I was making was to reiterate what I said in my direct testimony that the test for whether there is -- I think this one was need or economic feasibility. I'd have to read.

Need. That when the Commission considers need with a participant-funded project, it does not have to -- it's different from when it considers need for a transmission project developed by a traditional franchise regulated utility with costs imposed on captive ratepayers, and the point that I was trying to make was that need is different when the regulator doesn't have to protect the customers, like with the participant-funded project, the customers are only voluntary customers and don't need the protection of the Commission like the Commission needs to protect captive ratepayers.
Q. Thank you very much.

MR. LINTON: No further questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge.

CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Miss Kelly.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. You make a lot of references in your testimony, your testimony and other exhibits of the Grain Belt witnesses in this case, do you not?
A. I do.
Q. You didn't independently analyze or verify the testimony of the other Grain Belt witnesses that you sited in your testimony, do you?
A. Well, while I did not independently and verify them, I reviewed them with an eye to whether their conclusions seemed reasonable to me, in the ballpark.
Q. I'd like to clarify just one matter, if you would. At page five of your direct testimony in that line nine. Are you there?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. You state: Meanwhile, even though the costs of the project will not be recovered from the Missouri public, the Missouri public will benefit from its construction. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Just to be clear, if for example

Missouri utilities do buy 500 megawatts of capacity from the Grain Belt line, then a portion of the public could get energy from line will probably pay for the cost, relative cost of capacity, will it not?
A. I would agree that any wholesale customer of Grain Belt Express, like MJMEUC, enters into a contract to take power and pay transmission costs for that amount of power and costs associated with its transmission, it in all likelihood would pass it onto its retail customers, yes.
Q. Thank you. If you turn to page nine, beginning at line five or so, you indicated that the FDRC has supported the development of participant-funded transmission lines by offering such projects to bilaterally negotiate rates for their transmission service.
A. Yes.
Q. Is the Grain Belt project an example of what you mean there by a participant-funded transmission line?
A. Yes.
Q. And Grain Belt has already been granted the authority by the FDRC to negotiate its transmission rates to its potential customer, is

## that correct?

A. Yes. They were authorized to undertake an open solicitation and a capacity allocation process, and in the course of doing that, they were also authorized to negotiate rates with a subset of entities that responded to the open solicitation.
Q. Could you explain what you mean there by bilateral negotiations --
A. That means a one-on-one negotiation. The transmission company and the interested customer.
Q. Does the FDRC have any specific rules which would prohibit Grain Belt from charging whatever the markets will bear when they negotiate with those customers?
A. The FDRC adopted a policy statement in 2013 which set out its processes and procedures to ensure that any negotiation of rates for participant-funded projects proceed in accordance with the processes that it put out there.
Q. Sure.
A. And the Commission in that policy statement determined that if those processes were complied with, that its concerns about whether there would be just and reasonable rates, no undue

1 discrimination, no preferential treatment, that -2 that those concerns were alleviated by the company's 3 following the process set out in the policy 4 statement.
Q. And so long as the merchant follows the processes that you just mentioned in that order, they can essentially charge whatever the market will bear?
A. They can charge a market-based rate, yes, and FERC will require -- so in this case, FERC -- Grain Belt went in to get authority to do that consistent with the policy statement, and FERC granted the authority, and -- and a post negotiation report is required to be filed at FERC in a compliance filing.
Q. Sure. And, of course, Grain Belt realizes that they're going to have to comply with all these policies because they will be reviewed, as

1 you say, after the fact that by the FDRC?
A. Correct.
Q. On a different subject, could you turn to page 12 of your direct testimony beginning at line 17. Are you there?
A. I am.
Q. You state that the Grain Belt line is likely to reduce the need for future cost allocated to transmission lines that would otherwise be built. Is that essentially correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know if Grain Belt has done any kind of analysis of the number of jobs which will be lost by reason of these other transmission lines not being built?
A. That will be lost or that will added by Grain Belt's construction?
Q. Well, they've already given us that figure.
A. Okay.
Q. Now what I'm asking is are you aware of any analysis of the number of jobs that will be lost by these other transmission lines not being built?
A. I am not aware of an analysis.
Q. Do you know if Grain Belt has done any

1 kind of an analysis of the tax revenues that would 2 be lost by this reduced need for other transmission 3 lines?
A. No.
Q. If you turn to page 16 of your direct testimony. Beginning on the last line and referring to the Grain Belt project, you state that the increase in the supply of low cost power will reduce the need to build new generation. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if Grain Belt has done any kind of an analysis of the number of jobs which will be lost by reason of this new generation not being built?
A. I know that Mr. Skelly and Mr. Berry have testified to this point about the increase in the supply of low cost power and its effects, but I don't know whether in their testimony they discuss that.
Q. Have you seen any kind of an analysis on that issue?
A. No.
Q. If you turn please to page 18 of your direct testimony, beginning at line nine, if you're there. You state that additional transmission can
reduce transmission congestion, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Maybe you can clarify this for me, but isn't it true that it isn't necessarily cost effective to eliminates all congestion? In other words --
A. I don't know that I can agree with you on that point.
Q. Isn't it true that the costs involved in eliminating the congestion can be more than the value of the congestion that is reduced?
A. I guess in theory that's possible, but I don't know.
Q. You'd have to look at that on a case-by-case basis, would you not?
A. If that's the comparison that you wanted to do, I would say it would be best to do it on a case-by-case basis.
Q. On a different subject, could you turn please to page 32 of your testimony, and you recap a supposed benefits of the Grain Belt project there, correct?
A. At line 10?
Q. Page 32, I guess it is starting on line ten.
A. Yes.
Q. You state that the line will reduce wholesale electricity prices and the cost for Missouri utilities to serve their electric loads, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I assume you're aware of the conclusions made by this Commission in the last case where they stated that Grain Belt's claims that the project would lead to more renewable energy compliance costs, lower wholesale electric prices, and lower retail electric rates, were not sufficiently supported by the record?
A. In this case, I was referring to the testimony by Mr. Copeland where he explains how in this case the import of new low cost wind power will reduce wholesale electricity costs.
Q. So you were assuming that that testimony in effect superceded the findings of this Commission in the last case?
A. I don't really know, but it's the most recent testimony before the Commission.
Q. Have you yourself done any kind of analysis which would refute the findings made by the Commission that $I$ just referred to?
A. Well, I understand that the factual situation that was presented to the Commission in this case is different from that, that was presented in the earlier case, including that in this case there is now a -- a TSA with MJMEUC, as well as MJMEUC's contract with Infinity Wind.
Q. But my question was, have you yourself done any kind of analysis that would refute the findings that $I$ just referred to from this Commission in the last case?
A. I haven't done an analysis, but $I$ have read that testimony. I haven't asked myself whether that testimony refutes the Commission's earlier decision, but $I$ just know that it's new facts.
Q. Thank you. You're generally familiar with the Transmission Service Agreement between Grain Belt and MJMEUC, I assume?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're an attorney, right?
A. Yes.
Q. If you turn to page 14 of your direct testimony at line 16 to 18 , you say that MJMEUC has agreed to purchase 200 megawatts of the total transmission service to Missouri, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Does the MJMEUC contract obligate them to buy any capacity on the line?
A. No, it doesn't per se, however their subsequent entry into a contract with Infinity effectively will obligate them to take that hundred megawatts -- or 200 megawatts worth.
Q. Aren't they only obligated to take 100 megawatts from Infinity?
A. Subject to check, I'll take your answer.
Q. On a different subject, could you turn please to page two of your direct testimony, lines three to six, you state that you testified in a case before the Maryland Public Service Commission, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that the only state or federal regulatory proceeding that you've testified in, other than this one? It's the only one you list.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. That case involved a proposed merger between two major utilities on the East Coast, did it not?
A. The acquiring utility is based in Chicago, and the -- the utility to be acquired is
based in Washington, DC.
Q. Both relatively large utilities?
A. Exelon, the acquirer, is very large, Pepco, the utility to be acquired, in the scheme of things is relatively small.
Q. Is it fair to say there were numerous witnesses on both sides of that case?
A. Yes.
Q. And you submitted testimony in support of the merger, did you not?
A. I did.
Q. And I assume you're familiar with the order in that case from the Maryland Public Service Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. That was a split decision, was it not, three --
A. It was.
Q. -- three in favor of the merger, two against it?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it fair to say that the Maryland Commission presented a rather lengthy analysis in discussing the evidence on both sides of the issue?
A. I would assume so. I don't recall
exactly.
Q. I was interested in obviously seeing what they said about your testimony in that case, and excuse me if $I$ missed it, but $I$ couldn't find any reference to your name or to your testimony.
A. I don't recall. I believe that they -what $I$ do recall is that the testimony that $I$ gave was valuable to the Commission. I don't know that they referred to me by name, but I believe they referred to my testimony, or to the issues that I testified to.
Q. But not your testimony.
A. To the issues that $I$ testified to.
Q. Was there any reference, to your recollection, of you specifically or your testimony specifically?
A. I don't remember.
Q. One final question -- well, two final questions. How much do you charge per hour for testimony in a regulatory proceeding?
A. 825 .
Q. And how much approximately will you recover for this case for your time?
A. Well, I should point out that it's not me, it's my law firm. My law firm charges the rate,

1 it's not me personally.
Q. How much will you or your law firm recover from Grain Belt for your testimony today?
A. To date, we've billed Grain Belt 155, 000. I don't know if it's all been paid yet. I assume that they will pay it.
Q. That does not count the time that you're spending in this proceeding here, does it?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you're up in the neighborhood of 200, 000?
A. I -- I'm -- I suspect so.
Q. That's all I have.
A. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Questions from the Commissioners?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Good afternoon.
THE WITNESS: Hello Commission.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Welcome to Missouri.
THE WITNESS: Nice to be here.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Turning to page nine of your direct testimony, where you quote a FERC decision, on lines five and six, FERC believes that the participant-funded transmission projects play a, quote, useful role in expanding competitive

1 generational alternatives for customers. The WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you give me a little background on that case and what exactly was at issue there?

THE WITNESS: So, FERC has jurisdiction over transmission and in particular is concerned about transmission in RTOs because the RTOs are subject to their jurisdiction, and the traditional way to build a transmission project in an RTO is very similar to how we do it in the states that are not in our RTOs with traditional utilities -vertically integrated utilities. In other words, it's developed, and it's mandated and it's developed through cost of service rate making, and the costs are imposed on the captive customers, so about maybe 14 years ago the first proposal came to FERC for transmission that is merchant transmission and then participant-funded transmission, which puts the risk of the transmission on the investors and not on the captive customers, and FERC developed policies to enable that kind of transmission to be built because they liked the prospect of putting the risk on the investors and not on the ratepayers.

So they've supported the development of
that type of transmission lines by authorizing, and that's the rest of my sentence here, they decided in 2013 to authorize participant-funded transmission projects to engage in bilateral negotiation of rates with voluntary purchasers.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Are you familiar with the FERC order that could authorize Clean Line to negotiate rates?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Is there anything in that order in your view that endorses this kind of participant-funded model?

THE WITNESS: Yes, the fact that they authorized Clean Line to implement the participant-funded model through open solicitation and bilateral negotiation of rates, $I$ would say it's supportive.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So in your view, that if -- if FERC was skeptical of either this model or this particular project, it wouldn't have authorized -- it wouldn't have issued this order?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HALL: How common is the participant-funded model nationally?

THE WITNESS: I looked to see how many
projects are participant-funded at this point in time, and I found four others besides Grain Belt. There are -- and they are all in the East, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Long Island.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Would you expect this type of model to become more and more common?

THE WITNESS: Hopefully. It takes a situation like the one that's present in the Midwest where there's really a need for more -- for a transmission that's not being met by the local planning RTOs. So in -- with respect to Grain Belt, there is a demand, which they see, in Kansas, and the surrounding area, for wind to get to market, and there's markets to the East, but those markets go through multiple RTOs, and so Grain Belt was able to take advantage -- to see that and to be willing to spend the resources necessary to overcome the hurdles of trying to build transmissions across -across seams.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So you view the participant-funded model as a solution to a -- to an RTO seams problem.

THE WITNESS: Yes, plus more, but yes, it is a solution to the seams problem.

CHAIRMAN HALL: And plus more I guess
would also be trying to move wind power from where it exists to where it might be needed?

THE WITNESS: Right. In the case of
Grain Belt. In the case of some of the ones that have been built in the East, they haven't been built to move wind power, but they've been built to move power, like from Connecticut to Long Island, and across -- through the Sound, through Long Island Sound, so they've had challenges. Traditionally utilities using the traditional cost of service model haven't stepped up to the plate to fund those kinds of projects, so.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Is it surprising to you that incumbent utilities and RTOs are not necessarily supportive of these type of -- of these models?

THE WITNESS: I guess I would say it's not that they're not supportive, it's that the challenges involved in determining whether transmissions should be built across regions are great. Their first job is to ensure that transmission within their region is built.

FERC in Order 1, 000 in, I guess it was 2010 or 2011, tried to put in place processes that would incense the RTOs to look at interregional

1 planning, and -- for transmission, and I think it's 2 pretty much agreed that they've not been helpful. I think in part because -- or in large part because RTO mandated transmission puts the cost on the captive ratepayer. It's not a participant-funded model, and it's difficult to get the regions together to try and decide how to allocate those costs.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Turning to page two of your surrebuttal. Okay?

At the bottom, lines 19 and 20, going on to the next page, you say: FERC President and regulations require that MISO provide open access to transmission services to all customers, so MISO will either adopt a new process or adapt an existing one to accommodate Grain Belt Express.

So you don't believe that the fact that there's not a MISO study in place or an agreement in place concerning the Missouri converter, that that's not really a problem because MISO will have to work something out with Grain Belt.

THE WITNESS: Correct. Correct.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Well, in terms of working something out, couldn't that involve some significant upgrades, either on the MISO side or the

Grain Belt side?
THE WITNESS: Well, when they study the interconnection, so they'll have to interconnect, and they'll have to determine that the interconnection meets NERC's reliability standards, and so when they go through that process, they will determine what needs to be built, if anything. I'm sure things will need to be built.

So -- and we could call those upgrades, but they don't use the interconnection of a new facility as like an opportunity to upgrade, they specify the upgrades that are needed to interconnect it safely, and yes, I'm sure that there will be investments needed to interconnect it.

CHAIRMAN HALL: On the Grain Belt side and on the Ameren MISO side?

THE WITNESS: You know, I'm not an engineer, but likely.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So what, if you know, what kind of upgrades might be required on the MISO side?

THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't -- I'm out over my skis, so.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. And obviously, the reason why I'm asking is because if it's on the

1 MISO side, then Missouri ratepayers could be on the 2 hook for some of that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Because but for Grain Belt interconnecting, the costs wouldn't be incurred, so they won't put those costs on captive-ratepayers.

CHAIRMAN HALL: On page three of your surrebuttal at lines 12 and 13, you discuss an issue that I was raising with a couple of witnesses yesterday, and that is whether or not how to view economic feasibility in the context of a -- of a participant-funded model, and so when you say that whether a project's service justifies its cost is not relevant when evaluating a participant-funded project, I'm wondering in your mind what is relevant.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So would it be okay if I explain why I say that first?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure, sure.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. So I was a regulator in New Mexico on the New Mexico Public Service Commission.

CHAIRMAN HALL: You were the Chair, weren't you?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was, before it was elected.

So when putting on regulator hats, so when we come to approve a traditional transmission project, we are concerned, we have captive-ratepayer, and it's going to be passive, it's not voluntarily, for them to pay, they're going to have to pay if we approve the project.

So when we -- when we -- and we're charged with protecting them and ensuring that they pay just and reasonable rates, so that when we do that, that's what I was referring to here, so when we do that, we look to determine what's the cost going to be and what's the value going to be to the ratepayers, and is the value consistent with the costs from the perspective of the ratepayer.

But when you have a participant-funded

1 project, there's no captive ratepayer, so as a 2 regulator, there's no ratepayer to protect. So the customers that voluntarily enter into contracts with the transmission company and the investors who voluntarily invest to make this a going concern, provide the evidence or to bring the best evidence that it's needed because it's voluntarily, they didn't have to sign up for this, they decided to. So they've made the calculation and the decision in their own mind that the value is worth the cost. So as regulators looking to fund -- to approve a participant-funded project, you can rely on the decision by well informed individual customers voluntarily entering into contracts to purchase transmission capacity, as well as well informed investors willing to invest, to at least do the threshold requirement of need.

Then in this case, there is other evidence of need. There's MJMEUC's contract, which is evidence of need, but also the transmission service requests that have been provided from the 14 generators to Grain Belt, $I$ think is also significant evidence of need.

Plus, if you stand back and look at the marketplace, the fact that what is being proposed to
send to the marketplace is new generation and low cost generation and generation of a specific type wind, and I guess in most, if not all, of the instances, that has a particular market and a need in Missouri and the other states that I would include that in looking at need.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So in other words, you think that the standard that we should employ is need?

THE WITNESS: Well, in your targeting standard when you get to the need criteria, I think this is how you should look at it.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Just one quick
question. You had a conversation with the Chairman about other -- was it HVDC Merchant Transmission lines in the United States?

THE WITNESS: Participant-funded ones.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Participant
funded. How many are there?
THE WITNESS: Four that I'm aware of.
COMMISSIONER STOLL: Have you provided that information?

THE WITNESS: It's in my testimony, yes.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. You mentioned one in Long Island.

THE WITNESS: There's one across Long Island Sound from Connecticut to Long Island.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: What's the nature of that ownership?

THE WITNESS: I don't know who owns it, but they're a non --

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Is it the Long Island Power Authority?

THE WITNESS: No, they are not -- it's like Grain Belt Express, they're non-incumbents, so it's not the existing transmission owners. It's non-incumbents. It's not like --

COMMISSIONER STOLL: So Long Island Power Authority purchases the power from the owner?

THE WITNESS: The transmission line on Long Island Sound links generation in Connecticut with consumption in Long Island.

COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And LIPA, LIPA contracts, has two contracts, one with the generator in Connecticut, and then one with the transmission


RECROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. WILLIAMS:
Q. Those four participant-funded projects on the East Coast, are those already constructed or are they projects in progress?
A. Yes, they are constructed and let's see if $I$ can find it real quick.
Q. That's the only question $I$ have, so.
A. It's on page ten of my testimony, of my direct testimony, starting at line nine: Are they're participant-funded transmission lines currently in operation, and then I describe them there from line ten through line 17.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: Just a few.
RECROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Are all four of these HVDC.
A. Yes. Well, yes. There was a VFT project, the Linden VFT project, and technically speaking, I think subject to check from the engineers, that's not an HVDC, but it's a variable
something.
Q. Do they all have more than one interconnection point --
A. I don't know.
Q. -- on the system?

Okay. You had a question or two about transaction charges between RTO's or between utility companies, what used to be called pancaking rates. Are you familiar with the FERC docket EL02-111?
A. Not by number.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that there is no transaction charge between MISO and PJM and vice versa so that a point-to-point transaction between MISO to PJM doesn't pay a firm point-to-point charge?
A. Certainly not, an in and out rate.
Q. That's my question. Yeah, there is no in and out rate. Are you aware of that?
A. I know that there was consideration about eliminating in and out rates and replacing it with something else, but I'm not sure what FERC did.
Q. Are you aware that they actually did that between MISO and PJM?
A. I accept your statement that they did.
Q. Would there be any reason why they

## don't do that between SPP, MISO and PJM?

A. In order to do that, you have to determine how you're going to handle the differences in costs. I haven't read this order, so I don't know how they determined how to handle the differences in costs and how to allocate it, so I don't know if it was difficult for them, for FERC to come to that rate. I don't know whether FERC changed the rate from what it had been, but it's not -- you can't just say oh, it's a good idea. It's a good idea to not charge a pancaked rate because the whole point of having the rates is to ensure that the revenue streams equal the revenue needs, so I don't think they just eliminated it without figuring out how to ensure that the revenues -- but maybe they did. In other words, I would think it would be difficult, I don't know whether it would be possible.
Q. Having lived through it, yeah, it's

## difficult.

A. Okay. You speak from experience. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any other questions? MR. LINTON: No questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners? MR. AGATHEN: No, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Redirect?
MR. ZOBRIST: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you, Miss Kelly.
That completes your testimony.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: You are excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
MR. ZOBRIST: Our next witness is
Thomas Shiflett.
THOMAS SHIFLETT,
having been called as a witness, was sworn
upon her oath, and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Please State your name.
A. Thomas Shiflett.
Q. And by whom are you employed?
A. Quanta Services.
Q. What do you do there?
A. I am the Executive Vice President with the Electric Power Division.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, if I could have a moment, $I$ 'm missing my exhibit number.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: No problem.
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) Mr. Shiflett, did you prepare direct testimony which has been marked as Exhibit 121 and surrebuttal testimony, which has been marked as 122 in this case?
(Wherein, Exhibits 121 and 122 were introduced.)

THE WITNESS: I did.
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) Do you have any corrections to either of those exhibits?
A. I do not.
Q. If $I$ were to ask you these questions, would your answers be as set forth herein?
A. They would.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I offer Exhibit 121 and Exhibit 122 at this time.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, they are received into the record.

MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: First cross
examination will be by MJMEUC.
MR. HEALY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?

MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MS. MYERS: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: Yes, your Honor.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTION BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about your direct testimony, page nine, lines 7 and 8. Do you say there that the management team responsibility is to bring the project within budget at the highest quality, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So what budget is that that you're referring to there?
A. The budget will be the final bid that we produce and negotiate with Grain Belt.
Q. Okay. So that is subject to your EPC contract that you refer to?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So what is the EPC contract?
A. It stands for Engineer, Procure, Construct, so it involves -- it's basically a turnkey-type contract.
Q. So Quanta would be responsible for the engineering design --
A. As far as --
Q. -- All the procurement.
A. As far as the structures and the wires and their relationship are concerned, yes.
Q. Okay. River crossing?
A. Yes.
Q. Selection of conduit, or conductor?
A. Conductor, yes, sir.
Q. And then you would -- you have a number of vendors that you would go to and solicit bids from those vendors, is that correct?
A. Yes, we will. We also have a preselected group of vendors that Grain Belt has been developing along with Quanta.
Q. Okay. So you've been working with Quanta along this process even before you entered into the EPC contract?
A. That's correct.
Q. So have you helped them with their budget that is being presented to the Commission right now?
A. We did.
Q. At page nine of your rebuttal --
A. Surrebuttal?
Q. Excuse me, direct. Sorry.
A. Okay.
Q. It says the design process will consist of a series of engineering activities that will result in an issue for bid type of construction package. So the design will consist of, that means these things haven't been done yet?
A. No, they haven't.
Q. And as I read it, 90 percent -- 90 percent of the engineering is done by the time of the IFB package issuance.
A. That's typical, yes, sir.
Q. Okay. What is the status of your design now relative to that 90 percent.
A. Oh, that's -- well, in the first place, we don't have a known line route, so it makes a lot of the other engineering activities difficult. I would say the work that we've done would put us -and again, this is an estimate on my part -- at the

20 to 25 percent complete.
Q. You're 20 to 25 percent complete of the 90 percent? Maybe that's splitting hairs too fine, but --
A. Okay, yes.
Q. Okay. So if you flip over to page ten, this is a list of things that have not been done yet?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Which of those would you say are the most complex in your design.
A. That's difficult to say. In my mind, they're all complex.
Q. Okay. What does complete the geotechnical investigation mean?
A. That's looking at what types of subsurface conditions you have, how much rock in the ground, what type of rock, what type of soils. It gives you an indication as to your foundation design.
Q. And it would impact how far you drill, how deep your foundation is?
A. That's correct.
Q. Would it impact what materials you used for the foundation?
A. In this case, probably not. We would still use concrete at a given strength, but the depth definitely.
Q. Perform conductor selection study, so you have to determine what conductors you're going to use on the line?
A. That's correct.
Q. What the wire is?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What are your options.
A. Well, there are any number of wire configurations out there, the most typical is aluminum conductor steel reinforced. That's aluminum on the outside, steel reenforcement on the inside for strength. That's the most prominent type of conductor in the US.

You could also look at a composite for a type of conduct customer, which is relatively new, and in limited use right now.
Q. Okay. On page 11 again, or flipping over to page 11, here again are these -- a list of items that haven't been done by Quanta.
A. That's correct.
Q. And I ask the same question, which of these would be the most complex?
A. And I'd have to answer the same way, to me, they're all complex.
Q. Material procurement, that's a pretty broad issue, isn't it?
A. It is.
Q. What kind of materials are we talking about?
A. Primarily the largest items would include the towers, conductors, line hardware, insulators. And by line hardware, I mean the different connections that you make between ultimately the conductor and the tower itself. Steel reenforcement for the foundations. And that's -- that's a lyon's share of materials.

MR. LINTON: I asked the question about which of these is most complex, which of these has the biggest impact on budget?
A. Material procurement.
Q. How does that compare to designing the river crossing and what the river crossing would take to accomplish.
A. Well a big part of the river crossing is material procurement because of the size of the structures.
Q. You're going to have to reenforce the

1 structures and have special structures, special
2 height consideration.
Q. Could you please identify those two exhibits?
A. The first exhibit given to me is the first set of data requests from Show Me Concerned Landowners.
Q. Okay. And your response is there too, I assume.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. And the second exhibit, 409.
A. The second is the response to Show Me TH-7-Attachment 01-HC?
Q. Which you provided?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Now, it says upon your first set of data requests response to Show Me that you are not familiar with me, American Association of Cost

1 Estimators International Recommendation Practice 2 Number 56R-08.
A. I am not.
Q. And you have not used that to evaluate the cost estimate of this project?
A. No, we haven't.
Q. And you have your own cost estimating process, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And this TS.7, you say -- you provided Exhibit 409 but you pointed out that that timeframe is out of date?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you indicate it's out that it's kinda out of date, particularly because of rate dilatory approvals situation?
A. That's my understanding, and that's why I had it that way. So in your response, do we need to go into camera for this session of Attachment 01HC?

MR. ZOBRIST: I think because it deals with construction schedules, we may need to. But Mr. Shiflett, are you more comfortable discussing this in camera?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.

MR. ZOBRIST: Okay.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right, we'll go in camera. Those in the audience who are not able to listen to confidential information will need to step outside for a few minutes, please.
(REPORTERS NOTE: At this point an in-camera session was held in which is contained in Volume 13, pages 554 through 556.)

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             *                                 * 

(REPORTERS NOTE: Back in open

```
session.)
```

JUDGE BUSHMANN: We're back in open session. Mr. Linton, did you want to offer these two exhibits?

MR. LINTON: Yes, I did. Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to their receipt?

MR. ZOBRIST: No objection.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: 408 and 409 are
received into the record.
MR. ZOBRIST: And 409 it is should
noted is an HC.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: HC.
MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Next cross would be by Missouri Landowners.

MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, Judge. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shiflett.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Your company is rolled into this project, basically limited to engineer, design, and construction, Right?
A. And construction, yes, sir.
Q. Your companies didn't do any kind of analysis or studies as to whether there's a need for the proposed Grain Belt project, did you?
A. No, we did not.
Q. And you didn't do any kind of independent study to determine whether the project is economically feasible.
A. No, sir.
Q. Just one other question. Does Quanta or PAR track the number of landowner complaints which are made regarding major construction projects?
A. We do not.
Q. So you wouldn't have any way to estimate based on documentation what the number of landowner complaints might be with respect to the Grain Belt project?
A. No, but we do have to clear up all potential complaints, all the issues prior to closing on the project. So that becomes a project issue.
Q. Sure. But the landowner may or may not be satisfied with how it's closed out, I assume.
A. I'm trying to think of a time when we
didn't have the landowner who was satisfied with our results. None come to mind, but I'll accept your statement.

MR. AGATHEN: I have no further questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Questions by the Commissioners?

Redirect by Grain Belt.
MR. ZOBRIST: I just have one question, Judge.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Mr. Shiflett, in the response to data requests TS-4, which has been marked as Exhibit 408, you stated in response to Mr. Linton's question that you weren't familiar with the AACEI Number 56 cost estimate, do you remember that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you stated that -- what does PAR Electric Quanta use when it estimates costs of systems?
A. We have our own proprietary estimating system, which has a mechanism, a formula for judging risks to a project and also contingency.
Q. And was that system used in
constructing the various transmission lines that are contained in schedule two to your direct testimony?
A. It was.

MR. ZOBRIST: Okay. Nothing further,
Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Shiflett, thank
you for your testimony. You are excused.
(Witness excused.)
MR. ZOBRIST: We'd call James Puckett
to the stand, Judge.
JAMES G. PUCKETT,
having been called as a witness, was sworn upon his oath, and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST:
Q. Please state your name.
A. James Puckett.
Q. And by whom are you employed?
A. Lewis Berger.
Q. And what is your position with Lewis

## Berger?

A. I'm the manager of the Geospatial

Analysis and Technology Group.
Q. Mr. Puckett, did you prepare Exhibit 119, direct testimony in this case?
(Wherein, Exhibit 119 was introduced.) THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Zobrist) And do you have any corrections to your direct testimony or the schedules attached to it?
A. No, sir.
Q. At this point if $I$ were to ask you the questions set forth in Exhibit 119, would your answers be as set forth herein?
A. Yes.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I have no further questions and would offer Exhibit 119, the direct testimony of James G. Puckett.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, it's received into the record.

First cross examination would be by MJMEUC.

MR. HEALY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff.
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions at this
time.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express?
MS. GIBONEY: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. When Clean Line first started planning the Grain Belt line, it was supposed to be built much further south than the route it's now being proposed on, wasn't it?
A. That's correct.
Q. It was supposed to run somewhere between Nevada, Missouri, pass not too far from Rolla Missouri, and then terminate at a substation in St. Francois County?
A. That's correct.
Q. After Clean Line found that the substation in $S t$. Francois couldn't accommodate a

3500 megawatt line, they changed it, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Can we call this original route the

## Southern Route --

A. Yes.
Q. -- for convenience.
A. For convenience, you can. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Puckett, you need to use your microphone.

THE WITNESS: Yes. For convenience, that works. There is some terminology that refers to a southern route in the 2014 study, but I think we can carry on with that terminology.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) That's fine. Can you turn please to page six of your testimony, beginning at line six, you discuss how public input was incorporated into the routing process, correct?
A. Let's see. Yes. That's correct.
Q. Then you describe what you call roundtable meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. And the people you invited to attend those meeting were supposed to be community leaders that in that particular area, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now page seven, line three, you say that 24 roundtable meetings were held in Missouri, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But 11 of those meetings were actually held in counties along that Southern Route, were they not?
A. That's correct.
Q. And of the other 13 meetings, a number of those were held in counties where the farms on the proposed route had some opposites, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now while you testify at page seven that more than 250 people attended your roundtable meeting, in fact you only had 80 attendees in the eight counties where the line is now proposed, is that correct?
A. Subject to check, that sounds about right.
Q. An average of ten people per meeting?
A. That sounds about right. Some of those meetings were better attended than others.
Q. Sure. On a different subject, would you turn please to page 13 of your testimony. At line 15, you discuss 16 revisions which Grain Belt
made to the proposed route after the conclusion of the last case, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And of those 16 revisions we asked you in a data request whether after the conclusion of the last case you initially contacted the landowner about a possible change for the landowner who contacted you, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. What do you call a Chariton,

C-H-A-R-I-T-O-N, 1 dash 1 reroute, that's the one that involved the bed and breakfast owned by the Reicherts, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. They were intervenors in the last case?
A. Correct.
Q. And you initially told us in your answers to our data request that after the conclusion of the 2014 case, it was the landowners, the Reicherts, who first approached Grain Belt about the reroute, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, contrary to what he said there, it was Grain Belt that first approached the Reicherts, was it not?
A. I use -- the reroute was brought up to us by the landowners, by their intervention in the previous case, so in my mind and in the data requests that we provided, or the answer to your data request, that reroute was initiated by the landowners.
Q. But after the conclusion of that case, the 2014 case, who contacted who first?
A. I'm not aware. I'm not sure.
Q. So you think it might have been the Reicherts that contacted Grain Belt?
A. It could have been, I wasn't a part of that conversation. But again, our response was designed to indicate who initiated the reroute.
Q. Is it true, to your knowledge, that at one point Grain Belt offered to move the land off the property where the bed and breakfast is located?
A. That is correct.
Q. And it's also true that the Reicherts wouldn't agree to that change if it meant moving the line onto a neighbor's property?
A. I'm not aware of that discussion.
Q. Do you know why it was not moved off of their property?
A. Yes. During the June 2016 public open
house meetings, we had a route that was presented to the public that would not have crossed that property. We talked to other landowners in the area, visited the area, you know, identified several other landscape features that made the route that we presented at the open house meetings have a higher level of impact, without having crossed a large forested area, there were two small private potentially historic cemeteries that would have been in proximity in the new reroute, and so we took another look at the area, and came up with a route that avoided those new impacts and lessened the impact on the bed and breakfast property.
Q. So the line ended up off of their property for some duration and then back onto their property?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know how far it is from the bed and breakfast, the line?
A. I believe it's about 1600 feet.
Q. On a different subject. Could you turn please to page four of your direct testimony starting on line seven. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. You talk about the elimination of

1 certain potential routes for the line due to a number of challenges those routes would present, correct?

JUDGE BUSHMANN: What page please?
MR. AGATHEN: Page four, line seven.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Yes. This is in regards to the central and southern conceptual routes.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) One challenge you wanted to avoid was building the line on land owned by the federal government, is that correct?
A. In as much as it's owned by the federal government, no, but there are large tracks of land owned by the federal government in the southern and central portions of the study area that had specific land uses that aren't as compatible with transmission lines, so that was a factor.
Q. Is there a particular challenge in building a high voltage line across federal government lands?
A. Yes, there are. I would say that the primary challenges deal with the specific land use or the specific purpose that that land that is owned by the federal government. For example, you would not try to site a transmission line across land
owned by Fort Leonard Wood, by the Department of Defense, because having a transmission line across that land would introduce incompatibilities in land use. Similarly, land that's managed for a specific species or for flood control may not be compatible with the transmission line.

So on the one hand, there may be line incompatibilities; on the other hand crossing federal land does involve additional regulatory challenges, more coordination with agencies and potentially a longer timeframe.
Q. I'm not sure $I$ understood, it involves more significant regulatory problems?
A. I wouldn't say problems, but more coordination.
Q. It can become more complex?
A. It can be. It's not necessarily something we take into account during the siting phase, we focus more on the land.
Q. Getting back to your proposed reroutes of the line, would you turn please to page 22 of your schedule JGP-2. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. There's a discussion about one of the 16 reroutes that you've proposed since the
conclusion of the 2014 case, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you state near the bottom of the first paragraph that the landowners wanted to avoid potential impacts on their agricultural operations, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. What sort of negative impacts can be caused on agricultural operations?
A. That's a very general question. So if you have specific types of irrigation infrastructure. Irritation, for example, if you put a transmission structure into the middle of a pivot, that can impact the operations there. In general, if you put a transmission structure in a cultivated field, then the farmer has to work around that structure. Those are two general types.
Q. You talk in the next paragraph about strategically placing structures on the edge of cultivated fields, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. By structures, you're talking about the transmission poles?
A. Correct.
Q. Why would -- what would be the

1 advantage of keeping those poles out of cultivated
A. Again, if you put a structure in the middle of a cultivated field, then the farmer has to work around that, and there is some flexibility in the location of where the structures are placed during the engineering phase of the project, so one of the things that we've heard from landowners multiple times is to try to site transmission lines in a way that wouldn't put structures in the middle of an agricultural field.
Q. My question is what are the disadvantages of placing in the field?
A. Mostly it's just an additional burden on the landowner. It does take a small portion of the production of the crop out of that as well.
Q. During the end of that second paragraph, you also talk about moving the line to ensure significant tree coverage between the residents and the line. Why is it considered desirable to block the site of the line with tree coverage?
A. Many landowners express desire not to view the transmission line, so where ever we can, if there's a tree row -- this case is a great example.

1 There was a tree row behind the residence, and we're able to site the line on the opposite side of that tree row, so the line is in a spot that will have a lower impact on the homeowner. Of the reroutes that we do are designed to make a lower impact on the land.
Q. If you turn please to page 24 of your scheduled, --
A. I'm sorry, I didn't catch the page again please.
Q. 24, you discuss a reroute which would move the line to about 800 feet from the resident instead of the original proposal, which had the line about 420 feet from the residence, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Why would the property owner want the line as far away as possible from their residence?
A. Well, in this case there would certainly be places where it could be farther from the residence. Even on the same parcels. When we were having discussions with this landowner at the public town meeting, they actually suggested the location, and we felt that moving the line from our previous location which was parallel to the gas pipeline quarter in this area, moving it north about

1200 feet which just a better place on their property to site the line.
Q. Because it was further away?
A. Yeah, that was probably the primary reason. As we discussed, pure distance isn't really a good measure of impact. There could have been a tree line right next to the residence and it could have been a very small distance away from the house and have no visual impact at all.
Q. Could you turn please to the original route selection study which is JGP-1, page 123?
A. Do you have the document page number, 5 - -
Q. I've got page 123 of Schedule JGP-1.
A. Let's see. Do you have the section number? Chapter?
Q. I do not.

MR. ZOBRIST: Where's the page number?
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Let's see if this works. In your study, in the original study it states that the sensitivity of local residents to the visual impact of the line may be mitigated over time. Do you recall that.
A. Yes.
Q. Wherever it is?
A. Yeah.
Q. We asked you for a copy of any studies or analyses which would support that conclusion, did we not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have provided us with no documented support for that statement.
A. That's correct. That statement was based on experience, many conversations with landowners over the years, and then circumstantial evidence, talking to landowners throughout the study area who have transmission lines near their property, often times they aren't even aware of transmission lines within their community.
Q. You didn't have any study or analysis to support that?
A. No, sir.
Q. You also state, and I've got page 14 of Schedule JGP-1, which you may not need to look at it, but it states that a transmission line which is sited next to an existing transmission line can be better absorbed into a landscape than a line introduced as a new feature, is that what the --
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Of the 200 plus miles of the proposed
line that's going to cross through Missouri, is it correct that only about 15 miles are parallel to existing transmission lines?
A. Yes, that's correct.

MR. AGATHEN: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Questions by Commissioners?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Good afternoon.
THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm looking at Schedule
1, the -- the routing survey.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HALL: And I'm looking at page 27, where you list the general guidelines.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HALL: And I'm just wondering the first one is minimized route length, cost and special design requirements, is that the most important of the general guidelines or is that just the one that's listed first?

THE WITNESS: It's just the one that's listed first.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Is there any way to -is there any kind of hierarchy of importance here, or are they all the same, all equally important

1 guidelines?

THE WITNESS: No, no. So for instance, number -- oh, letter $B$, maximize separation distance from and/or minimize impact on residences would probably weigh higher in our regard than Item D, minimize the removal of existing barns, garages, commercial buildings or other non-residential structures.

So I think the routing team would look at the resource, look at the impact on the resource, and look at the impact on the landowner, and having a line directly adjacent to a home is probably a larger impact in most instances, than maybe taking a barn or somewhere else on the property.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So it's not true that one of the most important goals was to minimize route length?

THE WITNESS: No. In general, as a routing principle for transmission lines, in general, if you hold all other variables consistent, then the shortest route is going to have the greatest impact because you have fewer structures, which means you're impacting the ground in fewer places, you're impacting fewer parcels, fewer landowners, but the particularities of the landscape

1 and location of constraint features across that 2 landscape may mean that the shortest route is not 3 the lowest impact.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So the minimizing costs would not be the most significant guideline either?

THE WITNESS: No, from a siting perspective, we didn't look at cost.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So why did you not consider -- did you consider routing it along Highway 36?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
CHAIRMAN HALL: I was trying to find that. Was that one of the alternative?

THE WITNESS: It was one of the conceptual routes. So if you flip forward a couple of pages, there's a description of the sort of stages of routing and the first one being developing conceptual routes. So a concept might be parallel to Highway 36.

Then you start to gather more data about this study area, and then you start to actually delineate a route on the ground that is feasible. And the route that we came with from the conceptual route to parallel Highway 36, was actually a mile and a half, two miles south of

1 Highway 36, and the reason for that is if you try 2 and parallel the highway, you would run into all of 3 the towns along Highway 36 and all of the businesses

4 and all the homes, and you would start to have
5 diversions to drop away from the highway, and you 6 end up with a route that is very circuitous. It might parallel the highway for a short distance and 8 then you drop away from it. You parallel it, you 9 drop away from it. All the while you're getting closer to the people's homes, closer to, you know, smaller parcels that may be along the highway and, also you're crossing more and more agricultural land. So you have a route that's longer. From a concept, yeah, it may parallel Highway 36 for short distances, but it's really going to have greater impacts on something that doesn't -- that attempts to parallel something that --

CHAIRMAN HALL: Greater impact on more parcels?

THE WITNESS: More parcels, more people, the environment, really all the factors that we looked at.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Are you familiar with the conditions agreed upon by Grain Belt Express and Staff?

THE WITNESS: Not intimately.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, there's one that I would hope that you would be intimately familiar with and that concerns landowner interactions. Is there an exhibit number? I think it's 206.

MR. ZOBRIST: I can give him a copy, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Please, thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: So looking at page five of this document.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HALL: And you may not be the person to be asked about this, but we'll find out shortly, page five, that particular condition that Grain Belt Express Clean Line has agreed to is the first -- well, before the provided was a provision that Staff sought and then what Grain Belt agreed to was with that provided provision. I was wondering if you could explain to me why that provision is necessary, and if not, $I$ can ask somebody else.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I can't speak to Clean Line's drafting of that provision, but in general, in siting transmission lines across the country it's absolutely critical to have some amount

1 of leniency for moving the transmission line after
2 it's been approved by the Commission, and the reason
3 for that is -- and my background is in geography, so
4 I think in terms of scales often times. So when
5 we're at the consensual route stage, you gather
6 large constraints in large opportunity areas, and
7 then you zoom in and you start to collect more and
8 more data. When you develop the routes, move around
9 people's individual houses, other features on the

1 a landowner who has two very large historic trees on 2 their property which they did not want to cut down. 3 If they were on the right-of-way, they would have to 4 be removed.

5 Well, to us, it's really no impact to
6 shift that slightly. We shifted the line slightly, we avoided putting those two trees in the

8 right-of-way, and all of a sudden the landowner is 9 happy, the transmission line is not necessarily
information and move things slightly. Those changes are probably going to be very small, a few hundred feet here and there. The changes that result from conversations with landowners would likely be the larger ones where you sit down with the landowner and they say well, if you move the line to the very edge of my property, there will be a lower impact. And then you talk to their neighbor and their neighbor's neighbor, and you come up with something that is a larger change. So I think that's where you would want the flexibility.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Let me return for a moment back to our discussion concerning the possibility of siting along Highway 36.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
CHAIRMAN HALL: I understand your conclusion that that particular route would involve more parcels and more interference, is that the word you used?
A. Impacts.

CHAIRMAN HALL: More impacts. Is there anything -- if I wanted to read more about that either in testimony or in this routing study, would there be something for me to look at?

THE WITNESS: There is. If you look --

1 let's see. If you look at Chapter 4.2 of the 2 Missouri Route Selection Study, so JGP-1, Section $3 \quad 4.2$ talks about conceptual routes in an area, we 4 describe a northern, central and southern --

CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm sorry, which document are you in?

THE WITNESS: JGP-1 is the Missouri route Selection Study, the 2014 study.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I understand.
THE WITNESS: Section 4.2.1 talks about the northern study area, and I believe in there that's a discussion -- Highway 36 -- so do you have the page numbers at the bottom of 4-7?

CHAIRMAN HALL: What page is that?
THE WITNESS: 4-7.
CHAIRMAN HALL: I've got page four of 265.

MR. ZOBRIST: Chairman, when we marked this, we erroneously obliterated the real page numbers that were in Mr. Puckett's study, so if you go three typed pages beyond 4.2.1, I think that's the page.

THE WITNESS: There's some discussion there about the Highway 36 situation, and then I believe in one of the data requests.

I'm not seeing it here.
I also noted there was a discussion of Highway 36 parallel during the testimony of Tim Gall during the 2014 case, and he went into some detail about the development of the route along Highway 36, just Highway 36 and the reasons for eliminating this.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Judge, I'm going to find that particular portion of the -- of the survey and look at it and can we keep --

MR. WILLIAMS: Chairman, I think it's page 49 of 265 in that first segment.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Can we keep this witness available at least for the rest of the day in case I wanted to ask a couple more questions about that?

MR. ZOBRIST: Sure, that's fine.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I have no further questions now.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Recross based on bench questions? MJMEUC?

MR. HEALY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No, thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind?

| 1 | MS. PEMBERTON: Nothing. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC? |
| 3 | MR. MILLS: No questions. |
| 4 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff? |
| 5 | MR. WILLIAMS: No questions. |
| 6 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express? |
| 7 | MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge. |
| 8 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners? |
| 9 | MR. LINTON: No questions. |
| 10 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners? |
| 11 | MR. AGATHEN: No questions, Judge. |
| 12 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Redirect by Grain |
| 13 | Belt? |
| 14 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 15 | QUESTIONS BY MR. ZOBRIST: |
| 16 | Q. Mr Puckett, the schedule that you have |
| 17 | in front you, is that the one that has the numbering |
| 18 | that the Chairman does or do you have the one that |
| 19 | originally has the Lewis Berger? |
| 20 | A. I have the original chapter and page |
| 21 | number document. |
| 22 | Q. All right. The two tree discussion |
| 23 | that you had with the Chairman where you had two |
| 24 | trees that were spared by virtue of the reroute, |
| 25 | what county was that in, if you can identify the |

## county and the change?

A. Sure, it's Monroe County, and it's referred to in Schedule JGP-22, page 34.
Q. And the reroute that Mr. Agathen was asking you about in Chariton County with the Reicherts Bed and Breakfast, am I correct that that is Chariton 1 in the study?
A. That's correct.

MR. ZOBRIST: That's all I have.
Chairman, I apologize for this confusion, but I've actually got the original one here that I can give to the bench, or I'm just not sure how to do it because when we numbered these pages, unfortunately we took out the Lewis Berger pages, but I've got an original copy that might serve as a Rosetta stone in this instance.

Nothing further, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Mr.
Puckett, that completes your testimony subject to maybe being called back.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Seems like a good time for a break. Why don't take a break? We'll be in recess for 15 minutes.
(Short recess.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: We're back on the record.

MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I just wanted to say that we have equipped Mr. Puckett with the same schedule that the Commission has, so when the Commissions or Chairman has a question about a particular page, he now has a version with that particular page and he will be available to respond to any further questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Very good.
MR. HARDEN: At this time, Judge, we'll
call Dr. James Arndt to the stand.
JAMES ARNDT,
having been called as a witness, was sworn upon his oath, and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Will you state your name for the record, please.
A. James Arndt.
Q. And with whom are you employed.
A. Merjent, Incorporated out of Minneapolis.
Q. And did you submit direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony and corresponding schedules in
this case?
A. I did?
Q. And on who's behalf did you do that?
A. I did that on behalf of Clean Line Energy.
Q. Very good. At this time do you have any corrections to that?
A. I do not.
Q. And is that testimony true and accurate today as it was when you submitted it?
A. It is.

MR. HARDEN: At this time we'd offer Exhibit 101 and 102, the direct and surrebuttal testimony of James Arndt.
(Wherein, Exhibit 101 and 102 were introduced.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Exhibits 101 and 102 have been offered. Are there any objections to their receipt?

Hearing none, they are received into the record.

MR. HARDEN: And I tender this witness for cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: First cross would be by MJMEUC.

MR. HEALY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: We have no questions, your
Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind?
MS. PEMBERTON: I have nothing, Judge.
Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions, thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff.
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions at this
time.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express.
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: Yes, your Honor, thank
you.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Arndt.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I think I'm going to limit my questions to your surrebuttal testimony. If you could take a look at page four, line 13 the sentence that goes on to line 14.
A. Is this the second crop losses due to configuration studies of transmission lines can be recovered?
Q. Your surrebuttal, page four.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Linton, can you get closer to the microphone?

MR. LINTON: Absolutely.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm on page four of 31, and I am on line 13, and it deals with the conditions dictate that inefficiencies in loss of areas.
Q. (By Mr. Linton) Yeah, I have page four of 32 .
A. I may need a corrected version of my surrebuttal testimony. I was going to need a Rosetta stone for it.

MR. HARDEN: Do you have 32?
MR. LINTON: I have 32.
THE WITNESS: Okay. You said page four, line 32, it says yes, it is incorrect to assume that lowered yields --
Q. (By Mr. Linton) Yeah, that's it. We got it.

You would agree that in any productive business that if you assume that costs remain the

1 same and there's a reduction in the output or yields, there will be less income, wouldn't you?
A. Unless there's compensation for the less yield.
Q. Okay. Very good. Now line 16 through 18.
A. Yes, second crop yield losses due to the configuration of structures and transmission lines can be recovered from Grain Belt Express.
Q. Yes. Is that a guarantee of a certain level of farm income?
A. That is a -- it's my understanding that that is a guarantee to make the landowner whole for any losses due to any yield reductions or any issues that they may have with their farming operation. So that monetarily they would be compensated.
Q. Thank you. Page 24 of your surrebuttal, line 19.
A. Okay. Mr. Kruse's incorrect statements regarding the commitments that Grain Belt Express has made fail to recognize that compaction is a hazard associated with any equipment trafficking of farmland.
Q. Yes. Would you agree that the primary motivation for farmers/growers would be the

1 production of crops, whereas the primary motivation of Grain Belt Express would be the protection of its line and that those are fundamentally different motivations?
A. I would agree conditionally on that.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me the condition?
A. Well, it's obviously to Grain Belt's advantage to have good working relationships with its landowners, and so while Grain Belt is going to be in the business of moving electrons from one place to another, they are going to be moving them through landowners' properties for which they have an easement, and they should be concerned for the well-being of their landowners if there are any issues with the operation of their line that affect the landowners operation.
Q. Okay. Very good. Turning to page 17, line 18, you say: To clarify my direct testimony on this subject, the project does cross fields with center pivot irrigation but structure placement avoids directly impacting the operation of these systems, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And I think at one point in your testimony, and $I$ can't put my finger on it right
now, you actually say you can move the line closer to the boundary line of the property, is that correct?
A. There are micro adjustments where they can move the actual positions of the line to some degree, and then they also have structural placement options.
Q. And I'm trying to get a visual image of that, so bear with me for a minute. I want you to look take a look at Schedule TFS-4, and it's not your testimony, so I can bring you a copy. That's Mr. Shiflett's Exhibit TFS-4, pages 99, 100, 103 and 104 4, and I've already handed a copy to your counsel.
A. All right.
Q. These figures show the construction process of the different tower structures, do they not?
A. Well, I see a lattice, a pole, I don't see a lattice mast, though.
Q. Two of the three.
A. Yeah.
Q. And as I look at it, if you look at page 100 and then page 105, it basically shows three zones of the construction. You've got a crane zone,

1 and a drill zone on either side of the line, and then a staging assembly zone on one of the opposite -- on one of the alternate sides.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So that's the typical way of

## construction.

A. That's the typical way of constructing, yes.
Q. So that would hinder somewhat your ability to move the line to one -- closer to the boundary of the property or not, especially if there's a right-of-way.
A. There will be some limits to that. Like I said, it ends up being micro siting, but this is also construction and all of the temporary work space that's associated with construction. Once the area has been reclaimed and restored, you basically have the towers and then you have the conductors in between.
Q. Right. And then --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Arndt, can I get you to talk a little bit closer to the microphone?

THE WITNESS: Certainly.
Q. (By Mr. Linton) But during the
construction, if you put your drill rig zone or your crane zone across the property boundary line, you're either in somebody else's property and you'd have to get an easement for that or you'd be in right-of-way and interfering with the public right-of-way.
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. I would like to have distributed and marked Exhibit Number 410.
(Wherein, Exhibit 410 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Linton) Again, what I'm trying to do is get some sort of visual perception on how this is done so it doesn't interfere with the center pivot irrigation system.
A. Okay.
Q. What if -- and I believe it's Mr.

Galli who said that the project calls for towers to be four to five towers per mile.
A. There are obviously some, there's some leeway there, as far as the span goes.
Q. And I think probably the leeway tends to be more than five rather than less than four, would you agree with that?
A. Subject to check, I would -- I know that they can span a long way if they have to.
Q. What I've proposed here, just for a

1 visual aid is a hypothetical one half square mile 2 tract of land.
A. 360, okay.
Q. With a quarter of a mile pivot, irrigation, center pivot irrigation system. All right?

So if that is the case, would you agree with me, as I've presented there, that the area of that tract is basically a quarter square mile, . 25 square miles?
A. . 25 square miles, yes.
Q. All right. And the area covered by the irrigation system, the two lines, the inside line indicates the center pivot irrigation system that is right at a quarter of a mile radius?
A. The boom length would be a quarter of a mile.
Q. Boom length. And then the outer circle would be something that would go a little outside into the public right-of-way or something depending on the ability to get a larger area. But just limiting it to the radius of a quarter mile, would you agree that the area in the circle would be . 196 square miles or basically .2 miles?
A. Subject to check, but I'm sure your

1 math is fine.
Q. Now the difference in those two is five one hundredths of a square mile, if we assume that .2 square miles for the area in the circle.
A. Subject to check, again it's geometry.
Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q. And if we assume 642 acres to a square mile we get basically eight acres for each of the four triangles at the corners of the -- the plot of land.
A. Again, subject to check, I'm sure your math is fine.
Q. Okay. So if we put this irrigation system there, and we say that the line will have four to five towers per mile, how are we going to arrange those towers so as to avoid interfering with that center point irrigation?
A. It's my understanding that, again, they have some options available to them as far as span lengths go, and what they would do is they would push the line the farthest to the north that they could get and actually keep the structures out of the boom swept area. I would imagine that that would be easier with some structures than others, so

1 they might -- again, this would be subject to negotiation with the landowners, so I think that there are options to get it out of the boom swept area.
Q. So if you put one quarter square mile tract next to another, you superimposed this image immediately to the north of the image, so that you've got two tracts of land that are identical, you've got basically three areas where you can place the tower so it won't interfere with the irrigation system, is that correct? And so you would be having -- you would have three towers per mile.
A. I am -- again, I'm not visualizing what it is that you're trying to come up with.
Q. Okay. Take this image and superimpose it on top of itself above to the north.
A. Okay.
Q. So that you would have two quarter mile tracts of land, and then would you have the triangles at the corners of the two -- two tracts of land would be in places where you could locate the towers.
A. Right, correct.
Q. You would have basically three locations that you could place the towers within a
mile?
A. If this is a half mile long, are you
saying that you've got three different center pivots, one top, one bottom, and then one across, or just two, one top and bottom?
Q. Just two, at least for the moment. You've got one half mile here, one half mile to the north.
A. Okay. And this is -- this is a quarter section, correct?
Q. Well, quarter section $I$ believe is one mile, so that there would be four of these in a quarter section.
A. There would be four of these in a quarter section, correct. Then the boom length is actually not a half mile, it's a quarter of a mile.
Q. Right.
A. Right, okay. So what was the question?
Q. So if you -- if you put this in the formation of a township and range line, land section, one mile square, you'd have four of these, right? And going the distance up the land section, you would have three segments, three distinct areas of land where you could put the tower and not interfere with the irrigation system.
A. I believe, yes. I'll agree with that.
Q. But we also understand that land isn't -- isn't uniform like this, right?
A. That's right.
Q. So that there may be changes in the land that cause this to vary?
A. There will be changes in the land, probably that will reduce the size, if anything. I don't think it will get any larger, just based on the constraints that you've provided, from the geometry of the quarter section.
Q. Okay. All I'm trying to -- all I'm trying to show here is that it's difficult to figure out how to place those towers in a configuration such as this, would you agree with that?
A. No, I think I would actually disagree with that.
Q. Would you agree that it becomes more difficult in placing those towers when you don't know if a farmer has a motivation to install an irrigation system?
A. The farmer and the developer would be wanting to collaborate on where the placement if he was pursuing an irrigation system or had plans for pursuing an irrigation system in the future.
Q. But the Grain Belt representative couldn't collaborate with a purchaser of the land that purchased the land a year after the tower was put in, could he?
A. That ends up being -- that's a negotiation that $I^{\prime} m$ not privy to.
Q. No, I'm saying it would be impossible to negotiate with a future purchaser of the land, wouldn't it?
A. Future purchaser of the land?
Q. Somebody that would buy the land in two years and then decide he wanted to put in an irrigation system.
A. Okay. I agree.

MR. LINTON: That's the questions I have, your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Were you intending to offer that exhibit?

MR. LINTON: Yes, please.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to 410?
MR. HARDEN: Yes, I'll object on
foundation. I'm not sure if Mr. -- Mr. Linton himself drafted this diagram, but I don't any Dr. Arndt has established the foundation for it. It's been used as a demonstrable, fair enough. But
unless we're going to have lawyers coming up with demonstrables that then become evidence in this case --

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Linton, your
response.
MR. LINTON: The foundation was developed in the conversation that it accurately depicted at least as illustrative of a proposition. JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'll overrule it. I'll allow it to come in. It's received. Cross by Missouri Landowners? CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Arndt.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Can you turn please to page seven of your direct testimony: Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. Beginning at line one, you note that there are no federal or Missouri requirements regarding the implementation of specific agricultural impact mitigation practices which apply to transmission lines, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So in this case Grain Belt was under no
legal compulsion to include any particular provision in its agricultural Missouri impact protocol which is shown in your schedule JLA-2.
A. That is my understanding.
Q. So it's basically they could what it wanted to?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Did you attend any of the local public hearings which were held earlier in this case by the Commission?
A. I did not.
Q. So you didn't hear the statements by some landowners about how the line would affect their farming operations, I assume.
A. I did not.
Q. Have you made a living as a farmer?
A. No.
Q. Is it also true that Grain Belt was under no legal compulsion to include any particular provisions in its agricultural impact mitigation policy shown on your Schedule JLA-3.
A. I believe that to be true.
Q. Pardon?
A. I believe that to be true, yes.
Q. So again, they were free to include
what they wanted to include?
A. Yes.
Q. The document that is your Schedule

JLA-3 was written by Grain Belt back in the year 2013, was it not?
A. That's the policy?
Q. That's the Schedule JLA-3.
A. The exact date, I am uncertain of, but I think it was about that time period.
Q. So it wasn't something new that they developed in response to the Commission's order in 2014?
A. I believe that to be true.
Q. Is it fair to say that outside contractors hired by the owners of a major construction project don't always follow the exact policies laid out in the likes of your Schedule 2 and 3 ?
A. It depends on how much oversight there is.
Q. Correct. In general, is it fair to say they don't always exactly follow those --
A. Conditional agreement.
Q. Pardon?
A. I'll conditionally agree with that.
Q. That's fine. For example, turning to page 18 of your -- strike that. Page eight of your testimony, item three, involves the maintenance of household equality and agricultural land, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. If the contractor ignores or is lax in following the steps they should take in this regard, what recourse does the landowner have?
A. In the situation as I understand it as being planned, he would be able to complain, it would be a condition of the easement, there would be agricultural inspectors, and there would be some recourse to him.
Q. And if the landowner is still not satisfied?
A. I don't know he would be able to negotiate with Grain Belt for compensation.
Q. And if Grain Belt doesn't compensate them to the extent that the landowner believes they should be?
A. I'm not a lawyer, I don't know where he would go from there.
Q. Thank you. Beginning near the bottom of page 27 of your direct testimony, you discuss the impact on the line of aerial applications. Do you
not commonly referred to as crop dusting?
A. Correct.
Q. Pardon?
A. Correct.
Q. Would you agree that aerial application of chemicals and fertilizers is a common practice associated with certain types of crops?
A. Not universal; common, I would agree.
Q. And that certain of the crops in question are grown within the right-of-way of the proposed line?
A. Agree.
Q. Would you agree that the presence of the Grain Belt transmission line could adversely affect aerial application within or near the right-of-way of the line?
A. I would agree.
Q. Pardon?
A. I would agree.
Q. Turn to page 13 of your testimony
please. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. At lines 13 to 14 , you state that Grain Belt is not proposing to use structures with guyed wires in crop land areas, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you explain to the Commission the problems which can be caused by using structures with guyed wires in areas that are farmed?
A. Guyed wires would extend a distance beyond the structure itself, and if they are not appropriately or very -- or marked with very high visibility markers, they could become a hazard for aerial applications.
Q. Actually Grain Belt has made no commitment not to use guyed wire structures in crop areas, have they?
A. I believe in their policy they have discussed minimizing the use of guyed wires.
Q. But they haven't agreed not to use them?
A. I'm not aware of any place where they have agreed to that.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I'm not aware of that, no.
Q. That's all I have. Thank you, Doctor. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Questions by Commissioner?

CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Recross by Grain Belt.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. In your analysis and review of the route, Grain Belt Express's route in this case, can you describe to the Commission the number of center point irrigation systems which were located and how you located those which would be affected by the proposed route?
A. Mr. Turner brought up in his testimony, or his rebuttal testimony, that there were some center pivot systems in Monroe County. I actually did not see them on a cursory review. I took a very detailed review. My detailed review consisted of going to a program called Google Earth and evaluating signatures and aerial photos from 1990 through 2015 and there were three center pivot irrigation systems that were adjacent that were to the south of a county road, immediately to the south of a county road, and Grain Belt had located the transmission line immediately to the south of that county rode, to the very north of the three point center pivot.
Q. And your analysis spanned the entirety

## of Missouri?

A. I did do a more detailed evaluation of the entire line, but $I$ did not locate any additional center pivot irrigation systems, and that does confirm what Lewis Berger found as well.
Q. Can you speak generally about the irrigability of the farmland where the Grain Belt Express route would cross?
A. There was testimony, I believe that Mr . Turner indicated based on a 1977 soil survey that 57 percent of the route was irrigable. That was a 1977 soil survey that $I$ could not locate. I could locate a 1979 soil survey. It did not mention anything about irrigability. It did have some properties that might have some inference as to whether a particular soil was irrigable or not. But those products have been superceded by more current products that are more digital that are supplied by the NRCS.

MR. LINTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this line of questioning, in that he's gone beyond what I've asked about in the shape of a particular piece of land and is now trying to add additional surrebuttal testimony in response to Mr. Turner's rebuttal testimony.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Response.
MR. HARDEN: Well, I interpret Mr.
Linton's line of questioning as to the agricultural impacts specifically on irritation of farmland, so if a question entailing hey, how much farmland is irrigable over the route seems to me to be responsive to that line of examination. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Harden) So I'm going to try to remember my question. Did you -- did you conduct an analysis of the irrigability of the property along the route, the proposed route for Grain Belt Express?
A. I did.
Q. And what were the findings of that analysis?
A. About two and a half percent -- the NRCS considers soils from an irrigation perspective by their limitations, and they have three categories, actually four categories, one which would be not applicable or null, one which would be severely limited or severe limitations, one would be moderate limitations, one would be no limitations.
Q. And over the proposed route, what were the findings --
A. About two and a half percent had no limitations for irrigation, about 40 percent, thereabouts, subject to check, were they had moderate limitations for irrigation, and about another 50 percent had some severe limitations for irrigation.
Q. Thank you.

MR. HARDEN: No further questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Arndt, that's all your testimony. You may step down.
(Witness excused.)
MR. AGATHEN: Your Honor, could I just raise one quick point to make sure the reporter has the correct version of the surrebuttal testimony, I know there was some confusion.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sure. That would be fine.

MR. HARDEN: Very good point. Grain Belt Express calls --

MR. AGATHEN: One moment.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: The Chairman had a question.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I have a couple of questions I guess of Staff concerning Exhibit 206, which is the document that sets forth the agreements
between Staff and the company as to conditions.
With this agreement, does Staff believe there are any other conditions necessary?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Can you just explain to me what this document is?

MR. WILLIAMS: Basically there were certain conditions that Staff had put out in its rebuttal testimony, and Grain Belt had provided some responses to that, and some of those responses were not acceptable to Staff, and we were able to negotiate an agreement about wording on the majority of the conditions that Staff recommended, but not the entirety of them.

CHAIRMAN HALL: So if I wanted to see what conditions Staff continues to advocate for that are not in here, I'll have to wait for Staff witnesses? Or is there a document somewhere that would summarize those additional conditions that Staff is seeking?

MR. WILLIAMS: There isn't a document that summarizes them other than the conditions that are set forth in Staff's rebuttal testimony that are not addressed by this document. They're still live. For example, there's the decommissioning fund, that
one is still out there.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So is there a particular Staff witness that that would be able to summarize -- not now, but is there a Staff witness that would be able to summarize those remaining conditions? Maybe Miss Dietrich when she takes the stand as your first witness?

MR. WILLIAMS: You certainly may ask her. I know that the decommissioning fund question was Dan Beck, and I'm not sure if he has all of the remaining conditions or not.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.
MR. ZOBRIST: Chairman, if you look at David Berry's surrebuttal schedule, I believe it's number nine, the last three conditions that we did not agree to $I$ believe are listed there, and that's related to the decommissioning funds, the ATXI, if the ATXI line is not built, and then there's a third one that is just alluding me, but it's right at the end of Mr. Berry's schedule, I think it's number nine.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Want to call your next witness.

MR. HARDEN: Grain Belt Express calls

Richard -- I'm sorry, Richard Tregnago, please. JOHN RICHARD TREGNAGO,
having been called as a witness, was sworn upon his oath, and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Will you state your name for the record please?
A. John Richard Tregnago.
Q. Thank you. By whom are you employed.
A. I am the Randolph County elected assessor.
Q. Thank you. And are you the same John Richard Tregnago who caused to be filed direct and surrebuttal testimony in this case along with corresponding schedules?
A. I am, sir.
Q. And at this -- and on whose behalf did you file this testimony?
A. Grain Belt Clean Line.
Q. And at this time do you have any corrections to that testimony.
A. I have one I'd like to draw your attention do in my direct testimony on page six,
line 19, I made a clerical error in typing 161 kV, it's actually 69 kV.
Q. Thank you. And with that correction, is your testimony true and accurate today as it was when you submitted it?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes, sir.

MR. HARDEN: At this time I'll offer what is marked as Exhibit 123 and 124, the direct and surrebuttal testimony of John Richard Tregnago into evidence.
(Wherein, Exhibits 123 and 124 were introduced.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections to 123 or 124?

Hearing none, they're received in the record.

MR. HARDEN: And I will tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: The first cross would be MJMEUC.

MR. HEALY: No questions, your Honor. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?

MR. BRADY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?
MR. WILLIAMS: No questions. Thank
you, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express.
MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners?
MR. LINTON: No questions.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners.
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, sir.
A. Hello, sir.
Q. Near the bottom of page one of your rebuttal testimony, you state the county assessors are required to take continuing education courses periodically, is that correct?
A. I have that, yes, sir.
Q. Did any of your courses over the years relate specifically to pipeline or electric transmission line assessments?
A. No, sir.
Q. During your time as assessor, have any
new high voltage electric transmission lines been built within Randolph County?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Would you turn please to page two of your direct testimony?
A. I have that.
Q. At line 17 to 18 , you state that Grain Belt has done more public meetings prior to construction than any pipeline project you're aware of, is that correct?
A. That's to the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Are you aware of any pipeline project in your county which has created as much public opposition as the Grain Belt project has?
A. I have not.
Q. Are you aware of any construction projects of any kind in Randolph County where the level of opposition to the project has reached the level of opposition to the Grain Belt line?
A. I have not.
Q. You also go on in the last paragraph of page two there to talk about how courteous, informative and open Grain Belt has been and how good you feel about how they have visited with the
public, do you see that?
A. I agree.
Q. Did you write that testimony or did

## someone from Grain Belt write that testimony?

A. Those are my words, sir.
Q. You wrote those?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Grain Belt write part of your testimony for you?
A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that?
Q. Did Grain Belt write part of your testimony for you?
A. They may have cleaned up some of my country language. I'm not -- I'm not here to tell you that every word that's in here is exactly mine, but I gave them a synopsis of what I was going to say.
Q. On the issue of property taxes, could we agree that while the proposed line is under construction it will be assessed by the county?
A. As of January 1st of the following year, yes, it's locally assessed.
Q. Can we agree that after it's energized after the first of the year, it will be assessed by the State of Missouri?
A. That is my understanding.
Q. Okay. Let's talk about the taxes while the line is under construction first. In this initial period the line would get assessed at 32 percent of the amount that Grain Belt had spent as of January 1st of that year, correct?
A. That is based on the cost approach, yes.
Q. If the line gets built in 22 months, then it's going to be locally assessed at the full final cost of the line for just one year, is that correct?
A. It depends on the timing of the 22 months.
Q. It couldn't be more than one year, could it, at the full cost?
A. You're probably correct on that, I'd have to identify the start dates and the end dates.
Q. And then the assessment is turned over to the State?
A. The following January 1st, yes.
Q. Could you turn please to page four of your direct testimony?
A. I have that.
Q. At lines 14 to 15 , you list that the
line will bring in more than $\$ 720,000.00$ in new revenue in Randolph County in the first year of operation, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. By first year, do you mean while the line is still under construction and being locally assessed or the first year that the assessment is turned over?
A. I took that as to mean an operation of actually being energized, being on operation.
Q. So the first year that it's turned over to the State?
A. Yes.
Q. We ask you for the documents which support that figure of $\$ 720,000.00$ in new tax revenue for Randolph County in the first year. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And in your response you sent us a 16 page document, is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that?
Q. In response to that data request, you sent us a 16 page document.
A. I sent that to the attorneys, yes. I'd have to see that, yes.
Q. Handing you a document and asking you if that's the document that you turned over to us in response to that data request.
A. Sir, none of these pages were presented by me.
Q. They were not?
A. They were not developed by me.
Q. Is this the document that you turned over to us through your attorneys? I'm not asking you if you developed it.
A. I did not generate that document.
Q. That's still not the question. Is this the document that you turned over to us in response to the data request that we referred to earlier?
A. I didn't turn anything over to you, meaning us, I turned it over to our attorneys, but I did not -- I did not produce that document to anyone.
Q. Up at the top right-hand corner there, it does say GBX Response to MLA-12 Tregnago --
A. It does say that.
Q. Thank you. Do you by any chance have a copy of our data request and your responses. If not, I can show you a copy.
A. I believe in -- are you requesting such
as example response for RT. 1 and so on?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay, I have these.
Q. Okay. I direct your attention to RT.12. Do you have that?
A. I have RT. 12.
Q. And the question is please provide a copy of all work papers and other supporting documentation, including a list of all assumptions which support the figure of $\$ 720,000.00$ at page four, line 15 of your testimony. If not indicated on the documentation itself, please state who is primarily responsible for its compilation and the date that the data was completed. That was the data request.
A. I had a little trouble hearing you, I'm very sorry. The very last request.
Q. Let me start over. RT.12, please provide a copy of all work papers and other supporting documentation, including a list of all assumptions which support the figure of \$720,000.00 at page four, line 15 of your testimony. If not indicated on the documentation itself, please state who is primarily responsible for its compilation and the date the document was completed. That was the
question.
A. Okay. And you want my response?
Q. Your response was this information was provided by Grain Belt and State Tax Commission, see Attachment EA-2016.03258.GBX response to MLA-12, Tregnago dot attachment 01.
A. I see that.
Q. And that's the document that we were just discussing, correct?
A. All right.
Q. Thank you. Then in a separate data request, we asked you which pages of that document were provided by Grain Belt and which were actually provided by State Tax Commission, is that correct? And I direct your attention to --
A. Is that a certain request, sir?
Q. RT. 36 .
A. Thank you. I have that page too.
Q. And the question was with regard to your response to MLA-12 Tregnago. Attachment01, the document we've been talking about, submitted with your answer to RTS-12, which pages of the attachment were provided by Grain Belt and which were provided by State Tax Commission, correct?
A. I read that, yes, sir.
Q. And you said Grain Belt Express provided the spreadsheet to me, and I reviewed the data as it pertains to Randolph County, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Thank you. So Grain Belt provided the spreadsheet to you, is that correct?
A. That document you handed me, yes. To my knowledge.
Q. Who at Grain Belt gave you the spreadsheet with that $\$ 720,000.00$ figure on it?
A. I may not recall exactly which person it was, but it was a staff member of Grain Belt.
Q. Wasn't the first page of that document actually compiled by Mr. Spell of the Missouri Department of Economic Development?
A. I don't know. I wouldn't know that.
Q.

MR. AGATHEN: I'd like to distribute Exhibit 372 at this point, your Honor.
(Wherein, Exhibit 372 was introduced.)
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Do you have a copy of Exhibit 372?
A. Exhibit 372?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. That consisted of the first two pages of the 16 -page document which we discussed earlier, does it not.
A. I would assume so, I don't have the other document in front of me.
Q. It's sort of difficult to read, but the figure that you use in your testimony of 720 -720,000, excuse me, came from the figure for Randolph County near the bottom right corner of the last box on page one of this exhibit, is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, was that a question?
Q. Yes. Right and that's where you got your \$720,000.00 figure.
A. That's approximately, yes.
Q. Thank you. And that same figure again, that came from Grain Belt, right?
A. On that particular document, yes.
Q. And that also appears at page two of Exhibit 372, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you gave us no other work papers or any other calculations that you did yourself to support the estimated tax figure of 720,000 , did you.
A. At that time, that's all the work papers I had available to me.

MR. AGATHEN: I'll offer Exhibit 372, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, it's received in the
record.
Q. (By Mr Agathen) Could you please turn to page four of your direct testimony.
A. I'm sorry, did you say four of the direct?
Q. Yes.
A. Thank you. Yes, sir, I have page four.
Q. At lines 18 to 19 , you state that the projected assessed value of the Grain Belt line would be equivalent to the assessed value of all of the agricultural land in the county, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And when we asked you in a data request, you said you were assuming that the assessed value of the Grain Belt line would be 12.8 million dollars, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that figure of 12.8 million will apply only for the one year when the entire cost of
the line is subject to local assessment.
A. At 100 percent of the cost estimated, yes.
Q. Thank you. Let's talk about what happens when the line has actually gone into construction or finished construction and it's been energized. It be becomes subject to state taxation at that point, right?
A. When it's energized the first of January of that following year is my understanding.
Q. And they'll use a variety of methods to assess the value of the line for purposes of property taxation, will they not?
A. I had a little trouble understanding the first part of your question. Please repeat it.
Q. The state will use a variety of different methods, correct?
A. It is my understanding, yes.
Q. Do you know what factors the State Tax Commission will look at in assessing the line after it's constructed.
A. In discussions with one of the leaders of the original assessment division, that they utilized the income approach, the cost approach, the market approach, whatever factors are appropriate
and whatever information they can to arrive at fair value for the company.
Q. In any event, it's essentially out of your hands at that point?
A. Definitely, yes.
Q. Do you recall we asked you in a data request, number RT. 39 for your best estimate or even an approximation of what the total property taxes for the line would be in the third year it was in? service?
A. I don't have that in front of me, but I do recall that question.
Q. Do you recall your response.
A. Do you have a page number? I'd like to flip to that.
Q. RT. 39 is the data request at page two.
A. Would that be on the surrebuttal, sir?
Q. No, this is a data request sent to you.
A. Oh, I'm sorry.
Q. It's not your testimony at all.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. And the question was please provide your best estimate or approximation of the total property taxes attributable to the proposed line which will be collected in Randolph County in the
third year after the line is in service, correct?
A. That is the question, yes.
Q. And you said you couldn't tell us,
right?
A. No one can, sir.
Q. Thank you. And the same for year five and year ten obviously, correct?
A. To the best of my knowledge, no one could predict that.
Q. On a different subject. You said that Grain Belt is telling you that the cost of the line will be approximately two million dollars per mile, correct?
A. That is my understanding, yes.
Q. And that's the figure that you used in your own testimony, correct?
A. I used that figure in my calculations, yes.
Q. Sir, I'm handing you a copy of a document which appears to be testimony that you presented to the Commission at the local public hearing back in 2014. Is that what that is?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And in that documented, you say that the estimated amount given to you by Grain Belt was
1.5 million dollars per mile, is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then you say that the project may become state assessed thereafter and annual property taxes estimated may exceed 500,000 annually?
A. I believe that's -- yes, uh-huh.
Q. So since the last case, the estimated cost per mile has gone up from 1.5 million to two million?
A. I think the clarification needs to be that the converter station was not included in the overall line miles in the State of Missouri, and that would bump up the cost per line mile from the one fifty -- or one and a half million to the two million.
Q. You know that it was not included in the 1.5 million.
A. To my knowledge, I was not informed about that at the time.
Q. One way or the other?
A. Right, to my knowledge.
Q. On a different subject. Could you turn please to page seven of your direct testimony?
A. I have page seven.
Q. Line 14 you say that Grain Belt's
compensation is a lot more generous than compensation you have received from utilities in the past, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Grain Belt is proposing to pay \$6,000.00 for a monopole which will be over 100 foot tall, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you compare that to what Ameren paid back in the 80s, 1980s, of $\$ 1,000.00$ per pole, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So that's -- assuming that was in 1985, at the mid point, it was 32 years ago now, wasn't it?
A. They -- I believe the line was a little earlier than 1985, but yes.
Q. So if that's the case, then it's even more than 32 years, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So what's the annual rate of increase, do you know, between \$1,000.00 per pole over 33 years ago compared to $\$ 6,000.00$ a pole in a couple of years from now?
A. It's my understanding that they are
also paying for right-of-way that Ameren did not offer any right-of-way payment.
Q. Well, we're talking about poles at this point.
A. Okay. I guess I misread the question, because the question states compensation package.
Q. I'm asking about the poles at this point.
A. Okay. So we received \$1,000.00 per pole for each set that was in 1980s.
Q. And they paid nothing for right-of-way?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did you ever challenge that in court?
A. No.
Q. You just took the nothing that Ameren offered?
A. We had discussions with the land agent who I believe lived in Macon, there was a real estate broker, we had discussions with other neighbors to see what compensation they were receiving, and we were receiving more than they were per pole.
Q. And were they receiving anything for right-of-way?
A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. On a different subject, could you turn to page six of your direct testimony please.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Beginning at line five, you talk about the three Thomas Hill coal fire plants in Randolph County, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are owned and operated by Associated Electric Co-Op?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You told us in response to a data request that they have a total assessed value of about a hundred and five million, does that sound correct?
A. That is the current assessed value, yes.
Q. And they pay approximately 5.4 million to Randolph County in property taxes?
A. On that parcel, yes.
Q. To your knowledge, is that the single largest source of property revenue in Randolph County?
A. It is, sir.
Q. A much bigger deal from that standpoint than the Grain Belt line?
A. Yes, sir, much bigger.
Q. At page six of your direct testimony, about lines 11 to 12, you say you were very concerned about the risk of losing those units, correct?
A. Is that a question, sir?
Q. Yes.
A. I am concerned about that due to the age of two of the units.
Q. Before you filed your testimony in this case, did Grain Belt explain to that you the energy from their line would displace energy from those three Thomas Hill plants?

MR. HARDEN: I'm going to object to that, that assumes facts that are not in evidence. It's entirely speculative, and the assumption is that there would be a displacement, and there's a lack of foundation.

MR. AGATHEN: Your Honor, we will prove up the fact that there will be a displacement based on Grain Belt's own studies of the energy from those Thomas Hill plants.

MR. HARDEN: At the moment there's an entire lack of foundation and its assumes something that isn't in evidence in any way.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: He was just asking whether or not he was informed of something, so I think he can answer that question. Overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Were you informed by Grain Belt that there could be or that there would be or might be a displacement of energy from those --
A. I do not recall such a discussion.
Q. Thank you. Do you know how many people are employed at those plants?
A. I haven't taken a local census of that, I'm sorry. I do not know.
Q. You wouldn't know how much income tax is derived from the sale of power from those plants?
A. I do not work with income tax except my own.
Q. Could you please turn to page four of your surrebuttal testimony?
A. I have page four.
Q. At about lines four to six, you take issue with Mr. Hibbird's claim that transmission lines have a negative effect on land values, do you not?
A. I do disagree with those assumptions.
Q. And to make your point you included
pictures in your schedule JRT-1 of six homes which were built next to a transmission line, correct?
A. That is correct, sir.
Q. And those lines were all built after the line was there, right?
A. The homes were built after the this 345 kV line was built.
Q. Presumably on vacant property?
A. I'm assuming, yes.
Q. Do you have any idea how much the new homeowners paid for the vacant property on which those six homes were built?
A. They chose not to provide me with that information.
Q. So the answer is you don't know?
A. I do not know that answer, yes.
Q. So you don't know how much the prior owners of the lots may have had to discount the price of the property in order to get the homeowners to buy that land, do you?
A. I would have no studies on that.
Q. So the land may have been devalued to the point where someone finally thought it would be worth it despite the transmission lines?
A. I'm sorry, I had a little interruption

1 with the noise.
Q. Not knowing how much was paid for that land, it could have been devalued, lost its value to the point where someone finally thought it would be worthwhile to build on it anyway, right?
A. I don't -- I don't think I have any evidence to agree with that or disagree.
Q. Also at page -- strike that. You exchanged some emails with a Mr. Brown at one point, did you not? He's an attorney who lives in Randolph County.
A. I'm sorry, with the fan noise, I didn't quite understand you. I'm sorry.
Q. Do you know a Mr. Phillip Brown, who is an attorney in Randolph County.
A. Yes, I'm very well acquainted with Phil.
Q. And you exchanged some emails with him at one point?
A. I may have.
Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of a document, sir, and ask you if that does contain an exchange of emails between you and Mr. Brown, or at least emails from you to Mr. Brown.
A. Yes, yes. Sir, this is from my email.
Q. Dated March 9th, 2014, up near the top right corner.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And if you'd turn please to the last page, you tell him as follows: Quote, as we discussed, if this project becomes real, then taxing districts will gain financially, possibly delaying any nearby need to ask for levy increases. Unknowns such as property devaluation may also play a part in the overall picture. Is that correct?
A. That's my statement.
Q. And you also went on to say: I am more concerned about the company gaining the power of condemnation by eminent domain and opening the lid to Pandora's box, correct?
A. That is part of my statement.

MR. AGATHEN: That's all I have, your Honor.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any questions by Commissioners?

CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Redirect by Grain

```
Belt?
```

MR. HARDEN: Real quickly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Is it typical in -- as a county assessor that you get information from -- from the company who owns or is in charge of a project to determine county property assessment.
A. Very common.
Q. What's your experience with that?
A. They've been very reliable and provided me with their best estimate of a cost to build, all of the costs, this is before they break ground, they just want something for the budget.
Q. What about other companies in general?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. In general, have you had a similar experience with other companies who do the same thing?
A. Oh, with other companies, the cold storage unit for the Wal-Mart Distribution Center was the most recent that gave me information about 15 years ago.
Q. And it was the company who was in charge of construction who provided you with that?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. HARDEN: May I approach?
Q. (By Mr. Harden) Mr. Tregnago, I'm handing you a document and it's entitled The State Assessed Tax Amount Paid in Randolph County. Did that come from your office?
A. I created this, the scenario -actually, I asked the county collector to provide me with the pertinent information.
Q. Thank you. Can you just give the -the revenue amounts, the name of the infrastructure project, or the name of the utility project, and the amount of revenues in 2015 and 2016 that are provided for in that document.

MR. AGATHEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object, this goes beyond the scope of cross examination.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Response.
MR. HARDEN: I would disagree. Mr.
Agathen made a point of there being a lack of revenue, or even comparative revenue from typical -he even brought up Union Electric, which is one of the utilities that's there, so getting an actual dollar amount for how much those infrastructure projects bring into Randolph County is perfectly in line with what he was grilling Mr. Tregnago about. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Overruled. You may
answer the question, sir.
Q. (By Mr. Harden) Just the last 2015 and 2016, how much revenue?
A. The first one I'll go with is Union Electric doing business as Ameren Missouri, in the year 2015 the revenue that was turned over to the collector was $\$ 1,527,294.81$. In 2016 it's \$1, 391.941.60.

The next one is Transcanada Keystone, it's an oil pipeline. 2015 was \$617,950.14. In 2016, it was \$692.340.42.

Rockies Express Pipeline, Natural Gas, 2015 was $\$ 540,766.11$ and 2016 was $\$ 551,971.50$.

Enbridge Pipeline FSP, LLC is an oil pipeline. The Tax Commission did something unique in 2015 and '16, they blended it, CCPS in 2015 with Enbridge, but for 2016 Enbridge checked with the County \$898.190.13, and the last one is CCPS Transportation, LLC. It's oil. In 2015, which was combined with Enbridge the first year, was \$1,053,744.47, and in 2016 it dropped to \$175,217.25 MR. HARDEN: No further questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Tregnago, that completes your testimony, sir. You may be excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, if I might in response to the inquiry about the agreed upon conditions between Staff and Grain Belt, I direct your attention to Staff's Position Statement on conditions, and in particular page three. The first two paragraphs I'd point in this response to Commissioner Kenney, Staff is saying that it believes that the county assented to preconditions, but during opening $I$ said that if the Commission took a different perspective, it would be appropriate to make those conditions, that's not expressly stated in the position statement.

But then on page 11 on Grain Belt's protocol, those are not part of the list of agreed upon conditions, and that includes Staff's modification -- proposed modification to the decommissioning fund.

The other items that Mr. Zobrist referred to which $I$ believe are Schedule DAB-9, in particular page 11 and of 12, those weren't really conditions Staff proposed, those are differences of opinion or disagreements. At least in Staff's view. And page 12 of 12 refers to the decommissioning fund. I believe those are the only ones that are still outstanding.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Ready for our final
witness for the day?
MR. HARDEN: Grain Belt calls Wayne
Wilcox.
ROBERT WAYNE WILCOX,
having been called as a witness, was sworn upon his oath, and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Will you state your name for the record?
A. Robert Wayne Wilcox.
Q. And by whom are you employed?
A. Well, I'm a self-employed farmer, I'm a county commissioner, and retired military officer.
Q. And did you write and cause to be submitted in this case both direct and surrebuttal testimony?
A. I did.
Q. And on whose behalf did you submit that?
A. Grain Belt Express.
Q. And at this time do you have any corrections to that testimony?
A. There was in my direct testimony, unbeknownst to me there was a change in -- on page six of my direct testimony.
Q. Okay. And is your testimony as true and accurate today as it was when you wrote it and caused it to be submitted?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. HARDEN: Okay. We will offer the direct and surrebuttal testimony marked as Exhibit 125 and 126 into evidence.
(Wherein, Exhibit 125 and 126 were introduced.)

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Wilcox, what was that correction on page six that you mentioned?

MR. HARDEN: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: It has the Randolph County Commission providing Grain Belt Express with county ascent. The commission should have received a letter dated February 14th from the Randolph County --

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Are you referring to a particular line number on that page where there's some text that should be changed?

THE WITNESS: The whole thrust of what is between line three and 23.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Go ahead, counsel, if you want to try to clear that up.

MR. HARDEN: Sure. What it amounts to is between the time he submitted his testimony and now, Randolph County Commission has taken certain actions which may affect that portion of his testimony.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: But at the time that you created the testimony, was that information true to the best of your knowledge?

THE WITNESS: It was definitely true to the best of my knowledge.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: So it's not a real correction?

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, if I may inquire, I think I can clear this up.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Please go ahead.
MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Wilcox, are you saying that at the time the testimony was prepared, what appears on lines three through 23 on page six were correct?

THE WITNESS: That is what I'm saying.
MR. WILLIAMS: And subsequent to that, whenever you submitted that testimony, has something occurred that now changes your response if that were

1 to be your testimony here today?

MR. WILLIAMS: So are you saying that the Randolph County Commission has, I don't know if it's a letter, but some document purportedly revoking the county ascent of Randolph County and provided that to this Commission?

THE WITNESS: The way I understand it, it was addressed to this body, and that ascent agreement was withdrawn unbeknownst to me. I didn't have an opportunity to vote on such.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, it's my
understanding that that particular document has been submitted as a public comment in this case, but you have his testimony.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, right now I have an offer of to admit Exhibits 125 and 126. Are there any objections to receiving those exhibits?

MR. WILLIAMS: Not with Mr. Wilcox's clarification.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any objections?
Hearing none, those two exhibits are received into the record. And the first cross would be by MJMEUC.

MR. HEALY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Wind on the Wires?
MR. BRADY: No questions, your Honor.
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Infinity Wind Power?
MS. PEMBERTON: No questions.
THE COURT: MIEC?
MR. MILLS: No questions?
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff.
MR. WILLIAMS: Just a few, thank you. CROSS EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. WILLIAMS:
Q. And my questions are going to relate to the county ascents. Are you an attorney?
A. I am not.
Q. And are you speaking for the Randolph County Commission in your testimony that appears on page six and continues, that's where it starts, regarding the county ascents?
A. I am not representing the County

Commission here today. I'm basically here as a private landowner with an extra duty, so to speak, as a county commissioner. I'm totally aware of what we functioned or did in the beginning.

After great research by your former presiding commissioner, who happened to be a producer for 48 hours in her previous life, she knew how to investigate and work on projects like this, and so she did the research on High Voltage DC transmission lines, and one of the other commissioners that $I$ was serving with at the time is present in the room today, and the three of us agreed to the ascent agreement, because we believed and still believe, $I$ still believe, that it is in the best interest of the county.
Q. And what is now your testimony before the Commission here today regarding county assents? That's your personal view, is that what you expressed?
A. That is my personal view, yes. MR. WILLIAMS: No further questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Rockies Express. MS. GIBONEY: No questions. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Show Me Landowners? MR. LINTON: Just a few, your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. LINTON:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. May I direct your attention to page three, lines eight through ten of your testimony.
A. Page three? Eight through ten?
Q. Right. As county commissioner, when a project like the Grain Belt Express comes into the commission chamber, we try to understand and make sure we treat the residents fairly, is that an accurate reading of your testimony?
A. That the project will in fact treat the residents fairly. I was a part of the Enbridge Pipeline project, $I$ was a commissioner at that point. Prior to that, I had two -- while I was still -- before being elected to county commission, I had two pipelines go across my farm also. So I participated as a landowner in negotiating with those folks, and I also since I've owned that farm or been back there on that farm, which I came back to the farm in 1973 after leaving active duty, I also negotiated with Central Electric Power Company Cooperative on 345 kV line.
Q. So your answer would be yes, that that
is a fair statement of what you said?
A. Yes.
Q. You try to make sure and understand that the residents are treated fairly.
A. That is very true.
Q. Okay. Take a look at page two, lines three and four of your direct testimony.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And when you filed this testimony you said $I$ am submitting this testimony to take a stand against the vocal minority that is opposed to this project, is that an accurate reading?
A. That is correct, that's exactly what it says.
Q. Do you look at those two statements as being consistent?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. All right. Why are you taking a stand against this vocal minority?
A. You have to look at, being a Vietnam vet and how you were treated when you came home as a Vietnam vet, how that vocal minority was dictating to you how you lived your life. Nobody said thank you. They spit on me when I got off the plane in San Francisco. Okay? I happen to have an aunt and

1 uncle that was there that whisked me away, or I 2 would have had to endure more of that.

So when my local neighbors and friends begin to believe half truths and falsehoods, I considered them because of the number, and those numbers have been stated in here today as a vocal minority that was taking my rights away. I wanted the project to go through, and they were trying to take my right to do what $I$ wanted to with my property away. Okay?
Q. Okay. Did this vocal minority do

## anything illegal?

A. No. Well, I will back up. I wouldn't say they did anything illegal, but in the first group of hearings, $I$ felt threatened enough that the sheriff and two of his deputies went with us and the other commissioners, along with Mr. Tregnago, to protect us against those folks that were showing up at that hearing.

And in some counties, there was a lady that was definitely bullied. Somebody tried to block her driveway after she testified in favor of the project, and those people will be speaking to you later on as part of the testimony here. They're part of the people that you represent, and I can't
believe that folks represent somebody that's out there bullying the public. We know what we say about bullying in the school system today, and we should not stand for that with one-on-one public. We as public officials should not stand for that happening.

We've got a case in Howard County, where a local business man apparently bullied an employee and he recently took his life. And that's quite a shame. So there's no reason for bullying -bullying -- my mouth is getting dry here, so.
Q. Now as a county commissioner, do you take an oath of office, correct?
A. I do. It's the same basically oath that I've been doing since $I$ was 18 and enlisted in the military.
Q. Is there anything in that oath of office that would suggest that you need to take a stand against the vocal minority?
A. I'm just beholding the law, okay?
Q. But you said there was no illegal conduct by the minority.
A. Depends on how you describe bullying.

MR. LINTON: Thank you very much, your Honor. No further questions.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Missouri Landowners?
MR. AGATHEN: Thank you, your Honor.
CROSS EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. AGATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Wilcox.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. We've just discussed this somewhat already, but you said that you're taking a stand against the vocal minority that opposes the Grain Belt project, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. We asked you in a data request for any evidence you may have that the line is opposed by only a minority of the people, do you recall that?
A. That is correct.
Q. And your response was, quote, this is a matter of my opinion, end quote, correct?
A. And that in the data request, which one was that please?
Q. WW-8.
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. So you gave us no documentation at all that it's only a minority of people in Randolph County that oppose the line, did you?
A. That is correct. Based -- and I base
that - -
Q. Well, sir, the question was did you give us any documentation.
A. I did not.
Q. Are you aware of the survey that Grain Belt commissioned that was asking people if they support or oppose the proposed line.
A. I was made aware of that today.
Q. Just today?
A. Just today, sir.
Q. Were you told that about two to one people favored the line as opposed to opposing it?
A. Again, I heard the numbers here today and that's basically your summation of that, and the numbers, but there was no mention as to whether or not what grade of -- of survey that it was.
Q. Well, sir, this was a Commission's survey from Grain Belt, correct.
A. Yes.
Q. By Remington.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you know them?
A. No.
Q. Aren't they a reputable survey firm?
A. I'm not familiar.
Q. Would you think that Grain Belt hires un-reputable survey firms?
A. I would not.
Q. Okay. So according to that survey the line is opposed by a margin of two to one, correct?
A. According to that survey, yes, sir.
Q. And you weren't told that before you put in your testimony saying that it was only a minority of people opposed to it?
A. Because it's my testimony. Okay? It isn't, you know, this is my testimony.
Q. I understand.
A. Somebody wasn't leading me anyway. This is my testimony.
Q. I understand, but no one told you before you submitted your testimony that in fact their own survey --

MR. HARDEN: This has been asked and answered three times now.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sustained.
THE WITNESS: No, I would --
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sir, you don't need to answer that question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) This past November
there was an election for a county commissioner in Randolph County, was there not?
A. That is correct.
Q. And one new candidate John Hobbs let it be known he was opposed to the Grain Belt project.
A. That is correct.
Q. In fact, you recall he testified against the line at the recent public hearing in Moberly, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. He was running for election against an incumbent Commission Jerry Crutchfield.
A. That is correct. That was after the election by the way.
Q. Mr. Crutchfield had voted in favor of the granting the initial franchise to Grain Belt, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But the anti Grain Belt candidate won that election, did he not?
A. That is correct.
Q. By a majority of the vote?
A. That is correct.
Q. And there was also an election for county commissioner in 2014, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And is it not also true that the two candidates in that election both made it be known that they were opposed to the Grain Belt project?
A. That is correct.
Q. And Mr. Trusdale, he won that election?
A. That is correct.
Q. On a different subject. At page two of your testimony, lines four and five, you say: I believe there are a lot of half truths or just total falsehoods that area people are spreading about the project, is that correct?
A. That sounds correct. I'm not for sure exactly what it says there, but you've been pretty good all day about quoting what we're saying.
Q. Thank you.
A. You said what page was it please?
Q. Page two, lines four to five.
A. Four to five.
Q. You say: I believe there are a lot of half truths or just total falsehoods that area people are spreading about the project.
A. That is correct.
Q. I'm going to see if we can't eliminate quite a bit of cross examination here. Would you
agree with me that the statements you're referring to, the half truths and total falsehoods, are just reasonable differences of opinion between yourself and those who are opposed to the Grain Belt line?
A. I would not.
Q. That's too bad.
A. Okay.
Q. We asked you in a data request to identify what those supposed half truths and total falsehoods actually were, did we not?
A. That is correct. And which one is that, what page?
Q. That was data request WW. 9 .
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you said people had spread three different half truths, that the lines are a health hazard, that the project will make farming very difficult, and that the project will disrupt unmarred landscapes, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And we then asked you for any documentation which you had of those supposed half truths, is that correct, WW.10?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the only documents you gave us were
the transcripts of the local public hearings in Moberly back in 2014, and some pages from various articles from the local newspaper, that's all you gave us, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. But you didn't even tell us where in the transcript those supposed half truths and total falsehoods appeared, did you?
A. No, sir, I didn't.
Q. Sir, I'm handing you a copy of a number of pages from newspaper clippings and ask you if those are the pages that you sent to us in response to that data request?
A. I would say that $I$ did not personally pick these particular things out, but they definitely represent exactly what I'm talking about.
Q. Well, who did pick them out.
A. I had -- I requested some assistance because of my time obligations with the folks from Clean Line, Grain Belt, and they were not submitted until I approved what they had accumulated.
Q. So they -- someone from Grain Belt picked them out?
A. They helped me with the project, yes.
Q. Did you or Grain Belt or anyone else
tell us where in these documents supposedly these falsehoods appeared?
A. I did not, no, and I don't believe they did either. I have the information, so.
Q. And when we asked you for the documents which contained the alleged total falsehoods, you again referred us to those same two documents, the transcript from the local public hearings and this collection of newspaper articles, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So the transcript from the hearings supposedly contain somewhere the half truths and total falsehoods that you're accusing people of talking about, right?
A. And I believe in my response I quoted some of those, okay?
Q. Which response was that?
A. WW.13, these are my opinions, half truths, the lines, and the half truths, the project, half truths, all of that was listed here in my, what do you call it, data request?
Q. But you didn't tell us where these supposedly appeared in the transcript, did you?
A. I just quoted exactly where -- what they were.
Q. From the transcript?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You didn't tell us where in the
transcript any of them appeared, did you?
A. I did not.
Q. The people at that public hearing in 2014 were put under oath before speaking, were they not?
A. You know I don't remember. That's been a long time ago.
Q. I've got the transcript here which I'll show you in a second.
A. Okay.
Q. You spoke there at the hearing, didn't you.
A. I did.
Q. Do you recall being under oath.
A. I -- I don't necessarily recall that, no.
Q. Well, assuming people were put under oath, and we'll look at that in a second, you're accusing the people in your community of telling half truths and total falsehoods under oath at that local public hearing, right?
A. That's exactly what I'm saying, but
that was what they believed, that's what they believed. Okay? Somebody had fed them, or that's what they believed, they created themselves, these half truths and falsehoods.
Q. Were they lying.
A. They were misinformed. They just didn't know any better.
Q. You disagreed with them, so they were wrong?

MR. HARDEN: This is now argumentative. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) I'm going to hand you a copy of the transcript from the local public hearing that we've been talking about from the year 2014. And you said that you testified there, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Directing your attention to page 20, it says: Mr. Wayne Wilcox, first being duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth testified as follows, correct?
A. That's what you have highlighted, I'm sure that that's a certified copy and Grain Belt's attorney agreed.
Q. In looking at the index at the box, you were the fourth witness to testify, is that correct.
A. You know, I didn't remember being able to testify that early.
Q. Well, according to this index --
A. Okay.
Q. -- you were the fourth one.
A. All right. They probably testified in favor of it. There was a lot of people that testified before I had an opportunity, I'll say that much.
Q. Near the outset of your testimony at page 22, you say you believe as the other lady said a while ago there are a lot of half truths or just total falsehoods involved in this project, right?
A. You have it highlighted there, and yes.
Q. So before the rest of the people testified at this hearing, in which you say they testified to total falsehoods, you had actually accused them of doing that already in your own testimony, hadn't you?
A. I had neighbors that that's the way they were. I had been in the individual's homes and had tried to answer their questions about the project, and they believed, totally believed half truths and false hoods.
Q. And when we asked you for evidence of
where those total falsehoods appeared, you didn't give us anything except those newspaper articles and this transcript, right?
A. We had --
Q. Did you give us anything besides those two things?
A. No.
Q. Thank you. You told us in answers to data requests that the total falsehoods that people were saying about the line including the following, that eminent domain should not be used by private companies, right, that's a total falsehood?
A. Yes.
Q. They are taking our land, that's a total falsehood.
A. That is definitely a total falsehood.
Q. We're going to see the Eiffel Tower of Power, that's a total falsehood?
A. That is a total falsehood.
Q. And a compensation to landowners is not fair, that's a total falsehood.
A. That is correct.
Q. As opposed to someone's opinion that differs from your own?
A. That is correct.
Q. We also asked for each alleged half truth and total falsehood which weren't in writing that you identify a party that made the statement. Do you recall that?
A. That is WW which?
Q. $\quad 12$.
A. 12. And I -- yes, there was no response?
Q. You didn't provide the name of one person, did you?
A. I chose not to do that, tried to not be inflammatory, not to try to be in -- taking a bullying attitude toward given people.
Q. So you didn't disclose everything that you actually knew in answer to our data request, is that what you're saying?
A. You could go through the testimony and see the people's names.
Q. What did you say in answer to the data request?
A. See response to ten. The response to ten is I heard these half truths in different places. In August 2014, I attended a Missouri Public Commission Local Public Hearing in Moberly. These half truths were stated in the transcript.

This past April I participated in a legislative committee hearing in Jefferson City where $I$ heard many of these half truths. I also attended a meeting with Representative Renley hosted in Moberly this past spring and have also read articles in the Moberly Monitor Index.
Q. But you didn't give us the name of one person?

> MR. HARDEN: That's been asked five times.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sustained.
Q. By Mr. Agathen) I also asked you for any evidence that you had that the supposed half truths were in fact just half truths, do you recall that, WW.13?
A. The response is these are my opinions.
Q. Thank you. A different subject. Would you turn to page four of your testimony please.
A. Direct testimony?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Beginning at line 13 , you state that Randolph County has three coal plants, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. These are Thomas Hill plants, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then you state that adding renewable energy to your portfolio could help to protect the coal fired generation, do you see that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And we asked you in a data request for any documentation you had which would support the claim that adding renewable energy could somehow protect the coal fired plants in Randolph County, do you recall that?
A. And that was data request what, sir?
Q. WW. 28.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And your response was?
A. There was no response.
Q. No response.
A. There was none, correct.
Q. Did anyone at Grain Belt tell you before you filed your testimony that the proposed project is expected to reduce the generation at all three of the Thomas Hill plants?
A. They did not.
Q. Could you turn to page six of your testimony please?
A. Could I add something to that, sir?

MR. AGATHEN: There's no question pending, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Agathen) Would you turn to page six of your testimony.
A. Direct testimony, page six.
Q. Starting at line five, you mention that the Randolph County Commission has given its consent under Section 229.100 for Grain Belt to build its line in Randolph County, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And then starting at line six you note that Grain Belt will need to return to the county commission later with specific roads it intends to use, correct?
A. That is a requirement that we place -we, as county commissioners, place on the construction company, most of the time that will be with the construction company backed up by -- by Grain Belt.
Q. And then you'll fill in the other details of at agreement at that point?
A. That is correct. And that's what we've done with the -- with the pipelines that we have gone through the county.
Q. So that's still a pending issue with Grain Belt?
A. That would be a pending issue with Grain Belt, yes, sir.
Q. If you don't like the roads chosen by Grain Belt, is it your opinion you have no choice but to rubber stamp the agreement?
A. No.
Q. You have discretion.
A. We exercise discretion with -- with the pipeline group, they broke that, they violated that, our attorney contacted the folks, and they had damaged a new paved road that we had just put in place, and they in fact corrected that and put up a bond, because they wanted to continue to use that road, put up a bond to cover that.
Q. Just one more line of questioning. You stand to gain financially from the easement over your property if the Grain Belt line is built, do you not?
A. That is correct.
Q. Your Schedule RWW-1 shows where the proposed line of the land is supposed to cross your property.
A. I believe that's correct, sir.
Q. Do you know what the approximate acreage would be of the right-of-way that they would be taking, ballpark?
A. I do not, no.
Q. Over an acre?
A. The easement would probably be over an acre, yes.
Q. And what's a rough ballpark of the value of your land per acre there?
A. Oh, there was an auction within the last two weeks in the area, and the land was running somewhere between 4500 and \$5,000.00.
Q. So that would be a ballpark then of what your land would be worth?
A. Right. And by the way, the line was going across both of those pieces of land, those two separate tracts.
Q. In addition to the payments for the right-of-way easement on producer property, you were told by Grain Belt that they would put one or more supporting structures on your property, is that correct?
A. Apparently I'm -- I'm at the point to where the line turns and heads due east.
Q. So you'd have a turning structure, as

1 it's called.
A. That's right, because it would have -at some point right adjacent to my property or on my property would have to cross a 345 kV line.
Q. So in a minimum, in addition to the payment for the easement, you'd be entitled to at least $\$ 18,000.00$ for a lattice structure, is that correct?
A. I have not been willing to talk to them at all about compensation. My reasoning behind that, I did not want to lead anybody or for them to be able to use me as an example. I want to be one of the last people in the county to finally work out a deal with them. I've told them that from day one. And yes, they were required to come and make a presentation to me, but basically I walked out of the room and left my wife there.
Q. Whatever the dollar number is, that is in the Grain Belt testimony, that's what they would pay you? The answer is yes.
A. Yes.
Q. I do have a couple of questions dealing with your surrebuttal testimony. Do you have a copy of that with you?
A. I do, sir.
Q. Are there --
A. Page please.
Q. Are there page numbers in your's?
A. Believe there are. No, I don't.
Q. Let's just look at the second page, even though it's unnumbered, at lines 10 to 12, you address Commission Hibbard's concern about lack of communication between Grain Belt Ralls County Commissioner, right.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about how many times, if any, Grain Belt has communicated over the last two years with commissioners in Ralls County.
A. I have none. But I'm more than willing to sit down, and I've set at the table with Mr. Hibbard and we get along fine. He and I went over to his farm just the other day to look at a new bin building that he put up.
Q. Near the bottom of the fourth page of your surrebuttal, and going over to the fifth page, I realize they're unnumbered so you may have to count them, you're talking about Mr. Edwards' concerns about the time it takes to work around the poles while farming, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And at lines two to three at the top of page five, he talked about the times he would be planting and harvesting twice a year, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You think it's only in times of harvesting and planting that he has to work around the poles? How about fertilizing?
A. Well, it depends on how you choose to put the fertilizer out there. If you're running a spreader truck, you just go right on by it. You don't have to worry about it.
Q. Do you know how he does his fertilizing?
A. I do not.
Q. How about spraying.
A. Yes, I'm familiar with the type of sprayer. I've set across the table at corn -- seed corn meetings with Mr. Edwards and we've talked about our operations.
Q. And isn't true that you're going to have avoid going around the pole or hitting the pole during that operation as well?
A. You need to make a diversion around the pole, but those booms are set up well, if you slow

1 down appropriately, they'll spring back, and they're not a real hazard.
Q. That unnumbered page five of your testimony at lines 13 to 17 , you talk about soil compaction around the transmission poles, correct?
A. I'm trying to follow where you are here. Yes, sir, lines 13 to 15, right in there?
Q. Yes.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you say that compaction has not impacted the yields around the transmission line on your property, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge at all about the impact on crop yields which Mr. Edwards has experienced due to compaction around the transmission poles?
A. He has a different soil type than I do, so it could possibly be different, yes, sir.
Q. Are you aware of research on the subject that says as a general consensus losses are generally in the 15 to 20 percent range depending on the soil?
A. I have not experienced that, unless you're out there when you shouldn't be farming. In
other words, if it's too wet, and you can create a lot of compaction at that particular point in time. But he's a really good farmer, so I doubt that he does that.
Q. Right, and I'm asking you about general studies on the subject, that this showed generally 15 to 20 percent range.
A. You're talking about an overall field average?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. If you're out there and compact your soil, that's correct.
Q. Okay. Could you now go to unnumbered page four of your testimony.
A. We're going backwards now?
Q. The first two words on the first line are different method. That might help you find it.
A. Yes, sir. Thank you.
Q. At lines 11 to 14 you address Mr. Edwards' problem described about working around poles with his planter, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you say other smaller farming equipment like cultivators and sprayers since they're smaller, they're not such a problem, right?
A. Even if they're a large, wider tool, you can -- you can leave them in the ground and drive around the structure.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of what the size of Mr. Edwards cultivator is compared to his planter?
A. He indicates that he was pulling it I think with a four wheel drive tractor, like maybe a 40 or 45 foot field cultivator. I run a 28 foot field cultivator.
Q. So for all you know, it could be approximately one and a quarter times the size of his planter, right?
A. Yes, it could be wider than his planter, yes, sir.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of what the size of his sprayer is compared to his planter?
A. Well, most of them there run 80 or 90 foot booms, some of them 120 foot booms, and $I$ don't know personally on his.
Q. So it could be approximately 2.25 times the size of his planter, correct?
A. He's got a 40 foot planter, the same as mine.
Q. Could it could be 2.25 times the size of his planter, right?
A. I'm not going to argue with you on that.
Q. You don't know.
A. I'm not doing the math, no.
Q. You don't know.
A. I don't know, okay.

MR. AGATHEN: That's all I have, Judge. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Any questions from the commissioners?

Any redirect by Grain Belt?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
QUESTIONS BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Two very quick questions. Are any part of your testimony or your opinion based on or because some time in the future you may receive financial compensation through these -- through the easement with Grain Belt Express?
A. I've been asked by my folks, no is the answer.
Q. Has Grain Belt, did they provide you with any of the issues whatsoever that you deemed to be half truths or total falsehoods?
A. They did not.

MR. HARDEN: Nothing further. Thank you.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Wilcox, that completes your testimony, sir. You may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Zobrist, I know we finished all our witnesses today, but Mr. Puckett is still here, if any of the commissioners have any questions.

MR. ZOBRIST: I guess not, he's going to be leaving Missouri, I wanted to give everybody one last opportunity.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: That completes all our testimony for today, we'll be in recess until 8:30 tomorrow morning.
(Adjourned for day at 5:00 PM.)
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| 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |
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| 488:3 631:6 | 530:15 571:9 | 467:15 492:14 | 434:2,4,4,9,9 | neighborhood |
| Monroe 586:2 | Municipal | 499:13 500:2 | 434:19,19 | 527:10 |
| 608:13 | 368:20 | 501:17 502:22 | negotiable 432:8 | neighbors |
| month 406:13 | municipalities | 530:17 579:21 | 436:18 441:19 | 391:23 632:20 |
| months 388:2,7 | 379:17 | 612:3 | negotiate 379:8 | 651:3 663:20 |
| 388:11 441:22 | MYERS 368:13 | Necessity | 420:6,11 | NERC 472:13 |
| 460:11,23 | 545:5 | 367:13 | 429:16 432:13 | 473:5 |
| 461:5 469:23 |  | neck 469: | 432:17 439:6 | NERC's 533:5 |
| 470:8,17 619:9 | N | need 370:9,23 | 443:15,23 | Nevada 562:20 |
| 619:14 | N | 405:3 428:5,10 | 444:1 516:16 | never 385:5 |
| MOP-C 467:24 | name 409:6 | 440:3 454:7 | 516:24 517:5 | 431:25 439:5 |
| moral/ethical | 454:24 458:4 | 457:13 463:16 | 517:14 529:8 | 452:15 |
| 404:21 | 466:25 467:9 | 463:22 464:15 | 545:23 580:24 | new 392:1,4 |
| morning 370:2 | 511:2 526:5,9 | 464:16 465:4 | 601:8 605:17 | 430:10 433:4 |
| 371:15,16 | 543:16 560:16 | 471:23 474:24 | 612:12 | 501:24 502:4,9 |
| 403:5,6 411:4 | 587:18 614:7 | 478:23 489:21 | negotiated | 506:5 520:9,13 |
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| 522:16 523:14 | noted 491:12 | 672:3 | obtained 395:24 | offering 419:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 530:4,4 532:15 | 507:25 557:13 | numerous | 429:2 | 419:10 516:15 |
| 533:10 535:5,5 | 584:2 | 447:13 525:6 | obviously | offers 393:22 |
| 537:1 549:18 | notice 461:3 | nutshell 442:19 | 370:16 374:12 | 440:22 487:14 |
| 567:10,12 | 469:23,25 | 499:25 | 389:17 434:20 | office 640:4 |
| 574:23 581:25 | notify 391:22 |  | 464:24 526:2 | 652:13,18 |
| 604:10 617:1 | notwithstandi... | 0 | 533:24 592:7 | officer 643:16 |
| 620:1,15 | 443:20 | oath 371:4,11 | 595:18 629:7 | official 467:13 |
| 636:10 656:4 | November | 409:3 454:19 | occur 388:10 | officials 652:5 |
| 669:13 672:18 | 655:25 | 458:1 510:24 | 451:4 460:16 | oh 475:25 476:3 |
| News 681:14 | NP 410:5 459:10 | 543:13 560:13 | occurred 430:17 | 492:17 542:10 |
| newspaper | NRCS 609:19 | 587:15 614:4 | 466:5 467:20 | 547:21 576:3 |
| 372:1 659:3,11 | 610:18 | 643:8 652:13 | 645:25 | 628:19,21 |
| 660:9 664:2 | null 610:21 | 652:14,17 | October 398:13 | 639:18 670:10 |
| Nice 527:20 | number 370:4 | 661:7,17,21,23 | OEM 471:17 | oil 641:10,14,19 |
| nine 413:6,18 | 379:16 384:9 | object 377:15 | 472:1 | okay 374:9 |
| 416:14 425:23 | 387:19 399:21 | 397:20 407:19 | off-ramps 405:3 | 378:18 382:11 |
| 435:22 475:13 | 414:2 416:24 | 407:22 408:9 | off-system | 383:11 384:12 |
| 475:14,15,22 | 419:16 421:13 | 453:5 601:21 | 383:10 | 396:5 404:4,18 |
| 513:4 515:18 | 425:6 443:11 | 609:21 634:14 | offer 374:14 | 405:13 412:5 |
| 516:12 520:24 | 469:5 478:3 | 640:14 | 380:12,17 | 413:5,6,25 |
| 527:21 540:10 | 483:19 485:6,6 | objection 410:4 | 384:2 393:16 | 415:10 421:9 |
| 545:15 547:5 | 485:15 489:3 | 422:15 434:20 | 393:18,24 | 427:10 434:7 |
| 613:15,21 | 489:17 490:17 | 448:12 449:1 | 394:4 395:1,10 | 440:4 441:1 |
| noise 637:1,12 | 490:24 519:13 | 449:19 453:17 | 395:12 396:1 | 442:9 448:2 |
| non 538:10 | 519:22 520:12 | 459:12 557:9 | 409:24 417:3,6 | 452:2 453:8 |
| non-crossing | 541:10 543:24 | objections | 417:13,15,19 | 454:4 467:16 |
| 491:15 | 546:16 549:11 | 374:16 384:3 | 417:25 418:10 | 468:15 469:3 |
| non-incumbents | 552:2 558:11 | 453:18 456:3 | 438:11 439:13 | 469:24 470:6 |
| 538:14,16 | 558:16 559:16 | 462:14 479:17 | 439:22 440:14 | 470:19 471:8 |
| non-party | 564:9 568:2 | 511:24 544:16 | 440:20,21 | 471:22 472:3 |
| 408:11 | 573:12,16,18 | 557:7 561:14 | 441:4 442:6 | 472:12 473:10 |
| non-proprieta... | 576:3 579:5 | 588:18 601:20 | 450:6 453:1 | 474:24 475:3 |
| 458:14 | 585:21 595:8 | 615:13 626:5 | 456:1 459:9 | 475:16 477:4 |
| non-residential | 608:7 613:15 | 646:25 647:3 | 479:15 511:21 | 478:6,22,25 |
| 576:7 | 613:20 628:7 | obligate 524:1,5 | 544:14 557:4 | 506:20 509:20 |
| noon 454:8 | 628:14 644:22 | obligated 424:3 | 561:12 588:12 | 509:24 510:17 |
| north 572:25 | 651:5 659:10 | 424:10 524:7 | 601:18 615:7 | 519:20 532:9 |
| 597:22 598:7 | 671:18 | obligation | 626:3 632:2 | 532:10,23 |
| 598:16 599:8 | numbered | 390:14 | 644:8 646:24 | 533:24 534:6 |
| 608:23 | 372:16 586:13 | obligations | offered 382:17 | 534:11 535:1,2 |
| northern 583:4 | numbering | 659:19 | 410:4 416:20 | 535:4,4 537:13 |
| 583:11 | 585:17 | obliterated | 439:23 446:13 | 538:3,22 539:2 |
| note 454:5 495:5 | numbers 385:19 | 583:19 | 566:16 588:18 | 539:7,10 541:6 |
| 499:1 553:6 | 386:14 583:13 | obscure 436:11 | 632:16 | 541:11 542:21 |
| 557:1 602:19 | 583:20 651:6 | obstruction | Offered/Admi... | 545:24 546:2 |
| 668:12 | 654:13,15 | 376:17 377:7 | 681:1 | 546:12,22 |
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| 547:8,19 548:5 | 499:1 517:3,6 | opposes 653:9 | 553:5 596:19 | package 404:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 548:6,14 | 529:15 532:13 | opposing 654:12 | 604:14 | 439:10,13,15 |
| 549:20 551:15 | 557:1,3 566:25 | opposite 395:21 | outstanding | 440:1,6 441:5 |
| 551:18 553:1 | 567:6 617:24 | 572:2 594:3 | 642:25 | 441:15 443:22 |
| 560:4 575:12 | opening 638:14 | opposites | overall 413:9 | 446:13 547:12 |
| 575:15 578:23 | 642:9 | 564:11 | 474:17 475:7 | 547:17 632:6 |
| 579:12 583:9 | Operate 367:14 | opposition | 479:10 630:12 | page 372:7,15 |
| 584:18,20 | operated 633:8 | 443:20 617:15 | 638:10 675:8 | 372:17,18 |
| 590:19 591:5 | operating | 617:19,20 | overcome | 373:2,15 383:1 |
| 591:19 592:6 | 506:13,17 | option 419:2,10 | 530:17 | 383:4 384:7,9 |
| 592:17 595:7 | operation | 450:16 | overhead | 384:10 386:17 |
| 595:14 596:3 | 386:20 399:19 | options 549:10 | 465:25 | 387:12 394:18 |
| 597:14 598:15 | 415:14 462:4 | 593:7 597:20 | overriding | 394:22 395:16 |
| 598:17 599:9 | 540:12 591:15 | 598:3 | 551:4 | 396:25 398:20 |
| 599:18 600:12 | 592:15,16,21 | order 370:8 | overrule 449:18 | 399:11,17,22 |
| 601:14 612:5 | 620:3,9,10 | 392:14 403:14 | 602:9 | 399:23,25 |
| 613:2,12 619:2 | 673:23 | 419:12 422:17 | Overruled | 401:3 403:10 |
| 622:3,4 623:2 | operations | 422:24 423:6 | 377:23 407:21 | 411:7 413:6,18 |
| 628:21 632:5,9 | 444:15 467:25 | 423:12 442:1 | 610:8 635:3 | 413:25 415:7 |
| 644:4,8 646:4 | 570:5,9,14 | 444:24 450:10 | 640:25 | 416:14,24 |
| 650:6,25 | 603:14 673:20 | 450:13 451:4 | oversee 513:6 | 418:25 419:7 |
| 651:10,11 | opinion 399:1 | 454:12 485:20 | oversight | 419:19,19 |
| 652:20 654:21 | 401:4 402:11 | 485:25 510:12 | 604:19 | 420:24 421:5,6 |
| 655:4,10,24 | 439:21 444:21 | 510:15 518:13 | owned 565:12 | 424:14,25 |
| 658:7 660:16 | 642:22 653:17 | 525:13 529:7 | 568:10,12,14 | 425:22 426:11 |
| 661:13 662:2 | 658:3 664:23 | 529:11,21 | 568:23 569:1 | 427:3,9 430:4 |
| 663:4 668:3 | 669:6 677:16 | 531:23 542:2,4 | 633:8 649:20 | 431:4 432:19 |
| 675:13 677:8 | opinions 660:18 | 604:11 636:19 | owner 375:9,12 | 433:16 434:23 |
| once 391:9 | 666:16 | organization | 375:16,18 | 435:22 437:2 |
| 413:9 431:25 | opponent 407:8 | 426:21 | 376:1 538:18 | 437:21 459:3 |
| 443:20 491:7 | opponents 501:9 | original 433:5 | 539:1 572:16 | 461:24 468:15 |
| 580:19 594:16 | opportunities | 482:15 509:17 | owners 376:15 | 468:19 470:20 |
| oncologist | 405:10 | 563:3 572:13 | 538:15 604:15 | 472:12,13 |
| 377:19 | opportunity | 573:10,20 | 636:18 | 474:16 475:3,5 |
| one-hour 454:8 | 453:14 533:11 | 585:20 586:11 | owners' 391:10 | 475:17,21,22 |
| one-line 390:6 | 580:6 646:18 | 586:15 627:23 | ownership | 475:24 476:4 |
| one-on-one | 663:8 678:13 | originally 433:1 | 380:18 538:8 | 484:1,3 486:2 |
| 443:20 517:9 | oppose 399:1 | 585:19 | owns 538:9 | 486:6 488:9 |
| 652:4 | 653:24 654:7 | originating | 639:5 | 492:2 493:3 |
| one-time 450:15 | opposed 398:2 | 503:22 |  | 513:3,22 |
| ones 531:4 | 398:17 399:2,6 | outer 596:18 | P | 515:17 516:12 |
| 537:20 582:5 | 427:7 450:6 | output 591:1 | P 367:21 368:1,1 | 519:4 520:5,23 |
| 642:24 | 501:5 581:20 | outreach 439:8 | 469:7 | 521:20,24 |
| ongoing 462:3 | 650:11 653:13 | outset 663:10 | paad408@aol.... | 523:21 524:12 |
| 471:7 473:8,8 | 654:12 655:5,9 | outside 426:21 | 368:18 | 527:21 532:9 |
| 477:10,12 | 656:5 657:4 | 472:7 495:3 | pace 370:18 | 532:12 534:16 |
| open 443:25 | 658:4 664:23 | 499:4 549:14 | 388:11,12 | 540:9 545:15 |
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| 547:5 548:6 | 583:21 586:13 | 603:23 604:24 | 579:15 582:17 | PDRI 478:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 549:20,21 | 586:14 593:12 | 606:3,18 | 584:9 587:7,8 | PEGGY 368:22 |
| 563:15 564:1 | 621:4 623:12 | park 443:9 | 603:1,19 | PEMBERTON |
| 564:13,24 | 623:22 625:1 | part 381:5,7 | 609:16,23 | 369:8 381:22 |
| 567:22 568:4,5 | 659:2,11,12 | 383:21 386:10 | 613:3 625:18 | 405:21 410:15 |
| 569:21 572:7,9 | paid 399:14 | 388:3 390:1 | 642:5,20 | 445:23 456:14 |
| 573:11,12,14 | 400:17 527:5 | 393:20 397:5 | 644:22 646:20 | 459:24 507:4 |
| 573:18 574:18 | 534:5 631:10 | 404:25 412:7 | 659:15 675:2 | 512:10 545:1 |
| 575:13 579:10 | 632:11 636:11 | 414:3 420:16 | particularities | 561:23 585:1 |
| 579:15 583:13 | 637:2 640:3 | 420:21 422:17 | 442:2 576:25 | 589:6 615:25 |
| 583:14,16,19 | pancaked | 422:23 423:6 | particularly | 647:11 |
| 583:22 584:12 | 542:11 | 438:8 449:12 | 392:3 450:9 | pending 443:14 |
| 585:20 586:3 | pancaking | 451:12,23 | 471:15 552:15 | 668:2 669:1,3 |
| 587:7,8 589:24 | 541:8 | 452:16 472:8 | parties 370:14 | people 397:13 |
| 590:4,8,12,19 | Pandora's | 480:14 484:20 | 370:22 405:2 | 398:2,4 408:12 |
| 591:17 592:17 | 638:15 | 504:14,24 | 412:20 420:19 | 429:4 495:1 |
| 593:24,24 | papers 374:1 | 532:3,3 547:25 | 454:11 | 499:4 563:22 |
| 602:16 605:2,2 | 384:20 622:8 | 550:22 566:12 | Partners 458:7 | 564:14,20 |
| 605:24 606:20 | 622:19 625:22 | 618:8,11 | partnerships | 578:21 635:9 |
| 614:25 616:16 | 626:2 | 627:15 638:9 | 403:12,17,20 | 651:23,25 |
| 617:4,23 | PAR 404:11 | 638:16 642:14 | parts 440:2 | 653:14,23 |
| 619:22 620:20 | 558:11 559:19 | 649:14 651:24 | party 665:3 | 654:6,12 655:9 |
| 620:23 622:10 | paragraph | 651:25 677:15 | pass 516:11 | 657:11,22 |
| 622:22 623:18 | 373:4 387:14 | Participant | 562:20 | 658:15 660:13 |
| 624:13 625:10 | 435:6,14 | 537:21 | passive 535:14 | 661:6,20,22 |
| 625:19 626:9 | 436:10 451:25 | participant-fu... | PAUL 368:18 | 663:7,15 664:9 |
| 626:13 628:14 | 570:4,18 | 514:11,18 | paved 669:13 | 665:13 671:13 |
| 628:16 630:23 | 571:18 617:22 | 516:15,20 | pay 433:17,24 | people's 578:10 |
| 630:24 633:2 | paragraphs | 517:19 518:9 | 440:15 464:24 | 580:9 665:18 |
| 634:2 635:17 | 642:6 | 527:24 528:19 | 516:3,8 527:6 | Pepco 525:4 |
| 635:19 637:8 | parallel 463:9 | 529:3,12,15,24 | 535:15,16,19 | percent 376:25 |
| 638:5 642:5,13 | 501:5 572:24 | 530:1,21 532:5 | 541:14 631:5 | 398:25,25 |
| 642:20,23 | 575:2 577:18 | 534:21,23 | 633:17 671:20 | 399:6,6 402:8 |
| 644:2,14,22 | 577:24 578:2,7 | 535:25 536:12 | paying 372:12 | 417:15 440:16 |
| 645:20 647:23 | 578:8,14,17 | 537:20 540:3 | 420:14 440:17 | 440:17 450:9 |
| 649:5,7 650:6 | 584:3 | 540:11 | 632:1 | 468:8 547:15 |
| 657:8,17,18 | parameters | participate | payment 449:11 | 547:16,20 |
| 658:12 662:17 | 477:13 | 430:13 505:11 | 450:24 451:6 | 548:1,2,3 |
| 663:11 666:18 | parcel 427:24 | participated | 451:20 632:2 | 609:11 610:17 |
| 667:23 668:4,6 | 633:19 | 649:19 666:1 | 671:6 681:11 | 611:1,2,5 |
| 672:2,3,5,20 | parcels 375:4 | participation | payments 377:2 | 619:5 627:2 |
| 672:21 673:3 | 427:13 428:4,9 | 392:12 | 440:20,22 | 674:22 675:7 |
| 674:3 675:14 | 572:20 576:24 | particular 400:5 | 447:23 448:3 | percentage |
| 679:1 | 578:11,19,20 | 441:4 468:3 | 448:16 449:7 | 479:10 |
| pages 372:1,16 | 582:18 | 480:14 528:7 | 449:21 450:6 | perception |
| 425:11 495:7 | pardon 476:14 | 529:20 537:4 | 450:12,20,21 | 595:11 |
| 553:8 577:16 | 489:1 499:23 | 563:24 568:18 | 451:3 670:18 | perfectly 640:23 |
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| perform 491:9 | phase 569:19 | 467:9,13 | plays 491:6 | PM 678:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 549:4 | 571:7 | 501:11 506:13 | please 384:7 | point 370:14,23 |
| performance | phases 386:20 | 506:14 531:24 | 389:2 409:6 | 371:3 382:22 |
| 471:2 | Phil 637:17 | 532:18,19 | 416:23 418:25 | 388:10 392:7 |
| performed | Phillip 637:14 | 547:21 573:1 | 419:20 424:14 | 394:7,19 396:8 |
| 467:23 468:3 | phone 402:22 | 580:16,17 | 425:5,14,22 | 400:5 423:19 |
| 478:14 491:13 | photos 608:17 | 592:11 598:9 | 426:9,12,22 | 428:12 429:5 |
| period 379:21 | physically | 598:25 600:14 | 427:3 431:4 | 442:11 444:9 |
| 387:15 423:15 | 480:12,25 | 607:17 646:9 | 437:6 439:24 | 447:11 448:13 |
| 444:1 450:20 | 481:4 | 668:16,17 | 449:4 453:3 | 450:14,19 |
| 452:19 604:9 | pick 659:15,17 | 669:14 682:9 | 454:16,24 | 451:5 467:14 |
| 619:4 | picked 659:23 | placed 404:22 | 458:4 475:5 | 474:14 477:6 |
| periodically | picture 638:10 | 571:6 | 476:5 479:16 | 481:6 482:4,11 |
| 616:19 | pictures 636:1 | placement 429:9 | 480:22 483:25 | 485:3,11 495:5 |
| permission | piece 609:23 | 476:16 592:20 | 486:2 488:9 | 500:11 502:8 |
| 391:8 392:13 | pieces 670:16 | 593:6 600:23 | 489:7 490:20 | 503:16,22 |
| 392:18 393:5 | pier 486:13,14 | places 382:8,15 | 493:3 495:4 | 510:14 513:13 |
| permitting | pipeline 369:4 | 572:19 576:24 | 499:4 510:21 | 514:6,15 |
| 414:8 | 461:16 463:4 | 598:21 665:23 | 511:2 520:23 | 520:16 521:8 |
| perpetuity | 463:10,16,18 | placing 570:19 | 521:20 524:12 | 526:24 530:1 |
| 431:18,23 | 463:25 464:3,5 | 571:13 600:19 | 543:16 551:10 | 541:3 542:12 |
| person 403:9 | 464:9,15 465:3 | Plains 442:15 | 553:5 560:16 | 553:6 561:7 |
| 426:16,20 | 572:25 616:22 | 443:4 470:21 | 563:15 564:24 | 566:16 580:12 |
| 427:1 440:24 | 617:9,13 | 470:24 477:8 | 567:22 568:4 | 592:24 597:18 |
| 504:18 579:14 | 641:10,12,14 | 487:15 491:13 | 569:21 572:7 | 608:8,23 |
| 624:11 665:10 | 641:15 649:15 | plan 501:25 | 572:10 573:10 | 611:13,18 |
| 666:8 | 669:11 | 502:5 | 579:8 587:19 | 624:19 627:8 |
| person's 486:23 | pipelines 463:25 | plane 650:24 | 601:19 602:16 | 628:4 631:14 |
| personal 407:23 | 649:18 668:24 | planned 482:11 | 606:21 614:1,8 | 632:4,8 635:25 |
| 408:1 424:12 | pivot 570:13 | 484:12 605:10 | 617:4 619:22 | 636:23 637:4,9 |
| 648:18,20 | 592:20 595:13 | planning 530:11 | 622:7,12,18,23 | 637:19 640:18 |
| 672:11 674:14 | 596:4,5,14 | 532:1 562:14 | 626:8 627:15 | 642:6 649:16 |
| 676:4,16 | 608:13,18,24 | plans 600:24 | 628:22 630:23 | 668:22 670:23 |
| personally | 609:4 | Plant 383:18 | 633:2 635:17 | 671:3 675:2 |
| 408:3 445:4 | pivots 599:4 | planter 675:21 | 638:4 645:17 | point-to-point |
| 486:20 527:1 | PJM 382:19 | 676:6,13,15,18 | 653:19 657:17 | 541:13,14 |
| 659:14 676:21 | 383:8,16 481:6 | 676:23,24 | 666:18 667:24 | pointed 552:11 |
| personnel | 481:16,21 | 677:2 | 672:2 | pole 428:19,24 |
| 464:15 | 482:6,13,25 | planting 673:4,7 | pleased 443:25 | 429:6,9 486:18 |
| perspective | 483:6,14 500:5 | plants 633:5 | pleasure 510:4 | 509:11 593:19 |
| 487:23 488:5,7 | 500:10,12 | 634:13,22 | plot 597:10 | 631:10,22,23 |
| 501:3 503:20 | 502:10 504:25 | 635:10,14 | ploughed | 632:10,22 |
| 535:24 577:7 | 506:12,19 | 666:23,25 | 452:13 | 673:22,22,25 |
| 581:23 610:18 | 507:24 509:20 | 667:9,21 | plug 386:14 | poles 570:23 |
| 642:10 | 541:12,14,23 | plate 531:11 | plus 377:2 468:8 | 571:1 632:3,7 |
| pertains 624:3 | 542:1 | play 527:24 | 530:23,25 | 672:25 673:8 |
| pertinent 640:7 | place 463:22 | 638:9 | 536:24 574:25 | 674:5,17 |
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| 675:21 | 376:3 463:20 | preliminary | 657:14 | 600:8 619:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| policies 430:8 | 567:9 569:11 | 386:21,25 | previous 566:3 | 663:6 670:6 |
| 518:25 528:21 | power 369:7 | 387:4 | 572:24 646:7 | problem 408:25 |
| 604:17 | 383:24 403:13 | premature | 648:7 | 530:22,24 |
| policy 411:16 | 405:20 410:14 | 478:19 | previously | 532:20 543:25 |
| 412:6,16 | 445:22 456:13 | prematurely | 371:10,11 | 675:20,25 |
| 415:24 429:15 | 459:23 467:3 | 408:8 | 418:3 682:9 | problems 494:8 |
| 430:14 467:25 | 480:19,23 | prepare 449:23 | price 442:4 | 494:11 569:13 |
| 517:16,22 | 481:1,15 | 455:10 458:11 | 481:11 508:4 | 569:14 607:3 |
| 518:3,19 | 489:13 493:22 | 458:19 461:21 | 636:19 | procedural |
| 603:21 604:6 | 494:4,18 | 511:7 544:2 | prices 481:20 | 408:23 |
| 607:13 | 503:13,21 | 560:24 | 482:6 509:20 | procedures |
| pool 419:23 | 504:22 509:7 | prepared | 522:3,11 | 420:5 517:17 |
| 467:3 509:13 | 509:11,13 | 406:23 407:7 | pricing 481:24 | proceed 454:21 |
| portfolio 667:3 | 512:9 516:8,9 | 409:13 449:25 | 483:19 | 461:4 469:23 |
| portion 389:3 | 520:8,17 | 465:16 645:19 | primarily | 469:25 517:19 |
| 513:13 516:2 | 522:16 531:1,6 | preselected | 413:12 460:19 | proceeding |
| 571:15 584:9 | 531:7 538:12 | 546:20 | 471:6 550:8 | 395:13 437:11 |
| 645:6 | 538:18,18 | presence 606:13 | 622:13,24 | 437:19 438:2 |
| portions 568:15 | 539:4 543:22 | present 530:8 | primary 568:22 | 480:5 524:18 |
| POs 467:14 | 544:25 561:22 | 568:2 648:12 | 573:4 591:24 | 526:20 527:8 |
| pose 448:12 | 635:14 638:13 | presentation | 592:1 | proceedings |
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| resident 572:12 | 660:17 665:8 | retired 424:18 | 500:12 502:16 | rights-of-way |
| residents 571:20 | 665:21,21 | 643:16 | 510:1,20 | 427:6 |
| 573:21 649:11 | 666:16 667:14 | retool 500:7 | 523:19 531:3 | risk 444:20,25 |
| 649:14 650:4 | 667:15,16 | 502:12 507:24 | 547:3 549:19 | 464:20 528:19 |
| resort 427:22,25 | 681:13,17,17 | 508:1 | 553:2 557:25 | 528:23 634:4 |
| 428:5,10 | 681:18 | return 582:12 | 564:19,21 | risks 372:11 |
| resource 505:7 | responses | 668:13 | 573:7 580:14 | 464:8 559:24 |
| 505:12 576:10 | 416:10 465:15 | revenue 542:13 | 585:22 586:18 | river 476:19,21 |
| 576:10 | 477:22 612:10 | 542:13 620:2 | 592:25 593:15 | 479:7 490:1,8 |
| resources | 612:10 621:23 | 620:16 633:21 | 594:20 596:6 | 490:12 491:3 |
| 382:14 385:20 | responsibility | 640:9,19,19 | 596:12,15 | 492:8,10,11,15 |
| 530:17 | 424:4,11 | 641:3,6 | 597:6 598:23 | 492:15,23 |
| respect 423:3 | 465:22 466:4 | revenues 520:1 | 599:17,18,22 | 546:12 550:20 |
| 530:11 558:17 | 513:10 545:17 | 542:16 640:11 | 600:3,4 613:19 | 550:20,22 |
| respond 448:25 | responsible | review 438:7 | 623:10 625:9 | rivers 489:22 |
| 502:25 506:9 | 420:14 462:2,6 | 453:14 608:5 | 625:13,17 | 492:19 |
| 587:8 | 465:4,8 472:10 | 608:14,15,15 | 627:8 629:4 | road 376:1,6 |
| responded | 473:3 546:6 | reviewed 465:12 | 630:21 631:19 | 414:6 432:25 |
| 462:5 517:6 | 580:22 622:13 | 515:13 518:25 | 636:5 637:5 | 608:20,21 |
| responding | 622:24 | 624:2 | 638:2 646:23 | 669:13,16 |
| 448:17 | responsive | revised 430:7 | 649:8 650:18 | roads 668:14 |
| response 370:24 | 610:7 | revisions 564:25 | 651:9 659:4 | 669:5 |
| 387:25 388:6 | rest 372:25 | 565:4 | 660:14 661:24 | Robert 643:6,13 |
| 397:24 410:17 | 529:2 584:14 | revoking 646:13 | 662:15 663:6 | 680:7 |
| 410:24 418:16 | 663:15 | REX 462:2 | 663:13 664:3 | robust 476:19 |
| 420:1 421:17 | restate 480:22 | Richard 614:1,1 | 664:12 670:15 | 476:21 |
| 422:14 426:15 | 500:19 | 614:2,9,15 | 671:2,3 672:9 | Robyn 368:16 |
| 426:23,24 | restored 594:17 | 615:9 680:4 | 673:11 674:7 | rock 548:17,18 |
| 428:23 430:5 | restudy 500:7 | rig 595:1 | 674:12 675:5 | Rockies 369:4 |
| 461:15,25 | 500:11 | right 370:11,25 | 675:25 676:13 | 405:24 410:23 |
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| 446:18 456:17 | routes 568:1,2,8 | safe 383:9 386:9 | 382:6,7 395:1 | 373:3,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 461:15 462:19 | 577:15,18 | safely 533:13 | 405:5 640:5 | 387:14 551:18 |
| 463:4,10 | 580:8 583:3 | safety 462:25 | scenarios | 551:19 571:17 |
| 465:25 508:18 | routing 563:17 | 473:2 513:8 | 392:25 405:2 | 590:1 591:7 |
| 512:17 540:15 | 575:11 576:9 | sale 382:18 | schedule 374:12 | 661:12,21 |
| 545:6 562:4 | 576:19 577:9 | 383:16 635:14 | 385:25 386:17 | 672:5 |
| 585:6 589:13 | 577:17 582:23 | sales 383:10 | 387:13 405:8 | section 407:14 |
| 616:6 641:12 | row 459:4 492:3 | San 650:25 | 469:21 473:21 | 431:16 436:25 |
| 648:22 | 571:25 572:1,3 | SARAH 369:5 | 486:3 488:18 | 484:6,7 573:15 |
| rode 608:23 | RPR 367:25 | satisfied 558:24 | 489:8 492:2 | 583:2,10 |
| role 491:7 509:5 | RPR/CRR/CS... | 559:1 605:15 | 499:19 560:2 | 599:10,11,13 |
| 527:25 | 682:18 | save 383:7 | 569:22 573:14 | 599:15,21,22 |
| Rolla 562:21 | RT. 1 622:1 | 384:14 | 574:19 575:10 | 600:11 668:9 |
| rolled 557:23 | RT. 12 622:5,6 | savings $384: 11$ | 585:16 586:3 | secured 408:2 |
| room 411:13 | 622:18 | 385:2,10,15 | 587:5 593:10 | securing 385:17 |
| 460:9 648:12 | RT. 36 623:17 | saying 372:20 | 603:3,21 604:3 | Sedalia 404:1 |
| 671:17 | RT. 39 628:7,16 | 378:12 389:22 | 604:7,17 | see 370:19 |
| Roseanne | RTO 474:21 | 389:24 395:20 | 613:14,20 | 372:22,24 |
| 368:16 | 499:13 500:4 | 407:17 408:11 | 636:1 642:19 | 373:7,20 |
| Rosetta 586:15 | 500:14 501:10 | 434:14 436:7 | 669:22 | 378:25 392:2 |
| 590:16 | 501:23 528:10 | 446:11 599:3 | scheduled | 397:20 400:4 |
| rough 670:8 | 530:22 532:4 | 601:7 642:7 | 379:12 459:3 | 401:7 404:7 |
| roughly 499:21 | RTO's 541:7 | 645:19,22 | 572:8 | 408:5,23 |
| roundtable | RTOs 473:3 | 646:10 655:8 | schedules | 422:19 426:23 |
| 563:20 564:2 | 500:17 509:5 | 657:15 661:25 | 403:22,24 | 476:19 484:5 |
| 564:14 | 513:6 528:8,8 | 664:10 665:16 | 404:19 409:15 | 486:21 499:23 |
| route 378:3 | 528:12 530:11 | says $372: 8,13,14$ | 459:2 552:22 | 502:11 515:23 |
| 388:18 389:6 | 530:15 531:14 | 373:4,14,16 | 561:5 587:25 | 520:9 529:25 |
| 389:18,20,23 | 531:25 | 379:4 386:18 | 614:17 | 530:12,16 |
| 390:5,8,9,21 | RTS-12 623:22 | 386:23 387:4 | scheduling | 540:6 563:18 |
| 390:23 463:8 | rubber 669:7 | 387:25 388:15 | 370:20 505:8 | 573:15,19 |
| 474:3,5 476:13 | rule 389:19 | 389:20 395:15 | scheme 525:4 | 583:1 593:19 |
| 478:20 485:22 | 396:1 485:10 | 397:9 398:3,25 | school 652:3 | 593:20 608:14 |
| 491:8 547:22 | rules 389:25 | 403:10 418:16 | scientific 377:16 | 612:15 618:1 |
| 562:16 563:3,4 | 420:5 517:12 | 432:20 459:4 | scope 448:14 | 620:25 623:4,7 |
| 563:12 564:6 | ruling 371:17 | 475:17 484:7 | 449:19 472:10 | 632:20 657:24 |
| 564:11 565:1 | run 451:9 467:5 | 484:25 489:7 | 640:14 | 664:17 665:18 |
| 567:1,5,11 | 562:19 578:2 | 492:13 547:9 | SCOTT 367:22 | 665:21 667:4 |
| 573:11 575:17 | 676:9,19 | 551:23 590:20 | se $524: 3$ | seed 673:18 |
| 576:17,21 | running 370:20 | 650:14 657:14 | Seaboard | seeing 526:2 |
| 577:2,22,23,24 | 656:11 670:11 | 662:18 674:21 | 481:18 | 584:1 |
| 578:6,13 580:5 | 673:10 | sbrady@wind... | seam 506:6 | seek 444:4 |
| 582:17 583:2,8 | RUPP 367:22 | 369:15 | seams 506:4,5 | seeking 396:2 |
| 584:5 608:6,6 | RWW-1 669:22 | scale 400:16 | 506:11,14 | 440:12 480:6 |
| 608:10 609:8 |  | 580:11 | 530:19,22,24 | 504:20 612:20 |
| 609:11 610:6 | S | scales 580:4 | SEAN 369:15 | seen 386:24 |
| 610:12,12,24 | S 368:1 | scenario 376:23 | second 370:4 | 387:3 453:9 |
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| 474:1 520:20 | service 367:2,8 | share 550:14 | showing 451:3 | 457:1 486:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| segment 489:11 | 368:10 373:18 | shareholders | 651:18 | 489:15 491:18 |
| 584:12 | 389:5 402:18 | 372:12 | shown 489:8 | 493:5 499:14 |
| segments 599:23 | 424:19 425:14 | she'll 377:11 | 603:3,21 | 501:13,19 |
| select 419:21 | 503:21,24,25 | sheet 415:18 | shows 398:1 | 502:2 503:9 |
| selected 419:22 | 504:19 513:25 | 448:5 449:12 | 593:24 669:22 | 504:4 505:17 |
| 471:9,11 | 516:17 523:16 | 451:9 458:19 | side 395:21 | 507:16 510:2 |
| selection 390:8,9 | 523:24 524:14 | 458:25 459:10 | 400:17 506:16 | 546:15 547:18 |
| 390:21 546:14 | 525:13 528:15 | 681:11,12 | 532:25 533:1 | 548:9 549:9 |
| 549:4 573:11 | 531:10 534:22 | sheriff 651:16 | 533:15,16,21 | 558:1,9 559:18 |
| 583:2,8 | 535:6 536:21 | Shiflett 491:19 | 534:1 572:2 | 561:6 574:17 |
| selects 471:19 | 628:10 629:1 | 510:16 543:10 | 594:1 | 614:18 615:6 |
| self-employed | 681:21 682:8 | 543:11,17 | sides 525:7,24 | 616:14,15,20 |
| 643:15 | services 458:10 | 544:1 552:23 | 594:3 | 616:24 618:5 |
| self-supporting | 532:14 543:19 | 557:21 559:13 | sign 395:2,19,22 | 621:4 623:16 |
| 474:7 | serving 648:11 | 560:6 679:20 | 505:22 536:8 | 623:25 626:13 |
| sell 380:2,17 | session 495:6 | 681:8,8 | signature | 628:17 629:5 |
| 382:17 | 499:2,6 552:19 | Shiflett's 492:2 | 682:10 | 629:19,23 |
| selling 483:15 | 553:7 557:2,4 | 593:12 | signatures | 630:2 631:12 |
| sells 423:24 | set 370:10,12 | shift 581:6 | 608:17 | 631:20 633:3 |
| 424:6 | 392:3 396:7 | shifted 581:6 | signed 412:20 | 633:10,23 |
| semicolon 459:4 | 416:9,25 445:9 | shock 464:20 | 439:4 500:22 | 634:1,6 636:3 |
| 459:5 | 461:16 477:23 | short 454:3 | 509:12 | 637:22,25 |
| send 537:1 | 490:19 505:22 | 578:7,14 | significant | 638:3 639:24 |
| SENIOR 367:19 | 511:19 517:17 | 586:25 | 442:22 476:22 | 641:1,24 650:8 |
| sense 390:4 | 518:3,10 | shortest 576:21 | 503:4 507:18 | 654:2,10,17 |
| 400:16 581:17 | 544:12 551:13 | 577:2 | 507:25 508:12 | 655:6,22 |
| sensitivity | 551:23 561:8,9 | Shorthand | 532:25 536:23 | 658:14,24 |
| 573:21 | 581:23 612:23 | 682:5 | 569:13 571:19 | 659:9,10 661:2 |
| sent 387:18 | 632:10 672:16 | shortly 579:15 | 577:5 | 666:20,21 |
| 388:24 421:23 | 673:18,25 | show 368:7 | significantly | 667:1,5,11,13 |
| 620:19,23,24 | 682:10 | 381:2 383:6,12 | 479:1,6 502:17 | 667:25 669:4 |
| 628:18 659:12 | sets 451:18 | 398:23 406:1 | signing 429:4 | 669:25 671:25 |
| sentence 529:2 | 611:25 | 410:25 446:20 | similar 443:16 | 672:10 673:1,5 |
| 589:24 | seven 436:2 | 456:19 466:18 | 488:17 491:16 | 674:7,19 |
| separate 438:4 | 468:15,20 | 477:23 487:12 | 528:11 639:15 | 675:10,18 |
| 451:18 471:25 | 564:1,13 | 494:7,11,12,17 | Similarly 569:4 | 676:15 678:4 |
| 539:3 623:11 | 567:23 568:5 | 508:21 512:19 | simple 434:11 | sit 394:8 430:12 |
| 670:17 | 602:16 630:23 | 540:17 545:8 | simply 376:25 | 430:20 582:5 |
| separation | 630:24 | 551:13,19,24 | 377:21 433:23 | 672:16 |
| 576:3 | severe 610:22 | 562:6 585:8 | 434:3 445:3 | site 375:10,17 |
| sequentially | 611:5 | 589:15 593:16 | single 427:24 | 500:6 539:11 |
| 501:5 | severely 610:22 | 600:13 616:8 | 474:8,11 | 568:25 571:9 |
| series 404:6 | sgiboney@sm... | 621:24 648:24 | 485:15 486:14 | 571:21 572:2 |
| 547:10 | 369:6 | 661:12 681:16 | 486:18 488:3 | 573:2 |
| serve 468:23 | shame 652:10 | showed 378:1 | 633:20 | sited 515:11 |
| 522:4 586:15 | shape 609:22 | 675:6 | sir 402:23 411:1 | 574:21 |
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| siting 389:14 | smaller 578:11 | sort 390:21 | 449:6 476:14 | 542:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 569:18 577:6 | 675:23,25 | 404:25 447:4 | 476:15,23 | sprayer 673:18 |
| 579:24 582:14 | soil 609:10,12 | 452:3 570:8 | 517:12 537:2 | 676:17 |
| 594:14 | 609:13,16 | 577:16 595:11 | 568:15,22,23 | sprayers 675:24 |
| situation 376:2 | 674:4,18,23 | 625:6 | 569:4 570:11 | spraying 673:16 |
| 377:24,25 | 675:12 | sought 579:18 | 602:21 668:14 | spread 658:15 |
| 378:4,14 395:8 | soils 548:18 | sound 531:8,9 | specifically | spreader 673:11 |
| 395:9 434:17 | 610:18 | 538:6,20 539:6 | 415:17 449:7,9 | spreading |
| 493:15 523:2 | solar 381:12,20 | 633:13 | 449:15 451:18 | 657:11,22 |
| 530:8 552:16 | 382:3,7 | sounds 505:15 | 452:1 479:2 | spreadsheet |
| 581:12 583:24 | sold 480:12,20 | 564:18,21 | 491:4 492:10 | 624:2,6,10 |
| 605:9 | 480:24 481:4 | 657:13 | 499:18 526:15 | spring 666:5 |
| situations | sole 424:22 | source 383:24 | 526:16 610:4 | 674:1 |
| 492:14 | 487:3,9 | 633:21 | 616:22 | square 596:1,9 |
| six 373:2 403:10 | solicit 546:17 | sourcing 503:14 | specifications | 596:10,11,24 |
| 416:15,24 | solicitation | south 562:16 | 470:25 473:1,2 | 597:3,4,8 |
| 419:1 430:4 | 517:3,6 529:15 | 577:25 608:20 | specificities | 598:5 599:21 |
| 434:24 435:22 | solution 530:21 | 608:20,22 | 389:18 | St 562:22,25 |
| 437:2,21 | 530:24 | southern 563:4 | specified 389:21 | staff 368:10 |
| 441:22 469:23 | solving 506:4 | 563:12 564:6 | 415:17 | 390:3,3 405:22 |
| 470:7 475:9 | somebody | 568:8,14 583:4 | specify 390:1 | 410:20 412:4 |
| 524:13 527:23 | 579:21 595:3 | Southwest 467:3 | 533:12 | 418:12,21 |
| 563:15,16 | 601:11 651:21 | 509:11,13 | speculation | 446:1 456:15 |
| 614:25 633:2 | 652:1 655:13 | space 594:16 | 407:24 | 460:2,4 461:17 |
| 634:2 635:20 | 662:2 | span 595:19,24 | speculative | 465:12 499:11 |
| 636:1,12 644:3 | someone's | 597:20 | 634:16 | 501:21,22 |
| 644:14 645:20 | 493:13 664:23 | spanned 608:25 | Spell 386:5 | 502:19 504:9 |
| 647:23 667:23 | somewhat | spared 585:24 | 387:12 624:14 | 507:7 512:14 |
| 668:5,6,12 | 452:13 594:9 | speak 381:24 | spend 530:17 | 513:14,24 |
| size 427:23 | 653:7 | 407:20 542:21 | spending $527: 8$ | 539:24 545:4 |
| 447:9 484:10 | sophisticated | 579:22 609:6 | spent 441:21 | 562:1 578:25 |
| 550:23 600:8 | 414:19 | 648:2 | 619:5 | 579:18 585:4 |
| 676:5,12,17,23 | sorry 375:13 | speaking 381:24 | spirit 418:11 | 589:10 611:24 |
| 677:1 | 381:22 387:1 | 465:20 513:19 | spit 650:24 | 612:1,2,8,11 |
| Skelly 403:8 | 396:14 419:6 | 540:24 647:21 | split 420:19 | 612:13,16,17 |
| 411:14 412:6 | 425:6 440:7 | 651:23 661:7 | 525:16 | 612:20 613:3,4 |
| 413:4 520:15 | 447:4 449:11 | special 395:20 | splitting 548:3 | 616:3 624:12 |
| skeptical 529:19 | 475:5 477:11 | 551:1,1,3 | spoke 661:14 | 642:3,7,21 |
| skis 533:23 | 484:2 547:7 | 575:18 | spot 572:3 | 647:14 681:13 |
| slightly 486:10 | 572:9 583:5 | specialized | spotting 428:25 | Staff's 466:12 |
| 581:6,6 582:1 | 607:19 614:1 | 460:20 | 491:9 | 506:3 612:23 |
| slow 673:25 | 618:10 620:21 | species 569:5 | SPP 380:24 | 642:4,15,22 |
| small 386:18 | 622:17 625:12 | specific 388:18 | 385:18 459:4,5 | stage 580:5 |
| 479:9,10 508:2 | 626:10 628:19 | 389:6,16,23 | 467:2,6,11,14 | staged 468:6 |
| 525:5 567:8 | 635:12 636:25 | 393:16 414:14 | 467:23,24 | stages 577:17 |
| 571:15 573:8 | 637:12,13 | 417:3 436:13 | 475:18 506:16 | staging 594:2 |
| 580:15 582:2 | 639:14 | 443:23 447:16 | 506:19 513:8 | Stahlman's |
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| 476:1 | 415:11 419:20 | 389:14 391:5 | 537:16,21,24 | 486:20 487:4,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stakeholders | 422:14 424:17 | 436:12 462:1 | 538:3,7,11,17 | 488:11,13,15 |
| 430:18 | 426:12,22 | 468:21 481:11 | 538:22 539:2,7 | 488:17 489:17 |
| stamp 669:7 | 427:4 436:5,9 | 481:17 492:11 | 539:12 | 489:25 490:12 |
| stand 403:18 | 436:12,22 | 493:25 528:11 | stone 586:15 | 491:2,7,15 |
| 457:23 475:7 | 437:21 454:24 | 537:5,19 | 590:16 | 492:4,19 |
| 510:19 536:24 | 458:4 462:1 | 573:21 574:20 | stops 472:7 | 546:10 550:24 |
| 560:10 587:12 | 468:16 474:15 | 632:6 | storage 639:19 | 551:1,1 570:19 |
| 613:7 650:10 | 487:10 493:6 | static 464:5 | Stores 369:20 | 570:22 571:6 |
| 650:18 652:4,5 | 511:2 514:4 | stating 407:2 | strand 472:24 | 571:10 576:8 |
| 652:19 653:8 | 515:20 519:7 | station 367:16 | strategically | 576:22 591:8 |
| 669:18 | 520:7,25 522:2 | 406:19 460:14 | 570:19 | 593:17 597:23 |
| standard 431:5 | 524:13,17 | 460:15,18 | Strauss 511:6 | 597:25 606:24 |
| 537:8,11 | 543:16 560:16 | 471:20 472:7,8 | streams 542:13 | 607:3,11 |
| standards 473:5 | 570:3 574:18 | 482:25 483:4 | strength 549:2 | 670:21 |
| 533:5 | 587:18 606:23 | 503:7 505:14 | 549:15 | stuck 397:4 |
| standing 422:24 | 614:7 616:17 | 506:6 630:11 | strike 605:2 | studied 500:13 |
| standpoint | 617:7 618:25 | stations 388:13 | 637:8 | 503:11,13 |
| 484:12 509:17 | 619:20 620:12 | 460:23,25 | strikes 448:13 | studies 428:24 |
| 633:24 | 622:12,23 | 461:2 464:12 | structural | 457:4 463:16 |
| stands 403:19 | 623:4,14,24 | 464:13 471:6 | 487:16 492:7 | 463:22 466:1 |
| 546:3 | 626:14 627:7 | 471:17,19 | 593:6 | 470:24 471:3,7 |
| Star 373:3 | 627:16,19 | 472:1 483:22 | structure 377:2 | 471:12,14 |
| start 444:13,18 | 630:4,12 640:2 | status 509:10 | 415:18 429:6 | 493:21 494:7 |
| 461:5 469:22 | 643:11 666:22 | 547:19 | 440:20 447:23 | 494:11,16 |
| 475:15 577:20 | 667:2 | statute 389:20 | 448:3,4 450:6 | 499:13,20,22 |
| 577:21 578:4 | stated 408:8 | 389:25 | 486:6,7,10,11 | 500:2 501:24 |
| 580:7 619:18 | 418:4 430:24 | statutes 437:9 | 486:17,18 | 501:24 502:18 |
| 622:18 | 452:14 460:10 | statutory 389:15 | 487:21,21 | 502:21 507:13 |
| started 454:5 | 460:13 465:11 | 402:1 | 488:3,6,8 | 507:15 508:7 |
| 470:20 499:24 | 522:9 559:15 | stay 484:19 | 489:9,12 491:4 | 558:3 574:2 |
| 500:6 562:14 | 559:19 642:12 | stays 450:23 | 491:6,9,10,14 | 590:2 634:21 |
| starting 373:16 | 651:6 665:25 | steel 549:13,14 | 570:13,15,17 | 636:21 675:6 |
| 395:16 416:14 | statement 373:8 | 550:13 | 571:3 580:16 | study 386:1,4,8 |
| 431:5 433:16 | 385:1,1 413:7 | step 408:17 | 580:18,19 | 386:10,17,24 |
| 441:4 447:11 | 414:24 517:16 | 453:23 464:8 | 592:20 607:6 | 387:4,13 390:9 |
| 475:9,11 | 517:23 518:4 | 464:19 495:3 | 670:25 671:7 | 390:9,21 |
| 521:24 540:10 | 518:19 541:24 | 510:2 553:4 | 676:3 | 464:23 470:21 |
| 567:23 668:7 | 559:3 574:7,8 | 611:10 | structured | 474:20 475:19 |
| 668:12 | 638:11,16 | STEPHEN | 449:7,11,21 | 493:25 494:2 |
| starts 647:23 | 642:4,12 650:1 | 367:21 | structures | 500:7 502:8,16 |
| state 367:1 | 665:3 | stepped 531:11 | 375:23 428:20 | 503:3,15,18,21 |
| 373:6 375:14 | statements | steps 605:7 | 433:19 474:6,9 | 503:25 504:3 |
| 383:18,20,22 | 591:19 603:12 | STOLE 539:10 | 474:10 476:15 | 504:12 507:24 |
| 383:25 400:7 | 650:15 658:1 | STOLL 367:21 | 476:21,23 | 507:24 532:18 |
| 402:15 403:15 | states 381:4 | 403:4,7 404:4 | 485:3,7,8,12 | 533:2 549:4 |
| 409:6 413:21 | 382:13 388:12 | 404:18 405:13 | 485:15,16 | 558:7 563:12 |
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| 573:20,20 | 480:20,24 | 471:9,11 | 569:12 582:15 | 666:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 574:11,15 | 481:2,3 482:13 | supply 404:16 | 584:17 586:2 | swept 597:24 |
| 577:21 582:23 | 482:24 483:9 | 520:8,17 | 586:12,21 | 598:3 |
| 583:2,8,8,11 | 562:21,25 | supplying | 596:25 597:12 | switching |
| 583:20 586:7 | subsurface | 470:15 | 601:22 611:13 | 408:20 |
| subject 374:7,19 | 465:25 548:17 | support 384:21 | 611:16 613:10 | sworn 371:11 |
| 375:7 379:10 | success 443:16 | 398:15,25 | 645:3 649:11 | 409:2 454:18 |
| 380:15 384:7 | successful 404:9 | 399:3,7 403:15 | 650:3 657:13 | 457:25 510:23 |
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| 599:5,6 601:11 | typographical | 500:20 569:12 | 533:12,20 | V-E-E 488:12 |
| 610:17 611:1 | 459:1 | undertake | 534:7 | vacant 636:8,11 |
| 617:4,23 625:1 |  | 474:23 517:2 | uploading 503:8 | valid 442:11 |
| 625:19 628:16 | U | underway | use 384:14 400:8 | validate 473:1 |
| 629:12 630:8 | uh-huh 594:4 | 477:14 | 402:6 404:24 | valuable 526:8 |
| 630:14 634:9 | 630:6 674:9 | undue 517:25 | 405:4,11 413:9 | valuations |
| 642:6 646:6 | ultimately 487:9 | 518:8,8 | 414:8 441:24 | 437:24 |
| 647:4 649:16 | 550:12 | unfortunately | 447:14 449:3 | value 374:20 |
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| 376:25 400:9 | 543:21 | 612:17 | Watson 367:24 | 423:20 428:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401:6,16,24 | victim 377:10 | waived 436:3 | 682:4,17 | 438:12 442:21 |
| 402:17 417:16 | Vietnam 650:20 | waiver 435:1,5 | wave 502:11 | 442:21,22,25 |
| 418:9 421:25 | 650:22 | 435:23 436:13 | way $374: 22$ | 447:13 476:24 |
| 437:4,8,10,13 | view 376:17 | 436:24 | 375:12,18 | 485:17 502:9 |
| 437:22,23 | 377:7,9 391:21 | waives 435:15 | 377:13,22 | 503:3 527:4 |
| 438:3,10,11 | 427:21 443:21 | waiving 435:20 | 388:22 392:25 | 547:24 571:8 |
| 440:11,16 | 465:19 503:2 | Wal-Mart | 393:13 440:18 | 623:21 652:7 |
| 441:24 521:11 | 529:11,18 | 369:20 639:19 | 471:22 528:10 | 653:7 662:14 |
| 535:22,23 | 530:20 534:19 | walked 671:16 | 550:1 552:18 | 668:23 673:19 |
| 536:10 626:15 | 571:24 642:22 | want 389:10 | 558:15 571:10 | weeks 670:11 |
| 626:16,21 | 648:18,20 | 392:3,4 393:1 | 575:23 581:10 | weigh 450:16 |
| 627:12 628:2 | viewed 436:4,14 | 404:7 408:11 | 581:19,19 | 576:5 |
| 633:12,15 | violated 669:11 | 414:21 440:7 | 594:5,7 595:24 | weight 487:24 |
| 637:3 670:9 | virtue 585:24 | 442:1 446:6 | 630:20 634:25 | Welcome |
| values 635:22 | visibility 607:8 | 448:25 450:22 | 646:15 656:14 | 527:19 |
| variable 540:25 | visited 567:4 | 451:15 453:1 | 663:20 670:15 | well-being |
| variables 576:20 | 617:25 | 472:23 479:14 | Wayne 457:23 | 592:14 |
| variety 487:5 | visual 486:17,21 | 505:6 557:4 | 457:24 458:5 | went 412:17 |
| 627:11,16 | 486:24 573:9 | 572:16 580:21 | 477:22 643:4,6 | 446:14 484:9 |
| various 499:21 | 573:22 593:8 | 581:2 582:11 | 643:13 662:18 | 518:18 584:4 |
| 560:1 659:2 | 595:11 596:1 | 593:9 613:23 | 679:11 680:7 | 638:12 651:16 |
| vary 395:11 | 681:18 | 623:2 639:12 | ways $385: 14,19$ | 672:17 |
| 600:6 | visualizing | 645:2 671:11 | we'll 370:18 | weren't 535:8 |
| vee 488:12 | 598:13 | 671:12 | 371:3 454:1,8 | 559:16 642:20 |
| vehicle 374:25 | vocal 650:11,19 | wanted 450:16 | 485:23 494:25 | 655:7 665:2 |
| vendors 546:17 | 650:22 651:6 | 454:9 469:24 | 510:7 553:2 | wet $675: 1$ |
| 546:18,20 | 651:11 652:19 | 521:17 568:10 | 579:14 586:23 | WG-2 477:25 |
| verify 515:10,13 | 653:9 | 570:4 582:22 | 587:11 661:21 | WG-4 478:6 |
| versa 541:13 | voltage 367:15 | 584:15 587:3 | 678:15 | WG-7 489:4,6 |
| version 386:24 | 568:19 617:1 | 601:12 603:6 | we're 370:20 | whatsoever |
| 387:3,7 587:7 | 648:9 | 604:1 612:15 | 395:11 404:9 | 677:23 |
| 590:14 611:14 | Volume 367:10 | 651:7,9 669:15 | 408:20 421:10 | wheel 676:8 |
| versions 387:8 | 495:7 553:8 | 678:12 | 440:12,12,24 | WHIPPLE |
| 387:10 458:14 | voluntarily | wanting 600:23 | 443:2 444:8 | 368:22 512:5 |
| 459:10 | 427:19 443:1 | wants 375:25 | 454:4,7 469:25 | whisked 651:1 |
| versus 380:23 | 444:9 535:15 | 427:5 441:9 | 475:20 499:6 | whoever's |
| 381:20 399:2 | 536:3,5,7,14 | 505:10,16 | 504:19 510:6 | 472:10 |
| 450:15,16 | voluntary 427:6 | warning 454:10 | 510:11,13 | wholesale 516:6 |
| 451:5 485:15 | 443:25 444:10 | Washington | 535:17 557:3 | 522:3,11,17 |
| 487:24 | 514:19 529:5 | 525:1 | 572:1 580:5 | wider 676:1,14 |
| vertically | vote 646:18 | wasn't 378:13 | 587:1 602:1 | width 433:3,5 |
| 528:13 | 656:22 | 391:16 562:17 | 632:3 657:15 | wield 481:5 |
| vet 650:21,22 | voted 656:15 | 566:12 604:10 | 664:17 675:15 | wife 671:17 |
| VFT 540:22,23 |  | 624:13 631:14 | we've 394:1 | Wilcox 643:5,6 |
| vice 409:11 |  | 655:13 | 395:10 397:6 | 643:13 644:13 |
| 458:9 541:12 | wait 395:22 | water 580:16 | 412:3 418:3 | 645:18 653:5 |
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| 662:18 678:3 | 539:18,20 | 457:18,19,21 | 646:2,4,15 | worthwhile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680:7 681:10 | 544:23,25 | 457:25 459:16 | 655:21,24 | 637:5 |
| 681:10 | 561:20,22 | 461:8,10 | 662:25 668:3 | wouldn't 374:24 |
| Wilcox's 647:1 | 584:23,25 | 462:24 481:25 | 678:5,6 | 379:1 388:22 |
| Wiley 407:7 | 589:2,5 615:22 | 490:7 499:9,14 | witnesses 370:8 | 412:13 471:20 |
| WILLIAM | 615:24 647:8 | 499:18 500:3 | 370:17 393:10 | 504:10 508:9 |
| 367:21 | 647:10 | 500:19 501:2 | 515:7,11 525:7 | 529:20,21 |
| Williams 368:12 | wire 405:18 | 501:13,18 | 534:18 612:18 | 534:13 558:15 |
| 410:21 446:2,5 | 472:24 549:8 | 502:2,7,23 | 678:8 679:1 | 566:20 569:14 |
| 446:17 456:16 | 549:11 607:11 | 503:2,9,13,19 | won 656:19 | 571:10 591:2 |
| 507:8,10 | wires 369:13 | 504:4,7,13 | 657:6 | 601:9 624:16 |
| 508:17 512:15 | 410:12 445:20 | 505:1,5,17,19 | wondering | 635:13 651:13 |
| 539:25 540:2 | 456:11 459:21 | 506:1,7,11,22 | 534:24 575:16 | write 618:3,4,8 |
| 540:14 562:2 | 507:1 512:7 | 510:4,5,12,23 | 579:19 | 618:11 643:17 |
| 584:11 585:5 | 539:18 544:23 | 511:13 527:18 | Wood 569:1 | writing 394:2 |
| 589:11 612:4,7 | 546:10 561:20 | 527:20 528:2,6 | WOODSMALL | 430:13 487:18 |
| 612:21 613:8 | 584:23 589:2 | 529:9,13,22,25 | 369:21 | 665:2 |
| 616:4 642:1 | 606:25 607:4,5 | 530:7,23 531:3 | word 582:18 | written 581:19 |
| 645:15,18,23 | 607:14 615:22 | 531:17 532:22 | 618:15 | 604:4 |
| 646:3,10,19 | 647:8 | 533:2,17,22 | wording 612:12 | wrong 662:9 |
| 647:1,15,17 | withdrawal | 534:3,10,12 | words 373:9,13 | wrote 415:20 |
| 648:21 679:7 | 504:16,17,20 | 535:1,4,9 | 503:24 521:6 | 618:6 644:5 |
| 679:14,18 | 504:21 | 537:10,15,20 | 528:13 537:7 | WW 665:5 |
| 680:8 | withdrawing | 537:23 538:1,5 | 542:16 618:5 | WW-8 653:20 |
| willing 412:23 | 646:7 | 538:9,13,19,23 | 675:1,16 | WW. 10 658:23 |
| 413:4 415:22 | withdrawn | 539:3,9,11 | work 376:3 | WW. 13 660:18 |
| 432:13,17 | 500:9 646:17 | 543:5,7,8,9,12 | 378:2,6 384:20 | 666:15 |
| 433:8 436:8 | witness 370:16 | 544:7 552:25 | 391:25 467:1 | WW. 28 667:12 |
| 441:10 443:25 | 371:5,10 | 560:8,12 561:2 | 478:23 479:3 | WW. 9 658:13 |
| 444:18 450:5 | 377:18,24 | 563:10 568:7 | 493:15 532:20 |  |
| 530:16 536:16 | 382:1 385:23 | 575:9,12,15,21 | 547:24 570:16 | X |
| 671:9 672:15 | 394:18 398:3 | 576:2,18 577:6 | 571:5 594:15 | X 393:23 445:10 |
| wind 369:7,13 | 403:6,21 404:5 | 577:11,14 | 622:8,19 |  |
| 369:13 380:23 | 404:23 407:11 | 578:20 579:1,9 | 625:22 626:1 | Y |
| 380:24 381:3 | 408:2,10,12,18 | 579:12,22 | 635:15 648:8 | Y 367:20 |
| 381:19 382:2,7 | 408:19 409:2 | 581:22 582:15 | 671:13 672:24 | yard 465:19 |
| 382:13,15 | 410:7 438:20 | 582:25 583:7 | 673:7 | yeah 379:19 |
| 388:25 405:18 | 438:24 439:4 | 583:10,15,23 | worked 505:25 | 389:4 391:5 |
| 405:20 410:12 | 439:12,17 | 584:14 586:21 | working 467:10 | 393:13 394:20 |
| 410:14 424:19 | 440:1,4,7 | 587:14 588:22 | 532:24 546:22 | 394:20 395:25 |
| 445:20,22 | 441:6,12,17 | 590:8,19 | 592:8 675:20 | 397:9 399:4 |
| 456:11,13 | 442:11,17,20 | 594:24 611:11 | works 491:23 | 402:7,12 |
| 459:21,23 | 443:7,10,18 | 613:3,4,7,24 | 563:11 573:20 | 403:24 404:18 |
| 505:10 507:1,3 | 444:6,8,16,20 | 614:3 615:18 | worry 673:12 | 440:3 470:5 |
| 512:7,9 522:16 | 445:12,16 | 641:25 643:3,7 | worth 524:6 | $473: 25 ~ 475: 14$ $477.3535: 9$ |
| 523:6 530:13 | 453:24 454:18 | 644:16,24 | 536:10 636:24 | 477:3 535:9 541:17 542.19 |
| 531:1,6 537:3 | 455:14 456:1 | 645:11,22 | 670:14 | 541:17 542:19 |
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| 573:4 574:1 | 377:14 397:19 | $1.1383: 12$ | 119 560:25 | 448:2,3,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 578:14 579:22 | 406:9,11 408:7 | 1.5 630:1,8,17 | 561:1,8,12 | 453:19 681:11 |
| 590:8,12,22 | 408:15,20 | 1:00 510:7 | 681:7 | 131 448:2,4,6 |
| 593:22 624:24 | 457:22 458:3 | 10 415:7 426:11 | 11th 450:19 | 453:19 681:12 |
| year 383:12 | 458:18,23 | 521:23 672:6 | 12 367:10 | 137 394:22 |
| 384:15 398:12 | 459:8,16 489:3 | 10,000 465:18 | 419:19 420:24 | 138 395:16 |
| 399:19 400:3,7 | 509:3,25 | 10,000.00 | 420:25 421:4,6 | 14 409:25 415:7 |
| 400:13,14,18 | 510:13,18 | 502:13 | 421:6 424:14 | 421:3,8 427:3 |
| 400:19,23,23 | 511:1,14,21 | 100 375:4 428:4 | 424:25 425:11 | 427:9 472:12 |
| 440:23 445:11 | 543:2,9,15,23 | 428:9 484:15 | 430:4 437:2 | 475:3,10 493:6 |
| 450:19 469:25 | 544:1,8,14,19 | 524:7 593:12 | 519:4 534:17 | 523:21 528:17 |
| 601:3 604:4 | 552:21 553:1 | 593:24 627:2 | 634:3 642:20 | 536:21 574:18 |
| 618:22,24 | 557:9,12,15 | 631:6 | 642:23,23 | 589:25 606:23 |
| 619:6,11,15 | 559:9,12 560:4 | $101588: 13,15$ | 665:6,7 672:6 | 619:25 630:25 |
| 620:2,5,7,11 | 560:9,15 561:3 | 588:17 681:3 | 12.8 626:21,24 | 675:19 |
| 620:16 626:25 | 561:11 573:18 | 102 588:13,15 | 120 676:20 | 14-page 386:1 |
| 627:10 628:9 | 579:6 583:18 | 588:17 681:4 | 1200 573:1 | 140 492:2 |
| 629:1,6,7 | 584:17 585:15 | $103593: 12$ | 121 544:3,5,15 | 145 491:15 |
| 641:6,20 | 586:9 587:3 | 104593:13 | 681:8 | 14th 644:19 |
| 662:14 673:4 | 613:13 642:18 | 105 593:24 | 122 544:4,5,15 | 15 384:8,10 |
| years 373:25 | 678:7,11 679:3 | 108 458:12,16 | 681:8 | 427:9 431:4 |
| 375:25 376:6 | 679:12,15,16 | 459:9 681:4 | 123 573:11,14 | 454:1 475:17 |
| 425:13 426:1,4 | 679:20,22,24 | 109 458:14,16 | 615:8,11,13 | 488:10 564:25 |
| 426:15 430:18 | 679:25 | 459:9 | 681:9 | 575:2 586:24 |
| 430:25 431:22 | zone 593:25 | 109HC/NP | 124 615:8,11,14 | 619:25 622:11 |
| 432:4 442:24 | 594:1,2 595:1 | 681:5 | 681:9 | 622:22 639:21 |
| 444:15,16 | 595:2 | 11 394:23 | 125 644:10,11 | 674:7,22 675:7 |
| 450:13 452:10 | zones 593:25 | 399:17,22 | 646:24 681:10 | 150 432:4 |
| 467:1 528:17 | zoom 580:7 | 401:3 418:25 | 126 394:18 | 155,000 527:5 |
| 574:10 601:12 |  | 419:7,19 | 644:10,11 | 16 419:7 493:6 |
| 616:21 631:14 | 0 | 426:12 431:5 | 646:24 681:10 | 520:5 523:22 |
| 631:19,23,24 | 01 623:6 | 488:9 549:20 | 129 458:20,22 | 564:25 565:4 |
| 639:21 672:13 | 01-HC 551:20 | 549:21 564:5 | 459:11 681:11 | 569:25 591:5 |
| Yep 448:1 | 01HC 552:20 | 634:3 642:13 | 12th 450:19 | 620:19,23 |
| yesterday |  | 642:20 675:19 | 13 395:17 | 641:16 |
| 370:17 371:1 | $\frac{1}{1565: 11.11}$ | 110 376:25 | 409:25 411:7 | 16-page 625:2 |
| 406:21 411:14 | $1565: 11,11$ $575: 11586: 7$ | 417:15 440:17 | 424:25 425:11 | 1600 567:20 |
| 534:19 | 575:11 586:7 | 111 511:8,11,15 | 425:22 426:11 | 161 615:1 |
| yield 591:4,7,14 | 1,000 531:23 | 511:22 681:5 | 433:16 435:14 | 17 413:7 424:15 |
| yields 590:21 | 1,000.00 631:10 | $112511: 10,11$ | 436:25 468:15 | 437:21 470:19 |
| 591:2 674:11 | 631:22 632:9 | 511:15,23 | 468:19 488:10 | 470:23 519:5 |
| 674:15 | 1,053,744.47 | 681:6 | 495:7 534:17 | 540:13 592:17 |
| York 530:4 | $641: 21$ $\mathbf{1} 391$ | 113 410:1,3,5 | 553:8 564:9,24 | 617:7 674:4 |
| your's 672:3 | 1,391.941.60 | 681:6 | 589:24 590:9 | 172 396:25 |
|  |  | 114 410:1,3,5 | 606:20,23 | 175,217.25 |
| Z | 1,527,294.81 | 114HC/NP | 666:22 674:4,7 | 641:21 |
| Zobrist 368:3 | 641 | 681:7 | 130 447:24 | 18 413:25 |
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| 470:23 520:23 | 604:5 | 548:1,2 596:9 | 596:3 681:15 | 454 679:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 523:22 591:6 | 2014 379:15,22 | 596:11 | 371 679:3 | 456 679:10 |
| 592:18 605:2 | 379:23 380:1 | 250 564:14 | 372 624:19,20 | 681:20,20 |
| 617:7 626:14 | 397:10,17 | 26 399:1,6 | 624:22,23 | 458 679:12 |
| 652:15 | 398:12,13 | 265 583:17 | 625:20 626:3 | 459 681:4,4,5,5 |
| 18,000.00 671:7 | 406:23 483:24 | 584:12 | 681:15 | 681:11,11 |
| 19 384:8,10 | 563:12 565:19 | 27 575:14 | 374 681:14,14 | 460 679:12 |
| 437:2 532:11 | 566:8 570:1 | 605:24 | 38 475:3,6,12,17 | 462 679:13 |
| 591:18 615:1 | 583:8 584:4 | 28 676:9 | 475:21,24,25 | 681:13,13 |
| 626:14 | 604:12 629:22 | 29 474:16 | 476:5 | 466 679:13 |
| 196 596:23 | 638:1 656:25 |  | 384 681:15,15 | 475 442:25 |
| 1973 649:22 | 659:2 661:7 | 3 | 39 428:17,19 | 479 679:14 |
| 1977 609:10,11 | 662:15 665:23 | 3604:18 | 439:5 475:22 | 681:17,17 |
| 1979 609:13 | 2015 371:18 | 3,000 472:25 | 493:3 | 48 648:7 |
| 1980s 631:10 | 380:8 608:18 | 482:12 |  | 49 584:12 |
| 632:10 | 640:11 641:2,6 | 3,000.00 393:23 | 4 | 496 495:7 |
| 1985 631:13,17 | 641:10,13,16 | 3.0 476:25 | $4593: 13$ | 498 495:7 |
| 1990 608:17 | 641:16,19 | 3.5 475:19 | 4-7 583:13,15 |  |
| 1st 371:18 | 2016 380:14 | 30 461:4 | 4,000 482:20 | 5 |
| 618:21 619:6 | 383:2 566:25 | 300 492:23 | 4.2 583:1,3 | 5 573:13 |
| 619:21 | 640:11 641:3,7 | 31 590:9 | 4.2.1 583:10,21 | 5,000.00 670:12 |
|  | 641:11,13,17 | 32 402:8 521:20 | 40 450:13 611:2 | 5.4633:17 |
| 2 | 641:21 | 521:24 590:13 | 676:9,24 | 5:00 678:17 |
| 2 419:19 431:16 | 2017 367:7 | 590:17,18,20 | 406 679:3 | 50 398:25 399:6 |
| 596:24 597:4 | 370:3 682:11 | 619:4 631:14 | 407 477:17,19 | 611:5 |
| 604:17 | 205 461:18,20 | 631:19 | 479:15,20 | 500 472:24 |
| 2(a) 451:25 | 462:13,14 | 32.00 382:8,13 | 681:17 | 482:12,23 |
| 2.25 676:22 | 465:13 466:12 | 33 402:8 631:22 | 408 551:7,8 | 483:6,13 484:8 |
| 677:1 | 681:13 | 34 586:3 | 557:10 559:14 | 484:19 505:2,4 |
| 2.9 476:25 | 206 579:5 | 345 367:17 | 681:17 | 508:1,4 509:16 |
| 20 425:13 | 611:24 | 502:11 636:6 | 409 551:7,8,18 | 516:1 |
| 444:15,16 | 20th 425:24 | 649:24 671:4 | 552:11 557:10 | 500,000 630:5 |
| 452:10 492:2 | 21 367:7 370:3 | 350 492:11,25 | 557:12 679:5 | 507 679:14 |
| 532:11 548:1,2 | 22 388:1,7 | 3500 482:17,20 | 681:6,7 | 509 679:15 |
| 662:17 674:22 | 406:12 419:19 | 563:1 | 409HC 681:18 | 511 679:16 |
| 675:7 | 460:11 474:16 | 356 406:23 | 410 595:8,9 | 681:5,6 |
| 200 474:16 | 569:21 619:9 | 407:6 | 601:20 681:6,7 | 512 679:17 |
| 492:11,23,25 | 619:13 663:11 | 359 371:23,24 | 681:18 | 681:5,6 |
| 523:23 524:6 | 229.100 407:15 | 374:15 681:14 | 411 679:6 | 515 679:17 |
| 574:25 | 668:9 | 36 461:5 577:10 | 416 679:6 | 540 679:18,18 |
| 200,000 527:11 | 22st 682:10 | 577:19,24 | 420 572:14 | 540,766.11 |
| 2001 467:4 | 23 401:4 644:25 | 578:1,3,14 | 446 679:7 | 641:13 |
| 2007 467:4 | 645:20 | 582:14 583:12 | 447 679:7 | 543 679:20 |
| 2010 531:24 | 24 398:25 564:2 | 583:24 584:3,5 | 45 676:9 | 544 681:8,8,8,8 |
| 2011 531:24 | 572:7,11 | 584:6 | 4500 670:12 | 545 679:21 |
| 2013 475:18 | 591:17 | 360 382:22,23 | 453 681:11,11 | 55.00 382:7,10 |
| 517:17 529:3 | 25 382:18 383:7 | 382:24 384:2 | 681:12,12 | 382:12,12 |
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| 550 427:13 | 69 615:2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 551,971.50 | 692.340.42 |
| 641:13 | 641:11 |
| $554553: 8$ $556533: 8$ | 7 |
| 557 679:21 | 7545:15 |
| 681:17,17,18 | 70440:15 |
| 681:18 | $700484: 17$ |
| 559 679:22 | 720 625:7 |
| 56 559:16 | 720,000 625:8 |
| 560 679:24 | 625:24 |
| $561681: 7,7$ | 720,000.00 |
| 562 679:24 | 620:1,15 |
| 56R-08 552:2 | 622:10,21 |
| 56R-8 478:3 | 624:10 625:14 |
| 57 609:10 | 780 427:24 |
| 570 427:16 | 8 |
| 438:24 585679.25 | 8545:16 |
| 585 679:25 $587680: 1$ | 8:30 678:15 |
| $587680: 1$ $588681: 3,3,4,4$ | 80 564:15 |
| $589680: 2$ | 676:19 |
|  | 800 455:12,13 |
| 6 | 456:1 484:17 |
| 6,000.00 631:6 | 572:12 681:20 |
| 600 484:14 | 825 526:21 |
| 601 681:18 | 86 375:3 |
| 602 680:2 | 898.190.13 |
|  | 641:18 |
| 608 680:3 | 9 |
| 614 680:5 | 9475:3 476:6 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{6 1 5} 681: 9,9,9,9 \\ \mathbf{6 1 6} 680: 5 \end{array}$ | 9475:3 $90460: 16$ |
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