MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

DATE:

August 16, 1999

RE:

Authorization to File Proposed Rules With the Office of Secretary of

State

CASE NOS:

AX-2000-108 through AX-2000-131

AGENDA DATE:

August 17, 1999

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file Proposed Rules with the Office of Secretary of State, as listed on the attached sheet.

Sheila Lumpe, Chair

Harold Crumpton, Commissioner

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert Schemenauer, Commissioner

M. Dianne Drainer, Vice Chair

Proposed Rules:

- Rule: 4 CSR 240-2.010 Definitions
 - 4 CSR 240-2.015 Waiver of Rules
 - 4 CSR 240-2.040 Practice Before the Commission
 - 4 CSR 240-2.050 Computation of Effective Dates
 - 4 CSR 240-2.060 Applications
 - 4 CSR 240-2.065 Tariff Filings Which Create Cases
 - 4 CSR 240-2.070 Complaints
 - 4 CSR 240-2.075 Intervention
 - 4 CSR 240-2.080 Pleadings, Filing, and Service
 - 4 CSR 240-2.085 Protective Orders
 - 4 CSR 240-2.090 Discovery and Prehearings
 - 4 CSR 240-2.100 Subpoenas
 - 4 CSR 240-2.110 Hearings
 - 4 CSR 240-2.115 Nonunanimous Stipulations and Agreements
 - 4 CSR 240-2.116 Dismissal
 - 4 CSR 240-2.120 Presiding Officers
 - 4 CSR 240-2.125 Procedures for Alternative Dispute Resolution
 - 4 CSR 240-2.130 Evidence
 - 4 CSR 240-2.140 Briefs and Oral Argument
 - 4 CSR 240-2.150 Decisions of the Commission
 - 4 CSR 240-2.160 Rehearings or Reconsideration
 - 4 CSR 240-2.180 Rulemaking
 - 4 CSR 240-2.200 Small Company Rate Increase Procedure

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

REBECCA MCDOWELL COOK Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL AUG 24 1009 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

	iskette File Name 2.065 proposed rule ame of Person to call with questions about	t this rule:		
	ontext Bill Hopkins Phor			
	ata Entry Lonnell Boyce Phor			
		., 301 W. High St., Room 530, Jefferson City, MO		
	tatutory Provision for Rulemaking			
A	uthority <u>§ 386.410</u> F	rovide Most Current RSMo Year 1998		
D	Date Filed With the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Exempt per Sections 536.024 and			
	36.037, RSMo Supp. 1998, and Executive Order N			
C		ORMS, List by Mo-Form Number, # of Pages		
	Cover Letter			
	✓ Affidavit			
	Cost Statements			
	Public Entity Fiscal Note	OTHER Takings Analysis		
	Private Entity Fiscal Note	Small Business Impact Analysis		
 R	THE ENAMERIC ACCION TO DE TAMES	т		
K	RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN Emergency Rulemaking, Must Specify Effective Date			
	Proposed Rulemaking (New Rule)	•		
	Order of Rulemaking (MUST complete page 2 of this transmittal)			
	Withdrawal (Rule, Amendment, Rescission or Emergency)			
	Rule Action Notice			
	Dula Action Nation			
	Rule Action Notice In Addition			
	In Addition	e indicate any special instructions (e.g., specify		

E.	ORDER OF	RULEMAKING: Rule Number	(Not Applicable)
	1a.	Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days	or later specific date
	1 b .	Does the Order of Rulemaking of YES	contain changes to the rule text? NO
	lc.	If the answer is YES, please con	nplete section F. If the answer is NO, Stop here.

F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order or rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer language that appears at the bottom of this page.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fox Number)

573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/

August 24, 1999

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Acting Executive Director
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

RECEIVED

AUG 24 1999

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION



Honorable Rebecca McDowell Cook Secretary of State 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

ATTENTION: Administrative Rules Division

I do hereby certify that the attached are accurate and complete copies of the Proposed Rules lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission for filing this 24th day of August, 1999, and that a takings analysis and small business impact analysis have occurred.

Rule: 4 CSR 240-2.010 – Definitions

4 CSR 240-2.015 - Waiver of Rules

4 CSR 240-2.040 - Practice Before the Commission

4 CSR 240-2.050 - Computation of Effective Dates

4 CSR 240-2.060 - Applications

4 CSR 240-2.065 - Tariff Filings Which Create Cases

4 CSR 240-2.070 - Complaints

4 CSR 240-2.075 - Intervention

4 CSR 240-2.080 – Pleadings, Filing, and Service

4 CSR 240-2.085 - Protective Orders

4 CSR 240-2.090 - Discovery and Prehearings

4 CSR 240-2.100 - Subpoenas

4 CSR 240-2.110 - Hearings

4 CSR 240-2.115 - Nonunanimous Stipulations and Agreements

4 CSR 240-2.116 - Dismissal

4 CSR 240-2.120 - Presiding Officers

4 CSR 240-2.125 - Procedures for Alternative Dispute Resolution

4 CSR 240-2.130 - Evidence

4 CSR 240-2.140 - Briefs and Oral Argument

4 CSR 240-2.150 - Decisions of the Commission

4 CSR 240-2.160 - Rehearings or Reconsideration

4 CSR 240-2.180 - Rulemaking

4 CSR 240-2.200 - Small Company Rate Increase Procedure

Honorable Rebecca McDowell Cook Page two August 24,1999

Statutory authority: 386.410, RSMo Supp. 1998

Missouri Public Service Commission Case Nos.: AX-2000-108 through AX-2000-131

If there are any questions, please contact: (These rules are assigned to several regulatory law judges. Please refer to the transmittal form for the name, phone number and fax number of the regulatory law judge assigned to a particular rule.)

BY THE COMMISSION

Hole Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DHR:BH:jp

Enclosures: Packets for 23 Proposed Rules

+ Proposed Rules in Word 97 format on 3-1/2" diskette

AFFIDAVIT

RECEIVED

STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

I, Joseph L. Driskill, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-2.065 Tariff Filings Which Create Cases is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Joseph L Driski

DIRECTOR

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this Lid day of <u>August</u>

1999. I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of <u>Inle</u>

State of Missouri, and my commission expires on <u>April La 2003</u>

LAURA L HOSKINS
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MESOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. APR. 26 2013

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 2—Practice and Procedure RECEIVED

PROPOSED RULE

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

4 CSR 240-2.065 Tariff Filings Which Create Cases

PURPOSE: This rule establishes when a case shall be opened for a tariff.



- (1) When a public utility submits a tariff which constitutes a general rate increase request, the commission shall establish a case file for the tariff. The tariff and all pleadings, orders, briefs, and correspondence regarding the tariff shall be filed in the case file established for the tariff. The tariff submitted shall be in compliance with the provisions of the rules relating to the separate utilities. A tariff filed which proposes a general rate increase request shall also comply with the minimum filing requirements of these rules for general rate increase requests. Any public utility which submits a general rate increase request shall simultaneously submit its direct testimony with the tariff.
- (2) When a public utility submits a tariff for commission approval but requests the tariff become effective in fewer than thirty (30) days, the commission shall establish a case file for the tariff. In addition, the public utility shall file a Motion for Expedited Treatment and comply with the expedited treatment portion of these rules. The tariff and all pleadings, orders, briefs, and correspondence shall be filed in the case file established for the tariff.
- (3) When a pleading, which objects to a tariff or requests the suspension of a tariff, is filed, the commission shall establish a case file for the tariff and shall file the tariff and pleading in that case file. All subsequent pleadings, orders, briefs, and correspondence concerning the tariff shall be filed in the case file established for the tariff. Any pleading to suspend a tariff shall attach a copy of the tariff and include a certificate of service to confirm that the party who submitted the tariff has been served with the pleading.
- (4) A case will not be established to consider tariff sheets submitted by a regulated utility which do not meet the circumstances of sections (1)--(3) of this rule, except that a case shall be established when tariff sheets are suspended by the commission on its own motion or, when suspended, upon the recommendation of staff.
- (5) When a public utility extends the effective date of a tariff, it shall file one (1) original, and eight (8) copies of a letter extending the tariff effective date in the official case file. Notwithstanding any other provision of these rules, this letter may be filed by a non-attorney.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo Supp. 1998.* Original rule filed March 10, 1995, effective Nov. 30, 1995. Rescinded and readopted: Filed August 24, 1999.

* Original authority 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.

PUBLIC ENTITY COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE ENTITY COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments shall be filed on or before Nov. 1, 1999. Comments should refer to Case No. AX-2000-113 and be filed with an original and fourteen (14) copies. No public hearing is scheduled.



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/ GORDON L. PERSINGER
Acting Executive Director
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

August 18, 1999

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: Proposed Rescission of Rule 4 CSR 240-2.065 and Adoption of Proposed New Rule 4 CSR

240-2.065

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 93-13 requires state agencies to undertake a "takings analysis" of each proposed rule or regulation in light of the United States Supreme Court decision in Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council, 112 S. Ct. 2886 (1992). Pursuant to that order, I have undertaken a "takings analysis" of the above-referenced proposed rulemaking. In Lucas, the Court held that state regulation depriving an owner of real property of all economically beneficial use of that property constitutes a "taking" under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, for which the property owner must be compensated. The Court also held that when state regulations compel a property owner to suffer a permanent physical invasion of his/her property, such an invasion is compensable.

The proposed new rule establishes when a case shall be opened for a tariff.

Replacing the existing rule with the proposed new rule does not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because the rule does not involve the taking of real property.

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Page two August 18, 1999

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Very truly yours,

Bill Hopkins Senior Regulatory Law Judge

BH:jp



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/ GORDON L. PERSINGER Acting Executive Director Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

August 18, 1999

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE:

Proposed Rescission of Existing Rule 4 CSR 240-2.065 and Adoption of Proposed New Rule

4 CSR 240-2.065

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 96-18 requires state agencies to determine whether a proposed rulemaking will have direct economic impact on small businesses of five hundred dollars or more in the aggregate. A small business is defined in the Executive Order as an independently owned and operated business entity that employs fifty or fewer full-time employees.

Replacing the existing rule with the proposed new rule will not have a direct economic impact on small businesses of five hundred dollars or more in the aggregate.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Very truly yours,

Bill Hopkins

Senior Regulatory Law Judge