*Administrative Rules Stamp

MATT BLUNT Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL

R	ECI	ΕIV	ED		
S	ΕP	29	2003		
SE(RET	ARY	OF ST	ATE	
ΙŒΑ	NINIS	AHE	HAEL	0220	

A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet must be used for EACH individual rulemaking.

٨	Rule Number 4 CSR 240-3.180						
A.	Rule Number 4 CSR 240-3.180 Diskette File Name Final Amend 3.180 Word 2000						
	Name of Person to call with questions about this rule:	-					
	Content Tim Schwarz Phone 573-751-5239 FAX 573-751-9285	5					
	Data Entry Susan Sundermeyer Phone 573-751-4335 FAX Same as above						
	Email Address timschwarz@psc.state.mo.us	_					
	Interagency Mailing Address Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., 8th Floor, Jefferson City, MC)					
	Statutory Authority 386.250(6) Current RSMo date 2000	•					
	Date Filed With the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Exempt per Sections 536.024 and	_					
	536.037, RSMo 2000, and Executive Order No. 97-97 (June 27, 1997)						
		_					
B.	CHECK, IF INCLUDED:						
	This transmittal completed Incorporation by reference materials, if any						
	Cover letter Authority with history of the rule						
	Affidavit Public cost						
	Forms, number of pages Private cost						
	Fiscal notes Hearing and comment period						
\sim	RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN						
С.	Emergency Rulemaking, (check one) rule amendment rescission termination	1					
MUST include effective date							
	Proposed Rulemaking (check one)						
	Order of Rulemaking (check one rule amendment rescission termination						
	MUST complete page 2 of this transmittal						
☐ Withdrawal (check one) ☐ rule ☐ amendment ☐ rescission ☐ emergency							
	Rule action notice						
	In addition Rule under consideration						
	Rule under consideration	_					
D.	SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate any special instructions (e.g., publication date preference	e.					
- .	identify material to be incorporated by reference, or forms included herein).	-					
	JCAR Stamp						
	JOME Stamp						

RULE TRANSMITTAL (PAGE 2)

E. (ORDER OF	RULEMAKING: Ri	ule Number	4 CSR 240-3.180	
	1a.	Effective Date for the Statutory 30 of Specific date _De	days	003	
	1b.	Does the Order of Ru XES	lemaking cont	tain changes to the rule text? NO	
	1c.	If the answer is YES,	please comple	ete section F. If the answer is NO, STOP here.	
F.	the specific especially in This is not a	section, subsection, par mportant to identify the	ragraph, subpa e parts of the r er, but an exp	n the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating aragraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking planation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been ed.	is g
text. DO Section (Subsection (A)	NOT delete the (1) - wording from (A) - formal How many cure. 1. Of those degrees the How many cure.	the header, however.) change from: "and known natting and word change for ustomers were disconnect disconnected, how many of disconnected during the po- ustomers were:	n not to be" to: from: ted at the end o customers had s eriod, how man		
Subsecti	2. Of those d3. Of those d		customers had s	service discontinued for non-payment during the period; by customers were restored to service during the period.	
		y customers received ene AP;	rgy assistance ((pledged or paid) from:	
to:	a. LIHEA b. ECIP;			[pledged or paid) from:	
Paragrap	ph (C)2 wordir	ng and format change fro ch energy assistance was p AP; mer;	m:		
to:	a. LIHEAb. ECIP;c. Other s	sources known to the util			
Paragrap	d. Custon ph (G)3 wordin 3. Other assi	ing change from:			

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.

Add additional sheet(s), if more space is needed.

to:

3. Other assistance known to the utility.
Paragraph (H)3 wording change from:
3. Others.

to:

3. Others known to the utility.



Commissioners

KELVIN L. SIMMONS Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

STEVE GAW

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Public Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

September 29, 2003

Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

RE:

4 CSR 240-3.180 - Submission of Electric Utility Residential Heat-Related Service Cold

Weather Report

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the order of rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission on this 29th day of September, 2003.

Statutory Authority: section 386.250.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this order of rulemaking, please contact:

Tim Schwarz 200 Madison Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone (573) 751-5239

Email: timschwarz@psc.state.mo.us

Sincerely yours

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission

Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

SEP 2 9 2003

Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 3 – Filing and Reporting Practices

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.250 and 393.140, RSMo 2000, the Public Service Commission amends a rule as follows:

4 CSR 240-3.180 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on June 2, 2003 (28 MoReg 1024-1027). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*. The first reports using the amended filing requirements will be due April 30, 2004, for the month ending March 31, 2004.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: A public hearing on this proposed rule was held July 9, 2003, and the written public comment period ended July 3, 2003. At the public hearing, the Staff of the Public Service Commission of Missouri, testified in favor of the proposed amendment through Gay Fred, Tom Imhoff, Bob Berlin, and Tim Schwarz. John Coffman, the Public Counsel, provided written and oral comments; Mid-Missouri Counties Human Development Corporation appeared through Ivan Eames; and Kansas City Power & Light Company submitted written comments and appeared through Jim Fischer. The Commission Staff, Public Counsel, Mid-Missouri Human Development Corporation, and AmerenUE generally supported the proposed amendment. No changes have been made to the amendment as a result of the general, favorable comments of these groups.

COMMENT: KCPL comments in several places that it will incur costs to implement the amended rule, totaling \$24,000.

RESPONSE: The commission notes that KCPL's projected costs are small, relative to its revenues; that utilities make frequent changes to information systems, the costs of which are included in rate cases; and that the information sought by the amended rule should be useful to all stakeholders in addressing the problems of low income customers.

COMMENT: All parties commented that "and known not to be receiving energy assistance" in subsection (1) was unclear.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The Commission will reword the phrase to read "and not known to be receiving energy assistance". The Commission further notes that "disconnected" means a customer who is not currently receiving service, while "discontinued" means a customer whose service was disconnected for nonpayment of a bill. The Commission will further clarify subdivision (A) by rewriting it as follows, where subdivision 1 asks for the total number of customers without service:

(A) How many customers were:

1. Disconnected at the end of the period;

- 2. Of those disconnected, how many customers had service discontinued for non-payment during the period;
- 3. Of those discontinued during the period, how many customers were restored to service during the period.

COMMENT: KCPL noted that the statistics reported under the rule might be misinterpreted. RESPONSE: The commission will note the limitations of the data, and differences between utilities, when releasing the reported information.

COMMENT: With respect to subdivision (C) 1 and 2, KCPL noted that it might not know all of the sources of funds available to customers; and that use of the terms "pledged or paid" might lead to double counting of assistance.

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The commission asks only for reporting of assistance known to the utility. Other sources would include the Salvation Army, civic organizations, and the like. The commission indicates that it does not seek or want double counting of assistance by use of the word "or" between "pledged" and "paid".

To further clarify subdivision 2., the Commission will reorder the list as follows:

- (C) 2. How much energy assistance was provided by;
 - a. LIHEAP:
 - b. ECIP;
 - c. Other sources known to the utility;
 - d. Customer.

COMMENT: KCPL notes that subdivision (E) seeks different information than the current rule. RESPONSE: KCPL is correct. The commission believes that knowing the amount owed by payment troubled customers may help stakeholders in the formulation of policy to address the needs of low income customers.

COMMENT: KCPL questioned the meaning of "Other assistance" in subdivision (G) 3 and (H) 3

RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE: The term "other assistance" means assistance customers received that is known to the utility. To further clarify, the commission will add the phrase "known to the utility" to the phrases.

COMMENT: KCPL notes that it may not have the exact information sought by subdivision (J). RESPONSE: The commission is asking for the number of customers who apply for service or restoration of service, where the amount offered by the customer, including assistance, is insufficient to obtain service. The commission does not ask for information that the utility does not have.

Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 3 – Filing and Reporting Practices

AMENDED RULE

4 CSR 240-3.180 Submission of Electric Utility Residential Heat-Related Service Cold Weather Report.

- (1) Each utility providing heat-related utility service shall submit a report to the consumer services department of the commission for each calendar month no later than the twentieth day of the following month. The utility shall provide a copy of each report to the Office of the Public Counsel. The utility shall report for each operational district into which the utility has divided its Missouri service territory the number of days it was permitted to discontinue service under 4 CSR 240-13.055, and the utility shall separately report on the information listed below for customers receiving energy assistance and customers who are affected by 4 CSR 240-13.055 and not known to be receiving energy assistance. All information submitted shall be considered public information; however, no customer-specific information shall be reported or made public. Utilities providing both electric and gas service shall report the information separately for their gas-only territory:
 - (A) How many customers were:
 - 1. Disconnected at the end of the period;
- 2. Of those disconnected, how many customers had service discontinued for non-payment during the period;
- 3. Of those discontinued during the period, how many customers were restored to service during the period.
 - (B) Of customers reported as disconnected at the end of the period:
 - 1. How many had broken a Cold Weather Rule pay agreement;
 - 2. How many had broken a non-Cold Weather Rule pay agreement;
 - 3. How many had not been on a pay agreement.
 - (C) Of those customers reconnected during the period:
 - 1. How many customers received energy assistance (pledged or paid) from:
 - a. LIHEAP
 - b. ECIP
 - c. Other sources known to the utility
 - 2. How much energy assistance was provided by:
 - a. LIHEAP;
 - b. ECIP;
 - c. Other sources known to the utility;
 - d. Customer.
 - (D) Of customers restored to service during the period:

- 1. How many were put on a Cold Weather Rule pay agreement;
- 2. How many were put on a non-Cold Weather Rule pay agreement.
- (E) How much was owed by those disconnected at the end of the period:
 - 1. How much was owed by those disconnected during the period;
 - 2. How much was owed by those reconnected during the period.
- (F) How many customers were registered under 4 CSR 240-13.055(1)(D) at the end of the period:
 - 1. How many customers registered during the period;
 - 2. How many of such registered customers had service discontinued during the period.
 - (G) For how many customers during the period did the utility receive:
 - 1. LIHEAP;
 - 2. ECIP;
 - 3. Other assistance known to the utility.
 - (H) How much cash did the utility receive on behalf of customers during the period from:
 - 1. LIHEAP;
 - 2. ECIP;
 - 3. Others known to the utility.
- (I) How many customers who requested reconnection under terms of this rule were refused service pursuant to section 4 CSR 240-13.055 (9).
- (J) How many customers received energy assistance insufficient in amount to retain or restore service.
- (K) The number of customers who agreed to pay for their heat-related utility service under a payment agreement in accordance with 4 CSR 240-13.055.