BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOSPELL STATE SHOWEN TO the anners is that it tobingly its the contraction of the confidence for Africa was the fire a first of the few backbareds. the state of the security around the confidence

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

The same that brothers

L wish ifi Subidic itsis

THE BUT OF ANY CAP BRIDE

A THE THIRD WAS COMMITTED THE

CASE NO. ER-80-143

In the matter of the Empire District for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the company.

The transfer of a site of the or often When the matters of agreement between the parties appear to be reasonable and proper and uncontradicted they should be accepted as an end to any expense of further litigation. 小海岸 人名比尔 安美姓氏 化红色

Robert L. Hawkins, Jr., Attorney at Law, James C. Swearengen, and W. R. England, III, Attorney at Law, Hawkins, Brydon and Swearengen, P.C., 312 East Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Applicant, the Empire District APPEARANCES: Electric Company.

> Daniel S. Ochstein, Assistant Public Counsel, P. O. Box 1216. Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.

Steven Dottheim, Assistant General Counsel, Holly E. Peck, Assistant General Counsel, Treva J. Hearne, Assistant General Counsel, and William C. Harrelson, Assistant General Counsel, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

REPORT AND ORDER

This case is before the Commission as a result of the filing, on November 9, 1979, by the Empire District Electric Company (Company) of revised tariffs designed to increase rates to its Missouri customers by approximately \$16,100,000 annually.

The tariffs were suspended, and after due notice to interested parties, the matter was set for hearing in the Commission's hearing room in Jefferson City, Missouri.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

As a result of the prehearing conference, the parties announced that a Stipulation in settlement of all issues in the case had been reached and tendered the agreement to the Commission for its consideration.

The Stipulation and Agrospont Grand Into Persons the partition offered in this matter as Exhibit 8, sats forth the pertions Tours and Department of the procedural background of this case and is hereinafter set forth in its matter.

the following the said the following the following the following

"Company") on November 5 1978 submitted to the Missing! The Service Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") review Service Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") review Service rate schedules designed to increase the Commission (priedictional electric revenues approximately \$15,100;000 annually not including franchise and gross receipts taxes. The Company gave the revised schedules an effective date of December 10, 1979, The Cummission accepted the revised schedules for filing on November 14, 1978.

On November 27, 1979, the Commission suspended the revised schedules for 120 days beyond pecember 10, 1979, to April 8, 1988 and ordered the Company to file its prepared testimony, exhibits, and minimum filing requirements.

On December 12, 1979 the Office of the Public Counsel filed a Motion to Set Filing Dates for Prepared Testimony and Exhibits and therein requested the Commission to establish filing dates for prepared testimony and exhibits in such a manner as to allow the Staff of the Public Counsel thirty days to review the Commission Staff's prepared testimony and exhibits prior to the date that the Public Counsel is required to file prepared testimony and exhibits. On December 15, 1979, the Office of the General Counsel to the Commission filed a response to Public Counsel's Motion to Set Filing Dates For Prepared Testimony and Exhibits stating therein that the Public Counsel's filing date should be no more than fourteen days subsequent to the filing of the Commission Staff's prepared testimony and exhibits.

On January 7, 1980"the Public Counsel" served a data request upon the company.

On January 17, 1980, the Company requested an extension of the filing deadline for its prepared testisony and exhibite, not including its depreciation study, from January 28, 1980, to rebruary 11, 1980. The Company requested that the filing deadline for the depreciation study that it was gausing to be prepared in this proceeding be extended from January 28, 1980 to March 10, 1980.

On January 21, 1980, the Commission further suspended the revised schedules for an additional period of six (6) months from April 8, 1980 to October 8, 1980. The Commission also set February 20, 1980, as the date by which any proper party desiring to intervene and participate herein should file its application to intervene; March 4, 1980 as the date by which the Company was to file and serve its prepared testimony and exhibits upon any intervenor; June 6, 1980 as the date by which the Commission Staff (hereinafter the "Btaff") was to file and serve its prepared testimony and exhibits; June 13, 1980 as the date by which each intervenor and Public Counsel was to file and serve their prepared testimony and exhibits; July 7, 1980 as the date for the prehearing conference to begin; and July 14, 1980 as the date for the hearing to begin.

On January 24, 1980, the Commission granted the Company an extension of the time for the filing of (1) its propared testimony and exhibits, not including that in contaction with its depreciation study, from January 28, 1980 to February 11, 1980 and (2) its prepared testimony and exhibits in connection with its depreciation study from January 28, 1980 to March 10, 1980.

On Pebruary 4, 1980, the Public County 1981 a count data request upon the Company.

On Pebruary 11, 1980; the Company timely Files was record upon all parties of record its prepared testimony and exhibits excluding that in connection with its depreciation study.

On Pebruary 19, 1980; the Public Counsel filed and served interrogatories upon the Company. On March 4, 1980; the Public Counsel filed and served further interrogatories upon the Company.

On March 10, 1980, the Company timely filed and served upon all parties of record its prepared testimony and exhibits increspect to its depreciation study.

On May 22, 1980 the Company filed and served upon all parties of record the last of Company's response to Public Counsel's interrogatories.

On June 3, 1980 the Staff requested an extension of time for the filing of its prepared testimony and exhibits from June 6, 1980 to June 11, 1980. On June 4, 1980 in response to the Staff's Motion For Extension of Time, the Commission granted the Staff an extension of time for the filing of its prepared testimony and exhibits from June 6, 1980 to June 11, 1980;

On June 11, 1980, the Staff timely filed and served upon all parties of record its prepared testimony and exhibits. On June 16, 1980, the Staff filed and served upon all parties of record a 36-page document that included the additional exhibits that Staff intended to reference in the "Fully Operational" issue with regard to Iatan, Unit 1.

On June 23, 1980 the Company filed and served upon all parties of record a request for copies of all of the Staff's work papers in connection with this proceeding.

On June 27, 1980, the Staff filed and served upon alleparties of record Notice Of Taking Depositions And For Subpoens For The Production Of Documents, Subpoens For Witnesses And For The Production Of Documentary Evidence, certain Subpoenss For Witnesses, and authorization to serve such subpoenss. On July 1, 1980 the Staff filed and served upon all parties of record Subpoens For Witnesses And For The Production of Documentary Evidence and certain Subpoenss For Witnesses all with signed Returns of Service.

On July 1, 1980, the Company filed and served upon all parties of record Notice of depositions of witnesses to be read into evidence in this proceeding.

Attached as Appendix I¹ is a copy of the Commission's Report and Order in Case No. ER-80-172, the Company's interim case, which authorized the Company to file revised interim tariffs designed to increase Missouri jurisidational gross annual revenues \$7,023,737 exclusive of applicable gross receipts and franchise taxes, subject to refund together with nine percent (9%) simple interest on an annual basis pending the outcome of the Company's permanent case, which is this proceeding Case No. ER-80-143. The Commission's Report and Order in Case No. ER-80-172 ordered the revised interim tariffs, therein authorized to he filed, to become effective for service rendered on and after May 31, 1980.

¹The Commission's Report and Order in Case No. ER-80-172 is not attached as Appendix to this Order.

Commencing on Suly 7, 1980 and continuing through July 9, 1980 the Staff deposed witnesses need or identified in the Subpoens For Witnesses And For The Production of Documentary Evidence and dertain Subpoense For Witnesses. The Company and the Office of Public Counsel participated in the depositions.

On July 10, 1980, representatives of the Company, Staff go and the Office of Public Counsel oddmented a prehearing conference at the Commission's offices in Defferson City, Missouria, An and result of the prehearing conference, the understand parties to stipulate and agree as follows:

1. That the interim rates approved by the Commission? In Case No. SR-80-172 and designed to increase Missouries jurisdictional gross annual electric revenues by \$7,023,737, exclusive of gross recoipts and franchise taxes; above the permanent tariffs that were effective for service rendered prior to May 31, 1980 be made permanent.

- 2. That these revised permanent tariffs shall become effective for service rendered on and after August 8, 1980.
- 3. That the permanent increase in gross annual revenues of \$7,023,737 shall be distributed to and within the various rate schedules for service rendered on and after August 8, 1980 by applying half the increase on a percentage basis and half on a cents per KWH basis.
- 4. That effective January 1, 1981 the Company shall accrue depreciation expense using the annual depreciation accrual rates set forth in Schedule 3 of Staff witness John O. Richey's prefiled exhibits.
- 5. That the portion of the Company's base rate levels which in Case No. ER-80-103 became effective for bills rendered on and after October 1, 1979 and was (1) attributable to includable (for fuel adjustment clause purposes) fuel cost increases during the period January 1, 1979 through May 31, 1979 and (2) designed to comply with the decision of the Supreme Court of Missouri in State ex rel. Utility Consumers Council of Missouri, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 585 S.W.Zd 41 (Mo. band 1979) was addited by Staff and found to be proper. Therefore, that portion of the Company's base rate levels effective for bills rendered on and after October 1, 1979 which was attributable to includable (for fuel adjustment clause purposes) fuel cost increases during the period January 1, 1979 through May 31, 1979 should not be subject to refund and Case No. ER-80-103 should be dismissed by the Commission.
- 6. That this Stipulation and Agreement represents a negotiated dollar settlement for the sole purpose of disposing of Case No. ER-80-143 and Case No. ER-80-172 and none of the parties to this Stipulation and Agreement shall be prejudiced by or bound by the terms of this Stipulation and Agreement in any future proceeding or in this proceeding, in the event that the Commission does not approve this Stipulation and Agreement in its entirety.
- 7. That none of the parties to this Stipulation and Agreement shall be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in any ratemaking principle or any method of cost of service determination, or cost allocation underlying any of the rates provided for in this Stipulation and Agreement.
- 8. That this Stipulation and Agreement is intentionally silent respecting rate of return and rate base.
- 9. That the Company agrees to install demand meters by November 1, 1980 for the purpose of determining allocations among

jurisdictions in the Company's next rate case. The Company will report to the Commission each month after neventer (1990 as for the coincident peak demand by jurisdictions during the previous month.

- 10. That the prefiled testimony and exhibits sponsored by Company witnesses Richard C. Allen, Robert L. Lemby byron witnesses Richard C. Allen, Robert L. Lemby byron witnesses and in evidence witness the necessity of these witnesses taking the stand. That the prefiled testimony and exhibits sponsored by staff witnesses Roy M. Bolts, Two Russellaw; Trippensee, Wess A. Henderson, Harilyn K. Smart, William J. Cochran, James A. Tracy, John O. Richey, and Kric A. Rostbades passed shall be recieved in evidence without the necessity of these witnesses taking the stand. witnesses taking the stand.
- 11. That the depositions of Paul Stewart, Stanton Preston, For Kenton T. Davidson, Francis S. Nelson, Gerald Burrows, Arnold L. Samuels, Carl Higgs, Edward R. Sonnenberg, James Krumm, and Without Gerald Larson, Robert G. Smith, Michael Wayne Costello, Gary Brickson, and Daniel J. Kramer shall be received in evidence without the necessity of these witnesses taking the stand.
- That in the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation and Agreement the parties waive their rights to cross-examine the witnesses named in the foregoing paragraphs with respect to their testimony and exhibits.
- That in the event the Commission accepts the specific as terms of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties waive their rights to present oral argument and written briefs pursuant to Section 536.010(1), their rights pertaining to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to Section 536.080(3), and their rights to judicial review pursuant to Section 386.510, RSMo 1978.
- That the agreements in this Stipulation and Agreement 14. have resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatory parties and are interdependent. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt this Stipulation and Agreement in total, and in the event the tariffs agreed to herein do not become effective for service rendered on and after August 8, 1980, the parties agree that this Stipulation and Agreement shall be void and no party shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James C. Swearengen Robert L. Hawkins, Jr. James C. Swearengen W. R. England, III Hawkins, Brydon & Swearengen P.C. P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Attorneys for the Empire District Electric Company

/s/ Steven Dottheim Holly E. Peck Treva Hearne William C. Harrelson P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Attorneys for the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff

/s/ Daniel S. Ochstein Assistant Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel P. O. Box 1216 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

The proposed Stipulation makes offertion, on a paragraph hasis. She makes in the amount of \$7,023,737, exclusive of opposite teachers and fraction taxes authorized in the Company's interis size \$3,004.144

The Commission is of the opinion that the proposed stipulation is reasonable and proper and should be accepted as an equitable disposition of the issues presented in this matter.

Conclusions

The Missouri Public Service Commission has estated at the following processing the second sec

- 1. The tariffs which are the subject matter of this proceeding, were suspended pursuant to authority vested in this Commission by virtue of Section 393.150, RSMo 1978, and the burden of proof to show that the proposed increased rates are just and reasonable shall be upon the Company.
- 2. The Commission, after notice and hearing, may order a change in any, rate, charge or rental, and it may determine and prescribe the lawful rate, charge or rental and the lawful regulation or practice affecting such rate, charge or rental thereafter to be observed.
- 3. The Commission may consider all facts which in its judgment have any bearing upon the proper determination of the price to be charged, with due regard, among other things, to a reasonable average return on capital actually expended and to the necessity of making reservations out of income for surplus and contingencies. In so doing, the Commission shall consider the fair value of the property and its proper relationship to all other facts that have a material bearing on the establishment of fair and just rates.

For ratemaking purposes, the Commission may accept a Stipulation and Agreement of any contested matter submitted by the parties. The Commission is of the opinion that when the matters of agreement between the parties appear to be reasonable and proper and uncontradicted they should be accepted as an end to any expense of further litigation.

Since the Stipulation appears to be reasonable and proper it is hereby received in evidence as disposition of all matters herein presented. Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, the Company's evidence which has been marked and offered as Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 are hereby received in evidence. Also pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, found herein to be reasonable, the evidence of the Commission Staff, previously marked and offered as Exhibits 7 through 1; are hereby received in evidence.

Also pursuant to the term of the Stipulation, the de vitnesses Stevert, Pruston, Davidson, Helpon, Darmon, Jamesia, Misses E Kruss, Larson, Smith, Costello, Eristson and Broner Limitified as Building La through 27 are hereby received in evidence.

It is, therefore,

ORDBRED: 1. That the revised tariffe herein suspended be, and they prehereby disallowed and the Empire District Electric Company is authorised to file in lieu thereof, for approval by the Commission, revised tariffs designed to increase rates for electric service, in the Company's Missouri service area, in the amount of \$7,023,737 on an annual basis exclusive of applicable gross receipts and franchise taxes.

ORDERED: 2. That the increase in gross annual revenues, herein authorized, shall be distributed to and within the various rate schedules for service by applying one-half of the increase on a percentage basis and one-half of the increase on a cents per killowatt hour basis and may be effective for service rendered on and after August 8, 1980.

ORDERED: 3. That on and after January 1, 1981, Empire District Electric Company shall accrue depreciation expense using the annual depreciation accrual rates set forth in Schedule 3 of Staff Witness John O. Richey's prefiled testimony received in evidence in this matter as Exhibit 9.

ORDERED: 4. That this Report and Order shall become effective on the 8th day of August, 1980.

BY THE COMMISSION

D. midel Stand D. Michael Hearst

Secretary

(8 E A L)

McCartney, Frams, Dority and Bryant, CC., Concur. Slavin, Chm., Absent.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 29th day of July, 1980.