

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

THERRON NOWLIN,

Petitioner,

vs.

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, doing business as
AMEREN UE,

Respondent.

Case No. EC-2005-0177

AMENDED ANSWER

PEMISCOT-DUNKLIN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE (“COOPERATIVE”) STATES:

1. Cooperative has no information or belief upon the subject sufficient to enable Cooperative to answer the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

2. Cooperative has no information or belief upon the subject sufficient to enable Cooperative to answer the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

3. Cooperative admits Complainant’s business is located directly across the street from an Ameren UE substation, but has no information or belief upon the subject sufficient to enable Cooperative to answer the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

4. Cooperative has no information or belief upon the subject sufficient to enable Cooperative to answer the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

5. Given Ameren UE's statement in Paragraph 7 of its Answer, that Ameren UE does not object to Complainant's request to change electric providers, Cooperative will agree to provide electric service to Petitioner's home if the Commission grants Petitioner's request. Cooperative's written consent to supply electric service to Petitioner and estimated cost of providing service are attached and adopted by reference.

DALTON, TREASURE AND MOWRER

/s/ John Hall Dalton, Jr.

John Hall Dalton, Jr. #34631
203 College
P. O. Box 529
Kennett, Missouri 63857
(573) 888-4631

-Attorneys for Pemiscot-Dunklin Electric
Cooperative-

January 7, 2005

Missouri Public Service Commission
Adjudication Division-Data Center
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 63102-0360

Re: Case No. EC-2005-0177
Therron Nowlin, Petitioner
Union Electric Co. D/b/a/ AmerenUE

Dear Commission:

In response to Order dated December 21, 2004, Therron Nowlin vs. AmerenUE we submit the following:

Pemiscot-Dunklin Electric Cooperative was surprised, yet somewhat apprehensive of Mr. Nowlin's request to become a member. Our first thought was, this could cause unwanted hard relationships between the two electric power suppliers who at the present time have a good working relationship.

Pemiscot-Dunklin Electric Cooperative Rural Line Extensions-Permanent Facility, Single Phase Service, Policy No. 10-5, which is attached, under "G" states that the first 500 feet of overhead line construction will be at no cost to the member. The estimated cost to construct the overhead line would be \$2,923.57, provided Mr. Nowlin could connect to an existing line at no cost that a member had previously paid to have constructed.

Mr. Nowlin had indicated he would prefer the line, after crossing the county road, to be underground. The estimated cost of partial overhead and underground would be \$3,572.49. Mr. Nowlin would be required to pay for all of the underground portion and we estimate Mr. Nowlin's cost would be \$2,181.71, under Policy No. 10-5 (F2), and the Coop's cost to be \$1,390.78.

Mr. Nowlin would also be required to place a \$100.00 deposit, \$20.00 connect fee and the cost of metering, which we estimate to be \$180.00.

January 7, 2005
Page Two

Pemiscot-Dunklin Electric Cooperative strives to provide a reliable source of electrical power and service to all the members and will abide by the decision of the Commission.

Pemiscot-Dunklin Electric Cooperative will accept Therron Nowlin as a member.

If further information is required, please advise.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Charles J. Crawford

Charles J. Crawford

General Manager

CJC:pb
Enclosure