Exhibit No.:

Issues: Updated Weather Normalized

Billing Units

Witness: James R. Pozzo

Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Supplemental Direct Testimony
Case No.: ER-2007-0002

Date Testimony Prepared: September 29, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES R. POZZO

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri September, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	. 1
III.	WEATHER NORMALIZATION STUDY RESULTS	. 2

1		SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2		\mathbf{OF}				
3	JAMES R. POZZO					
4	CASE NO. ER-2007-0002					
5		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
7	A.	James R. Pozzo, Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services"), One				
8	Ameren Plaz	a, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.				
9	Q.	Are you the same James R. Pozzo who previously filed testimony in this				
10	case?					
11	A.	Yes.				
12		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY				
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony in this				
14	proceeding?					
15	A.	The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to explain the				
16	development	of the weather normalized billing units for the customer classes of AmerenUE				
17	and the result	ting weather related revenue adjustment.				
18		My direct testimony in this case covered the test year consisting of the twelve				
19	months endir	ng June 2006, which utilized nine months of actual billing usage for the period				
20	July 2005 thr	rough March 2006 and three months forecasted usage for the period April 2006				
21	through June	2006. This supplemental direct testimony updates the weather normalized				
22	billing units u	using the actual data for April 2006 through June 2006, in accordance with the				
23	Commission'	s Order Adopting Procedural Schedule and Test Year entered in this case.				

1	Q.	Was the weather normalization method used for the actual data for				
2	April 2006 t	hrough June 2006 the same method as described in your original direct				
3	testimony in this case?					
4	A.	Yes, the weather normalization method described in my direct testimony in				
5	this proceeding is the same method I used to update the normalization of April 2006 through					
6	June 2006.					
7		III. WEATHER NORMALIZATION STUDY RESULTS				
8	Q.	What were the results of your updated weather adjustments for the last				
9	three month	s of the test year?				
10	A.	Schedule JRP-E8 shows the updated weather adjustment for the months of				
11	April 2006 th	arough June 2006 is an additional reduction of \$14 million. This amount when				
12	added to the	weather adjustment in my direct testimony, a reduction of \$37 million, results in				
13	an updated total annual reduction of \$51 million.					
14	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?				
15	A.	Yes, it does.				

AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending June 2006 Supplemental Direct Testimony

	Original Testimony - April to June Forecast		Normalized for 12 Months Ending June 2006		Difference	
	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue
Residential	13,157,603	\$850,213,202	13,003,136	839,527,143	(154,467)	(\$10,686,059)
Small General Service	3,581,878	\$226,709,603	3,553,502	225,063,153	(28,376)	(\$1,646,450)
Large General Service	7,961,473	\$418,267,034	7,917,344	416,823,081	(44,129)	(\$1,443,953)
Small Primary Service	4,066,796	\$182,439,828	4,054,594	182,075,583	(12,202)	(\$364,245)
Large Primary Service	4,146,112	\$155,912,021	4,135,401	155,684,830	(10,711)	(\$227,191)
Large Transmission Service	4,064,039	\$137,208,536	4,064,039	137,208,536	0	\$0
Lighting	228,072	\$27,110,909	228,072	27,110,909	0	\$0
MSD		\$39,487	0	39,487	0	\$0
Total	37,205,973	\$1,997,900,620	36,956,088	\$1,983,532,723	(249,885)	(\$14,367,897)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

OF THE STATE OF MISSOCKI
In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area. Case No. ER-2007-0002
AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES R. POZZO
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)
James R. Pozzo, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:
1. My name is James R. Pozzo. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I
am employed by Ameren Services Company as a Rate Engineer in Regulatory Policy.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Supplemental
Direct Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 2
pages and Schedule JRP-E8, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction
into evidence in the above-referenced docket.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony
to the questions therein propounded are true and correct. James R. Pozzo
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29 th of September, 2006.
My commission expires: Way 19, 2008 CAROLYN J. WOODSTOCK Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Franklin County My Commission Expires: May 19, 2008