Exhibit No.:

Issue: Off-System Sales
Witness: Burton L. Crawford
Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony
Sponsoring Party: KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company

Case No.: ER-2012-0175

Date Testimony Prepared: November 13, 2012

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2012-0175

# TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

# **BURTON L. CRAWFORD**

# ON BEHALF OF

# KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri November 2012

\*\*" Designates "Highly Confidential" Information Has Been Removed Pursuant To 4 CSR 240-2.135.

# TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

# OF

# **BURTON L. CRAWFORD**

# Case No. ER-2012-0175

ı	Ų:	riease state your name and business address.									
2	A:	My name is Burton L. Crawford. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City,									
3		Missouri 64105.									
4	Q:	Are you the same Burton L. Crawford who pre-filed Direct, Rebuttal and									
5		Surrebuttal Testimony in this matter?									
6	A:	Yes, I am.									
7	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?									
8	A:	I am testifying on behalf of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO" or									
9		the "Company") and its St. Joseph Light & Power ("L&P") and Missouri Public Service									
10		("MPS") service territories.									
11	Q:	What is the purpose of your True-Up Direct Testimony?									
12	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to rebut GMO off-system sales related issues raised by									
13		Staff witness Mr. V. William Harris.									
14	Q:	Mr. Harris claims that GMO has negative off-system margins because "KCPL									
15		(acting as GMO's 'agent') has the opportunity to realize retail profit margin on									
16		purchases it makes for GMO while passing the costs of the purchases on to GMO"									
17		(Harris True-up Direct, page 2). Do you agree?									
18	A:	No.									

# O: What is the reason for the GMO reported off-system sales margin losses?

A:

As explained in my Rebuttal Testimony in this case, GMO experiences these losses as a result of purchasing energy to reliably service GMO retail load. There are times when GMO has excess purchased energy that is sold back to the wholesale market, i.e., Purchases for Resale ("PFR"). These sales can occur at a loss. While it would be ideal if GMO could purchase the exact quantity of energy needed to meet its load obligations at the exact time it is needed, real operations do not work this way. In many cases, energy purchases are made on a day-ahead basis or even months in advance. In real time operations, some of this energy is sold back to the wholesale market at the prevailing market price. These transactions would occur whether or not KCP&L acted as an agent for GMO.

Schedule BLC-11 is a summary of off-system sales data taken from the GMO Post Analysis process that determines what source (purchased power or GMO generation) provided the energy sold into the wholesale market. This summary shows that of the 488,802 MWh of off-system sales made through September 2012, 382,902 MWh (or about 78%) was sourced from purchased power. The average cost of this purchased power that was ultimately re-sold was \$30.65 per MWh. The average sale price received for all off-system sales was \$21.55 per MWh. If the purchased power were sold at the average price received for all off-system sales, this is a loss of \$9.10 per MWh. This equates to approximately \$3.5 million. These losses are incurred in part by the sale of a portion of a block of power when the entire block is not needed for retail load during low load periods.

1	Q:	Are these purchases made with the intent to resell the energy at a profit?										
2	A:	No. These purchases were made by GMO to fulfill its obligation to reliably serve retail										
3		load and were not made with the specific intent to resell at a profit.										
4	Q:	Does KCP&L face similar circumstances in the process of reliably serving										
5		KCP&L's retail customers?										
6	A:	Yes. KCP&L experiences these PFR transactions as well.										
7	Q:	Does KCP&L experience losses on their PFR transactions?										
8	A:	Yes. KCP&L experiences losses on these transactions as well. KCP&L annual losses on										
9		Purchases for Resale have been as high as \$3.5 million over the last two years.										
10	Q:	Mr. Harris claims that "Staff refuted the 'purchased power driven' argument in										
11		demonstrating in surrebuttal testimony that the percentage of OSS from purchases										
12		has actually decreased significantly since the profitable Aquila years" (Harris,										
13		True-Up Direct p. 3). Is the decline in PFR transactions proof that GMO's negative										
14		margins are not PFR driven?										
15	A:	No. The drop in GMO's margins is driven in part by the decline in off-system sales										
16		transactions that were made from purchased power. As explained by Company witness										
17		Wm. Edward Blunk, **										
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												

- \*\* (Blunk, Surrebuttal, p. 8). When these transactions stopped,
- 2 so did the margins associated with them.
- 3 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 4 A: Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri  Operations Company's Request for Authority to  Implement General Rate Increase for Electric Service  Case No. ER-2012-0175	
AFFIDAVIT OF BURTON L. CRAWFORD	
STATE OF MISSOURI )	
) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON )	
Burton L. Crawford, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:	
1. My name is Burton L. Crawford. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and	I am
employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Director, Energy Resource Managem	ent.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my True-Up Rel	
Testimony on behalf of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company consisting of	
( ) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the ab	
captioned docket.	
3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm	that
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, inclu	ıding
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information	and
belief.  Burton L. Crawford	_
Subscribed and sworn before me this day of November, 2012.	
Notary Public  My commission expires:  Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commission Expires: February 04, 2015 Commission Number: 11391200	

Average								Gen Based		Average PFR	Implied
I	Purchases Su	pporting OSS	Pur	chase Price	Total Off-	System Sales	Avg. Sales	Sales	Gen Based	Margin Based on	Loss on
<b>GMO Total</b>	MWh	\$		\$/MWh	MWh	\$	Price	MWH	Sales %	Avg. Sales Price	PFR
Jan-12	37,724 \$	1,006,680	\$	26.69	44,938	967,521.84	\$21.53	7,214	16.1%	(\$5.16)	(\$194,477)
Feb-12	35,420 \$	1,076,548	\$	30.39	39,924	732,245.43	\$18.34	4,504	11.3%	(\$12.05)	(\$426,911)
Mar-12	34,088 \$	905,497	\$	26.56	40,739	666,510.07	\$16.36	6,651	16.3%	(\$10.20)	(\$347,800)
Apr-12	28,812 \$	755,189	\$	26.21	33,869	570,850.63	\$16.85	5,057	14.9%	(\$9.36)	(\$269,573)
May-12	37,810 \$	1,054,515	\$	27.89	56,424	1,395,997.19	\$24.74	18,614	33.0%	(\$3.15)	(\$119,054)
Jun-12	50,309 \$	1,465,253	\$	29.12	72,615	1,494,220.01	\$20.58	22,306	30.7%	(\$8.55)	(\$430,028)
Jul-12	43,396 \$	1,786,770	\$	41.17	54,459	1,646,963.22	\$30.24	11,063	20.3%	(\$10.93)	(\$474,374)
Aug-12	63,655 \$	2,284,358	\$	35.89	75,407	1,750,574.48	\$23.21	11,752	15.6%	(\$12.67)	(\$806,606)
Sep-12	51,689 \$	1,400,932	\$	27.10	70,428	1,307,935.18	\$18.57	18,739	26.6%	(\$8.53)	(\$441,005)
Total	382,902 \$	11,735,742	\$	30.65	488,802	10,532,818	\$21.55	105,900	21.7%	(\$9.10)	(\$3,509,828)