Exhibit No.:

Witness: Roger Sallee Sponsoring Party: Case No.:

Joint Applicants
Case No. WM-2015-0231

Case No. WM-2015-0231

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ROGER SALLEE

June 4, 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Application of
In the matter of the Application of Ozark Shores Water Company, North
Suburban Public Utility Company) and Camden County Public Water)
Supply District Number Four for)
an order authorizing the Sale, Transfer and Assignment of Water Case No. WM-2015-0231
Assets to Camden County Public Water)
Supply District Number Four and in connection therewith certain other)
related transactions.
AFFIDAVIT OF ROGER SALLEE
STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF CAMDEN) ss.
I, Roger Sallee, of lawful age, and being duly sworn, do hereby depose and state:
1. My name is Roger Sallee. I am presently a director of Public Water Supply
District Number 4 of Camden County, Missouri, a Joint Applicant in the referenced matter.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to
the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge
information and belief.
Roger Saffee
Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this day of June, 2015.
Contra Lobbet
My Commission expires: Notary Public
CYNTHIA GOLDSRY
Notary Public, Notary Sear State of Missouri Camden County
Commission # 11340510 My Commission Expires October 2011

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		ROGER SALLEE
4		CASE NO. WR-2015-0231
5	Q.	Please state your full name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Roger Sallee. My business address is 62 Bittersweet Road, The
7		Village of Four Seasons, Missouri 65049
8	Q.	What is your position with Public Water Supply District Number Four of
9		Camden County, Missouri (the "District")?
10	A.	I am an active member of the Board of Directors. This is my 5th term on the
11		District Board. I have been a member of the Board for 14 years. I am a
12		customer of both Ozark Shores Water Company ("Company") and the District.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	A.	The office of the Missouri Attorney General and the Staff of the Missouri Public
15		Service Commission appear to be unclear about the reasons I voted in favor of the
16		District's decision to enter an agreement with Ozark Shores Water Company to
17		purchase the Company's assets. The purpose of my testimony is to correct
18		misunderstandings.
19	Q.	Did you, at any time during Board's deliberations and decision to purchase
20		the assets of Ozark Shores Water Company, possess any conflict of interest
21		that would violate the duty of loyalty that you have to the current and future
22		ratepayers of the District?
23	A.	No.

23

1 Q. Do vou, your spouse, or your dependent children possess a direct or indirect 2 ownership interest in Ozark Shores Water Company, North Suburban **Public Utility or RPS Properties?** 3 4 No. A. 5 Q. Are you, your spouse, or your dependent children an officer, director or 6 employee of Ozark Shores Water Company, North Suburban Public Utility 7 or RPS Properties? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Are you, your spouse, or your dependent children a creditor of Ozark Shores 10 Water Company, North Suburban Public Utility or RPS Properties? 11 A. No. 12 Have you, your spouse, or your dependent children received any financial Q. 13 benefit, or the promise of any future financial benefit, from any owner, officer, director or employee of Ozark Shores Water Company, North 14 15 Suburban Public Utility or RPS Properties in exchange for my approval of 16 the purchase of the Ozark Shores Water Company assets? 17 No. A. 18 Q. Why did you vote to acquire the assets of the Ozark Shores Water 19 Company? 20 A. After reviewing the transaction itself and the report of Boone Partners which has 21 been provided to the Commission already in this matter and deliberating the 22 merits of the proposal with the other Board Members, I voted to approve the

purchase of the assets.

I cast my vote, as I believe the entire Board did, in

1		exercise of the business judgment rule. My vote in favor of the transaction was
2		cast in good faith; in the exercise of what I consider best business judgment; and
3		uninfluenced by any other consideration than the honest belief that the asset
4		purchase subserves the best interests of the District and its customers.
5	Q.	Does the District anticipate raising the rates of its customers, current or
6		proposed, in connection with this transaction?
7	A.	No, the District anticipates keeping the Company's current rate schedules and
8		adopting the rate schedules set in place by the Commission in 1998.
9	Q.	Does the District anticipate providing sewer services to the Company's
10		customers in the future?
11	A.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's
12		customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The
13		District was granted the authority under Section 247.035 to provide sewer service
14		to the majority of the Company's service territory in Case No. CV103-720CC by
15		the Camden County Circuit Court in September, 2005. The District does not
16		intend to affect the rights of any citizens within its boundaries to form a future
17		sewer district under Chapters 248, 249 or 250 of Missouri Revised Code.
18	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
19	A.	Yes, it does.
20		
21		
22		