

**Attachment IV.B Summary Decision Language**

**DP Issue: Section 4 - Pricing Appendix**

<b>CLEC/Group DPL Issue #</b>	<b>CLEC Language</b>	<b>SBC Language</b>	<b>Arbitrator's Report</b>
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1a</b>			AT&T's prices are preferable for DS3 loops.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1b</b>			SBC's proposed rates for DSL & IDSL-capable loop prices.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1c</b>			AT&T's proposed rates are preferable for removal of non-excessive bridge tap.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1d</b>			Line and station transfer rates from existing ICA.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1h</b>			Cross Connects to DCS 4-Wire rates should not be in agreement.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1i</b>			No prices for Voice Grade Dedicated Transport Cross Connects.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 1j</b>			The Arbitrator agrees that the present ICA rates for dark fiber are appropriate.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 2</b>			CLEC's proposal is preferable.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 3</b>	Lines 226-238 of AT&T's Attachment 30		DCS need not be provided as part of a UNE but rather on a wholesale basis.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 4</b>	Lines 160-178 of AT&T's Attachment 30		Entrance facilities at cost-based (TELRIC) rates.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 5</b>	Lines 181-196 of AT&T's Attachment 30		DSO transport pricing should remain in price list.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 6</b>	Lines 319-321, 323-325 and 336-341 of AT&T's Attachment 30		Attachment 20 rates should not be included in the ICA.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 7</b>			Transitional rates should be included in the pricing schedule on a separate, removable sheet.
<b>AT&amp;T Pricing 8</b>			AT&T's Missouri tariff for access service should apply to SBC for its use of AT&T's space.

**Attachment IV.B Summary Decision Language**

**DP Issue: Section 4 - Pricing Appendix**

<b>CLEC/Group DPL Issue #</b>	<b>CLEC Language</b>	<b>SBC Language</b>	<b>Arbitrator's Report</b>
<b>CC Pricing 1</b>			SBC's proposed prices in Attachment 6, Appendix Pricing to SBC's Petition for Arbitration.
<b>CC Pricing 2</b>			The ICA must include prices for Section 271 UNES.
<b>CC Pricing 3</b>			The ICA must include prices for Section 271 UNES.
<b>CC Pricing 4</b>			All prices, charges and rates should be located in one schedule and the resale discount proposals offered by SBC are appropriate.
<b>MCI PricingA 1</b>			SBC's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
<b>MCI PricingS 5</b>			Line 81 - TO-2001-439; Line 83 - TO 2001-439; Line 85 - a price to be determined by Commission if requested by MCI in future.
<b>MCI PricingS 8</b>			SBC's proposed rate for Line Station Transfer should be adopted.
<b>MCI PricingS 9</b>	Lines 130-135		DCS rates should not be part of the contract.
<b>MCI PricingS 10</b>			CLEC's proposal is preferable.
<b>MCI PricingS 17</b>			There is no need to include blended transport rates in the Pricing Schedule (lines 490-495).
<b>MCI PricingS 18</b>			MCI's proposed rates TO-2005-0037 for entrance facilities (lines 509-545).
<b>MCI PricingS 20</b>			DCS rates should not be part of the contract.

**Attachment IV.B Summary Decision Language**

**DP Issue: Section 4 - Pricing Appendix**

<b>CLEC/Group DPL Issue #</b>	<b>CLEC Language</b>	<b>SBC Language</b>	<b>Arbitrator's Report</b>
<b>MCI PricingS 21</b>			MCI's proposed rates TO-2005-0037 for Optical multiplexing (lines 658-665).
<b>MCI PricingS 22</b>			MCI's proposed rates TO-2005-0037 for SS7 (lines 667-678).
<b>MCI PricingS 31</b>	898-900 of the SBC/MCI Pricing Schedule.	883-895 of the SBC/MCI Pricing Schedule.	SBC rates are preferable for Coordinated Hot Cuts.
<b>MCI PricingS 32</b>			MCI's proposed description for ISP-bound Traffic is appropriate for line 1001.
<b>MCI PricingS 33</b>			MCI rates are appropriate for transit.
<b>Navigator Pricing 1</b>			SBC's language is not consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.