



Commissioners

R.

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

**KELVIN L. SIMMONS** 

STEVE GAW

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us

Missouri Public Serbice Commission

May 10, 2001

BRIAN D. KINKADE Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Missouri Public Service Commission

FILED

MAY 1 0 2001

RE: Case No. TA-99-47

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of the STAFF FILING IN RESPONSE TO COMMISSION ORDER.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

March

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel (573) 751-8701 (573) 751-9285 (Fax)

MP/lb Enclosure cc: Counsel of Record

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

MAY 1 0 2001

## Missouri Public Beivige Commission

In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Interexchange Telecommunications Services Within the State of Missouri.

Case No. TA-99-47

## STAFF FILING IN RESPONSE TO COMMISSION ORDER

Comes now the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and for its filing in response to the Commission's Order Directing Filing issued May 2, 2001, states as follows:

1. Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance (SBLD) filed its application with the Commission in this case seeking authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in Missouri on August 4, 1998. On January 12, 1999, the Commission issued a stay in this case until the issuance of the Commission's recommendation to the Federal Communications Commission for approval of SBC's application for in-region inerLATA service "or until otherwise ordered."

2. Prior to the Commission's stay of this case, the Commission granted the intervention requests of the Mid-Missouri Group (now under the new name of the Missouri Independent Telephone Group or "MITG" which consists of the former Mid-Missouri Group companies and Peace Valley Telephone Company), Sprint Communications Company, L.P., MCI Telecommunications Corporation, McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., CompTel-Mo, and Digital Teleport, Inc.

Participation without intervention was granted to Fidelity Telephone Company and the Small Telephone Company Group.

3. On March 7, 2001, SBLD filed its First Amended Application and revised tariff (MO PSC Nos. 1 and 2). Also, on March 7, 2001, Southwestern Bell Communications, Inc. filed a second application to provide interexchange telecommunications service, this one under the fictitious name of "SBC Long Distance." That application was assigned Case No. TA-2001-475, and is captioned *In the Matter of the Appplication of Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a SBC Long Distance for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Interexchange Telecommunications Services Within the State of Missouri and to Classify Said Services and the Company as Competitive.* 

4. On March 19, 2001, the MITG filed a Motion to Suspend the tariff. MITG asserts that the Applicant could both originate and terminate traffic in MITG's exchanges and that MITG has an interest in assuring that the Applicant's traffic "is originated, transported, and terminated on FGD [(Feature Group D)] facilities utilizing FGD protocols, record creation, record exchange and intercompany compensation business relationship structures."

5. On April 27, 2001, the MITG filed a second motion to suspend and/or reject the tariff. They raise the issue of geographic deaveraging citing to Case No. TT-2000-22 and the Cole County Circuit Court case on review of that decision in Case No. 00CV324464. The Circuit Court held that AT&T's Overlay Plan, which offered a calling plan with reduced rates to subscribers in Southwestern Bell Telephone Company exchanges but not elsewhere, violates the federal prohibition against geographic deaveraging of interexchange rates found at 47 U.S.C. § 254(g).

2

6. On May 1, 2001 the Commission issued its order directing the Staff to make a recommendation on each of the requests for intervention and motions for suspension no later than May 10, 2001. The Commission granted all requests for Intervention (see paragraph 2 above) in its September 9, 1998 *Order Granting Interventions and Setting Early Prehearing Conference*. No requests for Intervention are awaiting a Commission decision in this case.

7. SBLD filed responses to MITG's motions to suspend on March 26, 2001 and May 7, 2001. In paragraph 2 of its first response to the motions to suspend, SBLD states that it will, at least initially, provide interexchange telecommunications services by resale of the services of an underlying carrier and that it "will utilize Feature Group D signaling of the underlying interexchange carrier whose services will be resold." The Commission could dispel any concerns MITG might have on this issue by directing SBLD to refrain from using Feature Group C absent subsequent Commission approval.

8. On May 7, 2001, SBLD submitted a substitute tariff sheet removing the following language which appears in Section 2.1.1, Original Sheet 35, "Unless otherwise indicated in this Tariff, Service is available on a statewide basis," and inserting in lieu thereof, "Unless otherwise indicated in this Tariff, Service is available where facilities permit throughout the geographic area served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company." This change addresses and removes MITG's concern about geographic deaveraging.

9. The Staff, therefore, recommends that the Commission deny the Motion to Suspend. The two concerns raised by MITG in its filings, that SBLD should not use Feature Group C and that SBLD should not geographic deaverage, are adequately addressed as indicated in paragraphs 7 and 8 above.

3





WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends that the Commission deny the motions to suspend.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mar

Marc Poston Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8701 (Telephone) (573) 751-9285 (Fax)

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2001.

Manlost

Service List for Case No. TA-99-47 Revised: May 10, 2001 (lb)

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 James M. Fischer 101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Craig S. Johnson Andereck, Evans, Milne Peace & Baumhoer 301 East McCarty Street, PO Box 1438 Jefferson City, MO 63102

Carl J. Lumley/Leland B. Curtis Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett & Soule 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200 Clayton, MO 63105

Paul S. DeFord Lathrop & Gage, L.C. 2345 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, MO 64108

Richard S. Brownlee, III Hendren and Andrae 221 Bolivar Street, PO Box 1069 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Kenneth A. Schifman Sprint Communications Company L.P. 8140 Ward Parkway, 5E Kansas City, MO 64114

Mary Ann (Garr) Young William D. Steinmeier, P.C. P.O. Box 104595 Jefferson City, MO 65110

W.R. England, III/Sondra B. Morgan Brydon, Swearengen & England P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Stephen F. Morris MCI Telecommunications Corporation 701 Brazos, Suite 600 Austin, TX 78701