#### STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 3rd day of June, 1998.

In the Matter of the Application of Tin Can )
Communications Company, L.L.C. for a Certificate )
of Authority to Provide Basic Local Telecommunica- )
tions Service in Portions of the State of Missouri )
and to Classify Said Services as Competitive. )

#### **ORDER GRANTING CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AUTHORITY**

Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. (Tin Can) applied to the Commission on December 5, 1997, for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service in Missouri under Sections 392.420 - .440, RSMo 1994<sup>1</sup>, and Sections 392.410 and .450, RSMo Supp. 1996. Tin Can amended its application on January 12, 1998. Tin Can asked the Commission to classify it as a competitive company and waive certain statutes and rules as authorized by Sections 392.361 and 392.420. Tin Can is a Texas corporation with offices at 5599 San Felipe, Suite 1285, Houston, Texas 77056.

The Commission issued an Order and Notice on December 10, directing parties wishing to intervene in the case to do so by January 9, 1998. The Commission granted permission to intervene to Southwestern Bell Telephone Company on February 3.

The parties filed a Stipulation and Agreement (Attachment 1 to this order) on February 17. The Stipulation and Agreement stated that BV MP Ro ESM

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 1994 unless otherwise indicated.

Tin Can seeks a certificate that would cover dedicated, nonswitched local exchange services as well as basic local telecommunications services. The Commission Staff (Staff) filed Suggestions in Support of the Stipulation and Agreement on March 2. On May 18, the Commission issued a Notice Regarding Inconsistent Pleadings, in which it informed the parties that no further action would be taken until the parties clarified the scope of the certificate requested. Tin Can, SWBT and Staff filed a pleading on May 27 which clarified that Tin Can does not seek a certificate to provide dedicated, nonswitched local exchange services. Tin Can desires to provide only basic local telecommunications services.

#### **Background**

Tin Can wishes certification to provide prepaid, resold basic local telecommunications service in portions of Missouri that are currently served by SWBT, GTE Midwest Incorporated (GTE) and Sprint Missouri, Inc. (Sprint). Tin Can is not asking for certification in any area that is served by a small incumbent local exchange provider (ILEC). The specific exchanges in which Tin Can proposes to operate are described in Amended Appendix B to the amended application that was filed on January 12 (Attachment 2 to this order). Tin Can is requesting that its basic local exchange services be classified as competitive and that the application of certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived.

#### **Discussion**

# A. Requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)

Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) requires a foreign corporation applying for certification to provide telecommunications services to include in its application a certificate from the Secretary of State

showing it is authorized to do business in Missouri, a description of the types of service it intends to provide, a description of the exchanges where it will offer service, and a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date. Tin Can has provided all the required documentation except for the proposed tariff. The company has requested a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) because it is impractical for Tin Can to submit a tariff until it has executed interconnection agreements with the ILECs involved. Tin Can cannot price its resold services until it has reached price agreements with the ILECs from which it will purchase those services. The company has agreed that, once it is possible to do so, it will submit to the Commission for approval a proposed tariff with a minimum 45-day effective date. Tin Can will file the tariff in this case and give notice of the tariff filing to all the parties and participants. Along with that filing Tin Can has agreed to provide a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements it has entered into which affect its Missouri service areas.

# **B.** Basic Local Service Certification

Section 392.455, RSMo Supp. 1996, sets out the requirements for granting certificates to provide basic local telecommunications service to new entrants. A new entrant must: (1) possess sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service; (2) demonstrate that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission; (3) set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer service and demonstrate that such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company and is no smaller than an exchange; and (4) offer basic local telecommunications service as a

separate and distinct service. In addition, the Commission must give due consideration to equitable access for all Missourians to affordable telecommunications services, regardless of where they live or their income.

Technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities. 1. Tin Can submitted Appendix C with its original application that lists the names and qualifications of its management team. In addition to academic credentials, the team members have experience in various areas of the telecommunications industry including information technology, customer services, billing and collection, marketing and finance. Tin Can also submitted as Appendix D to its original application and Amended Appendix D to its amended application the following financial statements: 1) an October 31, 1997 balance sheet; 2) an unaudited income statement for the one month and four months ended December 31, 1997; and 3) an unaudited December 31, 1997 balance sheet. In the Stipulation and Agreement, Tin Can asserted, and no party made a contrary assertion, that sufficient evidence exists from which the Commission should find and conclude that Tin Can possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service.

2. The entrant's proposed services satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. Tin Can has agreed to meet the Commission's minimum basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards. The parties agreed that Tin Can proposes to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission.

3. The geographic area in which the company proposes to offer service. Tin Can set out in Amended Appendix B to its amended application all the exchanges in which it proposes to offer services. Tin Can has

defined its service area by means of the tariffed exchange areas of the ILECs presently providing basic local service in those exchanges. Amended Appendix B lists exchanges served by SWBT, GTE and Sprint. The company has agreed that its service area must follow ILEC exchange boundaries and be no smaller than an exchange. The parties agreed that Tin Can has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and that the area follows ILEC exchange boundaries and is no smaller than an exchange.

4. The offering of basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. Tin Can has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service.

5. Equitable access for all Missourians to affordable telecommunications services. Tin Can has agreed to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it will offer basic local services in compliance with Section 392.455(5), RSMo Supp. 1996.

### C. Competitive Classification

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider as a competitive company if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation. § 392.361.2. In making that determination the Commission may consider such factors as market share, financial resources and name recognition, among others. In the matter of the investigation for the purpose of determining the classification of the services provided by interexchange telecommunication companies within the State of Missouri, 30 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 16 (1989); In the matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's application for classification of certain services as transitionally competitive,

1 Mo. P.S.C. 3d 479, 484 (1992). In addition, all the services a competitive company provides must be classified as competitive. § 392.361.3. The Commission has found that whether a service is competitive is a subject for case-by-case examination and that different criteria may be given greater weight depending upon the service being considered. *Id.* at 487.

The parties have agreed that Tin Can shall be classified as a competitive telecommunications company. The parties have also agreed that Tin Can's switched exchange access services may be classified as a competitive service, conditioned upon certain limitations on Tin Can's ability to charge for its access services. Tin Can has agreed that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission-approved corresponding access rates in effect at the date of certification for the large incumbent LECs within those service areas in which Tin Can seeks to operate. The parties have agreed that the grant of service authority and competitive classification to Tin Can shall be expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 1996, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be costjustified pursuant to Sections 392.220, RSMo Supp. 1996, and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

The parties agreed that waiver of the following statutes is appropriate: Sections 392.210.2, 392.270, 392.280, 392.290.1, 392.300.2, 392.310, 392.320, 392.330, RSMo Supp. 1996, and 392.340. The parties also agreed that application of these Commission rules could be waived: 4 CSR 240-10.020, 4 CSR 240-30.040, and 4 CSR 240-35.

# **Findings of Fact**

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

- A. The Commission finds that competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market is in the public interest.
- B. The Commission finds that Tin Can has met the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) for applicants for certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications services with the exception of the filing of a tariff with a 45-day effective date.
- C. The Commission finds that Tin Can has demonstrated good cause to support a temporary waiver of the tariff filing requirement and the waiver shall be granted.
- D. The Commission finds that Tin Can meets the statutory requirements for provision of basic local telecommunications services and has agreed to abide by those requirements in the future. The Commission determines that granting Tin Can a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services is in the public interest. Tin Can's certificate shall become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
- E. The Commission finds that Tin Can is a competitive company and shall be granted waiver of the statutes and rules set out in Ordered Paragraph 3.
- F. The Commission finds that Tin Can's certification and competitive status are expressly conditioned upon the

continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 1996, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220, RSMo Supp. 1996, and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

## **Conclusions of Law**

The Missouri Public Service Commission has reached the following conclusions of law:

The Commission has the authority to grant certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications service within the state of Missouri. Tin Can has requested certification under Sections 392.420 -.440, and Sections 392.410 and .450, RSMo Supp. 1996. Those statutes permit the Commission to grant a certificate of service authority where the grant of authority is in the public interest. Sections 392.361 and .420 authorize the Commission to modify or suspend the application of its rules and certain statutory provisions for companies classified as competitive or transitionally competitive.

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Sections 392.185 and 392.455, RSMo Supp. 1996, were designed to institute competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market in order to benefit all telecommunications consumers. Section 392.185, RSMo Supp. 1996, states that "the provisions of this chapter shall be construed to: (1) Promote universally available and widely affordable telecommunications services; . . (3) Promote diversity in the supply of telecommunications services and products throughout the state of Missouri; . . . (6) Allow full and fair competition to function as a substitute for regulation when

consistent with the protection of ratepayers and otherwise consistent with the public interest . . ."

The Commission has the legal authority to accept a Stipulation and Agreement as offered by the parties as a resolution of the issues raised in this case, pursuant to Section 536.060, RSMo Supp. 1996. Based upon the information contained within the Stipulation and Agreement of the parties and on its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Stipulation and Agreement shall be approved.

#### **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That the Stipulation and Agreement of the parties, filed on February 17, 1998, is approved.

2. That Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri to become effective when the company's tariff becomes effective, subject to all applicable statutes and Commission rules except as specified in this order.

3. That Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. is classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Application of the following statutes and regulatory rules shall be waived:

#### <u>Statutes</u>

392.210.2	2 - uniform system of accounts
392.270	<ul> <li>valuation of property (ratemaking)</li> </ul>
392.280	- depreciation accounts
392.290.1	l – issuance of securities
392.300.2	2 - acquisition of stock
392.310	<ul> <li>stock and debt issuance</li> </ul>
392.320	- stock dividend payment
392.340	- reorganization(s)
392.330,	RSMo Supp. 1996 - issuance of securities,
	debts and notes

#### Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income 4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts 4 CSR 240-35 - reporting of bypass and customer-specific arrangements

4. That the filing of a 45-day tariff as required by 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) is waived until Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. has entered into a Commission-approved interconnection agreement that enables it to provide basic local exchange services.

5. That Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. shall file tariff sheets for approval reflecting the rates, rule, regulations and the services it will offer within 30 days after Commission approval of the necessary interconnection agreement(s). The tariff shall be filed in Case No. TA-98-231 and shall include a listing of the statutes and Commission rules waived under Ordered Paragraph 3.

6. That Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. shall give notice of the filing of the tariffs described in Ordered Paragraph 5 to all parties or participants. In addition, Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. shall file a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas, all portions of Missouri service areas for which it does not have an interconnection agreement, and an explanation of why no interconnection agreement is necessary for those areas.

7. That the certification and competitive status of Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. are expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 1996, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be

cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220, RSMo Supp. 1996, and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

8. That this order shall become effective on June 15, 1998.

**BY THE COMMISSION** 

Ask Hredy Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

.....

(SEAL)

Lumpe, Ch., Drainer, Murray and Schemenauer, CC., concur. Crumpton, C., absent.

Randles, Regulatory Law Judge

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. for Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Basic Local Telecommunications Service in Portions of the State of Missouri and to Classify Said Services as Competitive. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Case No. TA-98-231

## STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

1. Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C. ("Tin Can" or "Applicant") initiated this proceeding on December 5, 1997, by filing an Application and on January 12, 1998 filed an Amended Application requesting certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service, local exchange telecommunications service and exchange access service in exchanges currently served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWB"), GTE Midwest Incorporated ("GTE"), and United Telephone Company of Missouri d/b/a Sprint, now referred to as Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint ("Sprint").

2. The Commission has granted the timely application to intervene of SWB. GTE and Sprint did not seek and have not been granted intervention in this proceeding.

3. For purposes of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties agree that applications for local exchange authority in exchanges served by "large" local exchange companies (LEC's)<sup>1</sup> should be processed in a manner similar to that in which applications for interexchange and local exchange authority are currently handled.

4. In determining whether Tin Can's application for certificate of service

FEB 1 7 1998

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Large LEC's are defined as LEC's who serve 100,000 or more access lines. Section 386.020 RSMo. Supp. 1996. In Missouri, the current large LEC's are SWB, GTE and Sprint.

authority should be granted, the Commission should consider Tin Can's technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service. Tin Can must demonstrate that the basic local services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission, including but not limited to the applicant agreeing to file and maintain basic local service tariff(s) with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which the applicant seeks to compete. Further, Tin Can agrees to meet the minimum basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards, as the Commission requires of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which the applicant seeks to compete. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 392.500 RSMo. (1994), as a condition of certification and competitive classification, Tin Can agrees that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, the applicant's originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission approved corresponding access rates in effect at the date of certification for the large incumbent LEC(s) within those service area(s) applicant seeks authority to provide service. Further, Tin Can agrees to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and must sufficiently identify the geographic service area in which it proposes to offer basic local service. Such area must follow exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies and must be no smaller than an exchange. Finally, Tin Can agrees to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of residence or their income. See Section 392.455 RSMo.

2

Attachment 1 Page 2 of 11 pages (1996 Supp.)

5. Tin Can has submitted its application without tariffs and seeks a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H).<sup>2</sup> Tin Can agrees to file its initial tariff(s) in the certification docket and serve all parties with written notice at the time the initial tariff(s) are submitted to afford them an opportunity to participate in the tariff approval process. Copies of the tariff(s) will be provided by Tin Can to such parties immediately upon request. Any service authority shall be regarded as conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariff(s) for services have become effective. When filing its initial basic local tariff(s), Tin Can shall also file and serve a written disclosure of all resale or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas, all portions of its Missouri service areas for which it does not have an interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carrier; and its explanation of why such an interconnection agreement is unnecessary for such areas.

6. Tin Can has, pursuant to Section 392.420 RSMo. requested that the Commission waive the application of any or all of the following statutory provisions and rules to basic local telecommunications services, and all parties agree that the Commission should grant such request provided that Section 392.200 RSMo. should continue to apply to all of Tin Can's services:

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Section 392.210.2 Section 392.270 Section 392.280

#### **COMMISSION RULES**

4 CSR 240-10.020 4 CSR 240-30.040 4 CSR 240-35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Good cause for failure to file proposed tariffs with the Application must be shown. The lack of an approved interconnection agreement (47 USC 252) constitutes good cause.

Section 392.290.1 Section 392.300.2 Section 392.310 Section 392.320 Section 392.330 Section 392.340

7. In negotiating the remaining provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties have employed the foregoing standards and criteria, which are intended to meet the requirements of existing law and Sections 392.450 and 392.455 RSMo., regarding applications for certificates of local exchange authority to provide basic local telecommunications services.

### **B. TIN CAN'S CERTIFICATION**

8. Tin Can has submitted as Appendix B to its Amended Application a listing of the specific exchanges in which it seeks authority to provide service. The exchanges identified are those currently served by SWB, GTE and Sprint. Tin Can hereby agrees that its Amended Application should be deemed further amended as required to include by reference the terms and provisions described in paragraphs 4-6 hereinabove and paragraph 11 below to the extent that its Amended Application might be inconsistent therewith.

9. Based upon its verified Amended Application, as amended by this Stipulation and Agreement, Tin Can asserts and no other party makes a contrary assertion, that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission should find and conclude that Tin Can:

A. possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications service, including exchange access service; B. proposes and agrees to offer basic local services that will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission;

C. has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange;

D. will offer basic local telecommunications services as a separate and distinct service;

E. has agreed to provide equitable access as determined by the Commission for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income, to affordable telecommunications services; and

F. has sought authority which will serve the public interest.

10. Tin Can asserts, and no party opposes, that Tin Can's application and request for authority to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications services (including exchange access service) should be granted. All services authorized herein should be classified as competitive telecommunications services, provided that the requirements of Section 392.200 continue to apply, and Tin Can shall remain classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Tin Can asserts, and no party opposes, that such services will be subject to sufficient competition by the services of the incumbent LECs to justify a lesser degree of regulation of Tin Can's services consistent with the protection of ratepayers and the promotion of the public interest. Such classification should become effective upon the tariffs for the services becoming effective. Such authority

should be conditional, not to be exercised until such time as tariffs for those services have been filed (together with the written disclosure as stipulated above) and have become effective. The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

"The service authority and service classification herein granted are subject to the requirements of Section 392.200 and are conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective."

The parties agree that the applicant's switched exchange access services may be classified as competitive services. The parties further agree that the applicant's switched exchange access services are subject to Section 392.200. Any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates as set forth in paragraph 4 herein shall be cost-justified and be made pursuant to 392.220 and 392.230 and not 392.500 and 392.510. The Commission's order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

"The service authority and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein is expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200 and the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth herein shall be costjustified and be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230 and not Sections 392.500 and 392.510."

11. Tin Can's request for a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H), which

requires applications to include a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date, is not opposed by the parties and should be granted because, at the time of the filing of the application, Tin Can does not yet have approved resale or interconnection agreements with the large incumbent LECs. Tin Can agrees that at such time as all facts necessary for the development of tariffs become known, it will submit tariffs in this docket, with a minimum 45-day proposed effective date, to the Commission for its approval, together with the written disclosure as stipulated above. Tin Can shall serve notice to all parties and participants in this docket of the filing of its tariffs at the time they are filed with the Commission, and serve them with the aforesaid written disclosure and shall upon request immediately provide any party with a copy of those tariffs. The Commission's order should state these obligations to the temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H), substantially as follows:

"Applicant's request for temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) is hereby granted for good cause in that applicant did not yet have an approved resale or interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carriers within whose service areas it seeks authority to provide service; provided, when applicant submits its tariffs in this docket to the Commission such tariffs shall have a minimum of a 45-day effective date and the applicant shall serve written notice upon the parties hereto of such submittal, and shall provide copies of such tariffs to such parties immediately upon request. When filing its initial basic local tariff in this docket, the applicant shall also file and serve upon the parties hereto a written disclosure of: all resale or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas; all portions of its Missouri service

areas for which it does not have a resale or interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carrier; and its explanation of why such a resale or interconnection agreement is unnecessary for any such areas."

12. Tin Can's request for waiver of the applications of the following rules and statutory provisions as they relate to the regulation of Tin Can's new services should be granted:

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Section 392.210.2 Section 392.270 Section 392.280 Section 392.290.1 Section 392.300.2 Section 392.310 Section 392.320 Section 392.330 Section 392.340

#### **COMMISSION RULES**

4 CSR 240-10.020 4 CSR 240-30.040 4 CSR 240-35

13. This Stipulation and Agreement has resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatories and the terms hereof are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation in total, then this Stipulation and Agreement shall be void and no signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. The Stipulations herein are specific to the resolution of this proceeding and are made without prejudice to the rights of the signatories to take other positions in other proceedings.

14. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties and participants waive, with respect to the issues resolved herein: their respective rights pursuant to Section 536.080.1, RSMo. 1994, to present testimony, to cross-examine witnesses, and to present oral argument or written briefs; their respective

rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to Section 536.080.2 RSMo. 1994; and their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to Section 386.500 RSMo 1994 and to seek judicial review pursuant to Section 386.510, RSMo. 1994. The parties agree to cooperate with the Applicant and with each other in presenting this Stipulation and Agreement for approval to the Commission and shall take no action, direct or indirect, in opposition to the request for approval of the Tin Can's application made herein.

15. The Staff may submit a Staff Recommendation concerning matters not addressed in this Stipulation. In addition, if requested by the Commission, the Staff shall have the right to submit to the Commission a memorandum explaining its rationale for entering into this Stipulation and Agreement. Each party of record and participant herein shall be served with a copy of any memorandum and shall be entitled to submit to the Commission, within five (5) days of receipt of Staff's memorandum, a responsive memorandum which shall also be served on all parties and participants. All memoranda submitted by the parties shall be considered privileged in the same manner as settlement discussions under the Commission's rules, shall be maintained on a confidential basis by all parties and participants, and shall not become a part of the record of this proceeding or bind or prejudice the party submitting such memorandum in any future proceeding whether or not the Commission approves this Stipulation and Agreement. The contents of any memorandum provided by any party are its own and are not acquiesced in or otherwise adopted by the other signatories to the Stipulation and Agreement, whether or not the Commission approves and adopts this Stipulation and Agreement.

The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this

Stipulation and Agreement is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided that the Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other parties and participants with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from the Staff. Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure.

16. The Office of the Public Counsel, while not a signatory to this Stipulation and Agreement, has been contacted with regard to its filing and has offered no objection.

WHEREFORE, the signatories respectfully request the Commission to issue its Order approving the terms of this Stipulation and Agreement and issue its Order granting authority and classification as requested by Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C., subject to the conditions described above, as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Shansey, Mo Bar # 3067\$
Of Counsel,
Stewart & Keevil, L.L.C.
1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302
Columbia, Missouri 65201
(573) 499-0635
(573) 499-0638 facsimile

FOR: Tin Can Communications Company, L.L.C.

Marc Postas by W25.

Marc Poston, Mo Bar # 45722 Assistant General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri (573) 751-8701 (573) 751-8592 facsimile

FOR: Staff of the Public Service Commission

Les J. But by WMS.

Leo J. Bub, Mo Bar #34326 Diana J. Harter, Mo Bar #31424 Paul G. Lane, Mo Bar # 27011 Anthony K. Conroy, Mo Bar #35199 Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Rm. 3518 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 235-2508 (314) 331-2193 facsimile

FOR: Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

.....

Tin Can Communications, Inc.

Case No. TA-98-231

~~

# AMENDED

# **APPENDIX B**

Attachment 2 Page 1 of 6 pages

# Exchanges to be Served:

#### Exchanges Served by Southwestern Bell

Adrian	De Soto
Advance	Deering
Agency	Delta
Altenburg-Frohnn	Dexter
Antonia	Downing
Archie	E. Independence
Argyle	East Prairie
Armstrong	Edina
Ash Grove	Eldon
Beaufort	Elsberry
Bell City	Essex
Belton	Eureka
Benton	Excelsior Springs
Billings	Fair Grove
Bismarck	Farley
Bloomfield	Farmington
Bloomsdale	Fayette
Blue Springs	Fenton
Bonne Terre	Ferguson
Boonville	Festus-
Bowling Green	Crystal City
Bridgeton	Fisk
Brookfield	Flat River
Camdenton	Florissant
Campbell	Frankford
Cape Girardeau	Fredericktown
Cardwell	Freeburg
Carl Junction	Fulton
Carrollton	Gideon
Carthage	Gladstone
Caruthersville	Glasgow
Cedar Hill	Grain Valley
Center	Gravois Mills
Chaffee	Gray Summit
Charleston	Greenwood
Chesterfield	Hannibal
Chillicothe	Harvester
Clarksville	Hayti
Clever	Herculaneum-
Climax Springs	Pevely
Creve Couer	Higbee
De Kalb	High Ridge

Hillsboro Holcomb Homersville Imperial Independence Jackson Jasper Joplin Kansas City Kennett Kirksville Kirkwood Knob Noster La Monte Ladue Lake Ozark Lamar Lancaster Leadwood Lees Summit Liberty Lilbourn Linn Lockwood Louisianna Macks Creek Malden Manchester Marble Hill Marceline Marionville Marshall Marston Maxville Mehlville Meta Mexico Moberly Monett Montgomery City Morchouse Nashua

Neosho Nevada New Franklin New Madrid Nixa Oak Ridge Oakville Old Appleton Oran Osage Beach Overland Pacific Parkville Patton Paynesville Perryville Pierce City Pocahontas-New Wells Pond Poplar Bluff Portages des Sioux Portageville Puxico Qulin Raytown Republic Richmond Richwoods Risco Riverview Rogersville Rushville St. Charles St. Clair St. Joseph St. Louis St. Marys Ste. Genevieve San Antonio Sappinton

Scott City Sedalia Senath Sikeston Slater Smithville South Kansas City Spanish Lake Springfield Stanberry Strafford Tiffany Springs Trenton Tuscumbia Union Valley Park Versailles Vienna Walnut Grove Wardell Ware Washington Webb City Webster Groves Wellsville Westphalia Willard Wyatt

. **-**--

#### Exchanges Served by GTE Midwest, Inc.

Alton Amazonia Anapolis Arcola Ashland Augusta Aurora Ava Avenue City Avilla Belgrade Belk **Belleview** Birch Tree Bland Blue Eye Bolckow Boss Bourbon Bradleyville Branson **Branson** West Brayner Bronaugh Brunswick Buffalo Bunker Cabool Caledonia Cameron Canton Cape Fair Cassville Caulfield Cedar Creek Centerville Centralia Chamois Clarence Clark Clarksdale Collins Columbia Concordia

Conway Cosby Crane **Cross** Timbers Cuba Dadeville Dalton Dardenne Defiance Dora Easton **Edgar Springs** El Dorado Elkland Ellsmore Elmer Eminence Everton Ewing Exeter Fillmore Folev Fordland Foristell Forsyth Fremont Gainesville Galena Golden Citv Gorin Gower Greenfield Groverspring Hallsville Hamilton Hartville Hawk Point Helena Hermann Hermitage High Hill Highlandville Holstein Houston

Humansville Hunnewell Hurley Irondale Ironton Jamestown Jenkins Jerico Springs Jonesburg Kahoka Keytesville Kidder Kimberling City Kingston Koshonong La Grange La Plata La Belle Laddonia Lawson Leasburg Lesterville Lewistown Licking Louisburg Lowry City Macon Manes Mano Mansfield Marshfield Marthasville Maysville Milo Monroe City Montauk Monticello Morrison Moscow Mills Mount Vernon Mount Sterling Mountain View Mountain Grove Nebo

New Melle Niangua Norwood O'Fallon Oates Old Monroe Osborn Osceola Ozark Palmyra Paris Perrv Pittsburg Plattsburg Potosi Prairie Home Preston Protem Raymondville **Reeds** Spring Revere Robv Rocheport Rockaway Beach Rockville Rosendale Safe Saint James Saint Peters Santa Fe Sarcoxie Savannah Schell City Seymour Shelbina Shelbyville Sheldon Shell Knob Sparta Springs Stewartsville Stoutsville Sturgeon Thayer

Theodosia Theodosia Thomasville Timber Trimble Troy Truxton Turney Urbana Van Buren Vanzant Vichy Walker Warrenton Washburn Wasola Wayland Weaubleau Wentzville West Plains West Quincy Wheatland Whitesville Willow Springs Winfield Winona Woolridge Wright City

-

# United Telephone Company of Missouri

Appleton City Blackburn Blairstown	Lincoln Lonejack Malta Bend
Brazito	Maryville
Buckner	Missouri City Montrose
Butler Calhoun	
California	Mound City New Bloomfield
Camden Point	Newburg
Centertown	Norborne
Centerview	Oak Grove
Chilhowee	Odessa
Clarksburg	Orrick
Clinton	Otterville
Coal	Pickering
Cole Camp	Platte City
Craig	Pleasant Hill
Dearborn	Richland
Deepwater	Rolla
Edgerton	Russelville
Eugene	Saint Robert
Fairfax	Saint Thomas
Ferrelview	Salem
Fort Leonard	Smithton
Wood	Strasburg
Green Ridge	Sweet Springs
Hardin	Syracuse
Harrisonville	Taos
Henrietta	Tarkio
Holden	Tipton
Holt	Urich
Holts Summit	Warrendburg
Hopkins	Warsaw
Houstonia	Waverly
Ionia	Waynesville
Jefferson City	Wellington
Kearney	Weston
King City	Windsor
Kingsville	
Lake Lotawana	
Lebanon	
Leeton	
Lexington	

DECEIVED JUNIOS 1998

~