LAW OFFICES BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE DAVID V.G. BRYDON AREA CODE 573 JAMES C. SWEARENGEN P.O. BOX 456 TELEPHONE 635-7166 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65 I 02-0456 WILLIAM R. ENGLAND III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON E-MAIL PAB BSE@AOL.COM GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU SONDRA B. MORGAN SARAH J. MAXWELL CHARLES E. SMARR MARK G. ANDERSON DEAN L. COOPER TIMOTHY T. STEWART GREGORY C, MITCHELL JUL 2 8 1998 July 28, 1998 Missouri Public Service Commission Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

00-99-44

Utility Assessments for the Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 1998 Re: Case No. 11,110; Supplemental Order No. 52

Dear Mr. Roberts:

On behalf of West Elm Place Corporation, The Empire District Electric Company, St. Joseph Light & Power Company, UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service, Arkansas Western Gas Company d/b/a Associated Natural Gas Company, Laclede Gas Company and Missouri-American Water Company, enclosed is an original and fourteen (14) copies of an Application for Rehearing and Stay for filing with the Commission in the referenced matter. I would appreciate it if you would see that the copies are distributed to the appropriate Commission personnel.

I have enclosed five (5) extra copies of the document which I request that you stamp "Filed" and return to the person delivering them to you.

Thank you in advance for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C.

By:

Paul A. Boudreau

PAB:db enc.

cc: Office of the Public Counsel

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Į	FILED
	JUL 2 8 100
	Service Commission

In the matter of the assessment)
against the public utilities in the)
State of Missouri for the expenses)
of the Commission for the fiscal)
year commencing July 1, 1998)

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING AND STAY

Case No. 11,110

COME NOW West Elm Place Corporation, The Empire District Electric Company, St. Joseph Light & Power Company, Arkansas Western Gas Company d/b/a Associated Natural Gas Company, Laclede Gas Company, Missouri-American Water Company and UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service (hereinafter "Applicants"), pursuant to §386.500, RSMo 1994, and 4 CSR 240-2.160, and respectfully apply to the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") that it grant rehearing and hold a hearing with respect to its June 29, 1998, Supplemental Order No. 52 in the captioned case. The Commission's June 29, 1998, Supplemental Order No. 52, particularly as it relates to certain Article X transfers, is unlawful, unjust and unreasonable.

- 1. On June 29, 1998, the Commission issued its *Supplemental Order No. 52* in the captioned case pursuant to which it estimated the amounts of expenses directly attributable to all groups of public utilities and, also, the amounts of expenses not directly attributable to any such group. The apparent purpose of said *Supplemental Order No. 52* is to make the public utility assessments provided for pursuant in §386.370, RSMO 1994, for the Commission's fiscal year commencing July 1, 1998 (hereinafter "the 1999 fiscal year").
 - 2. The cover letter accompanying the assessments to Applicants states, in pertinent part,

that "a significant increase in the annual assessment has been experienced this year, primarily as a result of the costs associated with Article X transfers for the Hancock refunds and costs for the move of the Public Service Commission from the Harry S Truman Building to the former Hotel Governor site."

- 3. The June 30, 1998, assessments represent a substantial increase from the prior fiscal year's assessments to Applicants. While Applicants are concerned about the overall magnitude of the increases, there is a larger issue with respect to the appropriate use of monies that are paid into The Public Service Commission Fund ("the Fund") by regulated utilities.
- 4. In this regard, it is Applicants' understanding that the Commission has been directed by the Office of Administration to transfer monies out of the Fund and into General Revenues to facilitate tax refunds to the general public mandated by the Hancock Amendment. These transfers apparently account for much of the increase in this year's assessment.
- 5. The depletion of the Fund for this purpose impacts Applicants and their customers because any reserve left in the Fund at the end of any particular fiscal year is carried over into the next year and reduces the following year's assessments. §386.370.4, RSMo Supp. 1997. It follows that a reduction of the reserve in the Fund to facilitate tax refunds can result in higher assessments in the following years than would otherwise be the case.
- 6. Applicants are aware that the "Article X transfers" are due to factors external to the Commission's customary budgetary considerations and are, thus, the result of circumstances not entirely of the Commission's making and, may not be entirely within the Commission's control. Nevertheless, Applicants believe that they, like the Commission, have the obligation to identify and challenge items that are not eligible to be included in the calculation of the Commission's

assessments. The use of the Fund for any purpose other than to pay the Commission's cost of regulating utilities subject to its jurisdiction is a serious matter and one worthy of serious and thoughtful inquiry. This Application is being filed to facilitate that dialogue.

- 7. The following are specific reasons why the Commission should grant a rehearing and enter upon a hearing concerning the appropriateness of its assessments for the 1999 fiscal year.
 - That aspect of the Commission's estimate of expenses for fiscal year 1999 which includes the cost of Hancock Amendment tax refunds for prior tax years is unauthorized by law because the said "Article X transfer" out of the Fund does not represent a "expense to be incurred by" the Commission during the 1999 fiscal year. See, §386.370.1, RSMo Supp. 1997.
 - That aspect of the Commission's estimate of expenses for fiscal year 1999 which includes the cost of Hancock Amendment tax refunds for prior tax years is unauthorized by law because the said "Article X transfer" out of the Fund is not "reasonably attributable to the regulation of public utilities" as is required by §386.370.1, RSMo Supp. 1997.
 - The "Article X transfer" out of the Fund to facilitate the Hancock Amendment income tax refunds for prior tax years was not authorized by law. The Fund is funded solely by direct assessments on public utilities for the purpose of covering the Commission's costs of operation. The Fund is not funded from general tax revenues and, accordingly, is not an appropriate funding source for refunding excessive taxes to the general public as is provided for by *Mo. Const.*, Art. X, §16-24. Indeed, §386.370.4, RSMo, clearly provides that any amounts in the Fund "shall not revert to the general

revenue fund."

- The "Article X transfer" out of the Fund to facilitate the Hancock Amendment income tax refunds for prior tax years is an unlawful attempt to recover a tax refund. The Hancock Amendment is a constitutional provision the purpose of which is to refund to citizens tax revenues paid by them to which the State of Missouri is not entitled. Any effort to finance such a tax refund through a Commission assessment violates the provisions of the Missouri Constitution. *Mo. Const.*, Art. X, §16-24.
- The Commission's assessment for fiscal year 1999 is unreasonable and unauthorized by law because there is no competent and substantial evidence or other information to show that the estimation of expenses directly and indirectly attributable to regulating particular groups of public utilities comports with the requirements of §386.370, RSMo Supp. 1997.
- Supplemental Order No. 52 is unlawful and of no effect inasmuch as the order of the Commission was made effective the day of issuance. This has deprived those interested parties of a reasonable opportunity to prepare and file an Application for Rehearing. State ex rel. St. Louis County v. Public Service Commission, 360 Mo. 339, 228 S.W.2d 1, 2 (1950).
- 8. In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe that the Commission should stay the effectiveness and enforcement of its *Supplemental Order No. 52* so that a dialogue may be established among the interested parties and the matters hereinabove described may be inquired into, reheard and reconsidered by the Commission.

WHEREFORE, Applicants respectfully request that the Commission stay the effectiveness

and enforcement of Supplemental Order No. 52 issued in the captioned docket, grant a rehearing and enter upon a hearing concerning the propriety of its public utility assessments and other matters related thereto and, upon reconsideration, issue a new Supplemental Order setting aside its June 29, 1998, Supplemental Order No. 52, which new Supplemental Order is consistent with the evidence and the applicable law as more fully set forth above in this pleading.

Respectfully submitted,

James C. Swearengen

#21510

Paul A. Boudreau

#33155

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C.

P.O. Box 456

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456

(573) 635-7166

Attorneys for Applicants

Michael C. Pendergast

(*#*31

Laclede Gas Company

720 Olive Street, Room 1520

St. Louis, MO 63101

(314) 342-0532

Attorney for Laclede Gas Company

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivered, on this 28th day of July, 1998, to:

The Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Present: Lumpe, Drainer, Murray, Schemenauer, and Staff.

Added for Good Cause Shown

Other Discussion

1. Supplemental Order No. 52 - Assessment - Cecil Wright/Davis --4-0, as submitted.

Closed Meeting

Voted 4-0 to close meeting.

- 1. Personnel
 - --Discussed.
- 2. Litigation
 - --Not Discussed.

Chairman

Date Approved

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the assessment)		JUN 3 0 1998
against the public utilities in the)		
State of Missouri for the expenses)	Case No. 11,110	Missouri Public Service Commission
of the Commission for the fiscal)		Service Commission
year commencing July 1, 1998)		

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER NO. 52

Pursuant to the provision of Section 386.370 RSMo Supp. 1997, the Commission has made an estimate of the expenses to be incurred by it during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1998, reasonably attributable to the regulation of public utilities as provided in Chapters 386, 392 and 393, RSMo, and has also separately estimated the amount of such expenses directly attributable to such regulation of each of the following groups of public utilities: electrical corporations, gas corporations, water corporations, sewer corporations, heating companies and telephone corporations, as well as the amount of such expenses not directly attributable to any such group. The estimated amounts of expenses directly attributable to all groups of public utilities is \$9,633,896.

The estimated amount of expenses not attributable to any such group amounts to \$5,712,602.

The Commission estimates that the amount of Federal Gas Safety reimbursement will be \$221,249.

The unexpended balance in the Public Service Commission Fund in the hands of the State Treasurer on

July 1, 1998, is estimated to be \$348,373. The Commission hereby includes these amounts in estimating its need to be \$15,346,498. This estimated unexpended sum of \$348,373 is hereby allocated to each group of public utilities above enumerated in proportion to the respective gross intrastate operating revenues of the respective groups during the calendar year of 1997 as provided in the aforesaid laws.

The Commission has allocated to each group of public utilities the estimated expenses directly attributable to the regulation of such group, and an amount equal to such proportion of the estimated expenses not directly

1998. Said assessment will be due and payable on or before July 15, 1998, or at the option of each public utility, same may be paid in equal quarterly installments on or before July 15, 1998, October 15, 1998, January 15, 1999, and April 15, 1999.

All checks should be made payable to the Director of Revenue, State of Missouri; however, these checks are to be sent to the Missouri Public Service Commission, Internal Accounting Department, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

This order shall be effective from and after the date hereof.

Bul July

Cecil I. Wright
Executive Director

Lumpe, Ch., Murray, Schemenauer, Drainer, CC., Concur.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri on this 29th day of June, 1998.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FY 1999 ASSESSMENT CALCULATION OF PSC ASSESSMENT

FISCAL YEAR 1998 - 1999

FISCAL YEAR 1999 PSC ASSESSMENT

FISCAL YEAR 1998 - 1999		
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STATE OFFICE BUILDING COST TRANSFER OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION ARTICLE X TRANSFER	\$11,709,885 \$15,779 \$496,620 \$134,284 \$534,114	
SUB-TOTAL		\$12,890,682
ADD: O.A.S.D.H.I. STATE RETIREMENT STATE INSURANCE LONG TERM DISABILITY DEFERRED COMPENSATION	\$634,414 \$1,043,258 \$364,883 \$47,270 \$40,800	
SUB TOTAL		\$2,130,625
ESTIMATED CARRY-OVER AFTER 6/30/98		
PERSONAL SERVICE EXPENSE AND EQUIPMENT	\$5,127 \$320,064	
SUB-TOTAL CARRY-OVER	_	\$325,191
TOTAL APPROPRIATION, TRANSFERS, CARRY-OVER		\$15,346,498
LESS: ESTIMATED CASH BALANCE - PSC FUND ESTIMATED D.O.T. GAS SAFETY RECEIPTS	_	(\$348,373) (\$221,249)

\$14,776,876

FY 1999 PSC ASSESSMENT PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FUND ESTIMATED CASH BALANCE JUNE 30, 1998

FY 98 COLLECTIONS CASH BALANCE AT JULY 1, 1997 \$12,429,659

\$1,174,361

TOTAL CASH AVAILABLE

\$13,604,020

EXPENDITURES:

JULY 1, 1997 - MAY 31, 1998

PERSONAL SERVICE EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT

\$6,701,609 \$2,348,890

JUNE, 1998 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES

PERSONAL SERVICE EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT

\$614,957 \$358,002

\$972,959

CARRY-OVER AFTER 06/30/97

\$353,881

\$9,050,499

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

\$10,377,339

TRANSFERRED OUT:

OASDHI \$532,283 STATE RETIREMENT \$751,205 STATE INSURANCE \$335,153 \$35,978 LONG-TERM DISABILITY \$40,616 **DEFERRED COMPENSATION** WORKERS COMPENSATION \$9,425 STATE OFFICE BUILDING COSTS \$476,833 DED/MIS OFFICE SPACE, MAIL ROOM \$8,597 \$688,218 ARTICLE X

\$2,878,308

TOTAL EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS

\$13,255,647

ESTIMATED CASH BALANCE 06/30/98

\$348,373