John R. Ashcroft

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-31.014

COPY

Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.
Name of person to call with questions about this rule:
Content Morris Woodruff Phone 573-751-2849 FAX 573-526-6010
Email address morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010
Email address Christine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.gov
Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BETAKEN
□Emergency rulemaking, include effective date
⊠Proposed Rulemaking
☐ Withdrawal ☐ Rule Action Notice ☐ In Addition ☐ Rule Under Consideration
□ Request for Non-Substantive Change
☐Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking
Effective Date for the Order
☐Statutory 30 days OR Specific date
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text?
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:

Small Business Regulatory
Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS
REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

APR 1 2 2018

RECEIVED





Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

RYAN A. SILVEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF
Secretary

LOYD WILSON
Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

April 12, 2018

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-31.014 Lifeline and Disabled Programs

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo, that the proposed rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rule conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rule complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: section 392.200.2, RSMo Supp. 2013, and sections 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rule, please contact:

Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 Morris. Woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Marie L. Was Du

Enclosures



GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102 P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

February 7, 2018

Daniel Hall
Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

ERIC R. GREITENS

GOVERNOR

This office has received your rulemaking relating to organization, powers, and meetings of the board (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.020; the MoUSFA (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.030; eligibility for funding – high cost areas (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.040; the MoUSF assessment (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.060; disbursement of MoUSF funds (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.090; review procedures for support payments (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.100; review of board and MoUSFA activities (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.110; lifeline program and disabled program (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.120; eligible telecommunications carrier requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-31.130; definitions (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.010; Missouri USF administration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.011; Missouri USF assessment (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.012; Missouri USF high cost support (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.013; lifeline and disabled programs (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.014; ETC requirements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.015; and ETC application requirements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-31.016.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, rescind regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review, we approve the submission of these rule rescissions and proposed rules to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Rob Dixon, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule 4 CSR 240-31.014, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Rob Dixon

Director

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this LH+day of Harch, 20 5 I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Usartiou. State of Missouri, and my commission expires on Sec. 13, 2019



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

Notary Public

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 31—Universal Service

RECEIVED APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE

PROPOSED RULE

4 CSR 240-31.014 Lifeline and Disabled Programs.

PURPOSE: This proposed rule identifies enrollment, funding, and service requirements for the Lifeline and/or Disabled programs.

- (1) Missouri USF support for the Lifeline and Disabled programs is limited to companies that are current on Missouri USF assessment obligations and have a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service or a registration to provide IVoIP service from the commission. ETC designation pursuant to 47 CFR Part 54 Subpart C is a requirement for participation in the Lifeline program but not the Disabled program.
- (2) The Lifeline Program is subject to the federal requirements identified in FCC rules.
- (3) The Disabled Program
 - (A) Eligibility criteria is satisfied by participation in any of the following programs-
 - 1. Veteran Administration Disability Benefits;
 - 2. State Blind Pension;
 - 3. State Aid to Blind Persons;
 - 4. State Supplemental Disability Assistance; or
 - 5. Federal Social Security Disability.
 - (B) If a consumer qualifies for the Disabled program but is not listed as the subscriber then the company must track such instances and annually verify the disabled customer remains within the household.
- (4) A household or subscriber may not simultaneously participate in the Disabled program and the Lifeline program.
- (5) The enrollment form for the Lifeline program should comply with federal requirements. The enrollment form for the Disabled program may be the same form but with the addition of the Disabled program qualifying criteria.
- (6) All Missouri USF support received by a company for the Lifeline or Disabled programs shall flow through to participating customers in the form of discounted essential local telecommunications service.
- (7) The Missouri USF support amount for the Lifeline and Disabled programs is identified on the Missouri USF website. Missouri USF Lifeline support, when combined with federal USF Lifeline support, shall not exceed the sum of an ETC's rate for essential local telecommunications service and subscriber line charge.

APR 1 2 2019

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

(8) In order to receive a Missouri USF disbursement a company must complete an Application for Support Eligibility form within three (3) months of provisioning the service pertaining to the disbursement request. Failure to submit this form within this time period will limit support to the amount requested or to a designated amount approved by the board and posted on the Missouri USF website (whichever is less).

AUTHORITY: section 392.200.2, RSMo Supp. 2013, and sections 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000 (Original authority: 392.200, RSMo 1939, amended 1987, 1988, 1996; 392.248, RSMo 1996; and 392.470.1, RSMo 1987) Original rule filed Aug. 7, 2013, effective April 30, 2014.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, 200 Madison Street. P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City MO 65102-0360. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before July 2, 2018, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2018-0120. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for July 9, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: November 17, 2017

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-31.014

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573-526-5631 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Proposed rule simplifies and streamlines rules, which will reduce any impact on small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Feedback was solicited in Case No. TW-2017-0078 and a workshop.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

The proposed rule applies to companies designated as eligible telecommunications carriers, telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers. No company will be adversely affected by the proposed rule.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Companies designated as eligible telecommunications carriers, telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No_X__

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.