BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express)	
Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and)	
Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate,)	
Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct)	File No. EA-2016-0358
Current Transmission Line and an Associated)	
Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the)	
Maywood - Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.)	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF INFINITY WIND POWER

Infinity Wind Power (Infinity), pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.135(2),(6), and Missouri Supreme Court Rule 56.01(c), hereby moves the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri (MPSC or Commission) for a protective order prohibiting disclosure of Infinity's commercially sensitive business information to any party to this proceeding. Any disclosure of the information that is the subject of this Motion will irreparably harm the competitive interests of Infinity. The Commission's rules governing the designation of confidential information are not sufficient to protect Infinity's interest. Rather, the dissemination of the information, even if confidentially designated, is competitively harmful to Infinity. In support of its Motion, Infinity states the following:

1. On October 26, 2016, the Missouri Landowners Alliance (MLA) issued to the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission (MJMEUC) in the above-captioned matter, a discovery request (DR) consisting of twenty-seven questions seeking, *inter alia*, disclosure of "all documents, correspondence and communications between MJMEUC...and prospective wind farms...related to the purchase of energy by MJMEUC for transmission over the Grain Belt line..." A similar question was posed for all MJMEUC member utilities.

(Questions, MJM.10 and MJM.12, respectively). A copy of the MLA's DR is attached as **Attachment A**.

- 2. MLA's discovery appears to seek information regarding competitive pricing and contract information, and documents between MJMEUC and its prospective energy suppliers, of which Infinity is one. The information sought includes Infinity's commercially sensitive information, and as such should not be disclosed. The disclosure of such information will competitively disadvantage Infinity by publicizing highly protected pricing and contract information during a time when MJMEUC is undergoing current negotiations on power supply contracts. Even if the information is disclosed under the confidential protections imposed on this docket, it still goes into the hands of some of Infinity's competitors and potential contract counter-parties who may become involved in this docket. None of the information sought by the MLA in DRs MJM.10 or MJM.12 is publically available at this time, nor should it have a bearing on the Commission's determination regarding Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC's (Grain Belt) CCN application in this matter.
- 3. As noted, the information sought is sensitive, competitive information of potential wind power providers, such as Infinity, and it is not legally relevant to this proceeding. In determining whether evidence is legally relevant, the Commission must weigh "the probative value of the evidence against the dangers to the opposing party of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, undue delay, waste of time, cumulativeness, or violations of confidentiality. Evidence is legally relevant if its probative value outweighs its prejudicial

¹ Missouri Supreme Court Rule 56.01(c)(7).

effect."² Here, the probative value to the Commission, if any, does not outweigh the prejudicial effect to Infinity.

- 4. MLA appears to preface its request based on a reference in Grain Belt witness Mr. Mark Lawlor's testimony wherein he noted an estimated level of savings anticipated by MJMEUC in purchasing power from wind developers in Kansas as compared to MJMEUC's existing energy contracts.³ The quantifiable value that MJMEUC may see for its members by soliciting and purchasing wind-generated power is not legally relevant to the Commission's inquiry into whether or not Grain Belt's request for a CCN should be granted. Rather, it should be sufficient that MJMEUC has entered into an agreement with Grain Belt for *transmission capacity* on the Grain Belt line, which is the subject of this docket. In other words, MLA does not need the sensitive, proprietary and highly confidential pricing and purchase power contract information of Infinity in order to conduct its analysis of Grain Belt's CCN request.
- 5. Conversely, the release of this information will have a negative impact on negotiations of Infinity by providing competitive advantage to others to the detriment of Infinity. Because the prejudicial effect of disclosing this information greatly outweighs its probative value, the information is not legally relevant.
- 6. The wind generators who chose to seek intervention in this matter did so to in an attempt to provide the Commission with general wind resource information to assist the Commission in making its determination in this matter. Any directive by the Commission to disclose commercially sensitive information will have a chilling effect on interventions and participation by industry in the future.

² Jackson v. Mills, 142 SW 3d 237, 240 (MO. App. W.D. 2004).

³ See, MJM.3, referencing the direct testimony of Mark Lawlor, page 3, lines 16-18.

- 7. Issuing a protective order to prevent the dissemination of sensitive pricing and contract information would be consistent with the Commission's findings in the previous Grain Belt proceeding (File No. 2014-0207). In that docket, the MLA sought disclosure of similar wind generator information⁴ from Grain Belt, Infinity, and TradeWind Energy, Inc. (TradeWind). Grain Belt objected to the disclosure of certain wind generator information, including pricing information, and Infinity⁵ and TradeWind⁶ both filed requests for a protective order to prevent the dissemination of the information.
- 8. The Commission issued its *Order Denying Motion to Compel and Granting Motions for Protective Order* on September 24, 2014 (Protective Order), wherein it found "disclosure of the requested information would be harmful to the business interest of TradeWind and Infinty[,]" that release of the information "would negatively impact their ability to negotiate power contracts with customers[,]" and that "[d]esignation of this information as highly confidential, which permits disclosure to a party's attorneys and expert witnesses, would not adequately protect the information because once that information is known to other persons it could be utilized in the future in other forums."
- 9. In conclusion, a protective order is necessary to protect the confidential, proprietary, and commercially sensitive information of Infinity from disclosure to any party to this proceeding at this time. Further, the issuance of a protective order is consistent with the Commission's previous action under similar facts.

⁴ In addition to pricing information, the information sought in the previous proceeding included the location of proposed wind farms, wind speed data, and algorithmic and modeling data from third-party vendors.

⁵ Motion for Protective Order of Infinity Wind Power, filed Sept. 11, 2014.

⁶ TradeWind Energy, Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order, filed Sept. 8, 2014.

⁷ Protective Order, p. 6.

WHEREFORE, Infinity Wind Power respectfully requests the Commission issue a protective order in this proceeding that prohibits the discovery sought by the MLA specifically in DRs MJM.10, MJM.12 and by extension, MJM.3, because the information sought is commercially sensitive, proprietary and highly confidential information, the release of which at this time will irreparably harm the competitive interests of Infinity. Further, to the extent that additional discovery of a similar nature in this proceeding is sought by MLA or other intervenors, Infinity requests the Commission prohibit the disclosure of such information.

Respectfully submitted,

|s|7erri Pemberton

Terri Pemberton (#60492) (785) 232-2123 Glenda Cafer (KS #13342) (785) 271-9991 CAFER PEMBERTON LLC 3321 SW 6th Avenue Topeka, Kansas Facsimile (785) 233-3040 terri@caferlaw.com glenda@caferlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR INFINITY WIND POWER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties to this proceeding by email or U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 7th day of November 2016.

<u>|s|7erri Pemberton</u>

Terri Pemberton Attorney for Infinity Wind Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express)
Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and)
Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate,)
Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct) Case No. EA-2016-0358
Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter)
Station Providing an interconnection on the Maywood-)
Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line)

Missouri Landowners Alliance First Set of Data Requests To MJMEUC

<u>Definitions</u>: for purposes of these data requests the following words and phrases are defined as indicated:

"Clean Line" means Clean Line Energy Partners LLC

"Correspondence" shall have the broadest meaning possible, including but not limited to, all written or printed matter or electronically stored matter or copies thereof, including the originals and all non-identical copies thereof and any attachments to or enclosures in, including without limitation e-mails, attachments to e-mails, letters, facsimiles, notes of communications, summary of communications, memoranda, opinions about communications, compilations of communications, inter-office and intra-office communications, notations of any sort of conversations or communications, diaries, appointment books or calendars, teletypes, telefax, thermafax, confirmations, computer data (including information or programs stored in a computer, server or other data storage device, whether or not ever printed out or displayed), text messages, and all drafts, alterations, modification, changes and amendments of any of the foregoing, and all graphic or manual records or representations of any kind.

"Communications" means all occasions on which information was conveyed from one person to another (a) by means of a document, including electronically, or (b) verbally, including but not limited to, by means of a telephone or other mechanical device. The word "communications" shall have the broadest meaning possible, including but not limited to, all written or printed matter or electronically stored matter or copies thereof, including the originals and all non-identical copies thereof and any attachments to or enclosures in, including without limitation e-mails, attachments to e-mails, letters, facsimiles, notes of communications, summary of communications, memoranda, opinions about communications, compilations of communications, inter-office and intra-office communications, notations of any sort of conversations or communications, diaries, appointment books or calendars, teletypes, telefax, thermafax, confirmations, computer data (including information or programs stored in a computer, server or other data storage

device, whether or not ever printed out or displayed), text messages, and all drafts, alterations, modifications, changes and amendments of any of the foregoing, and all graphic or manual records or representations of any kind.

"Document" shall be construed in accordance with Missouri Supreme Court Rule 58.01 and shall mean the original and every draft or non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of handwritten notes or underlining or checkmarks on the copy or otherwise) of every paper, electronic record, electronic mail or other record, regardless of origin, location or format, whether sent or received or made or used internally, in whatever form, electronic or otherwise, in the possession, custody, or control of Clean Line, Grain Belt or the person to whom the particular data request is directed, or in the possession, custody or control of the attorneys for Clean Line, Grain Belt or the attorneys for the person to whom the particular data requests are directed.

"Entity" means an individual, a company, a governmental unit or any other form of organization or association.

"Grain Belt" means Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC

"Identify" with respect to a person means to provide, to the extent available, the person's name, employer and business address.

"MJMEUC" means the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission

"MJMEUC Contract" is the contract included with Mr. Mark Lawlor's direct testimony in this case as Schedule MOL-1.

"the project" means the proposed 750-mile transmission line and associated facilities.

"the proposed line" means the HVDC transmission line which is part of the project.

Data Requests:

MJM.1 Please identify the person who was primarily responsible on behalf of the MJMEUC for negotiating the terms of the MJMEUC Contract.

MJM.2 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications exchanged between employees, representatives members or agents of MJMEUC on the one hand, and employees, representatives or agents of Grain Belt or Clean Line on the other, related to the MJMEUC Contract.

MJM.3 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications exchanged between employees, representatives members or agents of MJMEUC on the one hand, and employees, representatives or agents of Grain Belt or Clean Line on the other, related to the analysis or study referenced at page 3 lines 16-18 of the direct

testimony of Mr. Lawlor in which the MJMEUC is said to have estimated a \$10 million annual savings to its members.

- MJM.4 Please provide a list of Missouri utility systems which are members of the MJMEUC, or a link or citation to where that list may be found.
- MJM.5 By what means does MJMEUC anticipate that a member of MJMEUC would legally commit to buy or take any of the capacity on the Grain Belt line which is to be sold to MJMEUC under the terms of the MJMEUC Contract; i.e., by purchase from MJMEUC, by assignment of the capacity rights, or by some other means.
- MJM.6 Please provide a copy of the documents which set forth the terms by which a member of MJMEUC would make the commitment referenced in the preceding item.
- MJM.7 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications between MJMEUC on the one hand, and any Missouri municipal utility system on the other, related to the purchase or assignment of capacity or capacity rights which MJMEUC acquired or agreed to acquire under the MJMEUC Contract.
- MJM.8 Please explain which entity or entities will be responsible for purchase of the energy to be transmitted over the Grain Belt line for delivery to the individual MJMEUC members, utilizing the capacity which is the subject of the MJMEUC Contract.
- MJM.9 Please provide a copy of the documents which describe and delineate the obligations referred to in the preceding item.
- MJM.10 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications between MJMEUC on the one hand, and prospective wind farms on the other, related to the purchase of energy by the MJMEUC for transmission over the Grain Belt line, either on behalf of itself or on behalf of one or more of its members.
- MJM.11 To MJMEUC's knowledge, has any MJMEUC member utility entered into negotiations for the purchase of energy to be delivered over the proposed line? If so, please list those member utilities.
- MJM.12 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications between MJMEUC member utility systems on the one hand, and prospective wind farms on the other, related to the purchase of energy by such member utility system for transmission over the Grain Belt line.
- MJM.13 With reference to page 3 lines 16-18 of the direct testimony of Mr. Lawlor, please provide a copy of the studies or analyses (including work papers) in which the MJMEUC estimated the \$10 million in annual savings to its members.
- MJM.14 If not shown on the materials referenced in the preceding item, who was the primary author of that study or analysis, and on what date was it completed?

MJM.15 Please provide a copy of all studies and analyses compiled by or available to MJMEUC comparing the projected cost to MJMEUC members of electricity from the Project versus the projected cost to MJMEUC members of electricity from other available or potentially available sources.

MJM.16 If not apparent on the studies or analyses provided in response to the preceding item, please state who the primary author was of those studies and analyses, and the date on which they were completed.

MJM.17 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications in which MJMEUC submitted a request for bids or a similar invitation for proposals for power which could be utilized in whole or in part in lieu of the power expected to be delivered over the Grain Belt project.

MJM.18 Please provide a copy of all studies and analyses of projected wholesale electricity prices which MJMEUC utilized when negotiating or considering the MJMEUC Contract.

MJM.19 Please provide a copy of all studies and analyses of historical wholesale electricity prices which MJMEUC utilized when negotiating or considering the MJMEUC Contract.

MJM.20 Please list the member utilities in MJMEUC which have expressed an interest to MJMEUC in utilizing the proposed line to deliver power from the Missouri converter station to the eastern converter station near the Illinois/Indiana boarder.

MJM.21 Please provide a copy of all documents, correspondence and communications available to MJMEUC regarding the possibility of any member utility utilizing the proposed line to deliver power from the Missouri converter station to the eastern converter station.

MJM.22 Prior to July 1, 2015 (when the final Report and Order was issued in 2014 Grain Belt case at the MO PSC) did Grain Belt or Clean Line make a presentation to MJMEUC regarding the purchase of capacity on the proposed line?

MJM.23 If the answer to the preceding item is "yes", please provide the following information: (1) date(s) of all such meetings; (2) attendees at each such meeting; (3) copies of all documents and correspondence related to each such meeting (including emails) whether sent, distributed or presented before, during or after the meeting; and (4) copies of all documents compiled by any employee, agent, representative or member of MJMEUC discussing or addressing the reason or reasons why MJMEUC decided at that time not to purchase capacity on the Grain Belt line, or to enter into any "memorandum of understanding" or similar document related to the purchase of such capacity.

MJM.24 Was the MJMEUC contract approved by a Board of Directors or similar governing entity of MJMEUC?

MJM.25 If the answer to the preceding item is yes, please provide the following: (1) the date on which the MJMEUC contract was approved by that board; (2) copies of all documents presented to the board related to the MJMEUC contract; (3) copies of all minutes and notes of the meeting at which the contract was approved, including a record of any vote taken for approval of the contract; and (4) copies of correspondence, including emails, between Grain Belt or Clean Line on the one hand, and any employee or representative of MJMEUC on the other, related to the board approval of the MJMEUC contract.

MJM.26 Please list the MJMEUC member utilities which have excess renewable generation which could be injected at the Missouri converter station and delivered at the eastern converter station for sale to systems east of Missouri.

MJM.27 For each member utility listed in the preceding item, please provide all available documentation which supports the fact that it has such excess generation.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties to this case by electronic mail this 26th day of October, 2016.

/s/ Paul A. Agathen
Paul A. Agathen
Attorney for the Missouri Landowners Alliance