FILED
January 13, 2011
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Exhibit No.:

Rate Case Expense V. William Harris MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal Testimony

Date Testimony Prepared: SR-2010-0320

December 28, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

V. WILLIAM HARRIS, CPA, CIA

TIMBER CREEK SEWER COMPANY

FILE NO. SR-2010-0320

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2010 Exhibit No. 15

Date 1-5-11 Reporter 71

File No. SR. 2010-0320

1 SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 V. WILLIAM HARRIS, CPA, CIA 4 TIMBER CREEK SEWER COMPANY 5 FILE NO. SR-2010-0320 6 Q. Please state your name and business address. 7 A. V. William Harris, Fletcher Daniels State Office Building, Room G8, 615 East 13th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. 8 9 Q. Are you the same V. William Harris that filed Direct Testimony dated November 23, 2010 and Rebuttal Testimony dated December 21, 2010 in this proceeding? 10 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony? 13 A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to address the Rebuttal Testimony of the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) witness Ted Robertson on the subject of rate case 14 expense. 15 16 Q. On page 18, lines 7 through 9, of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Robertson states 17 the Missouri Public Service Commission's (Commission or PSC) Staff is recommending a 18 rate case expense of "\$23,073 normalized over 3 years or \$7,691 per year [be] included in the 19 cost of service. He [V. William Harris] also states that additional costs will likely be 20 considered for inclusion". Continuing on page 18 (lines 13 and 14) of his Rebuttal Testimony, 21 Mr. Robertson states, "Mr. Harris developed a normalized level of costs based on rate case 22 expense costs incurred in the Company's last rate case." Is this an accurate summation of the 23 Staff's position on rate case expense in this proceeding?

1	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. On page 19 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Robertson states he feels rate case
3	expense should be normalized using actual costs incurred. Do you agree?
4	A. Yes, I do.
5	Q. Why did you normalize rate case expense using rate case costs incurred by the
6	Company in its last rate case rather than actual costs incurred in this case?
7	A. As stated in the Direct Testimonies of Mr. Robertson and myself, the Company
8	had not identified any current rate case costs incurred at the time of those respective filings.
9	Mr. Robertson further supported this fact by stating on page 18 (lines 17 and 18) of his
10	Rebuttal Testimony, "Company now states it has incurred some rate case expense during the
11	period May 2010 to current."
12	Since the Company had not identified any rate case expense in this case at the time of
13	Staff's Direct Filing, Staff normalized the expense based on the expenses the Company
14	incurred in the most recent Timber Creek rate case (Case No. SR-2008-0080). However, as
15	stated in my Direct Testimony, and acknowledged in Mr. Robertson's Rebuttal Testimony,
16	the actual expenses the Company incurs will vary and any reasonably and prudently incurred
17	cost will be considered for inclusion at a later date. Staff will work with Timber Creek and
18	Public Counsel to establish an on-going normalized level of rate case expense based on the
19	actual costs the Company incurs in this case.
20	Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
21	A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Timber Creek Sewer Company Request for a Rate Increase.) File No. SR-2010-0320)
AFFIDAVIT OF V. V	WILLIAM HARRIS
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimo	e case; that the answers in the foregoing e has knowledge of the matters set forth in such
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28	day of December, 2010.
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 08, 2012 Commission Number: 08412071	Huzullanken Novary Public