1.02 Please provide a copy of each and every license, radio station authorization and/or construction permit issued to USCOC by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") pursuant to which USCOC provides service in the state of Missouri. This would include PCS licenses as mentioned at paragraph 2 of the Application.

Response:

Objection. This question is not intended to produce information that is relevant to the disposition of U.S. Cellular's petition. U.S. Cellular has described its proposed ETC service area with specificity in its petition. U.S. Cellular's proposed ETC service area is not the same as its licensed service area, nor is it required to be, because all ETCs are permitted to provide service via their own facilities, resale, or a combination of both. U.S. Cellular has identified the affected ILECs, by wire center, where it is seeking ETC status. The service area information provided is consistent with that provided to other states and the FCC. U.S. Cellular also objects to the unduly burdensome nature of this request. U.S. Cellular does not retain copies of its cellular and PCS licenses in the ordinary course of business, and anyone wishing to examine its licenses may view them by conducting a simple search on the Universal Licensing System on the FCC's web site.

Notwithstanding the above objection, U.S. Cellular provides as Attachment __ hereto a list of all cellular and PCS licenses pursuant to which it provides service in Missouri.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

USCC Missouri CMRS Markets

| 'n |
|---------------------------|
| ž |
| ਨ |
| × |
| 7 |
| - |
| Ä |
| = |
| $\stackrel{\smile}{\sim}$ |
| 亡 |
| 5 |
| 7 |
| • |
| ĸ |
| ⋖ |
| ₹ |
| \exists |
| |
| 面 |
| () |

| <u></u> | | 7 | | _ | | T | - | | Т | _ | | _ | 1 | _ | | _ | _ |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| License aquired date | 21414001 | 3/4/1994 | 10/24/1988 | 9/29/2000 | | 120000 | 4///1992 | 1/15/1993 | 1004100 | 1.66177/0 | 10/22/1998 | 10/19/1993 | AMPOOR | 2002/1 | 4/19/1991 | 8/2/1991 | 12/19/1997 |
| Was license acquired via auction, lottery, or acquisition? | Accusoring | Acquisition | Acquistion | Acquisition | | Acceleration | Acquisition | Acquisition | Accession | Acquisition | Acquisition | Acquisition | Acquisition | Noncomban / | Acquisition | Acquisition | Acquisition |
| %Омпег | 100% | 100% | 200L | 100% | | 100% | 800 | 100% | 100% | 4000 | %201 | 100% | 100% | 4004 | 10078 | 400% | 400% |
| Call Sign State FCC Licensee Name | USCOC Of Greater Missouri 11 C | USCOC Of Greater Missouri 110 | COCO OI Cidatel Missouri, LLC | USCOC Of Greater Missouri, LLC | | USCOC Of Greater Missouri 11 C | 011 | USCOL OF Greater Missouri, LLC | USCOC Of Greater Missouri 11 C | O O Graster Missouri 110 | TOOO OF OTHER PROPERTY. | USCOL OF GREATER MISSOUR, LLC | INSCC NEWCO LLC | USCOC Of Greater Missouri 11 C | TOOO OF OTHER PROPERTY OF THE | USCUC Of Greater Missoun, LLC | USCOC Of Greater Missouri, LLC |
| State | QW | Ç. | | Š | i | OM | 2 | 2 | Q Q | Ç | | 2 | MO | OM | 9 | 2 | 9 |
| Call Sign | KNKA640 | KNKA501 | I. | WP C 1544 MO | | KNKQ327 | KNKNAGE | MANAGO | KNKN695 MO | KNKO450 IMO | KNIKNI270 MO | OJENNINI | KNKN433 | KNKN454 | | +OCMUNIO | KNKN/22 MO |
| Market Name | JOPLIN | COLUMBIA | MO DeA #9 Dutnam 9 Cullina | County AZ-Fuulant & Guillyan | Counties (Partitioned) | MO RSA #3-SCHUYLER | MO RSA #5-I INN | MAIN COLUMN | MO KSA #P-MAKION | MO RSA #11-MONITEAU | MO RSA #13-WASHINGTON | 10 DON 444 DANTON | MO KSA #14-BAKION | MO RSA #15-STONE | MO RSA #16-1 ACI FINE | 10 DO 444 OT 10 HISTORY | NOWN-STA-NON |
| AB B | B1 | B1 | ٥ | c | | A1 | A1 | | 2 | ¥ | Ą | | 2 | Ą | Α1 | 2 | 2 |
| CMA# | 539 | 278 | 505 | 3 | | 206 | 208 | 202 | 200 | 514 | 516 | 517 | | 518 | 519 | 530 | 22 |

PCS BTA AUTHORIZATIONS

| RTA # | POS DO | PCS Block BTA Mamo | 100 | | | | | |
|--------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|--|--------|--|----------------------|
| | | | | State | Call Sign State FCC Licensee Name | %Owner | Was license acquired via auction, lottery, or acquisition? | License aquired date |
| 3TA061 | ш | Burlington, IA (only includes Clark | WPZKZNE | QM | WPZK706 MO IISCOC Of Creater Missaus 11 C | ,,,,,, | | |
| | | BTA) | } i |) | COCCO CI CIORGE MISSOULI, LLC | %001 | Acquisition | 8/1/2001 |
| TA202 | L | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 4 | or. Joseph, MC | KN-H767 | 0 | KNLH767 IMO IUSCOC of St. Joseph Inc. | 7000+ | A | |
| TA414 | S | Codella MO | 2000 | | ייים ביי פייים פייים ווייי | W001 | Acquisition | 6/15/2001 |
| | 200 | Jedana, IVIO | W-1833 | 2 | WP1 S933 TMO TOSCOC Of Greater Missouri 11 C | 100% | Auminor (#95) | 4 (7/1000) |
| | | | | | | 2 | | 2000 |

PCS MTA AUTHORIZATIONS

| MTA Number/Name | PCS Block | Call Sinn | State | Call Sign State 1900 Joseph BTA Assets | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--|--------------|
| | | | | COCC Elegised BIA Area(s) | Fartitioned Area | Spectrum | FCC Licensed Name | %Омпег | Was license | License |
| | | | | | . 4. | | | | acquired via auction, aquired date lottery, or | aquired date |
| | | | | | | | | | acquisition? | - |
| | | | ₽ | MO BTA217 - Jefferson City, MO BTA | BTA217 | 1930-1935 MHz) | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | ∢ | WPY1604 | MO | WPY1604 MO BTA308 - Mt. Vernon-Centralia, IL BTA BTA308 | BTA308 | 10MHz (1850-1855; | 10MHz (1850-1855; 1930-1935 MHz) | 100% | Acquisition | 7/31/2003 |
| | | | Θ | MO BTA383 - Rolla, MO BTA | BTA383 | 10MHz (1850-1855; | | | | |
| | | | | | | (2014) CSC1-OSC1 | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | 4 | W0V:072 | 9 | MACVISTS MAC DEADER OF LINE OF LINE | | 10MHz (1850,1855. | | | | |
| | | 201. | 2 | DIASS4 - St. Louis, MO BIA | BTA394 | 1020 1025 140-1 | 1030 1035 MIL. Florida RSA #8 LLC | 100% | Acquisition | 7/31/2003 |
| | | | | | | (2014) CCC -OCC | | | | 2 |

Schedule NW-4 Page 2 of 11

USCC Missouri CMRS Markets

PCS MTA AUTHORIZATIONS cont.

| MTA Number/Name | PCS Block | Call Sign State | State | USCC Licensed BTA Area(s) | Partitioned Area | Spectrum | FCC Licensed Name | %Owner | Was license | License |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------|--|--------------|
| | | | | | | | | | acquired via auction, aquired date lottery, or semisition? | aquired date |
| | | | | BTA428 - Springfield, MO BTA (partial) | Camden County, MO Stone County, MO Taney County, MO | 10MHz (1850-1855; 1930-1935 MHz) | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | ₹ | WQAY463 MO | MO | BTA428 - Springfield, MO BTA (partial) | Cedar County, MO Dallas County, MO Douglas County, MO Hickory County, MO Laclede County, MO Polk County, MO Texas County, MO Webster County, MO Webster County, MO | 20MHz (1850-1860; 1930-1940 MHz) | USCOC Of Greater Missouri, LLC | 100% | Acquisition | 7/31/2003 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | ∢ | WQAY464 MO | MO | BTA428 - Springfield, MO BTA (partial) | Christian County, MO Dade County, MO Greene County, MO Lawrence County, MO Barry County, MO | 20MHz (1850-1860; 1930-1940 MHz) | USCOC Of Greater Missouri, LLC | 100% | Acquisition | 7/31/2003 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | Ą | WQBT344 MO | MO | BTA428 - Springfield, MO BTA (partial) | Barry County, MO Dade County, MO Lawrence County, MO | 10MHz (1860-1865; 1940-1945 MHz) | USCC NEWCO LLC | 100% | Acquisition | 4/1/2005 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 019 - St. Louis | 4 | WQBH969 MO | MO | BTA090 - Columbia, MO BTA | BTA090 | 10MHz (1850-1855; 1930-1935 MHz) | 10MHz (1850-1855; USCOC Of Greater Missouri, LLC 1930-1935 MHz) | 100% | Acquisition | 7/31/2003 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes: PCS BTA license held under call sign WPTS933 was originally granted to Black Crow Wireless, L.P. on 12/10/01. USCC held an 85% LP interest in Black Crow. The remaining 15% interest in this entity was acquired on 1/7/04.

- 1.08 Paragraph 31 and Exhibit E of the Application discuss and present 16 locations for "proposed sites for initial build-out with use of high-cost support". Please provide the following for each of the proposed 16 sites:
 - (a) Map of the coverage area;
 - (b) The closest town or municipality served;
 - (c) The specific ILEC exchange(s) served;
 - (d) Location using longitude and latitude;
 - (e) Height;
 - (f) Radiated power;
 - (g) How signal quality, coverage or capacity will improve;
 - (h) Estimated cost of constructing each:
 - (i) Estimated population that will be served;
 - (j) Projected start date and completion date;

Response:

Objection. U.S. Cellular objects to the excessively burdensome nature of this question, which requests 16 separate maps of a type that is not prepared in the ordinary course of business. U.S. Cellular also objects to subparts (d), (e), and (f), because they are not intended to produce information that is relevant to the disposition of U.S. Cellular's petition. To U.S. Cellular's knowledge, the data requested in those subparts has never been required by another state PUC as a condition of ETC status. Moreover, the FCC has never required such information in connection with ETC requests that it has granted.

Notwithstanding the above objections, U.S. Cellular is currently preparing a map showing the predicted signal coverage for each of the 16 proposed cell sites referred to in this Request. U.S. Cellular will provide the map as a supplement to this response upon completion and when appropriate steps have been taken to protect the confidential information therein. The table provided as Exhibit E to the Petition shows the closest town or municipality and estimated population that will be affected by the proposed network improvements. The estimated cost of constructing each site ranges from \$250,000 to \$400,000. U.S. Cellular does not know the projected start and completion dates, which are dependent on the date on which U.S. Cellular receives ETC designation. However, U.S. Cellular will update the Commission on its progress as part of the Commission's review of ETC expenditures and provide its best estimates as to completion dates in its regular reports to the Commission.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein 1

Position:

1.22 At paragraph 12, USCOC mentions that other states have "declined to impose a specific minimum quantity of local usage". Please identify which states have declined to impose a minimum quantity of local usage on USCOC and which states, if any, have imposed a minimum quantity of local usage and what the minimum quantity is in those instances where it has been imposed.

Response:

On information and belief, states that have declined to impose a specific minimum quantity of local usage as a condition of granting federal ETC status include, but are not necessarily limited to: Alaska, Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. On information and belief, no state has required a specific minimum amount of local usage as a condition of federal ETC status. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a)(2), any required amounts of local usage would be set by the FCC, not states. The FCC has declined to mandate a minimum level of local usage when it designates ETCs.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

- 1.27 In regard to paragraph 30 of the Application, USCOC mentions its Lifeline and Linkup programs.
 - (a) Please provide a description of the specific Lifeline and Link-up programs that USCOC will implement in Missouri if granted ETC status,
 - (b) Please include specifics at to which of USCOC's existing or prospective rate plans the Lifeline and Linkup discounts will apply.
 - (c) Please include the specific dollar discount associated with these programs.

Response:

For Link-up, U.S. Cellular will provide a discount of 50% off the activation fee, up to a maximum \$30 discount.

For Lifeline, U.S. Cellular will offer the full Tier 1 discount, the amount of which depends on the end user common line charge for each ILEC. U.S. Cellular will offer the full Tier 2 discount of \$1.75. Thus, for a Lifeline consumer who would normally pay an end user common line charge of \$6.50 to the ILEC, this would mean a minimum of \$8.25 of available discounts from USCC. U.S. Cellular has worked very closely with the staff in other states to ensure its compliance with the federal low-income rules and U.S. Cellular fully intends to do so in Missouri if designated. U.S. Cellular will apply the Lifeline discounts to any rate plan selected. Consumers selecting U.S. Cellular's Lifeline plan, which is its lowest-cost plan, may choose it without a credit check. Eligible consumers wishing to select a higher-priced rate plan may do so, subject to U.S. Cellular's ordinary credit requirements.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

- 1.34 Paragraph 39 of the Application states in part as follows: "U.S. Cellular states on information and belief that there are significant areas within its proposed ETC service area that are underserved by wireline telephone facilities."
 - (a) Please explain what USCOC means by "underserved".
 - (b) Please provide all documents or other materials which leads USCOC to have "information and belief" that such areas are underserved.

Response:

By "underserved," U.S. Cellular means that consumers in many portions of its proposed ETC service area do not have the ability to choose among wireline service at prices that are comparable to wireline service available in urban areas. For example, according to the FCC's most recent Local Competition Report, 48% of Missouri's zip codes have no wireline telephone competitors, compared with 22% nationwide. It is also well established that local exchange rates are higher in rural areas, with generally more restrictive local calling areas, than in urban areas. Any area where a consumer has substandard competitive facilities that does not permit consumers to choose an alternative as a substitute for their primary telephone service is underserved.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

1.33 Please describe what roaming charges, if any, will apply to customers who are located in the areas described at paragraph 8, where USCOC only serves via roaming or resale agreements. If this differs by rate plan, please describe such differences.

Response:

No roaming charges will apply to consumers who make calls from within the proposed ETC service area, including consumers who can only be served via resale or roaming arrangements.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

1.38 At paragraph 46, USCOC uses the phrases "primary telephone service" and "primary phone". What does USCOC mean by these phrases?

Response:

U.S. Cellular means that, until sufficient infrastructure improvements are made with the use of high-cost support, wireless service in many areas will not be reliable enough for consumers to depend on their wireless phone for most or all of their communications needs. Without high-quality infrastructure, wireless service is a complementary service and does not have the potential to be a substitute for wireline service.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position:

1.39 At paragraph 30, USCOC states, "there are numerous areas served by RLECs in which there is poor or limited wireless coverage". By this statement, does USCOC mean to infer that there is "poor or limited wireless coverage" as to its own network or as to all wireless providers? If as to "all wireless providers", please provide a list of those Missouri exchanges affected by this Application which USCOC believes have "poor or limited wireless coverage" as to all wireless providers.

Response:

U.S. Cellular responds that its statement refers to all wireless providers. U.S. Cellular's statement is based on the experience of its technicians and sales people who work in the markets where U.S. Cellular provides service. U.S. Cellular does not track its service by wireline exchanges, nor does it have access to other carriers' proprietary RF coverage data. There are many areas where wireless service is poor and can be improved with the construction of additional infrastructure. For example, each of the sixteen communities where U.S. Cellular proposes to construct facilities are not well served by U.S. Cellular's facilities, and most if not all do not have seamless wireless service from any other carrier.

Signature:

Name:

Bradley L. Stein

Position: