

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Sprint
Communications Company L.P. for a Certificate of
Service Authority to Provide Basic Local
Telecommunications Service and Local Exchange
Telecommunications Service.

)
)
) Case No. TA-96-424
)
)
)

REPORT AND ORDER

Issue Date: February 28, 1997

Effective Date: March 11, 1997

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Sprint)
Communications Company L.P. for a Certificate of)
Service Authority to Provide Basic Local) Case No. TA-96-424
Telecommunications Service and Local Exchange)
Telecommunications Service.)
)

APPEARANCES

Paul S. DeFord, Lathrop & Gage L.C., 2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2500,
Kansas City, Missouri 64108, for AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.

Carl J. Lumley, Leland B. Curtis, and Elaine M. Walsh, Curtis, Oetting,
Heinz, Garrett & Soule, P.C., 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200, Clayton,
Missouri 63105, for MCI Telecommunications Corporation, MCImetro Access
Transmission Services, Inc., and Brooks Fiber Communications Services of
Kansas City, Inc.

Paul H. Gardner, Goller, Gardner and Feather, P.C., 131 East High Street,
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, for TCG St. Louis, Inc.

Charles Brent Stewart, French & Stewart Law Offices, 1001 Cherry Street,
Suite 302, Columbia, Missouri 65201,
and

Morton J. Posner, Swidler & Berlin, Chartered, 3000 K Street, N.W.,
Suite 300, Washington, DC 20007, for MFS Intelenet of Missouri, Inc.

Richard S. Brownlee, III, Hendren & Andrae, 235 East High Street, Post
Office Box 1069, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Digital Teleport, Inc.

Thomas E. Pulliam, Ottsen, Mauzé, Leggat & Belz, L.C., 112 South
Hanley Road, Clayton, Missouri 63105, for Ameritech Communications Inter-
national, Inc.

Diana J. Harter, Attorney, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, 100 North
Tucker Boulevard, Room 630, St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1976, for
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.

Linda K. Gardner, Senior Attorney, United Telephone Company of Missouri,
5454 West 110th Street, Overland Park, Kansas 66211, for United Telephone
Company of Missouri.

Victor S. Scott and Craig S. Johnson, Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace &
Baumhoer, L.L.C., 301 East McCarty Street, Post Office Box 1438,
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for: Alma Telephone Company, Chariton
Valley Telephone Corporation, Choctaw Telephone Company, Mid-Missouri

Telephone Company, MoKan Dial, Inc., Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company, and Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.

Sondra B. Morgan and W.R. England, III, Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C., 312 East Capitol Avenue, Post Office Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for: BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone Company, Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville, Missouri, Inc., Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company, Farber Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Inc., Granby Telephone Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, Green Hills Telephone Corporation, Holway Telephone Company, KLM Telephone Company, Kingdom Telephone Company, Lathrop Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone Company, Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company, McDonald County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone Company, New Florence Telephone Company, New London Telephone Company, Orchard Farm Telephone Company, Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone Company, Rock Port Telephone Company, Seneca Telephone Company, Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc., and Stoutland Telephone Company; Bourbeuse Telephone Company and Fidelity Telephone Company.

Julie Thomas Bowles, Attorney, Sprint Communications Company, L.P., 8140 Ward Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri 64114, for Sprint Communications Company, L.P.

Mark P. Johnson, and Lisa C. Creighton, Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, Twentieth Century Tower II, 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100, Kansas City, Missouri 64111, for Kansas City Fiber Network, L.P.

Mary Ann (Garr) Young, William D. Steinmeier, P.C., Post Office Box 104595, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Consolidated Communications Telecom Services Inc.

Michael F. Dandino, Senior Public Counsel, Office of the Public Counsel, Post Office Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Office of the Public Counsel and the public.

Colleen M. Dale, Deputy General Counsel, Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

ADMINISTRATIVE

LAW JUDGES: Dale Hardy Roberts, Chief, and Joyce Hainen.

REPORT AND ORDER

Procedural History

Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Sprint or applicant) applied to the Commission on June 6, 1996, for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri under Sections 392.410 -.450, RSMo 1994¹. Sprint asked the Commission to classify it as a competitive company and waive certain statutes and rules as authorized by Sections 392.361 and 392.420. Sprint is a Delaware limited partnership with its principal business address at 8140 Ward Parkway, 5th Floor, Kansas City, Missouri 64114. U.S. Telecom, Inc. is the General Partner.

On June 25, 1996 the Commission issued an Order and Notice directing parties wishing to intervene in the case to do so by July 25, 1996. On July 30, 1996, the Commission issued an order setting prehearing conference and granting permission to intervene to the following entities:

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT)
The Small Telephone Company Group²
Bourbeuse Telephone Company
Fidelity Telephone Company
GTE Midwest Incorporated (GTE)

¹ All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 1994 and the 1996 Cumulative Supplement, unless otherwise indicated.

² The following companies comprise the "Small Telephone Company Group": BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone Company, Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville, Missouri, Inc., Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company, Farber Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Inc., Granby Telephone Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, Green Hills Telephone Corporation, Holway Telephone Company, KLM Telephone Company, Kingdom Telephone Company, Lathrop Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone Company, Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company, McDonald County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone Company, New Florence Telephone Company, New London Telephone Company, Orchard Farm Telephone Company, Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone Company, Rock Port Telephone Company, Seneca Telephone Company, Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc., and Stoutland Telephone Company.

AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. (AT&T)
MCI Telecommunications Corporation

On August 2, 1996 a pre-hearing conference was held at the Public Service Commission. On August 19, 1996, Sprint filed a motion to consolidate cases TA-96-424 with TA-96-322, TA-96-355 and, TA-96-438. Sprint filed an amended application for certificate on August 20, 1996. On August 20, 1996, the Commission issued its Order consolidating the cases of AT&T (TA-96-322), MCImetro (TA-96-355) and Brooks Fiber Communications of Kansas City, Inc. (Brooks) (TA-96-438). On September 10, 1996 the Commission issued its Order further consolidating the similar cases of Sprint Communications Company L.P.³ (Sprint) (TA-96-424), TCG St. Louis, Inc.⁴ (TCG) (TA-96-345), MFS Intelenet of Missouri, Inc. (MFS) (TA-96-374), Digital Teleport, Inc. (Digital Teleport) (TA-96-406), Ameritech Communications International, Inc. (Ameritech) (TA-96-415), and with AT&T, MCImetro and Brooks.

The parties filed a Stipulation and Agreement (SA) on September 23, 1996. AT&T filed a motion asking that the Commission establish a hearing date to consider approval of the agreement. The Commission Staff (Staff) filed Suggestions in Support of the Stipulation and Agreement on October 15, 1996. Staff's suggestions included sworn testimony by members of the Commission's telecommunications staff. The Commission conducted a hearing on October 23, 1996, where the parties made presentations and were available for Commission questions.

³ Sprint has requested that its application for authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in exchanges served by United be bifurcated. Hence, this stipulation only concerns Sprint's application as to the service areas of SWBT and GTE.

⁴ TCG has submitted a separate stipulation.

Background

Sprint Communications Company L.P., which is certificated to provide intrastate interexchange services in Missouri, seeks authority to provide facilities-based and resold basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications services as well. Local exchange services are considered competitive services and are subject to different rules and statutory requirements than are basic local services. Therefore, they will be considered separately in the discussion below.

Sprint filed an amended application for certificate on August 20, 1996. In the amended application Sprint seeks authority to provide basic local services in all exchanges currently served by SWBT and GTE. The specific exchanges in which Sprint proposes to operate are described in Exhibit D to the amended application. Sprint is not asking for certification in any area that is served by a small incumbent local exchange provider. Sprint is requesting that its basic local exchange services be classified as competitive and that certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived.

Discussion

A. Requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)

Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) requires a foreign limited partnership applying for certification to provide telecommunications services to include in its application a certificate from the Secretary of State showing it is authorized to do business in Missouri, a description of the types of service it intends to provide, a description of the exchanges where it will offer service, and a proposed tariff with a forty-five day effective date. Sprint has provided all the required

documentation including an illustrative tariff. The applicant has requested a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) because it is impractical for Sprint to submit a tariff for approval until it has executed interconnection agreements with the ILECs involved. Sprint cannot firmly price its resold services until it has reached price agreements with the ILECs from which it will purchase those services. The applicant has agreed that, once it is possible to do so, it will submit to the Commission for approval a proposed tariff with a minimum 30-day effective date. Sprint will file the tariff in Case No. TA-96-424 and give notice of the tariff filing to all the parties and participants. Along with that filing Sprint has agreed to provide a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements it has entered into which effect its Missouri service areas. See SA pages 12-13, ¶ 9.

B. Local Exchange Certification

The Commission finds that Sprint's entry into competition in the local exchange telecommunications market is in the public interest and the applicant should be granted a certificate of service authority. The Commission finds that the local exchange services Sprint proposes to offer are competitive and the company should be classified as a competitive company. The Commission is of the opinion that waiving the statutes and Commission rules set out in Ordered Paragraph 4 is reasonable and not detrimental to the public interest.

The Commission determines, by authority of Section 392.470, that Sprint should comply with the following regulatory requirements as reasonable and necessary conditions of certification:

- (1) Applicant must comply with reasonable requests by Staff for financial and operating data to allow Staff to monitor the intraLATA toll market. § 386.320.3.

- (2) Applicant must file tariffs containing rules and regulations applicable to customers, a description of the services provided, and a list of rates associated with those services in accordance with 4 CSR 240-30.010 and § 392.220.
- (3) Applicant may not unjustly discriminate between its customers. §§ 392.200, 392.400.
- (4) Applicant must comply with all applicable rules of the Commission except those specifically waived by this order. §§ 386.570, 392.360.
- (5) Applicant must file a Missouri-specific annual report. §§ 392.210, 392.390.1.
- (6) Applicant must comply with jurisdictional reporting requirements as set out in each local exchange company's access services tariffs. § 392.390.3.
- (7) Applicant must submit to the Staff, on a confidential basis, a copy of the jurisdictional report it submits to local exchange companies. The report must be submitted within ten (10) days of the date on which it is submitted to the local exchange company.

C. Basic Local Service Classification

Section 392.455 sets out the requirements for granting certificates to provide basic local telecommunications service to new entrants. A new entrant must: (1) possess sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service; (2) demonstrate that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission; (3) set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer service, and demonstrate that such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company and is no smaller than an exchange; and (4) offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. In addition, the Commission must give due consideration to equitable access for all Missourians to affordable

telecommunications services, regardless of where they live or the amount of their income, to affordable telecommunications services.

1. Technical, Financial and Managerial Resources and Abilities.

Based upon its verified application, as amended, Sprint asserts that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission can find and conclude that Sprint possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and expertise to provide basic local telecommunications service. *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7A. The parties unanimously agree that Sprint proposes to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7B. The parties unanimously agree that Sprint has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange. *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7C. The parties agree that Sprint will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. *See* SA page 10, ¶ 7D. Further the parties agree that Sprint has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area where it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of their residence or their income, to affordable telecommunications services.⁵ *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7E. Sprint has sought the authority to

⁵ Footnote 11 to the SA states: "The Agreement in Paragraph 7E of this Stipulation and Agreement is without prejudice to each Applicant's right to appear, after proper application and in accord with Commission rules and regulations, in any rulemaking proceeding or other proceeding regarding the Commission's considerations of equitable access under Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. Furthermore, such agreement should not be construed as an admission or conclusion of any Applicant that Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. creates new or specific duties or obligations on telecommunications companies to

provide services which will serve the public interest. *See* SA page 10, ¶ 7F.

2. The Entrant's Proposed Services Satisfy the Minimum Standards Established by the Commission.

Sprint stated in its application that the services it will provide will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. Additionally Sprint agreed to offer basic local services that will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7B.

3. The Geographic Area in Which the Applicant Proposes to Offer Service.

Sprint set out all the exchanges in which it proposes to offer services. Sprint has defined its service area by means of the tariffed exchange areas of the incumbent local exchange companies presently providing basic local service in those exchanges. The parties agreed that Sprint has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and that the area follows incumbent local exchange company exchange boundaries and is no smaller than an exchange. *See* SA page 9, ¶ 7C.

4. The Offering of Basic Local Telecommunications Service as a Separate and Distinct Service.

Sprint has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. *See* SA pages 9-10, ¶ 7D.

5. Equitable Access for All Missourians to Affordable Telecommunications Services.

Sprint has agreed to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it will offer basic local services in compliance with Section 392.455(5). *See* SA page 10, ¶ 7E (see footnote 5).

provide equitable access."

D. Competitive Classification

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider as a competitive company if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation. Section 392.361.2, RSMo. In making that determination, the Commission may consider such factors as market share, financial resources and name recognition, among others. *In the matter of the investigation for the purpose of determining the classification of the services provided by interexchange telecommunication companies within the State of Missouri*, 30 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 16 (1989); *In the matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's application for classification of certain services as transitionally competitive*, 1 Mo. P.S.C.3d 479, 484 (1992). In addition, all the services a competitive company provides must be classified as competitive. Section 392.361.3, RSMo. The Commission has found that whether a service is competitive is a subject for case-by-case examination and that different criteria may be given greater weight depending upon the service being considered. *Id.* at 487.

The parties have agreed that Sprint should be classified as a competitive telecommunications company. *See* SA pages 10-12, ¶ 8. The parties have also agreed that Sprint's switched exchange access services may be classified as competitive services, conditioned upon certain limitations on Sprint's ability to charge for its access services. Sprint has agreed that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission-approved corresponding access rates in effect at the date of certification for the large incumbent LECs within those service areas in which Sprint seeks to operate. The parties have agreed that the grant of

service authority and competitive classification to Sprint should be expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510. *See* SA pages 10-12, ¶ 8. The parties agreed that waiver of the following statutes is appropriate: Sections 392.210.2, 392.270, 392.280, 392.290.1, 392.300.2, 392.310, 392.320, 392.330 and 392.340. The parties also agreed that application of these Commission rules could be waived: 4 CSR 240-10.020, 4 CSR 240-30.040, and 4 CSR 240-35. *See* SA pages 6-8, ¶ 3-4.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

1. The Commission finds that competition in the local exchange and basic local exchange telecommunications markets is in the public interest.
2. The Commission finds that Sprint has met the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(4) for applicants for certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications services with the exception of the filing of a tariff with a 45-day effective date.
3. The Commission finds that Sprint has demonstrated good cause to support a temporary waiver of the tariff filing requirement and the waiver shall be granted.

4. The Commission finds that the local exchange services market is competitive and that granting Sprint a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services is in the public interest. Sprint's certificate should become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
5. The Commission finds that Sprint meets the statutory requirements for provision of basic local telecommunications services and has agreed to abide by those requirements in the future. The Commission determines that granting Sprint a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications service is in the public interest. Sprint's certificate should become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
6. The Commission finds that Sprint is a competitive company and should be granted waiver of the statutes and rules set out in Ordered Paragraph 4.
7. The Commission finds that Sprint's certification and competitive status are expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of Section 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has reached the following conclusions of law:

The Commission has the authority to grant certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications service within the state of Missouri. Sprint has requested certification under Sections 392.410-.450. Those statutes permit the Commission to grant a certificate of service authority where the grant of authority is in the public interest.

The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. §§ 251, et seq.) and Sections 392.185 and 392.200-392.455 were designed to institute competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market in order to benefit all telecommunications consumers. Section 392.185 states that "the provisions of this chapter shall be construed to: (1) Promote universally available and widely affordable telecommunications services; . . . (3) Promote diversity in the supply of telecommunications service products throughout the state of Missouri; . . . (6) Allow full and fair competition to function as a substitute for regulation when consistent with the protection of ratepayers and otherwise consistent with the public interest"

The Commission has the legal authority to accept a Stipulation and Agreement as offered by the parties as a resolution of the issues raised in this case, pursuant to Section 536.060. Based upon the information contained within the Stipulation and Agreement of the parties, the supporting information offered at the hearing on October 23, 1996, and on its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Stipulation and Agreement should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Stipulation and Agreement filed by the parties on September 23, 1996 is approved as submitted.

2. That Sprint Communications Company L.P. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above, to become effective when the company's tariff becomes effective.

3. That Sprint Communications Company L.P. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above, to become effective when the applicant's tariff becomes effective.

4. That Sprint Communications Company L.P. is classified as a competitive telecommunications company. The following statutes and regulatory rules shall be waived:

Statutes

- 392.210.2 - uniform system of accounts
- 392.270 - valuation of property (ratemaking)
- 392.280 - depreciation accounts
- 392.290.1 - issuance of securities
- 392.300.2 - acquisition of stock
- 392.310 - stock and debt issuance
- 392.320 - stock dividend payment
- 392.330 - issuance of securities, debts
and notes
- 392.340 - reorganization(s)

Commission Rules

- 4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income
- 4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts
- 4 CSR 240-35 - reporting of bypass and
customer-specific arrangements

5. That the filing of a 45-day tariff as required by 4 CSR 240-2.060(4)(H) is waived until Sprint Communications Company L.P. has entered into a Commission-approved interconnection agreement that enables it to provide basic local exchange services.

6. That Sprint Communications Company L.P. shall file tariff sheets for approval no later than 30 days after the Commission approves the required interconnection agreement or agreements. The proposed tariff shall reflect the rates, rules, regulations and the services it will offer. The tariff shall include a listing of the statutes and Commission rules waived under Ordered Paragraph 4.

7. That this Report and Order shall become effective on March 11, 1997.

BY THE COMMISSION



**Cecil I. Wright
Executive Secretary**

(S E A L)

Zobrist, Chm., Kincheloe, Crumpton
and Drainer, CC., concur.
McClure, C., not participating.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 28th day of February, 1997.