Exhibit No.:

Off-System Sales Margins – Updated Data Issues:

Witness: Shawn E. Schukar Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Supplemental Direct Testimony

ER-2007-0002

Date Testimony Prepared: September 29, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. SCHUKAR

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri September, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | . 1 |
|-----|---|-----|
| II. | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | . 1 |
| Ш. | OFF-SYSTEM SALES MARGINS – UPDATED DATA | . 2 |

| 1 | SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | OF | |
| 3 | | SHAWN E. SCHUKAR | |
| 4 | | CASE NO. ER-2007-0002 | |
| 5 | | I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. | |
| 7 | A. | My name is Shawn E. Schukar. My business address is One Ameren Plaza, | |
| 8 | 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103. | | |
| 9 | Q. | Are you the same Shawn E. Schukar who previously filed direct | |
| 10 | testimony in this case? | | |
| 11 | A. | Yes. | |
| 12 | | II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | |
| 13 | Q. | What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony? | |
| 14 | A. | AmerenUE filed this case based upon a test year consisting of the 12 months | |
| 15 | ending June 30, 2006, using nine months of actual data and three months of budgeted data | | |
| 16 | (for the months of April, May and June, 2006). As provided for in the Commission's Order | | |
| 17 | Adopting Procedural Schedule and Test Year, I am updating the recommended level of off- | | |
| 18 | system sales margins to include in the Company's revenue requirement based upon | | |
| 19 | AmerenUE witness Timothy D. Finnell's updated PROSYM model run, which has been | | |
| 20 | updated to reflect actual data for the entire test year period. | | |
| 21 | | | |

million dollars.

18

| 1 | III. <u>OFF-SYSTEM SALES MARGINS – UPDATED DATA</u> | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Q. Did using actual data for the months of April to June 2006 change the | |
| 3 | level of off-system sales margins you recommend for inclusion in the Company's | |
| 4 | revenue requirement? | |
| 5 | A. Yes, but only slightly. As Mr. Finnell explains in his supplemental direct | |
| 6 | testimony, the megawatt hours (MWh) available for off-system sales as determined by the | |
| 7 | PROSYM model, using the updated normalized load based upon actual data for this period, | |
| 8 | increased slightly resulting in modeled off-system sales margins of \$183,466,000 versus the | |
| 9 | model results used when my direct testimony was filed (\$179,992,000). | |
| 10 | Q. Has anything else changed in relation to your direct testimony? | |
| 11 | A. No. As Mr. Finnell explains in his supplemental direct testimony, the only | |
| 12 | change to the PROSYM model was to take the updated information for April to June 2006 to | |
| 13 | produce an updated actual annual normalized load. The result was this slight change in off- | |
| 14 | system sales margins. | |
| 15 | Q. Based upon these updated numbers, what is your recommended level of | |
| 16 | off-system sales for inclusion in the Company's revenue requirement? | |
| 17 | A. \$183,000,000, which I derived by rounding the modeling results to the nearest | |

- Q. Does this slight change in your recommendation affect the structure of the alternative off-system sales margin sharing mechanism discussed in your direct testimony?
- A. No. As I discussed in my direct testimony, there exists considerable uncertainty relating to whether a particular level of off-system sales margins, such as the \$183,000,000 I am recommending, can be achieved. Given that the change from \$180,000,000 to \$183,000,000 is small, I would not recommend any change in the sharing bands or structure reflected in the alternative sharing mechanism described in my direct
- 10 Q. Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.

testimony.

9

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area. |) Case No. ER-2007-0002 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN E. SCHUKAR | | | | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss | | | | | |
| CITY OF ST. LOUIS) | | | | | |
| Shawn E. Schukar, being first duly sworn on his oath, states: | | | | | |
| 1. My name is Shawn E. Schuke | ar. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and | | | | |
| I am employed by Ameren Energy, Inc. as Vice President of Ameren Energy. | | | | | |
| 2. Attached hereto and made a p | part hereof for all purposes is my Supplemental | | | | |
| Direct Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 3 | | | | | |
| pages which has been prepared in written fo | rm for introduction into evidence in the above- | | | | |
| referenced docket. | | | | | |
| 3. I hereby swear and affirm that | at my answers contained in the attached testimony | | | | |
| to the questions therein propounded are true and correct. | | | | | |
| · · | Shawn E. Schukar | | | | |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 th | day of September, 2006. | | | | |
| - | O. Bradley Notary Public | | | | |
| My commission expires: | notary rudiic | | | | |

D. Bradley - Notary Public Notary Seal, State of Missouri - St. Louis County Commission #06923315 My Commission Expires 8/6/2010