



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE
Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER
Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/>

March 27, 2000

BRIAN D. KINKADE
Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. TO-2000-450

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and fourteen (14) conformed copies of a **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Julie A. Kardis

Julie A. Kardis
Assistant General Counsel
(573) 751-8706
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

JAK:sw
Enclosure
cc: Counsel of Record

FILED²
MAR 27 2000
Missouri Public
Service Commission

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED²

MAR 27 2000

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of the Application of)
Omniplex Communications Group, LLC)
for Approval of Interconnection)
Agreement Under the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

Case No. TO-2000-450

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, Staff states that the proposed facilities-based interconnection and resale Agreement appears to meet the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). Specifically, the Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

2. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the facilities-based interconnection and resale Agreement pursuant to the Act, direct that any modifications or amendments to the Agreement be submitted to the Commission, and direct that a copy of the Agreement with pages sequentially numbered in the lower right hand corner be submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Julie A. Kardis
Julie A. Kardis
Assistant General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 44450

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8706 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 27th day of March 2000.

Julie A. Kardis

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File
Case No. TO-2000-450
Parties: Omniplex Communications Group, LLC

From: Lisa Mahaney, Telecommunications Department

[Signature] 3/24/00
Utility Operations Division/Date

Wm K Haas 3/27/00 *pk 3-27-00*
General Counsel Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

Date: March 24, 2000

Date Filed: 1/19/00

Staff Deadline: 3/29/00

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2000

DA 3:40pm

COMMISSION COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one)

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☒ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

From	Initials	Date	Revised
Lisa Mahaney	LM	3/24/00	
Wm. Voight	<i>BW</i>	<i>3-24</i>	
Mr. VanEschen			
Mr. Henderson			
Legal			

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed
- ☐ Terms and rates in Agreement similar to those contained in approved Interconnection Agreement, i.e.,
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments and that a copy of the Agreement with pages sequentially numbered in the lower right hand corner be submitted.

Attachment to TO-2000-450
March 24, 2000

On March 23, 2000, Applicant withdrew certain sections at Staff's request as they did not pertain to Missouri operations.

Staff believes this is the first multi-state interconnection agreement submitted in Missouri.

**Service List for
Case No. TO-2000-450
March 27, 2000**

**Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**Paul G. Lane/Katherine C. Swaller/
Leo J. Bub/Anthony Conroy
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3520
St. Louis, MO 65101**

**Mark W. Comley
Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537**