



**Commissioners**

**SHEILA LUMPE**  
Chair

**HAROLD CRUMPTON**

**CONNIE MURRAY**

**ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER**

**M. DIANNE DRAINER**  
Vice Chair

**Missouri Public Service Commission**

POST OFFICE BOX 360  
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102  
573-751-3234  
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)  
<http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/>

May 24, 2000

**BRIAN D. KINKADE**  
Executive Director

**GORDON L. PERSINGER**  
Director, Research and Public Affairs

**WESS A. HENDERSON**  
Director, Utility Operations

**ROBERT SCHALLENBERG**  
Director, Utility Services

**DONNA M. KOLLIS**  
Director, Administration

**DALE HARDY ROBERTS**  
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

**DANA K. JOYCE**  
General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts  
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**RE: Case No. TO-2000-620**

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

*Julie A. Kardis by MDP.*

Julie A. Kardis  
Assistant General Counsel  
(573) 751-8706  
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

JAK:sw  
Enclosure  
cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED<sup>2</sup>  
MAY 24 2000

Missouri Public  
Service Commission

In the Matter of the Master Resale )  
Agreement of Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a )  
Sprint, and Comm South Companies, Inc. )

Case No. TO-2000-620


STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff states that the proposed interconnection and resale Agreement appears to meet the standards set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). Specifically, the Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
2. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the proposed interconnection and resale Agreement pursuant to the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE  
General Counsel

  
Julie A. Kardis  
Assistant General Counsel  
Missouri Bar No. 44450

Attorney for the Staff of the  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
(573) 751-8706 (Telephone)  
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

4

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May 2000.



---

# MEMORANDUM

**RECEIVED**

MAY 23 2000

11:20 pm

COMMISSION COUNSEL  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**To:** Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File  
Case No. TO-2000-620  
Parties: Sprint Missouri, Inc. and Comm South

**From:** Lisa Mahaney, Telecommunications Department

*[Signature]* 5/23/00  
Utility Operations Division/Date

*Wm K Hara* 5/23/00  
General Counsel Office/Date

*APL* 5-23-00

**Subject:** Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

**Date:** May 17, 2000

**Date Filed:** 4/4/00

**Staff Deadline:** 6/11/00

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one)

- ☒ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☐ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? ☐ Yes ☒ No

From	Initials	Date	Revised
Lisa Mahaney	LM	5/17/00	
Wm. Voight	<i>BV</i>	<i>5-22</i>	
Mr. VanEschen	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>5/23</i>	
Mr. Henderson			
Legal			

**Interconnection Agreement Review Items**

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed
- ☒ Terms and rates in Agreement similar to those contained in approved Interconnection Agreement, i.e., TO-2000-257, Sprint and NOW Communications, Inc.
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments

**Service List for  
Case No. TO-2000-620  
May 24, 2000**

**Office of the Public Counsel  
P.O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**Linda K. Gardner  
Sprint Missouri, Inc.  
5454 W. 110th Street, 10th Floor  
Overland Park, KS 66211**

**Mark W. Comley  
Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.  
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301  
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537**