ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. BOX 1438

700 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE

EUGENE E. ANDERECK

TERRY M. EVANS

ERWIN L. MILNE

JACK PEACE

CRAIG S. JOHNSON

RODRIC A. WIDGER
GEORGE M. JOHNSON

BEVERLY J. FIGG WILLIAM S. LEWIS

VICTOR S. SCOTT

COL, DARWIN MARMADUKE HOUSE JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-1438 TELEPHONE 573-634-3422

FAX 573-634-7822

January 3, 2001

COREY K, HERRON MATTHEW M. KROHN

LANETTE R. GOOCH

SHAWN BATTAGLER ROB TROWBRIDGE

OSEPH M. PAGE

, OSE 11 1 11GE

LISA C. CHASE

WILLIAM SPRAY

OF COUNSEL:

MARVIN L. SHARP

PATRICK A. BAUMHOER

GREGORY C. STOCKARD (1904-1993)

PHIL HAUCK (1924-1991)

SENT BY FACSIMILE

The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Re:

TO-2000-667

FILED³

JAN 0 3 2001

Missouri Public Service Commission

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find an original and 8 copies of the Position Statement of the Missouri Independent Telephone Group. A copy of this letter and a copy of the enclosed Position Statement has been faxed this day to all attorneys of record.

Thank you for seeing this filed.

Sincerely.

,

Johnson

CSJ/dl

Encl.

W. R. England III/Brian T. McCartney (Fax No. 635-0427)

Paul G. Land/Leo J. Bub (Fax No. 314 331-2193)

Paul S. DeFord (Fax No. 816 292-2001) Gary Godfrey (Fax No. 660 874-4111) David Jones (Fax No. 660 834-6630)

General Counsel

Office of the Public Counsel

Mid-Mo Managers (Regular Mail)

Kevin Zarling

Charles Brent Stewart

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED	}
JAN 0 3 2001	

Service Commissio	_
Service Commissio	_

In the Matter of the Investigation into the Effective Availability for Resale of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Local Plus Service by Interexchange))	Case No. TO-2000-667
Companies and Facilities-Based)	
Competitive Local Exchange Companies.)	

POSITION STATEMENT OF THE MITG

COMES NOW the MITG and submits the following statement of position with respect to the issues:

1. Is SWBT properly making Local Plus service available for resale to IXCs and CLECs?

MITG Position

No. CLECs and IXCs may utilize SWB switching or dialing pattern functionality in order to resell Local Plus. SWB is improperly attempting to require these CLECs and IXCs to utilize local reciprocal compensation arrangements in order to resell Local Plus. SWB is doing this in order to divest itself of the responsibility to pay terminating access.

In its prior Order, the Commission directed that SWB was to make dialing pattern functionality available on an unbundled network element basis. This direction did not require the use of reciprocal compensation arrangements in order for CLECs or IXCs to resell Local Plus. Reciprocal compensation arrangements are only available for local traffic. The Commission ruled that Local Plus traffic was not local. Reciprocal compensation arrangements

contained in interconnection agreements do not apply to resold Local Plus. Reciprocal compensation arrangements do not apply at all to IXCs who are eligible to resell Local Plus

- 2. Who should be responsible for paying terminating access charges to third-party LECs when:
- a. Local Plus is being offered through pure resale of SWBT's retail Local Plus offering?

MITG Position

SWB remains responsible to pay terminating access charges to third-party LECs when LP is offered through pure resale, without the use of its own facilities, or without the use of UNE facilities provided by SWB.

- b. Local Plus is being offered through a facility-based carrier's purchase of unbundled switching from SWBT?
 - c. Local Plus is being offered through a facility-based carrier's own switch?

MITG Position

SWB should remain responsible for paying terminating access charges for all resold Local Plus, regardless of whose facilities are used in provisioning the call. It is SWB's tariffed Local Plus service which is being resold. SWB's service by its own tariff terms includes termination to all third-party LECs in the LATAs. SWB was ordered to pay terminating access to third party LECs to whom Local Plus calls terminated.

SWB was ordered to provide resold Local Plus at a uniform discount to both CLECs and IXCs. This was the sole justification for not requiring an imputation test to determine if Local Plus revenues covered its costs. Local Plus is anti-competitive to CLECs and IXCs if it does not cover its costs. CLECs and IXCs should not be required to incur the additional costs of

terminating access, as this would destroy the competitive neutrality to IXCs and CLECs of being allowed to resell at a uniform discount.

SWB should not be allowed to divest itself of the responsibility to pay terminating access for resold Local Plus traffic. SWB is attempting to require CLECs and IXCs reselling Local Plus to utilize local reciprocal compensation arrangements to do so, despite the fact that Local Plus is not local. SWB in its reciprocal compensation arrangements then attempts to require CLECs and IXCs to be responsible for terminating access to third party LECs. Requiring CLECs and IXCs to incur terminating access costs destroys competitive neutrality. The original justification for approving Local Plus will continue to exist only if SWB remains responsible to pay terminating access for all Local Plus traffic.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.

Bv

Craig S Johnson MO Bar No. 28179

The Col Darwin Marmaduke House

700 East Capitol

Post Office Box 1438

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Telephone: (573) 634-3422 Facsimile: (573) 634-7822

ATTORNEYS FOR MITG

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify t	that a true and	accurate copy	of the foregoing was
mailed, via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this	3 day of	Janary	, 2001, to all
attorneys of record in this proceeding.		1//	

Craig S. Johnson MO Bar No. 28179