# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application for Approval of an	)	
Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement By	)	
and Between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.,	)	Case No. TK-2006-0344
d/b/a/ AT&T Missouri, and Xspedius Management	)	
Co. Switched Services, L.L.C., and Xspedius	)	
Management Co. of Kansas City, L.L.C.	)	

## ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENT TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Issue Date: April 3, 2006 Effective Date: April 13, 2006

This order approves the amendments to the interconnection agreement between the parties filed by Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Missouri.

On March 2, 2006, AT&T Missouri filed an application with the Commission for approval of an amendment to its interconnection agreement with Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, L.L.C., and Xspedius Management Co. of Kansas City, L.L.C. (collectively referred to as Xspedius). AT&T Missouri and Xspedius have an interconnection agreement between them, which the Commission approved in Case No. TK-2006-0043. In the current application, the parties have agreed to amend the interconnection agreement. The amendments were filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The amendment would delete the parties' current "Attachment 12: Intercarrier Compensation", and would substitute a new "Attachment 12:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq.

Intercarrier Compensation." Both AT&T Missouri and Xspedius hold certificates of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri.

Although Xspedius is a party to the Agreement, it did not join in the application.

On March 6, 2006, the Commission issued an order making Xspedius a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than March 27, 2006.

The Commission did not receive any timely requests for a hearing.

Under Section 252(e) of the Act, any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation must be submitted to the Commission for approval. The Commission may reject an agreement if it finds that the agreement is discriminatory or that it is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

On March 29, 2006, the Staff of the Commission filed a memorandum and recommendation. The Staff memorandum recommends that the amendments to the Agreement be approved and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any further amendments to the Commission for approval.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission concludes that the Agreement as amended meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement as amended is not

inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement as amended shall be conditioned upon the parties submitting any further amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

#### **Amendment Procedure**

The Commission has a duty to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.<sup>2</sup> In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize amendments to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection.<sup>3</sup> This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission.<sup>4</sup>

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all amendments, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed amendment must be submitted pursuant to Commission rule 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

#### **Conclusions of Law**

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

<sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 4 CSR 240-3.545.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,<sup>5</sup> is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.<sup>6</sup> Based upon its review of the amendments to the Agreement between AT&T Missouri and Xspedius and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement as amended is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and shall be approved.

The Commission notes that prior to providing telecommunications services in Missouri, a party shall possess the following: (1) an interconnection agreement approved by the Commission; (2) except for wireless providers, a certificate of service authority from the Commission to provide interexchange or basic local telecommunications services; and (3) except for wireless providers, a tariff approved by the Commission.

### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The amendments to the interconnection agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Missouri, and Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, L.L.C., and Xspedius Management Co. of Kansas City, L.L.C., filed on March 2, 2006, are approved.
- 2. Any changes or amendments to this Agreement shall be submitted in compliance with 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

- 3. This order shall become effective on April 13, 2006.
- 4. This case may be closed on April 14, 2006.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Ronald D. Pridgin, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 3rd day of April, 2006.