BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Case No. TK-2005-0447
)	
)	
)	
)	
)))))))

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless ("CVW") and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri ("SBC"). The Interconnection Agreement consists of two agreements between the parties that cover the interconnection terms for direct traffic and for indirect transiting traffic.
- 2. The Commission's authority to approve or reject this Interconnection Agreement is pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 3. On June 14, 2005, SBC filed objections to CVW's application, objecting to CVW's having filed the transit traffic agreement. SBC also objects to "the Commission's proceeding to approve the transit agreement," arguing that the transit traffic agreement "is not an interconnection agreement for which the Commission's approval is required under the Act." The

Commission recently rejected SBC's arguments in a case involving the same interconnection agreement. In Case No. TK-2005-0304, the Commission concluded:

The Act requires that interconnection agreements be filed for approval with the state commission. An interconnection agreement is any agreement, negotiated or arbitrated, that contains terms of interconnection. Transit service falls within the definition of interconnection service. SBC and Chariton have an agreement covering transit service. Because the transit agreement is an interconnection service, it must be filed with the Commission for approval.¹

4. The Staff concludes that the Interconnection Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement, and the Agreement is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. The Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval. The Staff further recommends that the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the entire Interconnection Agreement, including the transit traffic agreement.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends approval of the Interconnection Agreement.

Interconnection Agreement, pp. 3-4, May 19, 2005.

2

¹ In the Matter of the Application of Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership, d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. TK-2005-0304, Amended Order Rejecting

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

/s/ Marc D. Poston

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
marc.poston@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 16th day of June 2005.

/s/ Marc D. Poston

MEMORANDUM

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. TK-2005-0447				
	Party: Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless				
	Type of Certification:				
	None				
	Basic Local				
	Local (restricted to private l	ine)			
	Local (no restrictions) Interexchange				
	Party: Southwestern Bell Telephon	e Company, L.P. d/b/a	a SBC Missouri		
	☐ None				
	Basic Local Local (restricted to private l	ine)			
	Local (no restrictions)	me)			
	Interexchange				
From:	Sherri Kohly, Telecommunications	Department			
	William L. Voight 06/14/05	Marc Poston 06/15/	05		
	Utility Operations Division/Date				
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approva	al of Interconnection A	Agreement		
Date:	June 16, 2005				
Date Filed:	May 27, 2005	Staff Deadline:	June 23, 2005		
	munications Department Staff (Staff es submitted (may check more than o		arties be granted		
	Resale Agreement				
	Facilities-based Interconnection Ag	reement			
	Wireless Interconnection Agreemen	nt			

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

		Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement. Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.			
		Interconnection Agreement Review Items			
\boxtimes	No app	plications to intervene filed.			
\boxtimes	Agreement signed by both Parties.				
assess Th relief/s should delinq	ment. e Compaction of the instruction.	any is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC any is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested in the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant ructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the l report Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:			
		achment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special s: X Yes No			

Attachment 1

Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership d/b/a Chariton Valley Wireless (CVW) filed this Application for Approval of both a Direct Interconnection Agreement (Attachment 1) and a related Transit Traffic Services Agreement attached to a Wireless Service Provider (WSP) Agreement (Attachment 2). Attachment 1 includes an amendment which incorporates the FCC's Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan.

Although CVW correctly numbered the pages of the Direct Interconnection Agreement and the Amendment (Attachment 1), it failed to number the WSP Agreement and the attached Transit Traffic Services portion of the Agreement (Attachment 2). Staff recommends that the Commission order CVW to file the entire agreement, Attachments 1 and 2, numbered in seriatim.