STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 26th day of June, 1996.

)

))

In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Approval of Interconnection Agree-) ment under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 With Communications Cable-Laying Company, d/b/a Dial US.

) <u>Case No. TO-96-440</u>

ORDER MAKING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT PUBLIC, ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE, AND GRANTING PARTICIPATION WITHOUT INTERVENTION

On June 17, 1996, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWB) filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) for approval of an interconnection agreement entered into between SWB and Communications Cable-Laying Company, d/b/a Dial US (Dial US). The application is filed for approval under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). SWB states that this is the first agreement in the State of Missouri negotiated and executed under Section 252 of the Act. SWB states that no outstanding issues remain between SWB and Dial US. SWB requests that the agreement be considered confidential.

The Commission opened this docket to consider the interconnection agreement between SWB and Dial US. The Commission has held the interconnection agreement under seal pending a decision whether to allow intervention by other interested persons and whether to make the agreement public. On June 20, 1996, the "Mid-Missouri Group of Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies" (Mid-Missouri Group)¹ filed an application requesting the Commission issue a protective order in this case and grant it intervention.

ß

¹The following companies comprise the Mid-Missouri Group: Alma Telephone Company, Chariton Valley Telephone Corporation, Choctaw Telephone Company, Mid-Missouri Telephone Company, MoKan Dial Inc., Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company, and Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.

The Commission has considered the request by SWB to keep the interconnection agreement under seal and the application by the Mid-Missouri Group to intervene and review the agreement. After that consideration, the Commission finds that some participation by other telecommunications companies in this proceeding will enable it to better judge whether the interconnection agreement violates the two tests established by the Act. The Commission, therefore, finds that proper persons should be allowed, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.075(5), to participate without intervention for the limited purpose of filing initial comments and briefs addressing the federal standards set out below. The Act limits the Commission's ability to reject the agreement to the following grounds:

§252(e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION

- APPROVAL REQUIRED.--Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.
- (2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION. -- The State commission may only reject --
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; ...

To allow parties a full opportunity to review the agreement and determine whether they wish to file comments, the Commission finds that the interconnection agreement between SWB and Dial US should be made a public document. The Commission finds no part of the agreement that should be held from

2

public view, especially in light of the fact that, if approved, it will be made public ten days after that approval.

Based upon the above decisions, the Commission will establish a procedural schedule which expedites this case. The Commission has only ninety days to consider the agreement and reach a decision, and thus, parties will be required to respond quickly. The Commission will also schedule a hearing for the Commission to ask questions of SWB and Dial US concerning the agreement. Commission Staff and the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) shall file initial comments and briefs as scheduled by other parties. SWB and Dial US may file briefs as scheduled. Since the Mid-Missouri Group has already filed for intervention, the Commission will grant it participation without intervention as described in this order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Mid-Missouri Group of Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies is hereby granted participation without intervention, limited to filing written comments and a brief.

2. That the following procedural schedule is established for this case:

Applications to participateJuly 12, 1996without interventionJuly 19, 1996Staff, OPC, and participantsJuly 19, 1996initial commentsJuly 31, 1996Hearing (Commission questions)July 31, 1996BriefsAugust 12, 1996Order issuedSeptember 13, 1996

The hearing will be held in the Commission's hearing room on the fifth floor of the Harry S Truman State Office Building, 301 West High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Any person(s) with special needs as addressed by the Americans With Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least

3

ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline -- 1-800-392-4211, or TDD Hotline -- 1-800-829-7541.

3. That applications for participation without intervention shall be filed with the Executive Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, on or before July 12, 1996, and a copy sent to:

> Diana J. Harter, Attorney Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Room 630 100 North Tucker Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1976

4. That the Commission's Records Department shall file the interconnection agreement in the Commission case file as a public document.

5. That this order shall become effective on the date hereof.

BY THE COMMISSION

Savid L Rauch

David L. Rauch Executive Secretary

(SEAL)

Zobrist, Chm., McClure, Kincheloe, Crumpton and Drainer, CC., concur.

ALJ: Wright.