

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No.: **TK-2005-0309**

From: Arthur P. Kuss
Telecommunications Department

Date: April 15, 2005

William Voight 04/15/05
Utility Operations Division/Date

/s/ William K. Haas 04/18/05
General Counsel's Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Amendment to Interconnection Agreement

Date Filed: March 17, 2005

Staff Deadline: April 22, 2005

Party: **Nextel West Corp., d/b/a Nextel (Nextel)**

Type of Certification: ☒ None
☐ Basic Local
☐ Local (Restricted to Private Line)
☐ Local (No Restrictions)
☐ Interexchange

Party: **Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri (SBC Mo)**

Type of Certification: ☐ None
☐ Basic Local
☐ Local (Restricted to Private Line)
☒ Local (No Restrictions)
☐ Interexchange

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☐ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☒ Wireless Interconnection Agreement Amendment addressing Intercarrier Compensation for Internet Service Provider (ISP)-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic as provided under Federal Communications Commission (FCC) ISP Compensation Order

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

- 1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
- 2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

- ☒ No applications to intervene have been filed. Intervention

Deadline: April 13, 2005

- ☒ The Commission has made SBC Mo a Party to this Case.
- ☒ The Agreement has been signed by both Parties.
- ☒ Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.
- ☐ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

Annual Report & Assessment Information

- ☒ The Parties are not delinquent in filing annual reports or paying the PSC assessments.
- ☐ The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.
- ☐ No annual report. ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:
- ☐ There is an attachment to this Appendix indicating additional recommendations or special considerations.