| | | Page 20 |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1 | STATE OF MISSOURI | |
| | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Prehearing Conference | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | December 19, 2012 | |
| | Jefferson City, Missouri | |
| 8 | Volume III | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Big River Telephone Company,) | |
| | LLC, | |
| 11 |) | |
| | Petitioner,) | |
| 12 |) | |
| | vs.)Case No. TC-2012-0284 | |
| 13 |) | |
| | Southwestern Bell, LP, d/b/a) | |
| 14 | AT&T Missouri,) | |
| |) | |
| 15 | Respondent.) | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 1.0 | Michael Bushmann, Presiding | |
| 18 | Regulatory Law Judge | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 23 | | |

| | | Page 21 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES | |
| 2 | For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission: | |
| | Mr. John Borgmeyer | |
| 3 | Public Service Commission | |
| | 200 Madison Street | |
| 4 | 9th Floor | |
| | Jefferson City, MO 65102 | |
| 5 | (573) 751-3234 | |
| 6 | For Big River Telephone Company, LLC: | |
| | Mr. Brian Howe | |
| 7 | General Counsel | |
| | Big River Telephone, LLC | |
| 8 | 24 South Minnesota Street | |
| | Cape Girardeau, MO 63702-1608 | |
| 9 | (573) 651-3373 | |
| 10 | For AT&T Missouri: | |
| 11 | Mr. Robert J. Gryzmala | |
| 12 | Attorney at Law | |
| 13 | One Bell Center, Room 3516 | |
| 14 | St. Louis, MO 63101 | |
| 15 | (314) 242-8812 | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | REPORTED BY: | |
| 19 | Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR | |
| 20 | Midwest Litigation Services | |
| 21 | 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 | |
| 22 | Jefferson City, MO 65109 | |
| 23 | (573) 636-7551 | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 1 | | |

| | Page 22 |
|----|---|
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: We're on the record. |
| 3 | Today is December 19th, 2012, at 2:00 p.m., and the |
| 4 | Commission has set this time for a discovery conference |
| 5 | in the case captioned as Big River Telephone Company, |
| 6 | LLC, versus Southwestern Bell Telephone, LP, doing |
| 7 | business as AT&T Missouri. The case number is |
| 8 | TC-2012-0284. |
| 9 | My name is Michael Bushmann, and I'm the |
| 10 | Regulatory Law Judge in this matter. Let's begin with |
| 11 | counsel making their entries of appearance. For Big |
| 12 | River Telephone Company? |
| 13 | MR. HOWE: Brian Howe. |
| 14 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: AT&T Missouri? |
| 15 | MR. GRYZMALA: Bob Gryzmala. |
| 16 | MR. GERMAN: And Hans Germann. |
| 17 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Commission |
| 18 | Staff? |
| 19 | MR. BORGMEYER: John Borgmeyer. |
| 20 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Is there anyone else on |
| 21 | the telephone that hasn't already identified |
| 22 | themselves? |
| 23 | MR. BORGMEYER: Yes, Judge. Staff |
| 24 | witness Bill Deutsch just walked in, and he's here, |
| 25 | too. |

| | Page 23 |
|----|--|
| 1 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: As far as preliminary |
| 2 | matters, I did see today that there was a motion filed |
| 3 | by Big River requesting leave to file out of time; is |
| 4 | that correct, Mr. Howe? |
| 5 | MR. HOWE: Yes, your Honor. |
| 6 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Did you want to take |
| 7 | that up today? |
| 8 | MR. HOWE: Yes. Because it it deals |
| 9 | with our objections to the second set of discovery. |
| 10 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Can you explain |
| 11 | MR. HOWE: That would be would be |
| 12 | perfect. I'm sorry? |
| 13 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Can you explain what the |
| 14 | basis for your motion is? |
| 15 | MR. HOWE: Yes. I simply forgot that the |
| 16 | Court had issued an order that limited the time to |
| 17 | object and respond to the last round of discovery |
| 18 | requests. And so I filed it a day later than the |
| 19 | five-day period that the Order set out. |
| 20 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Mr. Gryzmala, do you |
| 21 | have any position on that request? |
| 22 | MR. GRYZMALA: Your Honor, I'm somewhat |
| 23 | at a disadvantage. I just received the Motion today |
| 24 | and the Objections yesterday afternoon. I have not |
| 25 | conferred with my clients. |

Page 24 In that absence, I have no real terms to 1 2 object to the grant of the motion. I would point out 3 that, you know, obviously, as your Honor well knows, the discovery order in August was put in place and 5 we're all charged with knowledge of what it says and how to abide. 7 And I would point out that when Big River 8 submitted its discovery to AT&T on December 10 -because I will tell you that we submitted discovery to Big River, and that's the subject of the objections 10 that Mr. Howe is talking about and Big River submitted 11 discovery on us. AT& -- AT&T provided its objections 12 13 to Big River's discovery on Monday in a timely fashion. 14 With regard to the discovery that Mr. Howe refers to, I -- you know, I -- I mean, I 15 understand. I acknowledge his statement that he forgot 16 17 about the Order, but he was -- he emphasized in his discovery -- in Big River's discovery directed to us 18 that the discovery request herein shall be answered 19 within ten calendar days of service with five calendar 20 21 days allowed for objections or requests. It was not forgotten then. So we can't 22 -- I am not in a position and have not conferred with 23 24 my clients as yet to concur with the motion. I would 25 object -- or hear -- seek -- ask the Commission to deny

Page 25 it on that basis. 2 You know, your Honor, having said that, I 3 do take note that Mr. Howe has indicated in those four to which he objected that he will provide a response. 5 I think, generally speaking, Counsel sometimes make the determination of whether to pursue an objection at all, 6 7 you know, made by the other party once they see what 8 the other party has answered. You see what I'm saying? We all make that call. So I'm not saying 9 that my position here is locked in or set in stone 10 because I realize Big River said they would provide an 11 12 answer. That won't be forthcoming until tomorrow, I 13 gather, on this schedule. 14 But at this time, I simply -- for the reasons I stated, I cannot agree to the Motion. 15 16 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Thank you. Mr. 17 Borgmeyer, does Staff have any position on this 18 request? 19 MR. BORGMEYER: No, your Honor. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. It seems to me 20 21 that Mr. Howe has stated good cause for his request. don't see any undue prejudice involved here to AT&T, so 22 I'm going to grant the request for -- that Mr. Howe 23 makes to file his objections out of time. 24 25 MR. HOWE: Thank you, your Honor.

Page 26 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Are there any other 2 discovery matters that need to be brought up at this 3 point? MR. GRYZMALA: There are a number of 4 5 objections that AT&T Missouri made to Big River's 6 discovery request. 7 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. I haven't seen anything on that. I'm not sure -- we don't have 8 another discovery conference scheduled, but I quess if it's necessary -- if the parties want to compel 10 discovery on any of this that you would need to notify 11 12 me that there's a problem with that. We can do that informally, like we did 13 earlier in the -- through an informal telephone 14 conference without the need to have a formal Motion to 15 Compel. That may save some time since we are running a 16 17 bit short of time at this point. 18 So I would suggest that if parties have some disagreements that can't be resolved that may be 19 20 the way to go. 21 I don't know what your time frame is for doing that, but we are getting into the holidays, so I 22 don't want to wait too long before we resolve these 23 24 matters. So there is objections on both sides, I understand; is that correct? 25

| | Page 27 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. GRYZMALA: Yes, your Honor. |
| 2 | MR. HOWE: Correct. |
| 3 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I think if those |
| 4 | can't be resolved, then perhaps you might want to try |
| 5 | and set up some informal phone conference maybe at the |
| 6 | end of next week. |
| 7 | I'm going to be out of the office on |
| 8 | Monday and Tuesday of next week, but if you want to do |
| 9 | it after that and see, the week after that might be |
| 10 | more difficult for me. |
| 11 | My schedule, I'm traveling out of town |
| 12 | the first week of January for part of that week. |
| 13 | MR. GRYZMALA: Your Honor, I'll I'll |
| 14 | suggest that I $$ I am out the entire week of next |
| 15 | week. And more problematic is that many of us and our |
| 16 | folks here at the company are out that entire week next |
| 17 | week. |
| 18 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. GRYZMALA: I this is a situation I |
| 20 | cannot control. I inherited it. You know, it's worth |
| 21 | knowing that, in our business, if you don't take your |
| 22 | vacation, you lose it, and so that there is an urgency |
| 23 | for folks to have their vacation which they haven't |
| 24 | always used, and they can't move forward into |
| 25 | January. |

Page 28

- 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And it's fine. It may
- 2 be there that if there are disagreements where parties
- 3 cannot resolve them and they need to get them fixed by
- 4 the end of the year, we may need do that in the next
- 5 couple of days, then, to avoid the problem that you're
- 6 describing.
- 7 MR. GRYZMALA: Uh-huh. A fair number of
- 8 those people are already gone, but, I mean, I'm not
- 9 opposed to taking them up. I just don't know how else
- 10 to do it at all.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: Right.
- 12 MR. HOWE: I'd be willing to talk about
- 13 it right now if that would that help.
- 14 MR. GRYZMALA: Well, you know -- this is
- 15 Bob. I might point out that, you know, discovery
- 16 responses are due tomorrow. So we may have things we
- 17 want to talk about as well after as we see the
- 18 responses tomorrow. So it might make more sense to
- 19 wait a day or two.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I haven't seen
- 21 anything about any of the objections at this point.
- 22 I'd like to have a chance to look at them first before
- 23 we talk about it and I try to make any sort of ruling
- 24 on it.
- 25 So why don't we see how that goes and we

Page 29

- 1 can kind of see how you are able to resolve matters and
- 2 then go from there. And we'll try and get schedules
- 3 worked out as best we can.
- 4 MR. GRYZMALA: Let me ask you, your Honor
- 5 -- I'm just asking information. I don't want to be
- 6 remiss. Did I or we miss an obligation to submit to
- 7 your Honor objections?
- 8 I don't believe I've submitted them to
- 9 any other than Brian Howe and John Borgmeyer.
- 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That's fine. I don't
- 11 think you -- you didn't -- you didn't need to submit --
- 12 you didn't need to submit them --
- MR. GRYZMALA: I thought we were supposed
- 14 to provide the responses into EFIS. I'm not sure.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: I don't think you need
- 16 to provide me with anything. It's just a matter if it
- 17 reaches the point where you want to compel production.
- MR. GRYZMALA: All right.
- 19 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And at that point, we
- 20 can try and resolve it informally to save time.
- 21 MR. GRYZMALA: Okay. I misspoke. I just
- 22 -- my understanding was neither objections or responses
- 23 was placed into EFIS.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: Right.
- 25 MR. GRYZMALA: Okay. All right. Thank

| | Page 30 |
|----|---|
| 1 | you. |
| 2 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anyone have any |
| 3 | anybody else have anything else you want to bring up or |
| 4 | discuss before we go off the record? |
| 5 | MR. BORGMEYER: Just real quick, Judge, I |
| 6 | just wanted to remind the parties that whatever |
| 7 | discovery responses they provide, if they could share |
| 8 | those with Staff just to ensure that Staff's got all |
| 9 | the same facts that AT&T and Big River have. |
| 10 | MR. GRYZMALA: You had an issue to DR, |
| 11 | didn't you, John? |
| 12 | MR. BORGMEYER: We had an issue to DR. |
| 13 | I think we just had an understanding from the beginning |
| 14 | that |
| 15 | MR. GRYZMALA: Right. I recall that. |
| 16 | MR. BORGMEYER: Yeah. |
| 17 | MR. GRYZMALA: AT&T plans to do that. We |
| 18 | had served you you do have our objections, correct? |
| 19 | MR. BORGMEYER: Yes. And I believe the |
| 20 | only discovery responses that have been filed so far in |
| 21 | this case were Big River's that they filed in EFIS on |
| 22 | August 20, and I don't I don't know that the parties |
| 23 | necessarily have to file the discovery responses in |
| 24 | EFIS so long as Staff gets a copy of everything. |
| 25 | MR. GRYZMALA: We will certainly do that. |

| | Page 31 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. HOWE: All right. So, John, you |
| 2 | already have a copy of those first responses? |
| 3 | MR. BORGMEYER: And those are the ones |
| 4 | that I just mentioned that had filed you in EFIS? |
| 5 | MR. HOWE: Yes. |
| 6 | MR. BORGMEYER: Yeah. I have yeah. |
| 7 | we have those. |
| 8 | MR. HOWE: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. BORGMEYER: Yeah. So we're good. I |
| 10 | just wanted to make sure just to remind everybody going |
| 11 | forward to just share the discovery with Staff. |
| 12 | MR. HOWE: No problem. |
| 13 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. If there |
| 14 | isn't anything else, then, we're off the record. Thank |
| 15 | you, gentlemen. |
| 16 | MR. HOWE: Thank you. |
| 17 | MR. BORGMEYER: Thank you, Judge. |
| 18 | MR. GRYZMALA: Thank you. |
| 19 | JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. |
| 20 | (The proceedings were concluded at 2:15 |
| 21 | p.m. on December 19, 2012.) |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | Page 32 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | STATE OF MISSOURI) | | | |
| 4 |) ss. | | | |
| 5 | COUNTY OF OSAGE) | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand | | | |
| 8 | Reporter, Certified Court Reporter #0538, and | | | |
| 9 | Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, | | | |
| 10 | within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify | | | |
| 11 | that I was personally present at the proceedings as set | | | |
| 12 | forth in the caption sheet hereof; that I then and | | | |
| 13 | there took down in stenotype the proceedings had at | | | |
| 14 | said time and was thereafter transcribed by me, and is | | | |
| 15 | fully and accurately set forth in the preceding pages. | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0539 | | | |
| 23 | Registered Professional Reporter | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

| | <u> </u> | • | <u> </u> | • |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| A | 28:15 | chance 28:22 | deny 24:25 | 30:23 |
| abide 24:6 | Borgmeyer | charged 24:5 | describing 28:6 | filed 23:2,18 |
| able 29:1 | 21:2 22:19,19 | City 20:7 21:4 | determination | 30:20,21 31:4 |
| absence 24:1 | 22:23 25:17 | 21:22 | 25:6 | fine 28:1 29:10 |
| accurately | 25:19 29:9 | clients 23:25 | Deutsch 22:24 | first 27:12 |
| 32:15 | 30:5,12,16,19 | 24:24 | difficult 27:10 | 28:22 31:2 |
| acknowledge | 31:3,6,9,17 | Commission | directed 24:18 | five 24:20 |
| 24:16 | Boulevard | 20:1 21:2,3 | disadvantage | five-day 23:19 |
| afternoon | 21:21 | 22:4,17 24:25 | 23:23 | fixed 28:3 |
| 23:24 | Brian 21:6 | company 20:10 | disagreements | Floor 21:4 |
| agree 25:15 | 22:13 29:9 | 21:6 22:5,12 | 26:19 28:2 | folks 27:16,23 |
| allowed 24:21 | bring 30:3 | 27:16 | discovery 22:4 | forgot 23:15 |
| answer 25:12 | brought 26:2 | compel 26:10 | 23:9,17 24:4 | 24:16 |
| answered | Bushmann | 26:16 29:17 | 24:8,9,12,13 | forgotten 24:22 |
| 24:19 25:8 | 20:17 22:2,9 | concluded | 24:14,18,18 | formal 26:15 |
| anybody 30:3 | 22:14,17,20 | 31:20 | 24:19 26:2,6 | forth 32:12,15 |
| appearance | 23:1,6,10,13 | concur 24:24 | 26:9,11 28:15 | forthcoming |
| 22:11 | 23:20 25:16 | conference | 30:7,20,23 | 25:12 |
| asking 29:5 | 25:20 26:1,7 | 20:5 22:4 | 31:11 | forward 27:24 |
| Attorney 21:12 | 27:3,18 28:1 | 26:9,15 27:5 | discuss 30:4 | 31:11 |
| AT&T 20:14 | 28:11,20 | conferred | doing 22:6 | four 25:3 |
| 21:10 22:7,14 | 29:10,15,19 | 23:25 24:23 | 26:22 | frame 26:21 |
| 24:8,12 25:22 | 29:24 30:2 | control 27:20 | DR 30:10,12 | fully 32:15 |
| 26:5 30:9,17 | 31:13,19 | copy 30:24 | due 28:16 | |
| August 24:4 | business 22:7 | 31:2 | d/b/a 20:13 | $\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{G}}$ |
| 30:22 | 27:21 | correct 23:4 | | G 22:1 |
| avoid 28:5 | | 26:25 27:2 | $\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{O}}}$ | gather 25:13 |
| | | 30:18 | E 21:1,1 22:1,1 | General 21:7 |
| B | C 21:1 22:1 | counsel 21:7 | earlier 26:14 | generally 25:5 |
| basis 23:14 | calendar 24:20 | 22:11 25:5 | EFIS 29:14,23 | gentlemen |
| 25:1 | 24:20 | COUNTY 32:5 | 30:21,24 31:4 | 31:15 |
| beginning | call 25:9 | couple 28:5 | emphasized | GERMAN |
| 30:13 | Cape 21:8 | Court 23:16 | 24:17 | 22:16 |
| believe 29:8 | caption 32:12 | 32:8 | ensure 30:8 | Germann |
| 30:19 | captioned 22:5 case 20:12 22:5 | CSR 21:19 | entire 27:14,16 entries 22:11 | 22:16 |
| Bell 20:13 | 22:7 30:21 | 32:22 | | getting 26:22 Girardeau 21:8 |
| 21:13 22:6 | cause 25:21 | D | everybody 31:10 | |
| best 29:3 | CCR 21:19 | $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 22:1 | | go 26:20 29:2 30:4 |
| Big 20:10 21:6 | 32:22 | day 23:18 | explain 23:10 23:13 | 30:4 goes 28:25 |
| 21:7 22:5,11 | Center 21:13 | 28:19 | 23.13 | going 25:23 |
| 23:3 24:7,10 | certainly 30:25 | days 24:20,21 | F | 27:7 31:10 |
| 24:11,13,18 | CERTIFICA | 28:5 | facts 30:9 | good 25:21 |
| 25:11 26:5 | 32:1 | deals 23:8 | fair 28:7 | 31:9 |
| 30:9,21 | Certified 32:7 | December 20:7 | far 23:1 30:20 | grant 24:2 |
| Bill 22:24 | 32:8 | 22:3 24:8 | fashion 24:13 | 25:23 |
| bit 26:17 | certify 32:10 | 31:21 | file 23:3 25:24 | Gryzmala |
| Bob 22:15 | 22.10 | J1.21 | | Ji yamata |
| | · | ! | <u> </u> | 1 |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 21:11 22:15 | J 21:11 | M | 26:4 28:7 | 26:3,17 28:15 |
| 22:15 23:20 | January 27:12 | Madison 21:3 | | 28:21 29:17 |
| 23:22 26:4 | 27:25 | making 22:11 | 0 | 29:19 |
| 27:1,13,19 | Jefferson 20:7 | matter 22:10 | O 22:1 | position 23:21 |
| 28:7,14 29:4 | 21:4,22 | 29:16 | object 23:17 | 24:23 25:10 |
| 29:13,18,21 | John 21:2 | matters 23:2 | 24:2,25 | 25:17 |
| 29:25 30:10 | 22:19 29:9 | 26:2,24 29:1 | objected 25:4 | preceding |
| 30:15,17,25 | 30:11 31:1 | Mealy 21:19 | objection 25:6 | 32:15 |
| 31:18 | Judge 20:18 | 32:7,22 | objections 23:9 | Prehearing |
| guess 26:9 | 22:2,10,14,17 | mean 24:15 | 23:24 24:10 | 20:5 |
| | 22:20,23 23:1 | 28:8 | 24:12,21 | prejudice |
| <u> </u> | 23:6,10,13,20 | mentioned 31:4 | 25:24 26:5,24 | 25:22 |
| Hans 22:16 | 25:16,20 26:1 | Michael 20:17 | 28:21 29:7,22 | preliminary |
| hear 24:25 | 26:7 27:3,18 | 22:9 | 30:18 | 23:1 |
| help 28:13 | 28:1,11,20 | Midwest 21:20 | obligation 29:6 | present 32:11 |
| hereof 32:12 | 29:10,15,19 | Minnesota 21:8 | obviously 24:3 | Presiding |
| holidays 26:22 | 29:24 30:2,5 | Missouri 20:1 | office 27:7 | 20:17 |
| Honor 23:5,22 | 31:13,17,19 | 20:7,14 21:2 | Okay 25:16,20 | problem 26:12 |
| 24:3 25:2,19 | | 21:10 22:7,14 | 26:7 27:18 | 28:5 31:12 |
| 25:25 27:1,13 | K | 26:5 32:3,10 | 29:21,25 31:8 | problematic |
| 29:4,7 | kind 29:1 | misspoke 29:21 | once 25:7 | 27:15 |
| Howe 21:6 | know 24:3,15 | MO 21:4,8,14 | ones 31:3 | proceedings |
| 22:13,13 23:4 | 25:2,7 26:21 | 21:22 | opposed 28:9 | 20:3 31:20 |
| 23:5,8,11,15 | 27:20 28:9,14 | Monday 24:13 | order 23:16,19 | 32:11,13 |
| 24:11,15 25:3 | 28:15 30:22 | 27:8 | 24:4,17 | production |
| 25:21,23,25 | knowing 27:21 | Monnie 21:19 | OSAGE 32:5 | 29:17 |
| 27:2 28:12 | knowledge | 32:7,22 | | Professional |
| 29:9 31:1,5,8 | 24:5 | motion 23:2,14 | $\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}^{21} + \mathbf{P}^{22}}$ | 32:9,23 |
| 31:12,16 | knows 24:3 | 23:23 24:2,24 | P 21:1,1 22:1 | provide 25:4 |
| | т | 25:15 26:15 | pages 32:15 | 25:11 29:14 |
| I | <u>L</u> | move 27:24 | part 27:12 | 29:16 30:7 |
| identified | Law 20:18 | | parties 26:10 | provided 24:12 |
| 22:21 | 21:12 22:10 | N | 26:18 28:2 | Public 20:1 |
| III 20:8 | leave 23:3 | N 21:1 22:1 | 30:6,22 | 21:2,3 32:9 |
| indicated 25:3 | Let's 22:10 | name 22:9 | party 25:7,8 | pursue 25:6 |
| informal 26:14 | limited 23:16 | necessarily | people 28:8 | put 24:4 |
| 27:5 | Litigation | 30:23 | perfect 23:12 | p.m 22:3 31:21 |
| informally | 21:20 | necessary | period 23:19 | |
| 26:13 29:20 | LLC 20:10 | 26:10 | personally | Q |
| information | 21:6,7 22:6 | need 26:2,11,15 | 32:11 | quick 30:5 |
| 29:5 | locked 25:10 | 28:3,4 29:11 | Petitioner | R |
| inherited 27:20 | long 26:23 | 29:12,15 | 20:11 | R 21:1 22:1 |
| involved 25:22 | 30:24 | neither 29:22 | phone 27:5 | |
| issue 30:10,12 | look 28:22 | Notary 32:9 | place 24:4 | reaches 29:17 |
| issued 23:16 | lose 27:22 | note 25:3 | placed 29:23 | real 24:1 30:5 |
| J | Louis 21:14 | notify 26:11 | plans 30:17 | realize 25:11 |
| | LP 20:13 22:6 | number 22:7 | point 24:2,7 | reasons 25:15 |
| | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| recall 30:15 24:18 26:5 sort 28:23 terms 24:1 vs 20:12 received 23:23 Robert 21:11 South 21:8 Thank 25:16 W 30:4 31:14 Room 21:13 20:13 22:6 31:14,16,17 W 21:21 refers 24:15 round 23:17 speaking 25:5 31:18 wait 26:23 regard 24:14 RPR 21:19 ss 32:4 things 28:16 28:19 Registered 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 2:24 6 3 27:4 |
|--|---------------------|
| record 22:2 Robert 21:11 Southwestern 25:25 29:25 W 30:4 31:14 Room 21:13 20:13 22:6 31:14,16,17 W 21:21 refers 24:15 round 23:17 speaking 25:5 31:18 wait 26:23 regard 24:14 RPR 21:19 ss 32:4 things 28:16 28:19 Registered 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 2:24 6 3 27:4 |
| Robert 21:11 Southwestern 23:23 29:23 W 21:21 | 2:24 6 3 27:4 |
| refers 24:15 round 23:17 speaking 25:5 31:18 wait 26:23 regard 24:14 RPR 21:19 ss 32:4 things 28:16 walked 23 Registered 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 2:24 6 3 27:4 |
| regard 24:14 RPR 21:19 ss 32:4 things 28:16 28:19 Registered ruling 28:23 St 21:14 think 25:5 27:3 walked 22 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 2:24 6 3 27:4 |
| regard 24:14 RPR 21:19 ss 32:4 things 28:16 28:19 Registered ruling 28:23 St 21:14 think 25:5 27:3 walked 22 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 6 3 27:4 |
| Registered ruling 28:23 St 21:14 think 25:5 27:3 walked 22 32:9,23 running 26:16 Staff 21:2 29:11,15 want 23:6 Regulatory 22:18,23 30:13 26:10,23 | 6 3 27:4 |
| Regulatory 22:18.23 30:13 26:10,2% | 3 27:4 |
| | |
| | .17 |
| 20:18 22:10 | |
| remind 30:6 S 21:1,19 22:1 31:11 time 22:4 23:3 29:5,17 | 30:3 |
| 31:10 32:7,22 Staff's 30:8 23:16 25:14 wanted 30 | 0:6 |
| remiss 29:6 save 26:16 State 20:1 32:3 25:24 26:16 31:10 | |
| REPORTED 29:20 32:10 26:17,21 way 26:20 | |
| 21:18 saying 25:8,9 stated 25:15,21 29:20 32:14 week 27:6 | |
| Reporter 32:8 says 24:5 statement timely 24:13 27:12,12 | * |
| 32:8,9,23 schedule 25:13 24:16 today 22:3 23:2 27:15,10 | |
| REPORTER'S 27:11 stenotype 23:7,23 we'll 29:2 | |
| 32:1 scheduled 26:9 32:13 tomorrow we're 22:2 | |
| request 23:21 schedules 29:2 stone 25:10 25:12 28:16 31:9,14 | |
| 24:19 25:18 second 23:9 Street 21:3,8 28:18 willing 28 | |
| 25:21,23 26:6 see 23:2 25:7,8 subject 24:10 town 27:11 witness 22 | |
| requesting 23:3 25:22 27:9 submit 29:6,11 transcribed worked 2 | |
| requests 23:18 28:17,25 29:1 29:12 32:14 worth 27: | :20 |
| 24:21 seek 24:25 submitted 24:8 TRANSCRI | |
| resolve 26:23 seen 26:7 28:20 24:9,11 29:8 20:3 Y | |
| 28:3 29:1,20 sense 28:18 suggest 26:18 traveling 27:11 yeah 30:1 | |
| resolved 26:19 served 30:18 27:14 Truman 21:21 31:6,6,9 | |
| 27:4 service 20:1 Suite 21:21 try 27:4 28:23 year 28:4 | |
| respond 23:17 21:2,3 24:20 supposed 29:13 29:2,20 yesterday | 7 |
| Respondent Services 21:20 sure 26:8 29:14 Tuesday 27:8 23:24 | |
| 20:15 set 22:4 23:9,19 31:10 two 28:19 # | |
| response 25:4 25:10 27:5 #0528 22: | .0 |
| responses 32.11,13 = 1 = 0 #0520.22. | |
| 28:16,18 snare 30:7 take 23:6 23:3 Un-nun 28:7 | . 22 |
| 29:14,22 30:7 31:11 27:21 understand 1 | |
| 30:20,23 31:2 sheet 32:12 talk 28:12,17 24:16 26:25 10 24:8 | |
| right 28:11,13 short 26:17 28:23 understanding 10.20:7.31 | 1:21 |
| 29:18,24,25 Shorthand talking 24:11 29:22 30:13 19th 22:3 | |
| 30:15 31:1,13 32:7 TC-2012-0284 undue 25:22 | |
| 31:19 sides 26:24 20:12 22:8 urgency 27:22 2 | |
| River 20:10 simply 23:15 telephone 2:00 22:3 | _ |
| 21:6,7 22:5 25:14 20:10 21:6,7 v 2:15 31:20 | |
| 22:12 23:3 situation 27:19 22:5,6,12,21 vacation 27:22 20 30:22 | |
| 24:7,10,11 somewhat 26:14 27:23 200 21:3 | |
| 25:11 30:9 23:22 tell 24:9 versus 22:6 2012 20:7 | 22:3 |
| River's 24:13 sorry 23:12 ten 24:20 Volume 20:8 31:21 | |
| | |

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| 207 21:21 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |
| 24 21:8 | | | | |
| 242-8812 21:15 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 314 21:15 | | | | |
| 3432 21:21 | | | | |
| 3516 21:13 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 573 21:5,9,23 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 63101 21:14 | | | | |
| 636-7551 21:23 | | | | |
| 63702-1608 | | | | |
| 21:8 | | | | |
| 651-3373 21:9 | | | | |
| 65102 21:4 | | | | |
| 65102 21:4 65109 21:22 | | | | |
| 03109 21.22 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 751-3234 21:5 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 9th 21:4 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| L | • | • | • | • |