John R. Ashcroft

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-28.010



Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.				
Name of person to call with questions about this rule:				
Content Morris Woodruff Phone 573-751-2849 FAX 573-526-6010				
Email address Morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov				
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010				
Email address Christine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.gov				
Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO				
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BETAKEN				
☐ Emergency rulemaking, include effective date				
⊠Proposed Rulemaking				
□Withdrawal □Rule Action Notice □In Addition □Rule Under Consideration				
□ Request for Non-Substantive Change				
☐ Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking				
Effective Date for the Order				
☐Statutory 30 days OR Specific date				
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? □NO				
☐YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:				

Small Business Regulatory
Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS
REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

APR 1 2 2018

RECEIVED





Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

RYAN A. SILVEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

LOYD WILSON
Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

April 12, 2018

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-28.010 Definitions

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed amendment lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed amendment will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo, that the proposed amendment does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed amendment conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed amendment complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.040, 386.250 and 386.310, RSMo 2000, section 392.450, RSMo Supp. 2013, and section 392.461, RSMo Supp. 2014.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed amendment, please contact:

Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 Morris.Woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Morris L. Wooduff

Enclosures



GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

February 9, 2018

Daniel Hall
Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

ERIC R. GREITENS

GOVERNOR

This office has received your proposed rulemaking relating to general provisions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.020; certification or registration requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.030; reporting requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.040; assessment requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.050; service requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.060; tariffs (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.070; interconnection agreements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.080; 211 service (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.090; definitions (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.010; certificate of service authority and/or registration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.011; annual reports, statements of revenue and assessments (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.012; tariff filings and interconnection agreements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.013; network configuration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.014; 211 service (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.015; telephone numbering (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.016; general provisions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.010; definitions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.020; thousands-block number pooling (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.030; requests for review of the decisions of the North American numbering plan administrator or the thousands-block pooling administrator (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.040; reclamation (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.050; and reporting requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.060.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, rescind regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review, we approve the submission of these rule rescissions and proposed rules to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Rob Dixon, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed amendment to rule, 4 CSR 240-28.010, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

ob Dixon

Director

Department of Economic Development



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

Notary Public

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 28—Communications

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS



4 CSR 240-28.010 Definitions. This rule defines terms used in the rules comprising Chapter 28.

PURPOSE: This proposed amendment removes unnecessary definitions, revises certain definitions, and adds definitions.

- (1) Access line—A line connected to the customer's premises used to provide basic local telecommunications service or used to provide IVoIP service.
- (2) Certifica[tion]e of service authority—[The granting of a certificate of service authority] Authorization granted by the commission or charter by the state of Missouri to provide basic local telecommunications service, nonswitched local telecommunications service, interexchange telecommunications service, payphone service or shared tenant service.
- (3) Commission—The Missouri Public Service Commission.
- (4) Commission's website—www.psc.mo.gov.
- ([4]5) EFIS—The commission's Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS). EFIS [is a system allowing the electronic exchange of commission filings. The system also maintains certain information about each company registered or certificated by the commission. EFIS may be accessed through the commission's website at www.psc.mo.gov.] may be accessed through the commission's website.
- [(5) Detariff—To discontinue using a tariff to describe a company's rates, terms, and conditions of service by withdrawing the tariff, in whole or in part, from the commission's electronic filing and information system.
- (6) Information and Referral (I&R) service—A service used to provide community and referral information. As used in this chapter, this term is associated with an arrangement whereby callers can access an I&R service by dialing "211."]
- ([7]6) Interconnection agreement—An agreement [that is required to be] filed with a state commission [as contemplated by] pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252 containing the terms, conditions, and rates associated with interconnection services pursuant to 47 U.S.C.251.
- (7) Interconnected voice over internet protocol service (IVoIP)—A communications service as defined by Section 386.020 RSMo.
- [(8) Interconnection services—Services associated with the duties and obligations placed on telecommunications carriers as contemplated by 47 U.S.C. 251.
- ([9]8) Intrastate—A telecommunications or IVoIP service originating and terminating within Missouri regardless of how the service is routed.

 30INT COMMITTEE ON

APR 1 2 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

- (9) Missouri jurisdictional revenue—Telecommunications and/or IVoIP revenue associated with intrastate service. This revenue includes both retail and wholesale revenue.
- [(10) Net Jurisdictional Revenue—This term is defined in 4 CSR 240-31.010(17).
- (11) Non-switched local exchange telecommunications service—Service connecting customer locations within an exchange to other points within the exchange provisioned by facilities dedicated to these locations and points, and which facilities to not switch the service to other locations and points.]
- ([12]10) Payphone service—Service providing two- (2-) way voice service for a fee to the general public using a privately owned device.
- ([13]11) Registration—The granting of a registration to provide interconnected voice over Internet protocol service or video service by the commission.
- ([14]12) Shared tenant service—[Generally t]The provisioning of a commercially shared telecommunications service provided to residents in a building or a common limited geographic area.
- ([15]13) Tariff—A document submitted to the commission identifying the telecommunications services offered by a company and also identifying the rates, terms, and conditions for the use of such services.
- [(16) Total Missouri Jurisdictional Operating Revenue—A company's total revenue associated with the provisioning of intrastate telecommunications and IVoIP services. This revenue includes a company's net jurisdictional revenue, wholesale revenues, and any revenue received from the Missouri Universal Service Fund minus wholesale uncollectibles. Total Missouri jurisdictional operating revenue is annually reported and is used for the commission assessment.
- (17) Wholesale service—Telecommunications or IVoIP services provided to other telecommunications or IVoIP service providers.]

AUTHORITY: section 386.040, 386.250 and 386.310, RSMo 2000, section 392.450, RSMo Supp. 2013, and section 392.461, RSMo Supp. 2014. (Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996; 386.310, RSMo 1939, amended 1979, 1989, 1996; 392.450, RSMo 1987, amended 1996, 2008; and 392.461, RSMo 2011, amended 2013, 2014.) Original rule filed April 1, 2015, effective Dec. 30, 2015.

PUBLIC COST: These proposed amendments will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: These proposed amendments will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the proposed amendments with the Missouri Public Service Commission, 200 Madison Street. P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City MO 65102-0360. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before July 2, 2018, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2018-0120. Comments may also be

submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for July 9, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: November 17, 2017

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-28.010

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573-526-5631 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Proposed rule simplifies and streamlines rules, which will reduce any impact on small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Feedback was solicited in Case No. TW-2018-0098.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

The proposed rule applies to telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers. No company will be adversely affected by the proposed rule.

Please list direct and indirect costs	(in dollars amounts)	associated with
compliance.		

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No X

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.