

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Ann Thompson  
Court Executive

Reply To:

- ( ) 400 E. 9<sup>th</sup> Street  
Kansas City, MO 64106  
(X) 80 Lafayette Street  
Jefferson City, MO 65101  
( ) 222 John Q. Hammons Pkwy.  
Springfield, MO 65806

December 22, 2011

Missouri Public Service Commission  
200 Madison Street  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360



Re: Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4221-CV-C-NKL  
Alma Communications Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc., et al.

Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4218-CV-C-NKL  
Alma Communications Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc.

Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4220-CV-C-NKL  
BPS Telephone Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc., et al.

To Whom It May Concern::

The above cases have been remanded to you pursuant to an order by the Honorable Nanette K Laughrey. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 28 § 1447 we are enclosing a certified copy of the order of remand.

Sincerely,

By: /s/ J Russel

Enc.

MIME-Version:1.0

From:ecfMOW.notification@mow.uscourts.gov

To:cmecf\_atynotifications@mow.uscourts.gov

Bcc:

--Case Participants: Brian McCartney (bmccartney@brydonlaw.com, dadams@brydonlaw.com), Louis A Huber, III (dyoung@schleehuber.com, lhuber@schleehuber.com, lsprouse@schleehuber.com), Jennifer Heintz (cassie.melloway@psc.mo.gov, dawn.carafeno@psc.mo.gov, jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov, kristy.westrich@psc.mo.gov), Diana Christine Carter (dcarter@brydonlaw.com, ltrackers@brydonlaw.com), Missouri Public Service Commission (jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov)

--Non Case Participants:

--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:&lt;3638156@mow.uscourts.gov&gt;

Subject:Activity in Case 2:11-cv-04220-NKL BPS Telephone Company et al v. Halo Wireless, Inc. Order on Motion to Remand

Content-Type: text/html

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

Western District of Missouri

I HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON 12/22/11  
THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL TRUE AND  
CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE  
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

ANN THOMPSON  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

BY

DEPUTY

### Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 12/21/2011 at 9:39 AM CST and filed on 12/21/2011

**Case Name:** BPS Telephone Company et al v. Halo Wireless, Inc.

**Case Number:** 2:11-cv-04220-NKL

**Filer:**

**Document Number:** 41(No document attached)

### Docket Text:

**ORDER** entered by Judge Nanette Laughrey. Plaintiffs' motion to remand this case to the Missouri Public Services Commission ("the Commission") [Doc. # 11] is **GRANTED** for the reasons expressed in Orders granting remand in similar cases by the Middle District of Tennessee [Doc. # 35-1] and the District of South Carolina Bankruptcy Court, Bellsouth Telecomms., LLC v. Halo Wireless, Inc., No. 11-80162-dd (Bankr. D. S.C. filed Nov. 30, 2011). The Commission has the authority to regulate the subject matter of this dispute, and the Court does not have jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims until the Commission has rendered a decision for the Court to review. To the extent Defendant argues that Plaintiffs' claims should first be decided by the FCC, this argument is mooted by the FCC's recent rulemaking decision rejecting Defendant's position and

reaffirming that the power to regulate these issues lies with state agencies. [Doc. # 40-1]. Plaintiffs' request for costs and attorney fees for wrongful removal is DENIED. The propriety of removal was a complicated issue of law that Defendant appears to have pursued in good faith. **This is a TEXT ONLY ENTRY. No document is attached.**(Kanies, Renea)

**2:11-cv-04220-NKL Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Louis A Huber, III lhuber@schleehuber.com, dyoung@schleehuber.com, lsprouse@schleehuber.com

Diana Christine Carter dcarter@brydonlaw.com, lrackers@brydonlaw.com

Brian McCartney bmccartney@brydonlaw.com, dadams@brydonlaw.com

Jennifer Heintz jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov, cassie.melloway@psc.mo.gov,  
dawn.carafeno@psc.mo.gov, kristy.westrich@psc.mo.gov

**2:11-cv-04220-NKL It is the filer's responsibility for noticing the following parties by other means:**