ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

700 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE

TERRY M. EVANS COL. DARWIN MARMADUKE HOUSE

P.O. BOX 1438

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-1438

TELEPHONE 573-634-3422

July 9, 2002

FAX 573-634-7822

LANETTE R. GOOCH SHAWN BATTAGLER ROB TROWBRIDGE

ROB TROWBRIDGE JOSEPH M. PAGE

LISA C. CHASE

DEIDRE D. JEWEL

JUDITH E. KOEHLER

ANDREW J. SPORLEDER

KELLIE R. NILGES

NICOLE D. LINDSEY

OF COUNSEL

0. 00011002

MARVIN SHARP

PATRICK A. BAUMHOER

GREGORY C STOCKARD (1904-1993)

PHIL HAUCK (1924-1992)

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: TC-2002-57 et. al.

Dear Judge Roberts:

EUGENE E. ANDERECK

ERWIN L. MILNE

CRAIG S. JOHNSON

RODRIC A. WIDGER

BEVERLY J. FIGG

WILLIAM S. LEWIS

VICTOR S. SCOTT

COREY K. HERRON

GEORGE M. JOHNSON

JACK PEACE

Enclosed please find for filing in the above captioned case a Stipulation Dismissal of Choctaw's Complaint against AT&T Wireless. A copy of this letter and a copy of the enclosed Stipulation is observed for all Attorneys of Record.

Thank you for seeing this filed.

Sincerely,

Craig S Johnson

CSJ/mo Enc.

cc: Attorneys of Record Kevin Thompson

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company,)	
and Modern Telecommunications Company,)	
et al.)	·
)	
Petitioners,)	
)	
VS.)	Case No. TC-2002-57
•)	Case No. TC-2002-113
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,)	Case No. TC-2002-114
Southwestern Bell Wireless (Cingular),)	Case No. TC-2002-167
Voice Stream Wireless (Western Wireless))	Case No. TC-2002-181
Aerial Communications, Inc., CMT Partners,)	Case No. TC-2002-182
(Verizon Wireless), Sprint Spectrum, LP,)	Consolidated
United States Cellular Corp., and Ameritech)	
Mobile Communications, Inc., et al.)	
)	
Respondents.)	

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF AT&T WIRELESS FROM COMPLAINT

Choctaw Telephone Company (Choctaw), Petitioner herein, and AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., (AWS) one of the Respondents herein, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On or about October 11, 2001, Choctaw filed a complaint against AWS, along with other entities, docketed as TC-2002-181, later consolidated into this proceeding.
 - 2. Notices related to this matter should be sent as follows:

For AWS, to:

Paul DeFord Lathrop & Gage 2345 Grand Kansas City, MO 64108 For Choctaw to:

Craig S. Johnson Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Johnson, L.L.C. 700 East Capitol P.O. Box 1438 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Phone: (573) 634-3422 Fax: (573) 634-7822

- AWS agrees to pay Choctaw Five Thousand Seven Hundred Forty-Five Dollars and two cents (\$5,745.02) in settlement of this matter for termination charges for AWS-originated traffic reported to Choctaw as being terminated between February 5, 1998 until the effective date of Choctaw's wireless termination tariff, February 17, 2001, subject to the terms provided below. The parties acknowledge that AWS has paid for traffic reported to Choctaw as being terminated after February 17, 2001, and is current through the December, 2001 usage period. AWS agrees to continue to pay Choctaw pursuant to invoices rendered for post-December, 2001 usage pursuant to Choctaw's applicable wireless termination tariff unless such tariff is replaced or superseded by an approved interconnection agreement. Choctaw agrees to dismiss its claims against AWS herein with prejudice from this proceeding, subject to any future reversal of the effectiveness of Choctaw's wireless termination tariff, as set forth in paragraph 5.
- 4. The parties agree that all of the \$5,745.02 relates to the time period prior to February 17, 2001, the date upon which Choctaw's Wireless Termination Service Tariff, PSC Mo. No. 3, became effective as determined by the Missouri Public Service Commission in TT-2001-151. The parties agree that the \$5,745.02 is calculated by taking terminating minutes for AWS from usage reports for that period provided by Southwestern Bell Telephone Companying times the wireless termination rate of \$0.0506

per minute that was tariffed as the Choctaw wireless termination rate on and after February 17, 2001.

- 5. If the Commission or any other tribunal or Court with jurisdiction holds, via a final non-appealable order or decision, that wireless carriers are not required to pay pursuant to Choctaw's wireless terminating tariff, then Choctaw shall, within 90 days, present AWS with a refund via check for such amount paid in excess of what would apply under such a holding. In this event this settlement will be considered null and void, and Choctaw's claims with respect to the traffic which is the subject of this settlement, and AWS's defenses to those claims, will be revived.
- 6. Except as expressly provided herein, the signatories agree that this
 Stipulation has been made exclusively for the purpose of the relationship of AWS and
 Choctaw in this proceeding and that the Stipulation contained herein, in total or by
 specific items, is in no way binding upon these parties in other proceedings or other
 parties in this proceeding before the Commission or in other forums or jurisdictions, nor
 are the contents of this Stipulation, in total or in specific terms, by inference, inclusion or
 deletion, in any way to be considered or used as an indication of the position of Choctaw
 or AWS on any issue litigated or to be litigated in this or other proceedings.
- reasonable settlement and to avoid protracted and costly litigation of certain issues and that with respect to any policy or other issues which were compromised in the spirit of reaching an agreement, neither AWS nor Choctaw shall be prohibited from or prejudiced in arguing a different policy or position before the Commission or any other tribunal in any other proceeding, as such agreements pertain only to AWS and Choctaw in this

matter.

8. This Stipulation contains terms, each of which is interdependent with the

others and essential in its own right to the signing of this Stipulation. Each term is vital

to the agreement as a whole, since the parties expressly and jointly state that they would

not have signed the agreement had any term been modified in any way. Each party is

entitled to certain procedures in the event that any modifications whatever are made to

this Stipulation. If any modification is made to the terms of this Stipulation, the signatory

parties must be given the right to be placed in the position they were in before the

Stipulation was entered.

9. AWS and Choctaw respectfully urge the Commission to issue an order

adopting the terms of this Stipulation, and to dismiss AWS from this proceeding with

prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul DeFord

Lathrop & Gage

2345 Grand

Kansas City, MO 64108

Phone: (816)292-2000

FAX: (816)292-2001

E-mail: pdeford@lathropgage.com

by: Paul DeFord

Attorney for AWS

Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Johnson

700 East Capitol P.O. Box 1438

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Phone: (573) 634-3422 Fax: (573) 634-7822

by: Craig S. Johnson

#28179

Attorne for Choctaw

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was mailed, via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this _____ day of ______, 2002, to all attorneys of record in this proceeding.

Craig S. Johnson MO Bar No. 28179