1	STATE OF MISSOURI						
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION						
3							
4	PREHEARING CONFERENCE						
5							
6	June 28, 2000						
7	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1						
8							
9	In the Matter of the Application of) Kansas City Power & Light Company for an)						
10	Order Authorizing the Transfer of Certain)						
11	Electrical Generation Assets Used to) Case No. Provide Electric Service to Customers in) EM-2000-75 Missouri and Other Relief Associated with)						
12	Kansas City Power & Light Company's Plan) to Restructure Itself into a Holding)						
13	Company, Competitive Generation Company,) Regulated Utility Company and Unregulated)						
14	Subsidiary)						
15							
16							
17	BEFORE:						
18	MORRIS L. WOODRUFF, Presiding, REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.						
19	RECOMMENT HIM CODES.						
20							
21	REPORTED BY:						
22	STEPHANIE L. KURTZ ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.						
23	714 West High Street Post Office Box 1308						
24	JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101 (573) 636-7551						
25	(3/3/ 030 /331						
	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 TOLL FREE 1-888-636-7551						

1	APPEARANCES
2	DEBORAH R. SWANK, Attorney at Law WILLIAM G. RIGGINS, Attorney at Law
3	1201 Walnut, 20th Floor
4	Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2124
4	LARRY W. DORITY FISCHER & DORITY
5	101 West McCarty, Suite 215
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
6	FOR Manage City Passes & Light Company
7	FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company.
,	PAUL S. DEFORD, Attorney at Law
8	LATHROP & GAGE
	2345 Grand Boulevard
9	Kansas City, Missouri 64108
1.0	PETER J. BRICKFIELD
10	BRICKFIELD & BURCHETTE 1025 Thomas Jefferson
11	Washington D.C. 20002
11	washington b.c. 20002
12	FOR: GST Steel.
13	GARY W. DUFFY, Attorney at Law BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND, P.C.
14	P. O. Box 456
15	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
13	FOR: UtiliCorp United, Inc. and The Empire
16	District Electric Company.
17	JEFFREY A. KEEVIL, Attorney at Law STEWART & KEEVIL, L.L.C.
18	Cherry Street Centre
	1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302
19	Columbia, Missouri 65201
20	FOR: Missouri Gas Electric.
21	JAMES J. COOK, Attorney at Law MANAGING ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
22	One Ameren Plaza
22	1901 Chouteau Avenue
23	P. O. Box 66149, MC 1310
•	St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149
24	
	FOR: Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren UE
25	

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
2	MARTHA S. HOGERTY, Public Counsel JOHN B. COFFMAN, Senior Public Counsel
3	P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
4	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the
5	Public.
6	DANA K. JOYCE, General Counsel
7	STEVEN R. DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy General Counsel DENNIS L. FREY, Assistant General Counsel
8	P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
9	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Ρ	R	0	C	Ε	Ε	D	I	Ν	G	S	

- 2 (Written Entries of Appearance filed.)
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Good morning everyone. My
- 4 name is Morris Woodruff. I'm going to be the
- 5 Regulatory Law Judge in this case, and we're here for
- 6 the prehearing conference in the case of application of
- 7 Kansas City Power & Light Company for an order
- 8 authorizing it to basically restructure itself. Case
- 9 No. EM-2000-753.
- 10 We'll begin by taking entries of appearance,
- 11 and we'll start with Kansas City Power & Light.
- 12 MS. SWANK: Good morning, Your Honor. Deborah
- 13 Swank and William Riggins, Kansas City Power & Light
- 14 Company, 1201 Walnut, Floor 20, Kansas City, Missouri
- 15 64106, and also Larry Dority of Fischer and Dority,
- 16 101 West McCarty, Suite 215, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 17 65101 on behalf of the applicant.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
- 19 And for Staff?
- 20 MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Dana K. Joyce,
- 21 Dennis Frey, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
- 22 Missouri 65102 appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
- 23 Missouri Public Service Commission.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Public Counsel?
- 25 MS. HOGERTY: Martha Hogerty and John Coffman,

- 1 P. O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri appearing on
- 2 behalf of the Public Counsel.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And Laclede County?
- 4 Is anyone here for Laclede?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Okay. And for GST Steel?
- 7 MR. DEFORD: Paul S. DeFord with the law firm
- 8 of Lathrop & Gage, 2345 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City,
- 9 Missouri 64108, also appearing Peter J. Brickfield,
- 10 Brickfield and Burchette.
- 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And the International
- 12 Brotherhood of Electrical Workers?
- Is anyone for the IBEW?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 Okay. St. Joseph Light & Power?
- MR. DUFFY: Gary Duffy, Brydon, Swearengen &
- 17 England, P.C., P. O. 456, Jefferson -- wait. No, I'm
- 18 not appearing for St. Joseph Light & Power.
- 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- 20 MR. DUFFY: I usually do, but not this time.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- MR. DUFFY: Sorry.
- 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is anyone else here for
- 24 St. Joseph Light & Power?
- MR. DUFFY: Get in the hang of it.

- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. No one's here for
- 2 St. Joseph Light & Power then?
- 3 MR. DUFFY: I thought Comley was intervening
- 4 for them.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: He's not here.
- 6 Missouri Joint Municipal Electrical Utility
- 7 Commission?
- 8 Anyone here for them?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Okay. And the Missouri Energy Group?
- No one for them.
- 12 UtiliCorp?
- MR. DUFFY: That's me.
- 14 Gary Duffy, Brydon, Swearengen & England,
- 15 P.C., P. O. Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102,
- 16 and I'm also here for the Empire District Electric
- 17 Company.
- 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And for the City of
- 19 Kansas City?
- 20 Anyone here for Kansas City?
- 21 (No response)
- Okay. Missouri Gas Electric or MGE?
- 23 MR. KEEVIL: Jeffrey A. Keevil of the law firm
- of Stewart & Keevil, L.L.C., 1001 Cherry Street,
- 25 Suite 302, Columbia, Missouri 65201.

1	JUDGE	WOODRUFF:	And	ior	Western	Resources?

- 2 Anyone for Western Resources?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 For Union Electric?
- 5 MR. COOK: James J. Cook, Ameren Services
- 6 Company, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, P. O. Box 66149,
- 7 St. Louis, Missouri 63166 appearing on behalf of Union
- 8 Electric Company, doing business as Ameren UE.
- 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for the Missouri
- 10 Industrial Energy Consumers?
- 11 Anyone here for them?
- 12 (No response.)
- Okay. Well, this is a pretty full courtroom
- 14 and half the people aren't even represented. We may
- 15 need to expand somehow.
- 16 All right. We're here today really to -- to
- 17 get the ball rolling on -- on the case. The previous
- 18 order that established this prehearing conference also
- 19 directed that procedural schedules were to be filed by
- 20 July 7th, so that's, of course, one of the main reasons
- 21 that you're going to be here today is to talk about
- 22 what that procedural schedule is going to be.
- 23 KCPL has proposed some fairly interesting and
- 24 unique ideas on how to proceed in this case, so we'll
- 25 allow them to discuss that with the other parties. I

- 1 don't think that's necessary to do that on the record
- 2 however.
- 3 Anything else that anyone needs to bring up
- 4 while we're on the record?
- 5 MS. SWANK: Judge, I have two matters.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead.
- 7 MS. SWANK: We are going to try to seek
- 8 agreement today on a procedural schedule that's
- 9 acceptable to the parties. If we're unable to do so in
- 10 light of the number of parties involved and the
- 11 upcoming holiday, at least, the applicants or perhaps
- 12 the applicant and one -- and one or more parties may
- 13 seek an extension -- a short extension of the deadline
- in which to file the procedural schedule.
- 15 And if it's convenient for the judge, we may
- 16 seek to go back on the rec-- on the record later this
- 17 morning and seek an extension in order to avoid having
- 18 to contact and coordinate amongst all the parties next
- 19 week.
- 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That -- I certainly don't
- 21 have any objection to do that if it's necessary to
- 22 request an extension. The court reporter will not be
- 23 here after we go off the record.
- MS. SWANK: I see.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can certainly contact me

- 1 however and I'll come back in and we can discuss it
- 2 further, if we want to, but we won't be able to make a
- 3 record.
- 4 MS. SWANK: Thank you.
- 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else?
- 6 MS. SWANK: Yes. The second item is the
- 7 Office of Public Counsel filed request for hearing on
- 8 June 13. KCPL was not served with a copy of this
- 9 pleading, and, in fact, KCPL is not listed on the
- 10 certificate of service.
- We learned of the pleading through
- 12 conversation, and yesterday obtained a copy of the
- 13 pleading directly from the Commission. Since KCPL was
- 14 not served, we would request that we have 10 days in
- 15 which to respond to the pleading up to and including
- 16 July 8.
- 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Certainly you may take the
- 18 time you need to respond. Let me ask, Public Counsel,
- 19 was there anything in that request for hearing that
- 20 would require a response from the Commission?
- 21 MR. COFFMAN: I -- I can tell you that the
- 22 intent of that motion was -- was merely to preserve our
- 23 procedural rights. Certainly have no problem with the
- 24 additional time and certainly apologize for not serving
- 25 the applicants with a copy of that.

- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- 2 MR. COFFMAN: It was obviously an oversight.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Correct me if I'm wrong, but
- 4 I don't believe there's a motion re-- connected with
- 5 that that would require the Commission to take any
- 6 action other than -- is there any reason?
- 7 MR. COFFMAN: No, not at this time, Judge.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- 9 MR. COFFMAN: The -- the intent was -- was
- 10 purely to preserve our rights as this matter goes
- 11 forward.
- 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- MS. SWANK: Thank you.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else?
- MS. SWANK: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, Mr. Dottheim.
- 17 MR. DOTTHEIM: I think we've been joined by
- 18 some additional people. I don't know if those are --
- 19 happen to be any additional parties that weren't here
- 20 for entry of appearance.
- 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let me ask, is there any --
- 22 are any additional parties represented that did not
- 23 enter their appearance before?
- 24 (No response.)
- Okay. I'm not seeing anyone.

- 1 MR. MADRIGAL: You're asking about the people
- 2 that intervened at filing, is that what you're asking?
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm asking if there are any
- 4 other attorneys here that are --
- 5 MR. MADRIGAL: Oh.
- 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Are you -- are you
- 7 here for someone?
- 8 MR. MADRIGAL: We're intervenors in the
- 9 filing.
- 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Who are you?
- 11 MR. MADRIGAL: Our attorney's not here though.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Who are you?
- MR. MADRIGAL: I'm IBEW Local 1464, 412 and
- 14 1613.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And -- and your name?
- MR. MADRIGAL: I'm Bob Madrigal. I represent
- 17 IBEW Local 1464, Ray Marvin from 412 and Mike Long from
- 18 1613.
- 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And who is your
- 20 attorney?
- 21 MR. MADRIGAL: James Waers.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Was he planning on being here
- 23 later on?
- MR. MADRIGAL: No.
- 25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I'm -- I can't accept

- 1 your appearance today --
- 2 MR. MADRIGAL: No problem.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: -- or your formal appearance
- 4 or anything, but you can certainly take part in the
- 5 conference.
- 6 MR. MADRIGAL: Sure.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Dottheim?
- 8 MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. On another matter, the --
- 9 the order that you issued, Judge, earlier which
- 10 indicated that a procedural schedule should be filed on
- 11 July 7th, and there's been an indication there might be
- 12 a request for some additional time for that.
- If there's a request for additional time, I
- 14 suspect, at least, on -- on the part of the Staff since
- 15 the following week are hearings scheduled in the
- 16 St. Joseph Light & Power-UtiliCorp merger case that, at
- 17 least, from the Staff's perspective if there was an
- 18 extension of time it probably would be a -- a request
- 19 to some time after that -- that next week because of
- 20 the proceedings that are presently scheduled.
- 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. And I'm -- I'm involved
- 22 in those proceedings also, so it's unlikely that
- 23 anything else is going to happen on this case during
- 24 that week anyway.
- 25 Let me ask the parties, is the deadline of the

- 1 7th a reasonable one or should we go ahead and take up
- 2 a motion to extend that deadline right now.
- 3 Mr. DeFord?
- 4 MR. DEFORD: We would have no objection to
- 5 extending that deadline. I think that would be kind of
- 6 a crunch for everyone.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- 8 Ms. Swank, do you want to make a motion at
- 9 this point?
- 10 MS. SWANK: Yes, Your Honor. We move to
- 11 extend the deadline for 10 days until July 17th.
- 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That would be --
- 13 MR. KEEVIL: The Monday right after that.
- MR. DOTTHEIM: That would be a Monday.
- 15 MR. KEEVIL: That's the Monday right after
- 16 that hearing that Mr. Dottheim was referring to --
- 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah.
- MR. KEEVIL: -- so I don't think --
- 19 MR. DOTTHEIM: Or -- or maybe if the 18th
- 20 might help?
- 21 MR. KEEVIL: I was going to suggest the 19th.
- 22 I think a lot of people in here are going to be in that
- 23 hearing.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes.
- 25 MR. KEEVIL: I am, and looking around the room

- 1 I see Mr. Duffy, lots of people that will be. So if
- 2 we're going to go that far, we might as well go to the
- 3 19th. It seems to me like that would be a good date.
- 4 MS. SWANK: That's fine.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: The 19th?
- 6 MS. SWANK: Yes.
- JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay.
- 8 Mr. Dottheim?
- 9 MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. And -- and just one other
- 10 thing, that the order in the -- the order section
- 11 indicates that a proposed procedural schedule is to be
- 12 filed, but also in the body of the -- of the order
- 13 indicates that if after the prehearing conference any
- 14 party believes that the application is deficient, it
- 15 may file an appropriate motion with the Commission.
- 16 So the Commission is not necessarily only
- 17 looking for a procedural schedule -- any other
- 18 procedural motion from -- from the parties that they
- 19 might think is appropriate at that -- at that stage.
- 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. Although I -- I don't
- 21 believe that order is setting deadlines for those
- 22 procedural motions nor I don't -- nor do I think that
- 23 the deadline would be appropriate.
- 24 If anybody wants to file those motions, they
- 25 can do so, otherwise I suggest that they wait until

1	after the 19th, and the filing of procedural schedules,
2	and they can be I'll address it at the same time.
3	MR. DOTTHEIM: Okay. Thank you.
4	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We the motion
5	was made to extend the filing of the procedural
6	schedule until July 19th.
7	Does anyone have any objection to doing so?
8	(No response.)
9	All right. Then the Commission will order
10	that the procedural schedule be due on July 19th rather
11	than July 7th as previously ordered.
12	MS. SWANK: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.
14	Anything else anyone wants to bring up while
15	we're on the record?
16	(No response.)
17	Hearing none, then, at this time we'll go off
18	the record.
19	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
20	prehearing conference was concluded.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	