## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Craw-Kan Telephone	)	
Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of an	)	
Interconnection and Reciprocal	)	Case No. TK-2008-0193
Compensation Agreement Under the	)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996	)	

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and for its recommendation states:

- 1. On December 12, 2007, Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (Craw-Kan) filed an application with the Commission for approval of an interconnection agreement with Verizon Wireless under the provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. Craw-Kan stated that there are no unresolved issues and that the agreement complies with Section 252(e) of the Act because it is not discriminatory to nonparty carriers and it is consistent with the public interest.
- 3. Though Verizon Wireless is a party to the agreement, it did not join in the application, therefore, the Commission joined Verizon as a party on December 13, 2007 in order to ensure a full and fair adjudication of this matter.
- 4. The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides that an interconnection or resale agreement must be approved unless the Commission determines that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or that implementation of the agreement is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 5. The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission has reviewed the proposed agreement and prepared a memorandum, attached hereto as Appendix A.

6. In its memorandum, Staff states that it believes that the proposed agreement meets

the limited requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

7. Specifically, Staff believes that the proposed agreement does not discriminate

against telecommunications carriers not a party to the agreement, and the proposed agreement is

not against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

8. Furthermore, Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit

any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends that the Commission approve the interconnection

agreement and find that the proposed agreement does not discriminate against

telecommunications carriers not a party to the agreement, and the proposed agreement is not

against the public interest, convenience, or necessity. Staff further recommends that the

Commission direct the parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Blane Baker

Blane Baker

Missouri Bar No. 58454

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-5472 (Telephone)

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

blane.baker@psc.mo.gov

2

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I	hereby	certify	that	copies	of	the	foregoing	have	been	mailed,	hand-d	elivered,
transmit	ted by fac	csimile o	or ele	ctronica	lly 1	maile	ed to all con	unsel o	of reco	rd this 8 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>h</sup> day of	January
2008.												

/s/ Blane Baker	
Blane Baker	

#### MEMORANDUM

То:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. TK-2008-0193 Party: Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. Type of Carrier:  ILEC CLEC Wireless  Party: Verizon Wireless		
	Type of Carrier:  ILEC  CLEC  Wireless		
From: Sara Buyak, Telecommunications Department			
	William Voight 1-3-08 Utility Operations Division/Date  William Haas 1-3-08 General Counsel Office/Date		
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement or Amendment to Interconnection Agreement		
Date:	January 3, 2008		
Date Filed:	12/12/07 <b>Staff Deadline: 1-14-08</b>		
The Telecomrapproval of the	nunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted e submitted:		
$\boxtimes$	Interconnection Agreement		
	Amendment not previously approved		
Service Comm	abmitted the proposed Agreement or Amendment to the Missouri Public nission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act. Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the		

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement or Amendment to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

	The applicants have not submitted a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or indment. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially bered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.
	Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.
Addi	itional Interconnection Agreement or Amendment Review Items
$\boxtimes$	No applications to intervene filed.
	Agreement or Amendment signed by both Parties.
Addi	itional recommendations or special considerations (if any):
⊠тı	he Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC
asses	ssment.
	The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested faction on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant
	ld be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the
	Iquency.  No annual report Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed: )
VI 11	ino aminam report i i Umpara i SC assessiment. Ambumi uwea )

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Craw- Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of an Interconnection and Reciprocal Compensation Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	) Case No. TK-2008-0193 ) )
AFFIDAVIT OF	Sara Buyak
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss:	
COUNTY OF COLE )	
Sara Buyak employee of the Missouri Public and after being duly sworn, states that she ha staff recommendation, and that the facts ther knowledge and belief.	s participated in preparing the accompanying
Subscribed and affirmed before me this	3rd day of January 2008.
SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086	Augen & Sundymusen