1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	Hearing
8	July 16, 2001 Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	
12	In the Matter of Laclede Gas) Company's Tariff to Revise) Case No. GR-2001-629 Natural Gas Rate Schedules.) Tariff No. 200101125
13	Natural Gas Rate Schedules.) Tariff No. 200101125
14	
15	
16	KEITH THORNBURG, Presiding,
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY:
23	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR
24	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS, INC.
25	

1 APPEARANCES: 2 MICHAEL C. PENDERGAST, Attorney at Law GERALD T. MCNEIVE, JR., Attorney at Law 720 Olive Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 342-0532 FOR: Laclede Gas Company. 6 ED DOWNEY, Attorney at Law Bryan Cave, LLP 7 221 Bolivar P.O. Box 1670 8 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 556-6620 9 FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers. 10 LISA C. LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law 720 Olive Street, Suite 2400 St. Louis, MO 63101 (314)345-6441 12 13 FOR: Missouri Energy Group. 14 DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 15 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-780 (573)751-485716 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public. 17 18 LERA L. SHEMWELL, Associate Counsel P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 19 (573) 751-3234 20 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 21 22 23 24 25

				I 1		

- JUDGE THORNBURG: We'll go on the record at
- 3 this time.
- 4 It's Monday, July 16th, 10 a.m. We're here
- 5 for a prehearing conference in the Matter of Laclede Gas
- 6 Company's Tariff to Revise Natural Gas Rate Schedules, Case
- 7 No. GR-2001-629, Tariff File No. 200101125.
- 8 My name is Keith Thornburg. I'm the
- 9 Regulatory Law Judge assigned to hear this matter.
- I want to note some things that went out
- 11 Friday. On Friday an Order Granting Intervention was
- 12 issued. There were four intervenors that were granted
- 13 intervention. One is Missouri Energy Group, which I think
- 14 might be abbreviated MEG, and that group Barnes Jewish
- 15 Hospital, Daimler Chrysler Corporation, Emerson Electric
- 16 Company, SSM Healthcare, St. John's Mercy Health Care.
- 17 The second intervenor was the Paper Allied -
- 18 Industrial, Chemical and Energy Workers Local No. 5-6
- 19 AFL-CIO.
- 20 A third intervention was granted to Union
- 21 Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE. And the
- 22 fourth intervenor was Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers,
- 23 and I'm assuming that will be abbreviated MIEC, and that
- 24 consists of Adams Mark Hotel, Alcoa Foil Products,
- 25 Anheuser-Busch, the Boeing Company, Ford Motor Company,

- 1 General Motors Corporation, Hussman Refrigeration,
- 2 Malincrodt, Inc., MEMC Electronic Materials, Monsanto
- 3 Company, Proctor & Gamble Manufacturing and Ralston Purina.
- 4 Also on Friday I put out a notice correcting
- 5 the suspension dates for the tariff in a nunc pro tunc
- 6 notice. The Suspension Order had noted the suspension of
- 7 the tariffs ran through I believe a date in March 2002.
- 8 The notice corrected that to April 16th, 2002,
- 9 which reflects a 120-day initial suspension period plus an
- 10 additional six months. And the tariff -- the tariff sheets
- 11 filed in this case won't become effective earlier than that
- 12 unless ordered otherwise by the Commission.
- 13 At this time we'll take entries of appearance
- 14 from represented parties, and I'd like to begin with Laclede.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, your Honor.
- 16 Gerald T. McNeive, Jr. and Michael C. Pendergast appearing
- 17 on behalf of Laclede Gas Company. Our business address is
- 18 720 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.
- 19 JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you. And Staff?
- 20 MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning. Thank you, your
- 21 Honor. Lera Shemwell representing the Staff of the Missouri
- 22 Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson
- 23 City, Missouri 65102.
- 24 JUDGE THORNBURG: Office of the Public
- 25 Counsel?

- 1 MR. MICHEEL: Douglas E. Micheel appearing on
- 2 behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the Public,
- 3 P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: Do I have an attorney here
- 5 from Missouri Energy Group?
- 6 MS. LANGENECKERT: Lisa Langeneckert appearing
- 7 on behalf of Missouri Energy Group, law office of Robert
- 8 Johnson, 720 Olive, 24th Floor, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.
- 9 JUDGE THORNBURG: Did I correctly identify all
- 10 your participants in that group?
- 11 MS. LANGENECKERT: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you. Is there an
- 13 attorney here for the Paper Allied Industrial, Chemical
- 14 and Energy Workers Local?
- MR. SCHULTE: No, your Honor, I'm not an
- 16 attorney. I'm the business rep for Local 5-6.
- 17 JUDGE THORNBURG: Could you give your name and
- 18 address.
- 19 MR. SCHULTE: My name is Joe Schulte. I'm the
- 20 business rep for Local 5-6. Our address is 7750 Olive,
- 21 63130, St. Louis, Missouri.
- 22 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. Your application to
- 23 intervene was filed by an attorney named Jan Bond. Will
- 24 that attorney be participating in these proceedings?
- MR. SCHULTE: That's correct, your Honor, she

- 1 will be.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. AmerenUE? No
- 3 appearance today at the prehearing by AmerenUE.
- 4 And then the Missouri Industrial Energy
- 5 Consumers?
- 6 MR. DOWNEY: Ed Downey, Bryan Cave, LLP,
- 7 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101, Jefferson City, Missouri.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you.
- 9 The main reason for the early prehearing
- 10 conference in this proceeding will probably focus primarily
- 11 on scheduling issues, and I understand there are some early
- 12 issues the parties have come to an agreement on involving
- 13 items related to appropriate test year for this case, the
- 14 date that that test year will be updated and the provision
- 15 for a true-up audit and true-up hearing and generally the
- 16 items that are going to be addressed there, although I
- 17 understand those aren't completely locked down, but we do
- 18 have some items that were offered by Laclede.
- 19 And I would encourage you to discuss any other
- 20 issues you have among yourselves today that help this
- 21 proceeding go on a little bit smoother. Of course, I'm here
- 22 today if you have any issues you need to bring to my
- 23 attention, and we'll do that in a moment if there are any
- 24 issues.
- 25 With respect to the scheduling of the

- 1 evidentiary hearing in this case, the Staff offered two
- 2 alternatives, and I know there was a general consensus to go
- 3 with the hearing dates in January, but I don't believe that
- 4 would allow sufficient time for myself or the Commission to
- 5 fully consider your evidence and your arguments you're going
- 6 to be presenting.
- 7 I would suggest that the parties work on a
- 8 procedural schedule that involves the December dates that
- 9 Staff offered, and that's the week of December 17th through
- 10 the 21st 2001 for evidentiary hearings. I do have those
- 11 dates blocked out, and I have the large hearing room
- 12 reserved for that, and if at all possible, that's going to
- 13 be the target date for the hearing.
- 14 When the parties file an agreed procedural
- 15 schedule, it would be helpful to me if you would reiterate
- 16 the items regarding the test year and the true-up audit
- 17 hearing. When I issue an Order, I'll just go ahead and
- 18 incorporate those things also.
- 19 And in your procedural schedule, I would
- 20 appreciate all the detail you can give me on the important
- 21 dates that should be listed, and if some of those have to be
- 22 adjusted later, that's fine, but it would be helpful to me
- 23 to have all the detail necessary, and I'd rather see things
- 24 drop off the schedule than have to add things at a later
- 25 date.

- In filing the procedural schedule, if you'll
- 2 indicate that all parties are in consensus on it, I can
- 3 issue an Order right away. We did provide for that to be
- 4 filed on July 26th, but if it can be filed sooner I'll go
- 5 ahead and turn that around sooner.
- If the parties are not in agreement on the
- 7 proposed procedural schedule, I'm going to ask that any
- 8 responses in opposition be filed within five days rather
- 9 than the standard ten days, and that would speed this up a
- 10 little bit. I'm going to assume the parties will be in
- 11 communication with each other, and if there are objections,
- 12 the party that would be objecting to the proposed schedule
- 13 would be able to do a quick response.
- 14 Another matter, I passed out a draft public
- 15 notice for Laclede to mail or include in billing for the
- 16 local public hearings and for the evidentiary hearing. The
- 17 original Suspension Order notice dealt with a notice of the
- 18 evidentiary hearing. If possible, I'd like to combine this
- 19 and do a notice of the local public hearings also, and
- 20 instead of keying off the evidentiary date, this would be
- 21 keyed off the public hearing dates, 10 to 45 days prior to
- 22 the public hearings.
- I will note that I added information for the
- 24 Public Counsel's phone number, e-mail address, and if
- 25 there's a problem with that, Public Counsel can address

- 1 that.
- 2 MR. MICHEEL: The number's wrong, and the
- 3 e-mail address has 222 as opposed to www.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. I picked that up out
- 5 of another Order, so it went out somewhat in error in
- 6 another notice. If we use that, it should be www instead of
- 7 222.
- 8 MR. MICHEEL: And your main line number is
- 9 751-4857.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Would you have any concern
- 11 if you're included in that notice in that fashion?
- MR. MICHEEL: We ask to be included so we can
- 13 hear from the clients.
- 14 JUDGE THORNBURG: And then for Laclede Gas, I
- 15 provided opportunity for you to list a phone number and/or $\,$
- 16 an e-mail address. Would there be any problem for you to
- 17 list those?
- 18 MR. PENDERGAST: I don't believe so, your
- 19 Honor, no.
- 20 JUDGE THORNBURG: Okay. And the e-mail
- 21 address will be optional.
- 22 Laclede had filed a motion with the percentage
- 23 increase issue. I went ahead and substituted the 5 percent
- 24 in there, and no parties filed any responses to that. Would
- 25 anyone have any comment or suggestion regarding the

- 1 percentage increase that would be stated in the notice?
- 2 (No response.)
- I hear no comments. I'll probably be issuing
- 4 an Order on the notice, and that will be to incorporate
- 5 these changes that I have here today and also to respond to
- 6 Laclede's request to change the percentages stated in the
- 7 notice, which I think is simply an error, the percentage
- 8 increase.
- 9 That's all I had to cover, I believe. Does
- 10 Laclede have any issues for me?
- 11 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, just a
- 12 clarification. You know, we obviously have both the
- 13 evidentiary hearing and the true-up hearing, and, of course,
- 14 Staff had proposed alternative schedules for both of those,
- 15 and we had indicated our preference for the second
- 16 alternative.
- 17 I understand that there's a feeling that won't
- 18 give the Commission enough time, and so we want to move the
- 19 evidentiary hearing back into December.
- 20 You know, generally speaking, the true-up
- 21 hearing is at most a one-day affair. My recollection is in
- 22 the past it's something that can be done during the briefing
- 23 process and perhaps even a week or so before the briefing
- 24 process is concluded.
- 25 And my assumption would be that the

- 1 Commission's primarily concerned about when it will be
- 2 getting the briefs and how much time between when it gets
- 3 the briefs and when it has to make its decision would be --
- 4 is that what pretty much drives the situation for the
- 5 Commission?
- 6 JUDGE THORNBURG: My concern was getting the
- 7 briefs in, and I realize that the tentative suggestion for
- 8 the true-up hearing would be about the time the first round
- 9 of briefs might be due, and I can accommodate the parties
- 10 there. If you want to have the true-up hearing while you're
- 11 in the briefing process, the true-up can be moved up a
- 12 little bit. If you want to keep it outside the briefing
- 13 process, we can push it back a little bit.
- 14 But I notice that in the suggestion that we'd
- 15 be having the true-up about the same time the first round of
- 16 briefs would be, but I would anticipate that. We can move
- 17 that.
- 18 MR. PENDERGAST: And you were looking to sort
- 19 of have the briefs due around what time?
- JUDGE THORNBURG: If we don't expedite the
- 21 transcript, it's going to take ten days to two weeks to get
- 22 the transcripts. The first round of briefs will be due
- 23 roughly 30 days after the evidentiary hearing is what I
- 24 anticipated. And whether or not we would need -- there
- 25 would be reply briefs or response briefs, and then I haven't

- 1 decided if there will be another round of briefs after that 2 or not.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. That's helpful.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: If you want to address that
- 5 in the procedural schedule, feel free to do that. Granted,
- 6 those don't have to be hard dates, but if you want to go
- 7 ahead and include them, then we can readdress them at the
- 8 close of the evidentiary hearing.
- 9 MR. PENDERGAST: Very good.
- 10 JUDGE THORNBURG: But if we had suggested
- 11 dates, we can also have an idea for if you can take any
- 12 trips around the New Year or Christmas or if you're going to
- 13 be busy writing briefs. Okay.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Very good. Thank you.
- JUDGE THORNBURG: Staff?
- MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, if we shorten -- ask for
- 17 an expedited transcript and shorten the briefing time a
- 18 little bit, might that change your thoughts about a January
- 19 hearing?
- 20 JUDGE THORNBURG: Not really, because I still
- 21 feel I was two or three weeks short for consideration on
- 22 this.
- 23 Anything else?
- 24 MS. SHEMWELL: Staff just is suggesting that
- 25 if we expedite things we could pick up maybe two or three

- 1 weeks. We had also thought about starting on the 3rd of
- 2 January, which is a Thursday. Everybody should be back from
- 3 the holidays, and that would cut a couple of days.
- 4 JUDGE THORNBURG: I think I'd rather stay with
- 5 the December date. If you guys get into discussions today
- 6 and people just are tearing their hair out over that, call
- 7 me and we'll talk about it some more. I prefer the December
- 8 date.
- 9 MR. MICHEEL: I guess one thing that I want to
- 10 raise, and I don't know how many issues are going to be
- 11 litigated, but, you know, you're only giving us a week and
- 12 I'm not certain that, depending on the number of issues,
- 13 that a week is going to be enough.
- 14 So I just want to go on the record right now
- 15 saying a week may not be enough, but it's too early to tell.
- 16 JUDGE THORNBURG: If you want to give me some
- 17 delayed dates, such as the January date for another couple
- 18 of days to reserve in case we need them, we could go ahead
- 19 and block that out. It's certainly easier to have them set
- 20 up that way than to try to find hearing space and
- 21 conflict-free dates at a later date.
- 22 So that will be fine to offer a couple more
- 23 days after the first week. Sometimes that works well, too,
- 24 depending on what the issues are, to have some separation
- 25 there.

1	MR. PENDERGAST: We can certainly discuss
2	that, sure.
3	JUDGE THORNBURG: Thank you. Did any
4	intervening parties have any questions for me today?
5	With respect to the local public hearings, if
6	you can include in the suggested procedural schedule how
7	many hearings you think would be appropriate and give me a
8	period of three or four days or a week and window in which
9	to schedule those. Sometimes the best facilities, we may
10	have to move things a day or two to get a good facility, and
11	so just a little bit of flexibility there, and then after we
12	get a schedule set, then I'll do a separate Order setting
13	the public hearings.
14	I want to thank you for being here today and
15	wish you good luck on the procedural issues, and I hope you
16	have some time to even discuss some substantive matters
17	today if possible since you're here. I appreciate your
18	time. Thank you very much.
19	WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the
20	prehearing schedule was concluded.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	