

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>Am. Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Cent. Office Tel., Inc.</i> , 524 U.S. 214 (1998)	8
<i>Atlas Tel v. Okla. Corp. Comm’n</i> , 400 F.3d 1256 (10th Cir. 2005)	75
<i>BellSouth Telecomms., Inc. v. Cinergy Communs. Co.</i> , 297 F. Supp. 2d 946 (E.D. Ky. 2003)	49
<i>BellSouth Telecomms., Inc. v. MCIMetro Access Transmission Servs., Inc.</i> , 317 F.3d 1270 (11th Cir. 2003)	10, 30, 49
<i>Core Commc’ns, Inc. v. Verizon Pa., Inc.</i> , 493 F.3d 333 (3d Cir. 2007)	10
<i>MCI Metro Access Transmission Servs. v. BellSouth Telecomms., Inc.</i> 352 F.3d 872 (4 th Cir. 2003)	66
<i>MCI Telecomm. Corp. v. Ill. Commerce Comm’n</i> , 168 F.3d 315 (7 th Cir. 1999)	10, 120
<i>MCI Telecomm. Corp. v. Michigan Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 79 F. Supp. 2d 768 (E.D. Mich. 1999)	120
<i>Puerto Rico Telephone Co. v. Telecomm. Regulatory Board of Puerto Rico</i> , 189 F.3d 1 (1 st Cir. 1999)	10
<i>Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. v. Texas Public Util. Comm’n</i> , 208 F.3d 475 (5th Cir. 2000)	10
<i>Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Missouri Public Service Comm’n</i> , 461 F.Supp.2d 1055 (D. Mo. 2006)	78-79
<i>Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Missouri Public Service Comm’n</i> , 530 F.3d 676 (8th Cir. 2008)	78
<i>TCG v. Public Service Comm’n of Wisconsin</i> , 980 F. Supp. 992 (W.D. Wisc. 1997)	49
<i>U.S. West Comm., Inc. v. Hix</i> , 93 F. Supp. 2d 1115 (D. Colo. 2000)	120-121
<i>Universal Telecom, Inc. v. The Oregon Public Utility Commission</i> , Civ. No. 06-6222-HO, 2007 WL 4118908 (D. Or. Nov. 15, 2007)	50, 52

<i>Verizon v. FCC</i> , 535 U.S. 467 (2002).....	60
---	----

STATE CASES

<i>CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC v. Socket Telecom, LLC</i> , 2008 WL 4286648 (Mo. P.S.C. 2008)	50
<i>Gramex Corp. v. Green Supply, Inc.</i> , 89 S.W.3d 432 (Mo. 2002).....	38
<i>Intertel, Inc. v. Sedgwick Claims Mgmt. Servs., Inc.</i> , 204 S.W.3d 183 (Mo. App.E.D. 2006)	4, 6-7
<i>Overman v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 675 S.W.2d 419 (Mo. Ct. App. 1984).....	34, 88-89
<i>Peterson v. National Carriers</i> , 972 S.W.2d 349 (Mo. App. W.D. 1998)	103
<i>Pride v. Lewis</i> , 179 S.W. 375 (Mo. App. W.D. 2005).....	51
<i>Warner v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company</i> , 428 S.W.2d 596 (Mo. 1968).....	89

REGULATORY CASES

<i>In the Matter of Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc. Petition for Arbitration with Qwest Corporation</i> , ARB 775, Arbitrator’s Decision (Ore. PUC 2006).....	28
<i>In the Matter of Starpower Communications, LLC Petition for Preemption of Jurisdiction of the Virginia State Corporation Commission Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , Memorandum Opinion & Order, 15 FCC Rcd 11277 (2000)	30, 66
<i>In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability</i> , Third Report and Order, 13 FCC Rcd at 11778 (1998).....	<i>passim</i>

FEDERAL STATUTES

11 U.S.C. § 362	12
47 U.S.C. § 151	2
47 U.S.C. § 251	<i>Passim</i>
47 U.S.C. § 252	<i>Passim</i>

STATE STATUTES

Mo. Rev. Stat. Section 392.300	14
Mo. Rev. Stat. Section 392.350	88
Mo. Rev. Stat. Section 392.420	14
Mo. Rev. Stat. Section 490.065(3)	103
Mo. Rev. Stat. Section 536.070	<i>Passim</i>

REGULATIONS

47 C.F.R. § 51.5.....	69-70
47 C.F.R. § 51.217.....	<i>passim</i>
47 C.F.R. § 51.305.....	<i>passim</i>
47 C.F.R. § 51.319.....	<i>passim</i>
47 C.F.R. § 51.321.....	67
47 C.F.R. § 51.505.....	<i>passim</i>
47 C.F.R. § 51.509.....	60
47 C.F.R. § 51.511	60, 62
47 C.F.R. § 51.703.....	45
47 C.F.R. § 52.33.....	<i>passim</i>

47 C.F.R. § 64.1100.....	46
47 C.F.R. § 64.1140.....	46
47 C.F.R. § 68.3.....	55
47 C.F.R. § 68.105.....	55

OTHER AUTHORITIES

FCC

<i>In re Telephone Number Portability</i> , First Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 8352 (1996)	101
<i>Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers</i> , First Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 15499 (1996)	119
<i>In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability</i> , Third Report and Order, 13 FCC Rcd 11701 (1998)	97, 100, 101
<i>In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability Cost Classification Proceeding</i> , Memorandum Opinion and Order, 13 FCC Rcd 24495 (1998)	99
<i>In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , Third Report & Order and Fourth Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 3696 (1999)	53, 61
<i>In the Matter of Long-Term Number Portability Tariff Filings; US WEST Communications, Inc.</i> , Memorandum Opinion and Order, 14 FCC Rcd 11983 (1999)	100
<i>Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Provision of Directory Listing Information under the Telecommunications Act of 1934 [sic], As Amended</i> , Third Report and Order, Second Order on Reconsideration, and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 14 FCC Rcd. 15550 (1999)	117
<i>In the Matter of Starpower Communications, LLC Petition for Preemption of Jurisdiction of the Virginia State Corporation Commission Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Rcd 11277 (2000)	30

<i>In the Matter of Application by SBC Communs. Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Co., and Southwestern Bell Communs. Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance; Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommuns. Act of 1996 to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Texas, Memorandum Opinion & Order, 15 FCC Rcd 18354 (2000)</i>	<i>passim</i>
<i>In the Matter of Developing A Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 16 FCC Rcd 9610 (2001)</i>	66, 74
<i>Petition of WorldCom, Inc., et al., Pursuant to § 252(e)(5) of the Communications Act for Preemption of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia State Corporation Comm'n, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 17 FCC Rcd 27039 (2002)</i>	66, 81
<i>In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration and Order on Application for Review, 17 FCC Rcd 2578 (2002)</i>	96, 97, 100
<i>Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Report & Order and Order on Remand and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 16978 (2003)</i>	77
<i>In the Matter of Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 20 FCC Rcd 4685 (2005)</i>	67
<i>In the Matter of Bright House Networks, LLC, et al., v. Verizon California, Inc., et al., Memorandum Opinion & Order, 23 FCC Rcd 10704 (2008)</i>	108

Missouri Public Service Commission

<i>Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC Seeking Expedited Resolution and Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement Terms Between Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC and CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, Case No. LC-2008-0049, Report and Order (Oct. 21, 2008)</i>	7, 20, 23
<i>Petition of Socket Telecom, LLC for Compulsory Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications, LLC, pursuant to Section 251(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. TO-2006-0299, Final Commission Decision (June 27, 2006)</i>	75
<i>Petition of Socket Telecom, LLC for Compulsory Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications, LLC, pursuant to Section 251(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. TO-2006-0299, Final Arbitrator's Report, (May 18, 2006)</i>	75

<i>Southwestern Bell Telephone d/b/a SBC Missouri's Petition for Compulsory Arbitration of Unresolved Issues for a Successor Interconnection Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement, Case No. TO-2005-0336,</i>	
Final Commission Decision (July 11, 2005)	43, 93

<i>Southwestern Bell Telephone d/b/a SBC Missouri's Petition for Compulsory Arbitration of Unresolved Issues for a Successor Interconnection Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement, Case No. TO-2005-0336,</i>	
Final Arbitrator's Report (June 21, 2005).....	75, 77, 78

Miscellaneous

<i>In re Petition of Sprint Communications Company, L.P. for Compulsory Arbitration Under the FTA to Establish Terms and Conditions for Interconnection Terms with Consolidated Communications of Fort Bend Company and Consolidated Communications Company of Texas, Arbitration Award, PUC Docket No. 31577 (Texas PUC 2006)</i>	<i>19</i>
--	-----------

<i>In the Matter of Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc. Petition for Arbitration with Qwest Corporation, MPUC No. P-5340, 421/IC-06-768,</i>	
Arbitrator's Report (Minn. PUC 2006)	28