

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Matter of the Adoption of the Spectra Communications)
Group, LLC/ WWC License LLC Interconnection and)
Reciprocal Compensation Agreement by Cellco Partnership)
d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC d/b/a) **Case No. TO-2005-0395**
Verizon Wireless, St. Joseph Celltelco d/b/a Verizon)
Wireless, and Cybertel Cellular Telephone Company)
d/b/a Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless) Pursuant to)
Section 252(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996)

ORDER DIRECTING NOTICE AND MAKING CELLCO PARTNERSHIP
D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, VERIZON WIRELESS (VAW) LLC D/B/A
VERIZON WIRELESS, ST. JOSEPH CELLTELCO D/B/A VERIZON
WIRELESS, AND CYBERTEL CELLULAR TELEPHONE COMPANY
D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS A PARTY

This order provides notice of a proposal to adopt an interconnection agreement and joins the other party to the agreement as a party to this proceeding.

On May 2, 2005, Spectra Communications Group, LLC, filed a Notice of Adoption of Interconnection Agreement, notifying the Commission of Cellco Partnership d/ba Verizon Wireless', Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless', St. Joseph Celltelco d/b/a Verizon Wireless', and Cybertel Cellular Telephone Company d/b/a Verizon Wireless' desire to adopt the terms of the Interconnection Agreement between Spectra and WWC License LLC, pursuant to Section 252(i) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.¹ The

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252, *et seq.*

Agreement between Spectra and WWC License was approved by the Commission in Case No. TK-2003-0306.

Although Verizon Wireless is a party to the agreement, it did not join in the notice. Because Verizon Wireless is a necessary party to a full and fair adjudication of this matter, the Commission will add it as a party to this case.

The Act provides that an interconnection or resale agreement must be approved unless the state commission finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or that implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.² The Act provides further that a local exchange carrier “shall make available any interconnection, services, or network element provided under an agreement . . . to which it is a party to any other requesting telecommunications carrier upon the same terms and conditions as those provided in the agreement.”³

Section 252(e)(4) of the Act provides that if the Commission has not approved an agreement within 90 days after submission, the agreement shall be deemed approved. Therefore, the Commission will proceed with this case expeditiously.

The Commission finds that notice of this case should be sent to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies. The Commission finds that proper persons should be allowed 20 days from the issuance of this order to file a motion for hearing.

² 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252(i).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Commission's Data Center shall send notice to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies.

2. That Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC d/b/a Verizon Wireless, St. Joseph Celltelco d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cybertel Cellular Telephone Company d/b/a Verizon Wireless is made a party to this case.

3. That any party wishing to request a hearing shall do so by filing a pleading no later than May 26, 2005, with:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

and send copies to:

Larry Dority, Esq.
101 Madison, Suite 400
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Verizon Wireless
Attn: Regulatory Counsel
1300 "Eye" Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

and:

Office of the Public Counsel
Post Office Box 2230
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

4. That the Staff of the Commission shall file a memorandum advising either approval or rejection of the proposed adoption of the Interconnection Agreement, and giving its reasons therefor, no later than June 6, 2005.

5. That this order shall become effective on May 6, 2005.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Ronald D. Pridgin, Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 6th day of May, 2005.